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#### Abstract

For multivariate Besov-type classes $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$, we obtain the asumptotic order of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and nonlinear widths $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ defined via pseudo-dimension. We obtain also the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery in $L_{q}$-norm of functions in $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in nonlinear approximations of multivariate functions having a given mixed smoothness and their optimality in terms of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$ and non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$ defined via pseudo-dimension. The problem of $\epsilon_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$ has a long history and there have been many papers devoted to it. We refer the reader to the book [7] for a survey and bibliography therein. The non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$ has been introduced in $[12,13]$ and investigated there for classical Sobolev classes of functions. In [3], Dinh Dũng has investigated optimal non-linear approximations by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension, of multivariate periodic functions having uniform Besov mixed smoothness $r>0$. In the present paper, we extend these results to multivariate Besov-type classes $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ and the problems of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$. Moreover, generalizing the results in $[1,4,5,6]$ on adaptive sampling recovery, we obtain the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery of functions in $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension.

We begin with a setting of the problems. Let $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ be the $d$-dimensional torus which is defined as the cross product of $d$ copies of the interval $[0,2 \pi]$ with the identification of the end points. For $0<q \leq \infty$, let $L_{q}:=L_{q}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ be the quasi-normed space of all functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ with the integral quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{q}$ for $0<q<\infty$, and the normed space $C\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ with the max-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ for $q=\infty$. Let $B$ and $W$ be subsets
in $L_{q}$. We approximate the elements in $W$ by $B$ via the deviation of $W$ from $B$

$$
E\left(W, B, L_{q}\right):=\sup _{f \in W} \inf _{\varphi \in B}\|f-\varphi\|_{q}
$$

Definition 1. Given a family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets in $L_{q}$, we consider the best approximation by $B \in \mathcal{B}$ in terms of the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(W, \mathcal{B}, L_{q}\right):=\inf _{B \in \mathcal{B}} E\left(W, B, L_{q}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{B}$ in (1) is the family of all subsets $B$ of $L_{q}$ which satisfy $|B| \leq 2^{n}$, then $d\left(W, \mathcal{B}, L_{q}\right)$ is the well known entropy number which is denoted by $\epsilon_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$. If $\mathcal{B}$ in (1) is the family of all subsets $B$ of $L_{q}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{p}(B) \leq n$, then $d\left(W, \mathcal{B}, L_{q}\right)$ is denoted by $\rho_{n}\left(W, L_{q}\right)$. Here, $|B|$ denotes the cardinality of the finite set $B$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{p}(B)$ denotes the pseudo-dimension of set $B$.

The pseudo-dimension of a set $B$ of real-valued functions on a set $\Omega$, is defined as the largest integer $n$ such that there exist points $a^{1}, a^{2}, \ldots, a^{n}$ in $\Omega$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that the cardinality of the set

$$
\left\{\operatorname{sgn}(y): y=\left(f\left(a^{1}\right)+b_{1}, f\left(a^{2}\right)+b_{2}, \ldots, f\left(a^{n}\right)+b_{n}\right), f \in B\right\}
$$

is $2^{n}$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(t)=1$ for $t>0, \operatorname{sgn}(t)=-1$ for $t \leq 0$, and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(x)=\left(\operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{1}\right), \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

We are also interested in the problem of adaptive sampling recovery by functions from $W$, of periodic functions in $W$. The error of sampling recovery is measured in the quasi-norm of $L_{q}$. We define a sampling recovery method with free choice of sample points and recovering function from $B$ as follows. For each $f \in W$ we choose $n$ of sample points $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$, and a function $g=S_{n}^{B}(f) \in B$ to recover $f$ based on the information of sampled values $f\left(x^{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x^{n}\right)$. Then $S_{n}^{B}$ is an adaptive recovering method which can be defined as follows.

Denote by $I^{n}$ the set of subsets $\xi$ in $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ of cardinality at most $n$. Let $V^{n}$ be the set whose elements are collections of real numbers $a_{\xi}=\{a(x)\}_{x \in \xi}, \xi \in I^{n}, a(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $I_{n}$ be a mapping from $W$ into $I^{n}$ and $P$ a mapping from $V^{n}$ into $B$. Then the pair $\left(I_{n}, P\right)$ generates the mapping $S_{n}^{B}$ from $W$ into $B$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{B}(f):=P\left(\{f(x)\}_{x \in I_{n}(f)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to choose a sampling recovery method $S_{n}^{B}$ so that the error of this recovery $\left\|f-S_{n}^{B}(f)\right\|_{q}$ is as small as possible. Clearly, such an efficient choice should be adaptive to $f$.

