# NONLINEAR APPROXIMATIONS OF FUNCTIONS HAVING MIXED SMOOTHNESS

NGUYEN MANH CUONG

Department of Natural Sciences, Hong Duc University cuongnv.hdu@gmail.com



**Abstract.** For multivariate Besov-type classes  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ , we obtain the asumptotic order of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  and nonlinear widths  $\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  defined via pseudo-dimension. We obtain also the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery in  $L_q$ -norm of functions in  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension.

**Keywords.** Besov-Type Spaces; Linear Sampling Recovery; Nonlinear Adaptive Sampling Recovery.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in nonlinear approximations of multivariate functions having a given mixed smoothness and their optimality in terms of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(W, L_q)$  and non-linear widths  $\rho_n(W, L_q)$  defined via pseudo-dimension. The problem of  $\epsilon_n(W, L_q)$  has a long history and there have been many papers devoted to it. We refer the reader to the book [7] for a survey and bibliography therein. The non-linear widths  $\rho_n(W, L_q)$  has been introduced in [12, 13] and investigated there for classical Sobolev classes of functions. In [3], Dinh Dũng has investigated optimal non-linear approximations by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension, of multivariate periodic functions having uniform Besov mixed smoothness r > 0. In the present paper, we extend these results to multivariate Besov-type classes  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$  and the problems of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  and non-linear widths  $\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ . Moreover, generalizing the results in [1, 4, 5, 6] on adaptive sampling recovery, we obtain the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery of functions in  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension.

We begin with a setting of the problems. Let  $\mathbb{T}^d$  be the *d*-dimensional torus which is defined as the cross product of *d* copies of the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  with the identification of the end points. For  $0 < q \leq \infty$ , let  $L_q := L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$  be the quasi-normed space of all functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with the integral quasi-norm  $\|\cdot\|_q$  for  $0 < q < \infty$ , and the normed space  $C(\mathbb{T}^d)$  of all continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with the max-norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  for  $q = \infty$ . Let *B* and *W* be subsets

© 2019 Vietnam Academy of Science & Technology

in  $L_q$ . We approximate the elements in W by B via the deviation of W from B

$$E(W, B, L_q) := \sup_{f \in W} \inf_{\varphi \in B} \|f - \varphi\|_q.$$

**Definition 1.** Given a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets in  $L_q$ , we consider the best approximation by  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  in terms of the quantity

$$d(W, \mathcal{B}, L_q) := \inf_{B \in \mathcal{B}} E(W, B, L_q).$$
(1)

If  $\mathcal{B}$  in (1) is the family of all subsets B of  $L_q$  which satisfy  $|B| \leq 2^n$ , then  $d(W, \mathcal{B}, L_q)$  is the well known entropy number which is denoted by  $\epsilon_n(W, L_q)$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  in (1) is the family of all subsets B of  $L_q$  such that  $\dim_p(B) \leq n$ , then  $d(W, \mathcal{B}, L_q)$  is denoted by  $\rho_n(W, L_q)$ . Here, |B| denotes the cardinality of the finite set B and  $\dim_p(B)$  denotes the pseudo-dimension of set B.

The pseudo-dimension of a set B of real-valued functions on a set  $\Omega$ , is defined as the largest integer n such that there exist points  $a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n$  in  $\Omega$  and  $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that the cardinality of the set

$$\{ \operatorname{sgn}(y) : y = (f(a^1) + b_1, f(a^2) + b_2, \dots, f(a^n) + b_n), f \in B \}$$

is  $2^n$ , where sgn (t) = 1 for t > 0, sgn (t) = -1 for  $t \le 0$ , and for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x) = (\operatorname{sgn}(x_1), \operatorname{sgn}(x_2), \ldots, \operatorname{sgn}(x_n))$$

We are also interested in the problem of adaptive sampling recovery by functions from W, of periodic functions in W. The error of sampling recovery is measured in the quasi-norm of  $L_q$ . We define a sampling recovery method with free choice of sample points and recovering function from B as follows. For each  $f \in W$  we choose n of sample points  $x^1, \ldots, x^n$ , and a function  $g = S_n^B(f) \in B$  to recover f based on the information of sampled values  $f(x^1), \ldots, f(x^n)$ . Then  $S_n^B$  is an adaptive recovering method which can be defined as follows.

Denote by  $I^n$  the set of subsets  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{T}^d$  of cardinality at most n. Let  $V^n$  be the set whose elements are collections of real numbers  $a_{\xi} = \{a(x)\}_{x \in \xi}, \ \xi \in I^n, \ a(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $I_n$  be a mapping from W into  $I^n$  and P a mapping from  $V^n$  into B. Then the pair  $(I_n, P)$  generates the mapping  $S_n^B$  from W into B by the formula

$$S_n^B(f) := P\left(\{f(x)\}_{x \in I_n(f)}\right).$$
(2)

We want to choose a sampling recovery method  $S_n^B$  so that the error of this recovery  $||f - S_n^B(f)||_q$  is as small as possible. Clearly, such an efficient choice should be adaptive to f.

**Definition 2.** Given a family  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets in  $L_q$ , then the error of optimal sampling recovery methods  $S_n^B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is defined by

$$R_n(W,\mathcal{B})_q := \inf_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \inf_{S_n^B} \sup_{f \in W} \|f - S_n^B(f)\|_q.$$
(3)

Denote  $R_n(W, \mathcal{B})_q$  by  $e_n(W)_q$  if  $\mathcal{B}$  in (3) is the family of all subsets B in  $L_q$  such that  $|B| \leq 2^n$ , and by  $r_n(W)_q$  if  $\mathcal{B}$  (3) is the family of all subsets B in  $L_q$  such that  $\dim_p(B) \leq n$ .

The quantities  $e_n(W)_q$  and  $r_n(W)_q$  which are similar to  $\epsilon_n(W)_q$  and  $\rho_n(W)_q$ , respectively, are related to the problem of optimal adaptive storage of data of a signal. The difference between them is that the quantities  $\epsilon_n(W)_q$  and  $\rho_n(W)_q$  are based on any information, while the quantities  $e_n(W)_q$  and  $r_n(W)_q$  are based on standard information, i.e., the sampling values of a signal.

