From Reflection to Employment

Using Digital Portfolios in School Counselor Education ool Counselor Preparation Conference, 2016 Richard E. Cleveland & Catherine Hammond



72

Goals & Outcomes

- 1. Provide overview of school counselor digital portfolio
- 2. Demonstrate how portfolio fosters reflection aligned with CACREP goals 3. Demonstrate how portfolio fulfills comprehensive exam requirements
- 4. Demonstrate how portfolio is used for post-program employment
- 5. Present preliminary findings regarding candidates' reflection and portfolio data/metadata. 6. Invite time of processing and questions from participants



72 **Outline of Time Together**

- History Literature Review
- Viewing Sample Digital Portfolios
- Candidate Perceptions
- Preliminary Research Findings
- Limitations & Next Steps
- Questions



History: What led to trying this out?

- Program Re-Boot
 - Year 1: COUN7334 Group Dynamics course prep: Inconsistency + Laziness = Digital Format
 Year 2: Discussions of replacing Comp with Portfolio requirement
- Scholarship of Teaching & Learning (SoTL) Research Fellowship
 Monies funding programmatic, pedagogy, assessment, etc. focused research
 - Replacing static (email) digital reflections with more dynamic (blog) format
- Doc Student Adjunct Experience
 - EDU2200 "mop up" course setting up tech to satisfy edTPA reflection requirements
 Reflection Breadth, Depth, & Evolution
 - Replacing physical binders with tech (e.g., links, URL, QR codes, etc.)



72

Definitional Matters

What do you mean "Reflection"?

Reflective PracticeReflective Thinking

- Self-Awareness vs. Self-Reflection
- Deep Processing / Semantic Processing
 Reflectivity
 Metacognition
 Reflection-o
 - Reflection-on-Action vs. Reflection-in-Action
 Diffraction

Pre-, Quasi-, and Reflective Thinking Process

Mindfulness



<section-header>

Literature Review

- Counselor Preparation
 - Bell, Limberg, Jacobson, & Super (2014); Corey, Corey, & Calianan (1993); Erford (2015); Fong, Borders, Ethington, & Pitts (1997); Griffith, & Frieden (2000); Guiffrida (2005); McAulliffe & Eriksen (2011); Nelson & Neufeldt (1998); Rogers (1957); Thompson (2012); Young (2009)
- Accreditation & Best Practices

 ACA (2014); ACES (2011); CACREP (2009;2015); CAEP/NCATE (2015)



72

Literature Review

- Use of Journaling
- Bohecker, Wathen, Wells, Salazar, & Vereen (2014); Griffith & Frieden (2000); House & Sears (2002)
 Guided Reflection
- Bell, Limberg, Jacobson, & Super (2014); Orchowski, Evangelista, & Probst (2010)
- Course Texts
 - Corey, Corey, & Corey (2014); Sink, Edwards, & Eppler (2012)



R

Literature Review: Inspirational Quotes

- "The rigorous process of graduate school may lead to greater self-discipline and 'follow the rules' thinking versus creative thinking." (Bell, Limberg, Jacobson, & Super, 2014)
- "The reasons counselors do what they do are distinct from how they do it. [...] It is possible that counselors, particularly those in the early stages of training, will at times be lacking intentionality..." (Genter, Mear, Baldwin, Roberts, Gentere, & Barley, 2013)

Tenets of a Working Definition

- Intentional
- Habit
- Not confined to the past tense
- Active & ongoing
- Relational ontology of separateness (Keevers & Treleaven, 2011) Technology infused



72

Viewing Sample Digital Portfolios

- COUN7334 Group Dynamics Portfolio
- Gus' Digital Portfolio Template
- Reflection Guiding Rubric (Denton, 2012)
- A. Main Point: Post clearly states main point/opinion of reflection
- B. Evidence: Post gives example or evidence of main point C. Connection: Post states connection between main point and evidence
- D. Reflection: Post answers "So what?", describing how main point relates to group counseling and candidate as counselor
- E. Elements: Length of 250-400 words, and use of group counseling language/terms



R **Graduate Student Perceptions**

- Demonstrating a "finished" or near-finished candidate portfolio
- Candidate perceptions regarding:
 - 1. The process of creating the digital portfolio

 - Using the digital portfolio for reflection
 Thoughts/experiences using the digital portfolio for interviews



Preliminary Research Findings

- 2 Sections taught Fall semester, 2015-16
- Challenges retrieving data from adjunct teaching section B course (control)
- Approximately half of candidates rated successfully by one rater thus far (n = 16) After snooping the data (normality), paired samples t test per replication



72

Preliminary Research Findings

Component	Group	M Change	Sig. (2-tailed)
A. Main Point	Experimental	.000	1.000
	Control	.625	.011
B. Evidence	Experimental	.125	.351
	Control	.500	.033
C. Connection	Experimental	125	.732
	Control	.000	1.000
D. Reflection	Experimental	.125	.785
	Control	143	.689
E. Elements	Experimental	375	.080
	Control	143	.356

bold Sig at p < .05





Limitations

- Where to begin...
- Small sample size
- Tension between guided/direction (i.e., rubric) and completely open Fidelity of control vs. experimental conditions
- Second course section instructor as adjunct faculty member Methodology?



Next Steps: Program/Pedagogy

- Expanding digital portfolio from just one course (COUN7334 Group Dynamics) to use for reflections throughout course of study
- Expanding digital portfolio from just one MEd concentration (School Counseling) to all concentrations (i.e., CHMC, SAHE)
- Anchoring portfolio creation/implementation with a required foundational course that transcends concentration, and takes place first semester year 1



72

Next Steps: Research

- Continue data-entry
- Multiple raters
- Complete analyses
- Consideration of more appropriate/relevant methodologies for analyses beyond requirements for replication of the study (i.e., answering the question of unit of analyses for reflection posts vs. candidates) n = 113 or n = 16







A)