Definition 2. Given a family $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets in $L_{q}$, then the error of optimal sampling recovery methods $S_{n}^{B}$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(W, \mathcal{B})_{q}:=\inf _{B \in \mathcal{B}} \inf _{S_{n}^{B}} \sup _{f \in W}\left\|f-S_{n}^{B}(f)\right\|_{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $R_{n}(W, \mathcal{B})_{q}$ by $e_{n}(W)_{q}$ if $\mathcal{B}$ in (3) is the family of all subsets $B$ in $L_{q}$ such that $|B| \leq 2^{n}$, and by $r_{n}(W)_{q}$ if $\mathcal{B}(3)$ is the family of all subsets $B$ in $L_{q}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}_{p}(B) \leq n$.

The quantities $e_{n}(W)_{q}$ and $r_{n}(W)_{q}$ which are similar to $\epsilon_{n}(W)_{q}$ and $\rho_{n}(W)_{q}$, respectively, are related to the problem of optimal adaptive storage of data of a signal. The difference between them is that the quantities $\epsilon_{n}(W)_{q}$ and $\rho_{n}(W)_{q}$ are based on any information, while the quantities $e_{n}(W)_{q}$ and $r_{n}(W)_{q}$ are based on standard information, i.e., the sampling values of a signal.

The concept of $\varepsilon$-entropy introduced by Kolmogorov and Tikhomirov [9], comes from Information Theory. It expresses the necessary number of binary signs for approximate recovery of a signal from a certain set with accuracy $\varepsilon$.

The concept of pseudo-dimension of a real-valued functions set was introduced by Pollard [11] and later Haussler [8] as an extention of the Vapnik Chervonekis [14] dimension of an indicator function set. The pseudo-dimension and Vapnik Chervonekis dimension measure the capacity of a set of functions. They play an important role in theory of pattern recognition and regression estimation, empirical processes and Computational Learning Theory (see also $[3,12,13]$ for details).

We define Besov-type space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}=B_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. For univariate functions $f$ on $\mathbb{T}$ the $l$ th difference operator $\Delta_{h}^{l}$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_{h}^{l}(f, x):=\sum_{j=0}^{l}(-1)^{l-j}\binom{l}{j} f(x+j h) .
$$

For $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. If $e$ is any subset of $[d]$, for multivariate functions $f$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ the mixed $(r, e)$ th difference operator $\Delta_{h}^{l, e}$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_{h}^{l, e}:=\prod_{i \in e} \Delta_{h_{i}}^{l}, \Delta_{h}^{l, \varnothing}=I
$$

where the univariate operator $\Delta_{h_{i}}^{l}$ is applied to the univariate function $f$ by considering $f$ as a function of variable $x_{i}$ with the other variables held fixed.

Let

$$
\omega_{l}^{e}(f, t)_{p}:=\sup _{\left|h_{i}\right|<t_{i}, i \in e}\left\|\Delta_{h}^{l, e} f\right\|_{p}, t \in \mathbb{T}^{d},
$$

be the mixed $(r, e)$ th modulus of smoothness of $f$. In particular, $\omega_{l}^{\varnothing}(f, t)_{p}=\|f\|_{p}$.
Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty, a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$. We introduce the quasi-seminorm $|f|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a, e}}$ for a set $e \subset\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and a function $f \in L_{p}$ by

$$
|f|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a, e}}:= \begin{cases}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}\left\{\prod_{i \in e} t_{i}^{-a_{i}} \omega_{l}^{e}(f, t)_{p}\right\}^{\theta} \prod_{i \in e} t_{i}^{-1} d t\right)^{1 / \theta}, & \theta<\infty \\ \sup _{t \in \mathbb{T}^{d}}\left\{\prod_{i \in e} t_{i}^{-a_{i}} \omega_{l}^{e}(f, t)_{p}\right\}, & \theta=\infty\end{cases}
$$