The concept of  $\varepsilon$ -entropy introduced by Kolmogorov and Tikhomirov [9], comes from Information Theory. It expresses the necessary number of binary signs for approximate recovery of a signal from a certain set with accuracy  $\varepsilon$ .

The concept of pseudo-dimension of a real-valued functions set was introduced by Pollard [11] and later Haussler [8] as an extention of the Vapnik Chervonekis [14] dimension of an indicator function set. The pseudo-dimension and Vapnik Chervonekis dimension measure the capacity of a set of functions. They play an important role in theory of pattern recognition and regression estimation, empirical processes and Computational Learning Theory (see also [3, 12, 13] for details).

We define Besov-type space  $B^a_{p,\theta} = B^a_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . For univariate functions f on  $\mathbb{T}$  the *l*th difference operator  $\Delta^l_h$  is defined by

$$\Delta_{h}^{l}(f,x) := \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{l-j} \binom{l}{j} f(x+jh).$$

For  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . If e is any subset of [d], for multivariate functions f on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  the mixed (r, e)th difference operator  $\Delta_h^{l,e}$  is defined by

$$\Delta_h^{l,e} := \prod_{i \in e} \Delta_{h_i}^l, \ \Delta_h^{l,\emptyset} = I,$$

where the univariate operator  $\Delta_{h_i}^l$  is applied to the univariate function f by considering f as a function of variable  $x_i$  with the other variables held fixed.

Let

$$\omega_l^e(f,t)_p := \sup_{|h_i| < t_i, i \in e} \left\| \Delta_h^{l,e} f \right\|_p, \ t \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

be the mixed (r, e)th modulus of smoothness of f. In particular,  $\omega_l^{\emptyset}(f, t)_p = ||f||_p$ .

Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \le \infty$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ . We introduce the quasi-seminorm  $|f|_{B^{a,e}_{n,\theta}}$  for a set  $e \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  and a function  $f \in L_p$  by

$$|f|_{B^{a,e}_{p,\theta}} := \begin{cases} \left( \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ \prod\limits_{i \in e} t_i^{-a_i} \omega_l^e(f,t)_p \right\}^{\theta} \prod\limits_{i \in e} t_i^{-1} dt \right)^{1/\theta}, \qquad \theta < \infty, \\ \sup\limits_{t \in \mathbb{T}^d} \left\{ \prod\limits_{i \in e} t_i^{-a_i} \omega_l^e(f,t)_p \right\}, \qquad \theta = \infty, \end{cases}$$

in particular,  $|f|_{B^{a,\emptyset}_{p,\theta}} = ||f||_p$ , where l is a fixed integer such that  $l > \max_{1 \le i \le d} a_i$ . The Besov-type space  $B^a_{p,\theta} = B^a_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is defined as the set of all functions  $f \in L_p$  such that the Besov-type

quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{B^a_{p,\theta}} := \sum_{e \subset [d]} |f|_{B^{a,e}_{p,\theta}}$$

is finite.

It is well known that different admissible values of l define equivalent Besov-type quasinorm. Denote by  $U^a_{p,\theta} = U^a_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^d)$  the unit ball in the space  $B^a_{p,\theta}$ , i. e.,

$$U_{p,\theta}^{a} := \{ f \in B_{p,\theta}^{a} : \|f\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \le 1 \}.$$

We denote by  $A_n(f) \ll B_n(f)$  if  $A_n(f) \leq C.B_n(f)$ , where C is a constant independent of n and  $f \in W$ ;  $A_n(f) \approx B_n(f)$  if  $A_n(f) \ll B_n(f)$  and  $B_n(f) \ll A_n(f)$ .

Through this paper we assume that the mixed smoothness  $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$  of the space  $B^a_{p,\theta}$  is fixed and such that

$$0 < r = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_s = a_{s+1} < a_{s+2} \le \dots \le a_d, \ 0 \le s \le d-1.$$

Let us briefly formulate the main results of the present paper. Let  $1 < p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta \le \infty$ and r > 1/p. We establish the asymptotic orders

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$
(4)

which exends the results in [3] for the case of uniformed mixed smoothness a, i. e., for the case s = d - 1, and

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}.$$
(5)

To prove (4) and (5) we develop the method and technique in [3] with overcoming certain difficulties. The proof of the upper bounds, in particular, is based on a trigonometric sampling representations in the space  $B_{p,\theta}^a$  with a discrete equivalent quasi-norm, and a special decomposition of functions  $f \in B_{p,\theta}^a$  into a series corresponding to the non-uniformed mixed smoothness a (see (18) and (19)).

Let us give a brief outline of the present paper. In Section 2, we introduce a notion of Besov-type spaces  $B_{p,\theta}^a$  of functions having a mixed smoothness  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$  and describe a trigonometric sampling representations in the space  $B_{p,\theta}^a$  with a discrete equivalent quasinorm. In Section 3, we prove the asymptotic orders (4) and (5) and construct corresponding asymptotically optimal methods of nonlinear approximations.

# 2. TRIGONOMETRIC SAMPLING REPRESENTATIONS IN BESOV SPACES

In this section, we describe a trigonometric sampling representations in the space  $B^a_{p,\theta}$  with a discrete equivalent quasi-norm.

As usual,  $\widehat{f}(k)$  denotes the *k*th Fourier coefficient of  $f \in L_p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$  and  $P_k := \{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \lfloor 2^{k_j-1} \rfloor \leq |s_j| < 2^{k_j}, j = 1, ..., d\}$ , where  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . We define the operator  $\delta_k$  as

$$\delta_k(f) := \sum_{s \in P_k} \widehat{f}(s) e^{i(s, \cdot)}.$$

The well known Littlewood-Paley theorem (see [10]) states that for 1 there holdsthe norm equivalence

$$||f||_p \asymp \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+} |\delta_k(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

We next recall some known equivalences of quasi-norms (see [2]). If  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote  $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i$ . For  $1 and <math>\theta < \infty$  we have that

$$\|f\|_{B^a_{p,\theta}} \asymp \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ 2^{(a,k)} \|\delta_k(f)\|_p \right\}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta},$$

with the right side changed to a supremum for  $\theta = \infty$ .

For a positive integer m, the de la Vallée Poussin kernel  $V_m$  of order m is defined as

$$V_m(t) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} D_k(t) = \frac{\sin(mt/2)\sin(3mt/2)}{m\sin^2(t/2)}$$

where

$$D_m(t) := \sum_{|k| \le m} e^{ikt}$$

is the univariate Dirichlet kernel of order m. For completeness we put  $V_0 = 1$ .

For univariate functions  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ , we define the function  $U_m(f)$  as

$$U_m(f) := f * V_m = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t) \ V_m(\cdot - t) dt,$$

and the function  $V_m(f)$  as

$$V_m(f) := \frac{1}{3m} \sum_{k \in P_m} f(hk) \ V_m(\cdot - hk),$$
(6)

where  $h = 2\pi/3m$  and  $P_m := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \le k < 3m\}$ . If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+$ , the mixed operator  $V_m$  is defined for multivariate functions  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$  by

$$V_m(f) := \prod_{j=1}^d V_{m_j}(f),$$

where the univariate operator  $V_{m_j}$  is applied to the function f by considering f as a function of variable  $x_j$  with the other variables held fixed. Notice that  $V_m(f)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most  $2m_j - 1$  in the variable  $x_j$ , and

$$V_m(f,hk) = f(hk), \quad k \in P_m^d,$$

where  $h = (2\pi/3)(m_1^{-1}, \dots, m_d^{-1}), \ P_m^d := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d : 0 \le k_j < 3m_j, \ j = 1, \dots, d\}.$  We get  $\|V_m(f)\|_p \asymp \prod_{j=1}^d m_j^{-1/p} \|\{f(hk)\}\|_{l_p^{\nu}}, \ 1 \le p \le \infty,$ 

where  $\nu = |P_m^d| = 3^d \prod_{j=1}^d m_j$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_m$  the space of all trigonometric polynomials of order at most  $m_j$  in the variable  $x_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ . It is easy to check that

$$V_m(f) = f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{T}_m.$$
(7)

Next, for univariate functions  $f \in L_p(T)$ , we define

$$v_0(f) := V_1(f),$$
  
 $v_k(f) := V_{2^k}(f) - V_{2^{k-1}}(f), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$ 

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , the definition of the mixed operator  $v_k$  for multivariate functions in  $L_p$  is similar to the mixed operator  $V_m$ . The mixed operators  $u_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  are defined in a similar way by replacing  $V_m(f)$  by  $U_m(f)$ .

Note that  $v_k(f)$  and  $u_k(f)$  are a trigonometric polynomial of order at most  $2^{k_j+1} - 1$  in the variable  $x_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, d$ .

To prove the main results (4) and (5), we need the following two lemmas. Put  $|k|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |k_i|$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $\Lambda_a := \{\xi : \xi = (a,k), k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+\}, D_{\xi} := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_+ : (a,k) = \xi\}$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k \in D_{\xi}} 2^{|k|_1} \asymp 2^{\xi/r} \xi^s, \ \forall \ \xi \in \Lambda_a.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \le \infty$  and r > 0. Then for  $\theta < \infty$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{B^a_{p,\theta}} \asymp \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{2^{(a,k)} \|u_k(f)\|_p\right\}^\theta\right)^{1/\theta},$$

and if in addition r > 1/p,

$$||f||_{B^a_{p,\theta}} \asymp \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left\{ 2^{(a,k)} ||v_k(f)||_p \right\}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta},$$

with the right side changed to a supremum for  $\theta = \infty$ .

Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 have been proved in [2].

## Lemma 2.3.

(i) Let  $G_{\xi} := \{k \in \mathbb{U}^d_+ : (a,k) \leq \xi\}, \xi > 0$ . Then there exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_2 2^{\xi/r} \xi^s \le \sum_{k \in G_{\xi}} 2^{|k|_1} \le C_1 2^{\xi/r} \xi^s.$$
(8)

(ii) For a fixed number  $\lambda > r \log_2 C_1/C_2$ , let  $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be any positive sequence of numbers such that  $\xi_{j+1} - \xi_j \ge \lambda$ ,  $j \ge 1$ . Then we have that

$$\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \setminus G_{\xi_j}} 2^{|k|_1} \asymp 2^{\xi_j/r} \xi_j^s.$$

$$\tag{9}$$

*Proof.* (i) This assertion follows from Lemma 2.1.

(ii) From (8), we have

$$\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \setminus G_{\xi_j}} 2^{|k|_1} = \sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}}} 2^{|k|_1} - \sum_{k \in G_{\xi_j}} 2^{|k|_1}$$
$$\geq C_2 2^{\xi_{j+1}/r} \xi_{j+1}^s - C_1 2^{\xi_j/r} \xi_j^s$$
$$\geq C_2 2^{(\xi_j + \lambda)/r} (\xi_j + \lambda)^s - C_1 2^{\xi_j/r} \xi_j^s$$
$$\geq (C_2 2^{\lambda/r} - C_1) 2^{\xi_j/r} \xi_j^s.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \setminus G_{\xi_j}} 2^{|k|_1} \asymp 2^{\xi_j/r} \xi_j^s.$$

Let  $\varphi_{k,s} := V_{m^k}(\cdot - sh^k)$ , and

$$Q_k := \{ s \in \mathbb{Z}^d : 0 \le s_j < 3.2^{k_j}, \ j = 1, \dots, d \}$$

where  $m^k := (2^{k_1}, \dots, 2^{k_d}), \ h^k := (2\pi/3)(2^{-k_1}, \dots, 2^{-k_d}).$ 