in particular, $|f|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a, \varnothing}}=\|f\|_{p}$, where $l$ is a fixed integer such that $l>\max _{1 \leq i \leq d} a_{i}$. The Besov-type space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}=B_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ is defined as the set of all functions $f \in L_{p}$ such that the Besov-type
quasi-norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}:=\sum_{e \subset[d]}|f|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a, e}}
$$

is finite.
It is well known that different admissible values of $l$ define equivalent Besov-type quasinorm. Denote by $U_{p, \theta}^{a}=U_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ the unit ball in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$, i. e.,

$$
U_{p, \theta}^{a}:=\left\{f \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}:\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

We denote by $A_{n}(f) \ll B_{n}(f)$ if $A_{n}(f) \leq C . B_{n}(f)$, where $C$ is a constant independent of $n$ and $f \in W ; \quad A_{n}(f) \asymp B_{n}(f)$ if $A_{n}(f) \ll B_{n}(f)$ and $B_{n}(f) \ll A_{n}(f)$.

Through this paper we assume that the mixed smoothness $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ of the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ is fixed and such that

$$
0<r=a_{1}=a_{2}=\ldots=a_{s}=a_{s+1}<a_{s+2} \leq \ldots \leq a_{d}, 0 \leq s \leq d-1 .
$$

Let us briefly formulate the main results of the present paper. Let $1<p, q<\infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $r>1 / p$. We establish the asymptotic orders

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which exends the results in [3] for the case of uniformed mixed smoothness $a$, i. e., for the case $s=d-1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (4) and (5) we develop the method and technique in [3] with overcoming certain difficulties. The proof of the upper bounds, in particular, is based on a trigonometric sampling representations in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ with a discrete equivalent quasi-norm, and a special decomposition of functions $f \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ into a series corresponding to the non-uniformed mixed smoothness $a$ (see (18) and (19)).

Let us give a brief outline of the present paper. In Section 2, we introduce a notion of Besov-type spaces $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ of functions having a mixed smoothness $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ and describe a trigonometric sampling representations in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ with a discrete equivalent quasinorm. In Section 3, we prove the asymptotic orders (4) and (5) and construct corresponding asymptotically optimal methods of nonlinear approximations.

## 2. TRIGONOMETRIC SAMPLING REPRESENTATIONS IN BESOV SPACES

In this section, we describe a trigonometric sampling representations in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ with a discrete equivalent quasi-norm.

As usual, $\widehat{f}(k)$ denotes the $k$ th Fourier coefficient of $f \in L_{p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ and $P_{k}:=\left\{s \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}:\left\lfloor 2^{k_{j}-1}\right\rfloor \leq\left|s_{j}\right|<2^{k_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, d\right\}$, where $\lfloor a\rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We define the operator $\delta_{k}$ as

$$
\delta_{k}(f):=\sum_{s \in P_{k}} \widehat{f}(s) e^{i(s,)} .
$$

The well known Littlewood-Paley theorem (see [10]) states that for $1<p<\infty$ there holds the norm equivalence

$$
\|f\|_{p} \asymp\left\|\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}}\left|\delta_{k}(f)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{p} .
$$

We next recall some known equivalences of quasi-norms (see [2]). If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$, $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, denote $(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{i} y_{i}$. For $1<p<\infty$ and $\theta<\infty$ we have that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \asymp\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left\{2^{(a, k)}\left\|\delta_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}
$$

with the right side changed to a supremum for $\theta=\infty$.
For a positive integer $m$, the de la Vallée Poussin kernel $V_{m}$ of order $m$ is defined as

$$
V_{m}(t):=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=m}^{2 m-1} D_{k}(t)=\frac{\sin (m t / 2) \sin (3 m t / 2)}{m \sin ^{2}(t / 2)}
$$

where

$$
D_{m}(t):=\sum_{|k| \leq m} e^{i k t}
$$

is the univariate Dirichlet kernel of order $m$. For completeness we put $V_{0}=1$.
For univariate functions $f \in L_{p}(\mathbb{T})$, we define the function $U_{m}(f)$ as

$$
U_{m}(f):=f * V_{m}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(t) V_{m}(\cdot-t) d t
$$

and the function $V_{m}(f)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}(f):=\frac{1}{3 m} \sum_{k \in P_{m}} f(h k) V_{m}(\cdot-h k), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=2 \pi / 3 m$ and $P_{m}:=\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: 0 \leq k<3 m\}$. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, the mixed operator $V_{m}$ is defined for multivariate functions $f \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ by

$$
V_{m}(f):=\prod_{j=1}^{d} V_{m_{j}}(f)
$$

where the univariate operator $V_{m_{j}}$ is applied to the function $f$ by considering $f$ as a function of variable $x_{j}$ with the other variables held fixed. Notice that $V_{m}(f)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most $2 m_{j}-1$ in the variable $x_{j}$, and

$$
V_{m}(f, h k)=f(h k), \quad k \in P_{m}^{d},
$$

where $h=(2 \pi / 3)\left(m_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, m_{d}^{-1}\right), P_{m}^{d}:=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}: 0 \leq k_{j}<3 m_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d\right\}$. We get

$$
\left\|V_{m}(f)\right\|_{p} \asymp \prod_{j=1}^{d} m_{j}^{-1 / p}\|\{f(h k)\}\|_{l_{p}^{\nu}}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty
$$

where $\nu=\left|P_{m}^{d}\right|=3^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} m_{j}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{m}$ the space of all trigonometric polynomials of order at most $m_{j}$ in the variable $x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, d$. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}(f)=f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for univariate functions $f \in L_{p}(T)$, we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{0}(f):=V_{1}(f) \\
v_{k}(f):=V_{2^{k}}(f)-V_{2^{k-1}}(f), \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, the definition of the mixed operator $v_{k}$ for multivariate functions in $L_{p}$ is similar to the mixed operator $V_{m}$. The mixed operators $u_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ are defined in a similar way by replacing $V_{m}(f)$ by $U_{m}(f)$.

Note that $v_{k}(f)$ and $u_{k}(f)$ are a trigonometric polynomial of order at most $2^{k_{j}+1}-1$ in the variable $x_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, d$.

To prove the main results (4) and (5), we need the following two lemmas. Put $|k|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|k_{i}\right|$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\Lambda_{a}:=\left\{\xi: \xi=(a, k), k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}\right\}, D_{\xi}:=\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}:(a, k)=\xi\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{k \in D_{\xi}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \asymp 2^{\xi / r} \xi^{s}, \forall \xi \in \Lambda_{a}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $r>0$. Then for $\theta<\infty$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \asymp\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left\{2^{(a, k)}\left\|u_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}
$$

and if in addition $r>1 / p$,

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \asymp\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left\{2^{(a, k)}\left\|v_{k}(f)\right\|_{p}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}
$$

with the right side changed to a supremum for $\theta=\infty$.
Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 have been proved in [2].

## Lemma 2.3.

(i) Let $G_{\xi}:=\left\{k \in \mathbb{U}_{+}^{d}:(a, k) \leq \xi\right\}, \xi>0$. Then there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} 2^{\xi / r} \xi^{s} \leq \sum_{k \in G_{\xi}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \leq C_{1} 2^{\xi / r} \xi^{s} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For a fixed number $\lambda>r \log _{2} C_{1} / C_{2}$, let $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be any positive sequence of numbers such that $\xi_{j+1}-\xi_{j} \geq \lambda, j \geq 1$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \backslash G_{\xi_{j}}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \asymp 2^{\xi_{j} / r} \xi_{j}^{s} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) This assertion follows from Lemma 2.1.
(ii) From (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \backslash G_{\xi_{j}}} 2^{|k|_{1}} & =\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}}} 2^{|k|_{1}}-\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j}}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \\
& \geq C_{2} 2^{\xi_{j+1} / r} \xi_{j+1}^{s}-C_{1} 2^{\xi_{j} / r} \xi_{j}^{s} \\
& \geq C_{2} 2^{\left(\xi_{j}+\lambda\right) / r}\left(\xi_{j}+\lambda\right)^{s}-C_{1} 2^{\xi_{j} / r} \xi_{j}^{s} \\
& \geq\left(C_{2} 2^{\lambda / r}-C_{1}\right) 2^{\xi_{j} / r} \xi_{j}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{k \in G_{\xi_{j+1}} \backslash G_{\xi_{j}}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \asymp 2^{\xi_{j} / r} \xi_{j}^{s}
$$