From Lemma 2.2 and (6)-(7) we derive the following trigonometric sampling representation in spaces  $B_{p,\theta}^a$ . Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \le \infty$ , and r > 0. Then every  $f \in B_{p,\theta}^a$  can be represented as the series

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} \sum_{s \in Q_{k}} f_{k,s} \varphi_{k,s}$$
(10)

for which there holds the quasi-norm equivalence

$$\|f\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}} \asymp \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\{ 2^{(a,k)-|k|_{1}/p} \| \{f_{k,s}\} \|_{l^{|Q_{k}|}_{p}} \right\}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta}$$
(11)

for  $\theta < \infty$ , with the sum replaced by a supremum for  $\theta = \infty$ . Based on the representation (10)-(11), we can extend the definition of Besov space of mixed smoothness a for  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and

 $0 < p, \ \theta \leq \infty$ , as the space of all functions f on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  which can be represented by the series (10) for which the discrete quasi-norm in the right-hand side of (11) is finite. We also use the notation  $B_{p,\theta} = B^a_{p,\theta}$  for  $a = (0, \ldots, 0)$ .

Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . From these quasi-norm equivalences, it is easy to verify the inequalities

$$\|f\|_{B_{q,\max\{q,2\}}} \le \|f\|_q \le \|f\|_{B_{q,\min\{q,2\}}}.$$
(12)

Let  $0 , we define <math>l_p^m$  as the quasi-normed space of all real number sequences  $x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^m$  equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|\{x_k\}\|_{l_p^m} = \|x\|_{l_p^m} := \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

with the change to max norm when  $p = \infty$ .

Let  $0 < p, \theta \le \infty$  and  $N = \{N_k\}_{k \in Q}$  be a sequence of natural numbers, with Q a finite set of indices. Denote by  $b_{p,\theta}^N$  a the space of all such sequences  $x = \{x^k\}_{k \in Q} = \{\{x_j^k\}_{j=1}^{N_k}\}_{k \in Q}$ for which the mixed quasi-norm  $\|\{\{x_j^k\}\}\|_{b_{p,\theta}^N} = \|x\|_{b_{p,\theta}^N}$  is finite. Here, the mixed quasi-norm  $\|.\|_{b_{p,\theta}^N}$  is defined as

$$\|x\|_{b^N_{p,\theta}} := \left(\sum_{k \in Q} \|x^k\|^{\theta}_{l^{N_k}_p}\right)^{1/\theta}$$

for finite  $\theta$ , the sum is replaced by a supremum when  $\theta = \infty$ . Let  $S_{p,\theta}^N$  be the unit ball in  $b_{p,\theta}^N$ .

# 3. ASYMPTOTIC ORDER FOR ENTROPY NUMBERS

In this section, we give the asymptotic order of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ , non-linear widths  $\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  and  $e_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ ,  $r_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ .

By Definition 1 and Definition 2, we have inequalities

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ge \epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q), \ r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ge \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q).$$
(13)

Moreover, from the definitions we can see that  $\dim_p(B) \leq \log |B|$ , and consequently, the pseudo-dimension of a set B of cardinality  $\leq 2^n$  is not greater than n, and therefore, there hold the inequalities

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ge r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q), \ \epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ge \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q).$$
(14)

Hence, the upper bounds of  $r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$ ,  $\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$  and  $\rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$  in (4) and (5) are implied from the upper bound of  $e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$ .

Let  $\Phi = {\varphi_k}_{k \in Q}$  a family of elements in  $L_q$ . Denote by  $M_n(\Phi)$  the nonlinear manifold of all linear combinations of the form  $\varphi = \sum_{k \in K} a_k \varphi_k$ , where K is a subset of Q having cardinality n. The n-term  $L_q$ -approximation of an element  $f \in L_q$  with regard to the family  $\Phi$  is called the  $L_q$ -approximation of f by elements from  $M_n(\Phi)$ . To establish the upper bound for the asymptotic orders of  $\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$ , we use the non-linear *n*-term  $L_q$ -approximation with respect to the family

$$V := \{\varphi_{k,s}\}_{s \in Q_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d}$$

Note that the family V is formed from the integer translates of the mixed dyadic scales of the tensor product multivariate de la Vallée Poussin kernel.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 < p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and r > 1/p. Then we have that

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \le e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ll (n/\log^s n)^{-r} (\log n)^{s(1/2-1/\theta)}.$$
 (15)

In addition, we can explicitly construct a finite subset  $V^*$  of V, a subset B in  $M_n(V^*)$  having  $|B| \leq 2^n$ , and a mapping  $S_n^B : U_{p,\theta}^a \to B$  of the form (2) such that

$$E(U_{p,\theta}^{a}, B, L_{q}) \leq \sup_{f \in U_{p,\theta}^{a}} \|f - S_{n}^{B}(f)\|_{q} \ll (n/\log^{s} n)^{-r} (\log n)^{s(1/2 - 1/\theta)}.$$

Theorem 3.1 is derived from the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $0 < p, q, \theta \leq \infty, 0 < \tau \leq \theta$  and r > 1/p. Then, we have that

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, \ B_{q,\tau}) \le e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, \ B_{q,\tau}) \ll E_{\theta,\tau}(n), \tag{16}$$

where  $E_{\theta,\tau}(n) = (n/\log^s n)^{-r} (\log n)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)}$ .

In addition, we can explicitly construct a finite subset  $V^*$  in V, a subset B in  $M_n(V^*)$ having  $|B| \leq 2^n$ , and a mapping  $S_n^B : U_{p,\theta}^a \to B$  of the form (2) such that

$$E(U_{p,\theta}^{a}, B, B_{q,\tau}) \leq \sup_{f \in U_{p,\theta}^{a}} \|f - S_{n}^{B}(f)\|_{B_{q,\tau}} \ll E_{\theta,\tau}(n).$$
(17)

Proof. Obviously, (16) follows from (17), and consequently, it is enough to prove (17). Take  $k = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_{s+1}, k_{s+2}, ..., k_d) \in \mathbb{U}_+^d$ . Denote by  $\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=s+2}^d a_i k_i : k_i \in \mathbb{U}_+, i = s+2, ..., d\}$ . We fix a subsequence  $\Lambda' := \{\nu_{2,j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\nu_{2,j} - \nu_{2,j-1} > \max\{a_d, \lambda\}$  (number  $\lambda$  is defined in Lemma 2.3).

Let 
$$G_{\nu_{2,j}} := \{(k_{s+2}, ..., k_d) : \sum_{i=s+2}^d a_i k_i \le \nu_{2,j}\}, \ D'_{\nu_{2,j}} = G_{\nu_{2,j}} \setminus G_{\nu_{2,j-1}}, \ j \ge 2$$
  
and  $D'_{\nu_{2,j}} := G_{\nu_{2,1}}.$ 

By (10), (11) we can verify that every  $f \in B^a_{p,\theta}$  is represented as the series

$$f = \sum_{\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2)} f_{\nu},$$
(18)

converging in the norm of  $B_{q,\tau}$ , any  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \Lambda$  and

$$f_{\nu} = \sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} \sum_{s \in Q_k} f_{k,s} \varphi_{k,s},\tag{19}$$

where  $D_{\nu} := D_{\nu}'' \cap D_{\nu_{2,j}}', D_{\nu}'' := \{(k_1, k_2, ..., k_{s+1}) : k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{s+1} = \nu_1\}$ . Moreover, there hold the quasi-norm equivalences

$$\|f_{\nu}\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}} \approx 2^{r\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} \|\{\{2^{-|k|_{1}/p}f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{p,\theta}},$$
  
$$\|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{q,\tau}} \approx \|\{\{2^{-|k|_{1}/q}f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{q,\tau}}, \ N^{\nu} := \{N_{k}\}_{k\in D_{\nu}} = \{|Q_{k}|\}_{k\in D_{\nu}}.$$
  
(20)

The representation (18) - (19) with the quasi-norm equivalences (20) plays a basic role in the proof of the theorem. Notice that in the case of the uniform mixed smoothness it required a much simpler representation [3].

Obviously,  $D_{\nu} \cap D_{\nu'} = \emptyset$  if  $\nu \neq \nu'$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^d_+ = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \Lambda} D_{\nu}$ . We have

$$|D'_{\nu}| \asymp \nu_2^{d-s-2}, \ |D''_{\nu}| \asymp \nu_1^s$$

and consequently,

$$|D_{\nu}| = |D_{\nu}'||D_{\nu}''| \asymp \nu_1^{s} \nu_2^{d-s-2}.$$

Let  $r' = a_{s+2} = \ldots = a_{s+s'+2} < a_{s+s'+3} \le \ldots \le a_d$ . From (9) we get

$$m_{\nu} = 3^d \sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} 2^{|k|_1} \asymp \nu_1^s 2^{\nu_1} 2^{\nu_2/r'} \nu_2^{s'}, \tag{21}$$

where  $m_{\nu} := \sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} |Q_k|$ . Given a positive integer n, we take a positive integer  $\xi = \xi(n)$  satisfying the condition

$$C2^{\xi}\xi^{s} \le n \asymp 2^{\xi}\xi^{s},\tag{22}$$

where C is an absolute constant whose value will be chosen below.

Notice that there hold the inequality  $||f||_{B_{q,\tau}} \leq ||f||_{B_{\infty,\tau}}$  and the inclusion  $U^a_{p,\theta} \subset U^a_{p,\max\{p,\theta\}}$ . Therefore, it suffices to treat the case  $p \leq \theta$  and  $q = \infty$ . We choose fixed numbers  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, p(r-1/p)\}, \max\{r, (1+\delta)r'/pr\} < \alpha < r', (1+\delta)/pr < \varepsilon < \alpha/r'$ . Let the sequence  $\{n_{\nu}\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$  be given by

$$n_{\nu} := \begin{cases} \lfloor m_{\nu} 2^{(1-\delta)(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}/\alpha)} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha < \xi, \\ \lfloor m_{\nu} 2^{(1+\delta)(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}/\alpha)} \rfloor & \text{if } \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha \geq \xi. \end{cases}$$
(23)

It is easy to check that  $n_{\nu} > 0$  for  $\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi(1+\delta)/(1+\delta-\varepsilon) - \nu_0$ , where  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(\delta, d)$  is a positive constant. Since  $(1+\delta)/(1+\delta-\varepsilon) > r/(r-1/p)$ , we can fix a number  $\gamma$  so that  $r/(r-1/p) < \gamma < (1+\delta)/(1+\delta-\varepsilon)$ . Put  $\xi^* = \lfloor \gamma \xi \rfloor$ . Then for  $\xi$  large enough, we have  $n_{\nu} > 0$ ,  $\forall \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi^*$ .

Let  $0 \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi$ . Then  $n_{\nu} \geq m_{\nu}$ . Take a number  $\rho$  such that  $0 < \rho \leq \min\{1, p, \theta\}$ and  $N_k = 2^{|k|_1} \leq 2^{\nu_1} 2^{\nu_2/r'} := N_0, \ \forall k \in D_{\nu}$ . From the inequalities

$$\|\cdot\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{\rho,\rho}} \le |D_{\nu}|^{1/\rho - 1/\theta} N_0^{1/\rho - 1/p} \|\cdot\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{p,\theta}}$$

and

$$\|\cdot\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{\infty,\tau}} \le |D_{\nu}|^{1/\tau} \|\cdot\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{\infty,\infty}},$$

it follows that for any subset  $M_{\nu} \subset b_{\infty,\tau}^{N^{\nu}}$  and mapping  $G_{\nu}: b_{p,\theta}^{N^{\nu}} \to M_{\nu}$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in S_{p,\theta}^{N^{\nu}}} \|x - G_{\nu}(x)\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^{N^{\nu}}} \le |D_{\nu}|^{1/\rho - 1/\theta + 1/\tau} N_0^{1/\rho - 1/p} \sup_{x \in S_{\rho,\rho}^{N^{\nu}}} \|x - G_{\nu}(x)\|_{b_{\infty,\infty}^{N^{\nu}}}.$$