Let $\varphi_{k, s}:=V_{m^{k}}\left(\cdot-s h^{k}\right)$, and

$$
Q_{k}:=\left\{s \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}: 0 \leq s_{j}<3.2^{k_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, d\right\}
$$

where $m^{k}:=\left(2^{k_{1}}, \ldots, 2^{k_{d}}\right), h^{k}:=(2 \pi / 3)\left(2^{-k_{1}}, \ldots, 2^{-k_{d}}\right)$.
From Lemma 2.2 and (6)-(7) we derive the following trigonometric sampling representation in spaces $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$, and $r>0$. Then every $f \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ can be represented as the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} \sum_{s \in Q_{k}} f_{k, s} \varphi_{k, s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which there holds the quasi-norm equivalence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \asymp\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left\{2^{(a, k)-|k|_{1} / p}\left\|\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\|_{l_{p}^{\left|Q_{k}\right|}}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta<\infty$, with the sum replaced by a supremum for $\theta=\infty$. Based on the representation (10)-(11), we can extend the definition of Besov space of mixed smoothness a for $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and
$0<p, \theta \leq \infty$, as the space of all functions $f$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ which can be represented by the series (10) for which the discrete quasi-norm in the right-hand side of (11) is finite. We also use the notation $B_{p, \theta}=B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ for $a=(0, \ldots, 0)$.

Let $1<q<\infty$. From these quasi-norm equivalences, it is easy to verify the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{B_{q, \max \{q, 2\}}} \leq\|f\|_{q} \leq\|f\|_{B_{q, \min \{q, 2\}}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<p \leq \infty$, we define $l_{p}^{m}$ as the quasi-normed space of all real number sequences $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ equipped with the quasi-norm

$$
\left\|\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right\|_{l_{p}^{m}}=\|x\|_{l_{p}^{m}}:=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{k}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

with the change to max norm when $p=\infty$.
Let $0<p, \theta \leq \infty$ and $N=\left\{N_{k}\right\}_{k \in Q}$ be a sequence of natural numbers, with Q a finite set of indices. Denote by $b_{p, \theta}^{N}$ a the space of all such sequences $x=\left\{x^{k}\right\}_{k \in Q}=\left\{\left\{x_{j}^{k}\right\}_{j=1}^{N_{k}}\right\}_{k \in Q}$ for which the mixed quasi-norm $\left\|\left\{\left\{x_{j}^{k}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N}}=\|x\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N}}$ is finite. Here, the mixed quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N}}$ is defined as

$$
\|x\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N}}:=\left(\sum_{k \in Q}\left\|x^{k}\right\|_{l_{p}^{N_{k}}}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}
$$

for finite $\theta$, the sum is replaced by a supremum when $\theta=\infty$. Let $S_{p, \theta}^{N}$ be the unit ball in $b_{p, \theta}^{N}$.

## 3. ASYMPTOTIC ORDER FOR ENTROPY NUMBERS

In this section, we give the asymptotic order of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$, non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and $e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right), r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$.

By Definition 1 and Definition 2, we have inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq \epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right), r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from the definitions we can see that $\operatorname{dim}_{p}(B) \leq \log |B|$, and consequently, the pseudo-dimension of a set $B$ of cardinality $\leq 2^{n}$ is not greater than $n$, and therefore, there hold the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right), \epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the upper bounds of $r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right), \epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ in (4) and (5) are implied from the upper bound of $e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$.

Let $\Phi=\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}_{k \in Q}$ a family of elements in $L_{q}$. Denote by $M_{n}(\Phi)$ the nonlinear manifold of all linear combinations of the form $\varphi=\sum_{k \in K} a_{k} \varphi_{k}$, where $K$ is a subset of $Q$ having cardinality $n$. The $n$-term $L_{q}$-approximation of an element $f \in L_{q}$ with regard to the family $\Phi$ is called
the $L_{q}$-approximation of $f$ by elements from $M_{n}(\Phi)$. To establish the upper bound for the asymptotic orders of $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$, we use the non-linear $n$-term $L_{q}$-approximation with respect to the family

$$
V:=\left\{\varphi_{k, s}\right\}_{s \in Q_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} .
$$