Considering  $S^{N^{\nu}}_{\rho,\rho}$  and  $b^{N^{\nu}}_{\infty,\infty}$  as  $B^{m_{\nu}}_{\rho}$  and  $l^{m_{\nu}}_{\infty}$  and applying the result proved in [3, Lemma 1], then for any positive integer n we can explicitly construct a subset M of  $l^m_{\infty}$  for  $n \ge m$  having cardinality at most  $2^n$  and a mapping  $S: l^m_{\rho} \to M$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in B_p^m} \|x - S(x)\|_{l_{\infty}^m} \le C(p)m^{-1/\rho}2^{-n/m}.$$

Hence, we obtain there exists a set  $M_{\nu} \subset b_{\infty,\tau}^{N^{\nu}}$  of cardinality at most  $2^{n_{\nu}}$  and a mapping  $G_{\nu}: b_{p,\theta}^{N^{\nu}} \to M_{\nu}$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in S_{p,\theta}^{N^{\nu}}} \|x - G_{\nu}(x)\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^{N^{\nu}}} \le |D_{\nu}|^{1/\rho - 1/\theta + 1/\tau} N_0^{1/\rho - 1/p} m_{\nu}^{-1/\rho} 2^{-n_{\nu}/m_{\nu}}.$$

We define a subset  $B_{\nu}$  of  $B_{\infty,\tau}$  and a mapping  $S_{\nu}: B^a_{p,\theta} \to B_{\nu}$  as follows. From (11),

$$\|f\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \left\{ 2^{(a,k)-|k|_{1}/p} \| \{f_{k,s}\} \|_{l^{|Q_{k}|}_{p}} \right\}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta},$$
$$\|f_{\nu}\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}} = \left(\sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} \left\{ 2^{(a,k)-|k|_{1}/p} \| \{f_{k,s}\} \|_{l^{|Q_{k}|}_{p}} \right\}^{\theta} \right)^{1/\theta},$$

we obtain  $||f_{\nu}||_{B^a_{p,\theta}} \leq ||f||_{B^a_{p,\theta}}$ . Hence, if  $f \in B^a_{p,\theta}$  then  $f_{\nu} \in B^a_{p,\theta}$ , and consequently  $\{\{f_{k,s}\}_{s\in Q_k}\}_{k\in D_{\nu}}$  belongs to  $b^{N^{\nu}}_{p,\theta}$ . We put

$$S_{\nu}(f) = \sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} \sum_{s \in Q_k} f_{k,s}^* \varphi_{k,s}$$

and  $B_{\nu} = S_{\nu}(M_{\nu})$ , where  $\{\{f_{k,s}^*\}_{s \in Q_k}\}_{k \in D_{\nu}} = G_{\nu}(\{\{f_{k,s}\}_{s \in Q_k}\}_{k \in D_{\nu}})$ . We can see that  $|B_{\nu}| \leq |M_{\nu}| \leq 2^{n_{\nu}}$  and

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\nu} - S_{\nu}(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} &\asymp \|\{\{f_{k,s} - f_{k,s}^{*}\}\}\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^{N\nu}} \\ &\ll |D_{\nu}|^{1/\rho - 1/\theta + 1/\tau} N_{0}^{1/\rho - 1/p} m_{\nu}^{-1/\rho} 2^{-n_{\nu}/m_{\nu}} 2^{-r\nu_{1} - \nu_{2}} N_{0}^{1/p} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll \nu_{1}^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-r\xi} 2^{r(\xi - \nu_{1} - \nu_{2}/\alpha)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)(\xi - \nu_{1} - \nu_{2}/\alpha)}} 2^{(r/\alpha - 1)\nu_{2}} \nu_{2}^{\mu} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-r\xi} 2^{r(\xi - \nu_{1} - \nu_{2}/\alpha)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)(\xi - \nu_{1} - \nu_{2}/\alpha)}} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}}, \end{split}$$

where  $\mu = (d - s - 2)(1/\rho - 1/\theta + 1/\tau) - s'/\rho$ . Therefore

$$\|f_{\nu} - S_{\nu}(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} \ll A(\nu) \|f_{\nu}\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}},$$
(24)

#### NGUYEN MANH CUONG

where  $A(\nu) = \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-r\xi} 2^{r(\xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)(\xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha)}}$ .

Let  $\xi < \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi^*$ . Then  $n_{\nu} < m_{\nu}$ . The following result was proved in [3, Lemma 4]. Let  $0 < p, \ \theta, \ \tau \leq \infty$ . Then for any positive integer  $n < m = \sum_{k \in Q} N_k$ , we can explicitly construct a subset  $M \subset b_{\infty,\tau}^N$  of cardinality at most  $2^n \binom{m}{n}$  and a mapping  $S : b_{p,\theta}^N \to M$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in S_{p,\theta}^N} \|x - S(x)\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^N} \le C(p) n^{-1/p} \|Q\|^{1/\tau + (1/p - 1/\theta)_+}.$$

Therefore, we can construct a subset  $B_{\nu}$  of  $B_{\infty,\tau}$  having cardinality at most  $2^{n_{\nu}} \binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}}$ , as well as a mapping  $S_{\nu} : B^a_{p,\theta} \to B_{\nu}$  such that

$$\|f_{\nu} - S_{\nu}(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} \asymp \|\{\{f_{k,s} - f_{k,s}^*\}\}\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^{N\nu}} \ll n_{\nu}^{-1/p} \|D_{\nu}\|^{1/\tau + (1/p - 1/\theta)_{+}} \|\{\{f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b_{p,\theta}^{N\nu}}.$$
 (25)

We have  $|k|_1 \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2/r'$ , hence

$$\|f_{\nu}\|_{B^{a}_{p,\theta}} \asymp 2^{r\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} \|\{\{2^{-|k|_{1}/p}f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{p,\theta}} \ge 2^{r\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}2^{-\nu_{1}/p}2^{-\nu_{2}/pr'}\|\{\{f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b^{N^{\nu}}_{p,\theta}},$$

and consequently  $\|\{\{f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b_{p,\theta}^{N\nu}} \ll 2^{-r\nu_1-\nu_2}2^{\nu_1/p}2^{\nu_2/pr'}\|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^a}$ . We continue the estimation (25),

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\nu} - S_{\nu}(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} &\approx \|\{\{f_{k,s} - f_{k,s}^{*}\}\}\|_{b_{\infty,\tau}^{N\nu}} \\ &\ll n_{\nu}^{-1/p} \|D_{\nu}\|^{1/\tau + (1/p - 1/\theta)_{+}} \|\{\{f_{k,s}\}\}\|_{b_{p,\theta}^{N\nu}} \\ &\ll \{\nu_{1}^{s} 2^{\nu_{1}} 2^{\nu_{2}/r'} \nu_{2}^{s'} 2^{(1+\delta)\mu_{1}}\}^{-1/p} (\nu_{1}^{s} \nu_{2}^{d-s-2})^{\mu_{2}} 2^{-r\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}} 2^{\nu_{1}/p} 2^{\nu_{2}/pr'} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \nu_{1}^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{(r - (1+\delta)/p)\mu_{1}} \nu_{2}^{(d-s-2)\mu_{2}-s'/p} 2^{-(1-r/\alpha)\nu_{2}} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \nu_{1}^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{(r - (1+\delta)/p)\mu_{1}} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll C(\nu) \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}}, \end{split}$$

where  $C(\nu) = 2^{-r\xi} \nu_1^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\beta(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)}$ ,  $\beta = r - (1 + \delta)/p > 0$ ,  $\mu_1 = \xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha$ ,  $\mu_2 = 1/\tau + 1/p - 1/\theta$ . It is easy to check that

$$C(\nu) \leq \begin{cases} 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\beta(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)} & \text{if } \nu_1 \leq \xi, \\ 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\beta(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)} & \text{if } \nu_1 > \xi. \end{cases}$$

Finally, let  $\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*$ . From (20) and the Holder inequality, it follows that for any  $\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*$ . Put  $\mu = r - 1/p$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} &\ll 2^{-(r\nu_{1}+\nu_{2})} 2^{\nu_{1}/p} 2^{\nu_{2}/pr'} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\tau}^{a}} \\ &\ll 2^{-(r\nu_{1}+\nu_{2})} 2^{\nu_{1}/p} 2^{\nu_{2}/pr'} |D_{\nu}|^{1/\tau-1/\theta} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll 2^{-\mu\xi^{*}} (\xi^{*})^{s(1/\tau-1/\theta)} (\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi^{*})^{s(1/\tau-1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi^{*})} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau-1/\theta)} (\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi^{*})^{s(1/\tau-1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi^{*})} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}} \\ &\ll E(\nu) \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{p,\theta}^{a}}, \end{split}$$
(26)

where  $E(\nu) = 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)}$ . For a function  $f \in U^a_{p,\theta}$ , we define the mapping S by

$$S(f) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \Lambda} S_{\nu}(f).$$

We obtain

$$f - S(f) = \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha = 0}^{\xi^*} (f - S_{\nu}(f)) + \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} f_{\nu}.$$

Therefore, by (22), (24)–(26) and the inequalities  $\|f_{\nu}\|_{B^a_{p,\theta}} \ll \|f\|_{B^a_{p,\theta}}$  we get the following estimates for any  $f \in U^a_{p,\theta}$ 

$$\begin{split} \|f - S(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} &\leq \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha = 0}^{\xi^*} \|f - S_{\nu}(f)\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} + \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} \|f_{\nu}\|_{B_{\infty,\tau}} \\ &\ll \sum_{0 \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi} A(\nu) + \sum_{\xi < \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi^*} C(\nu) + \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} E(\nu) \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{0 \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi} 2^{r(\xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)(\xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha)}} \\ &+ 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\xi < \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\beta(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &+ 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} 2^{-\mu(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi^*)} \\ &\ll 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \\ &\leq 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha > \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \\ &\leq 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha < \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \\ &\leq 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha < \xi^*} (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \\ &\leq 2^{-r\xi} \xi^{s(1/\tau - 1/\theta)} \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2$$

This means that

$$\sup_{f \in U^a_{p,\theta}} \left\| f - S(f) \right\| \ll E_{\theta,\tau}(n).$$
(27)

Notice that S is a mapping from  $U^a_{p,\theta}$  into  $B := \sum_{\nu_1+\nu_2/\alpha=0}^{\xi^*} B_{\nu}$ . Moreover, by (21), (23) we have

$$\log|B| \leq \sum_{\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha = 0}^{\xi^*} \log|B_{\nu}| \ll \sum_{0 \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi} 2^{\xi} \xi^s 2^{-\delta(\xi - \nu_1 - \nu_2/\alpha)} 2^{-\nu_2(1/\alpha - 1/r')} \nu_2^{s'} + \sum_{\xi < \nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha \leq \xi^*} \left( 2^{-\delta(\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)} 2^{\xi} \xi^s (\nu_1 + \nu_2/\alpha - \xi)^s 2^{-\nu_2(1/\alpha - 1/r')} \nu_2^{s'} + \log\binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}} \right).$$

Stirling's formula gives

$$\log \binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}} \leq n_{\nu} \log \frac{bm_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}} \leq 2^{-\delta(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi)} 2^{\xi} \xi^{s} (\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi)^{s} 2^{-\nu_{2}(1/\alpha-1/r')} \nu_{2}^{s'} (b+(1\delta)(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}/\alpha-\xi)),$$

where b is a constant. Hence,

$$\log|B| \le C' 2^{\xi} \xi^s \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta t} t^s,$$

where C' is an absolute constant. Setting  $C'' := C' \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta t} t^s$ , we obtain  $\log |B| \leq n$ , and consequently  $|B| \leq 2^n$ . Let  $V^* = \bigcup_{\nu} V^*_{\nu}$ , where  $V^*_{\nu} = \{\varphi_{k,s}\}_{s \in Q_k, k \in D_{\nu}}$ . By construction, it follows that  $V^*$  is a finite subset of V and B is a subset of  $M_n(V^*)$ .

Summing up, we have constructed a subset B in  $M_n(V^*)$  having cardinality does not exceed  $2^n$  and a sampling recovery method  $S_n^B := S$  of the form (2) satisfying the inequality (27) and therefore, the upper bound of (16) and (17).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Notice that

$$\|.\|_{q_1} \ll \|.\|_{q_2}, \ q_1 \le q_2.$$
<sup>(28)</sup>

From (28), it is sufficient to prove (15) for q > 2. By (12), we can verify that

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ll e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, B_{q,\min\{q,2\}}).$$

Using this inequality and Theorem 3.2, we get the upper bound of  $e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$ .

The lower bound of  $\rho(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q)$  in obtained from the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $1 < p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and r > 1/p. Then we have

$$\rho(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q) \gg (n/\log^s n)^{-r} (\log n)^{s(1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

Proof. Denote by  $U_{p,\theta}^{a^*}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})$  the unit ball in the space  $B_{p,\theta}^{a^*}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}) \subset L_q(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})$ , where  $a^* := (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{s+1}) = (r, r, \ldots, r) \in \mathbb{R}^{s+1}_+$ . In [3] it was proven that

$$\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^{a^*}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}), B_{q,\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})) \gg n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

Notice that for any function  $f \in L_q(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})$ , the function  $g : \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  which is defined by  $g(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{s+1})$ , belongs to  $L_q(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Moreover, if  $f \in U_{p,\theta}^{a^*}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})$ , then  $g \in U_{p,\theta}^a(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Hence we deduce that

$$\rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^d), B_{q,\tau}(\mathbb{T}^d)) \ge \rho_n(U^{a^*}_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}), B_{q,\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{s+1})).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{T}^d), B_{q,\tau}(\mathbb{T}^d)) \gg (n/\log^s n)^{-r} (\log n)^{s(1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

The proof is complete.

We now can state and prove the main results (4) and (5) as follows.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $1 < p, q < \infty, 0 < \theta \leq \infty$  and r > 1/p. Then

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$

Moreover, we have also the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery following

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and (14), we have

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ge \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \gg n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$

and

$$\rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \le \epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ll n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

Hence

$$\epsilon_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp \rho_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}.$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and (14), we get

$$r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \le e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$

Since Theorem 3.3 and (13), we obtain

$$r_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q) \ge \rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q) \gg n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$

By the last two inequalities, we get

$$e_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp r_n(U^a_{p,\theta}, L_q) \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{s(r+1/2-1/\theta)}$$

### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we extend the results in [3] to multivariate Besov-type classes  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$  and the problems of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  and non-linear widths  $\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ . We obtain the asymptotic order of entropy numbers  $\epsilon_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$  and non-linear widths  $\rho_n(U_{p,\theta}^a, L_q)$ . Moreover, we construct corresponding asymptotically optimal methods of nonlinear approximations. In result we obtain the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery of functions in  $U_{p,\theta}^a$  by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension. In the future we shall consider the above problems in the space  $B_{p,\theta}^A$ , which is the intersection of spaces  $B_{p,\theta}^a$ , where A is a finite subset in  $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under Grant No. 102.01-2017.05.

#### NGUYEN MANH CUONG

### REFERENCES

- [1] Nguyen Manh Cuong and Mai Xuan Thao, "Adaptive sampling recovery of functions with bounded modulus of smoothness," *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, vol. 42, pp. 113–127, 2017.
- [2] Dinh Dũng, "Continous algorithms in n term approximation and non-linear n-widths," J. Approx. Theory, vol. 102, pp. 217–242, 2000.
- [3] Dinh Dũng, "Non-linear approximations using sets of finite cardinality or finite pseudodimension," J Complex., vol. 17, pp. 467-492, 2001.
- [4] Dinh Dũng, "Non-linear sampling recovery based on quasi-interpolant wavelet representations," Adv. Comput. Math., vol. 30, pp. 375-401, 2009.
- [5] Dinh Dũng, "Optimal adaptive sampling recovery," Adv. Comput. Math., vol. 31, pp. 1–41, 2011.
- [6] Dinh Dũng, "Erratum to: Optimal adaptive sampling recovery," Adv. Comput. Math., vol. 36, pp. 605–606, 2012.
- [7] Dinh Dũng, V. N. Temlyakov and T. Ullrich, "Hyperbolic cross approximation," Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona, Birkhauser, 2018.
- [8] D. Haussler, "Decision theoretic generalization of the PAC model for neural net and other learning applications," *Inform. Comput.*, vol. 100, pp.78–150, 1982.
- [9] A. N. Kolmogorov and V. M. Tikhomirov, "ε-entropy and ε-capacity of sets in function space," Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, vol. 14, pp. 3-86, 1959; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., vol. 17, no. 2, 1961.
- [10] S.Nikol'skii, Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Embedding Theorems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [11] D. Pollard, "NSF-CBMS Regional Conference Series in Probability and Statistics", Empirical Processes: Theory and Applications, vol. 2, 1990.
- [12] J. Ratsaby, V. Maiorov, "The degree of approximation of sets in Euclidean space using sets with bounded Vapnik-Chervonekis dimension," *Discrete Applied Math.*, vol. 86, pp. 81–93, 1998.
- [13] J. Ratsaby, V. Maiorov, "On the degree of approximation by manifolds of finite pseudodimension," Constr. Approx., vol. 15, pp. 291–300, 1999.
- [14] V. N. Vapnik and A. Ya. Chervonekis, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of means to their expectations," *Theory Prob. Appl.*, vol. 26, pp. 264-280, 1981.

Received on January 21, 2019 Revised on April 07, 2019