Note that the family $V$ is formed from the integer translates of the mixed dyadic scales of the tensor product multivariate de la Vallée Poussin kernel.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1<p, q<\infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $r>1 / p$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \leq e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \ll\left(n / \log ^{s} n\right)^{-r}(\log n)^{s(1 / 2-1 / \theta)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we can explicitly construct a finite subset $V^{*}$ of $V$, a subset $B$ in $M_{n}\left(V^{*}\right)$ having $|B| \leq 2^{n}$, and a mapping $S_{n}^{B}: U_{p, \theta}^{a} \rightarrow B$ of the form (2) such that

$$
E\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, B, L_{q}\right) \leq \sup _{f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}}\left\|f-S_{n}^{B}(f)\right\|_{q} \ll\left(n / \log ^{s} n\right)^{-r}(\log n)^{s(1 / 2-1 / \theta)}
$$

Theorem 3.1 is derived from the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let $0<p, q, \theta \leq \infty, 0<\tau \leq \theta$ and $r>1 / p$. Then, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, B_{q, \tau}\right) \leq e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, B_{q, \tau}\right) \ll E_{\theta, \tau}(n) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{\theta, \tau}(n)=\left(n / \log ^{s} n\right)^{-r}(\log n)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)}$.
In addition, we can explicitly construct a finite subset $V^{*}$ in $V$, a subset $B$ in $M_{n}\left(V^{*}\right)$ having $|B| \leq 2^{n}$, and a mapping $S_{n}^{B}: U_{p, \theta}^{a} \rightarrow B$ of the form (2) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, B, B_{q, \tau}\right) \leq \sup _{f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}}\left\|f-S_{n}^{B}(f)\right\|_{B_{q, \tau}} \ll E_{\theta, \tau}(n) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Obviously, (16) follows from (17), and consequently, it is enough to prove (17). Take $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{s+1}, k_{s+2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{U}_{+}^{d}$. Denote by $\Lambda=\left\{\sum_{i=s+2}^{d} a_{i} k_{i}: k_{i} \in \mathbb{U}_{+}, i=s+2, \ldots, d\right\}$. We fix a subsequence $\Lambda^{\prime}:=\left\{\nu_{2, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda$ such that $\nu_{2, j}-\nu_{2, j-1}>\max \left\{a_{d}, \lambda\right\}$ (number $\lambda$ is defined in Lemma 2.3).

Let $G_{\nu_{2, j}}:=\left\{\left(k_{s+2}, \ldots, k_{d}\right): \sum_{i=s+2}^{d} a_{i} k_{i} \leq \nu_{2, j}\right\}, D_{\nu_{2, j}}^{\prime}=G_{\nu_{2, j}} \backslash G_{\nu_{2, j-1}}, j \geq 2$ and $D_{\nu_{2,1}}^{\prime}:=G_{\nu_{2,1}}$.

By (10), (11) we can verify that every $f \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ is represented as the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)} f_{\nu} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

converging in the norm of $B_{q, \tau}$, any $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \Lambda$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu}=\sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} \sum_{s \in Q_{k}} f_{k, s} \varphi_{k, s} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\nu}:=D_{\nu}^{\prime \prime} \cap D_{\nu_{2, j}}^{\prime}, D_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}:=\left\{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{s+1}\right): k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{s+1}=\nu_{1}\right\}$. Moreover, there hold the quasi-norm equivalences

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} & \asymp 2^{r \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}\left\|\left\{\left\{2^{-|k|_{1} / p} f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}},  \tag{20}\\
\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{q, \tau}} & \asymp\left\{\left\{2^{-|k|_{1} / q} f_{k, s}\right\}\right\} \|_{b_{q, \tau}^{N \nu}}, N^{\nu}:=\left\{N_{k}\right\}_{k \in D_{\nu}}=\left\{\left|Q_{k}\right|\right\}_{k \in D_{\nu}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The representation (18) - (19) with the the quasi-norm equivalences (20) plays a basic role in the proof of the theorem. Notice that in the case of the uniform mixed smoothness it required a much simpler representation [3].

Obviously, $D_{\nu} \cap D_{\nu^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ if $\nu \neq \nu^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}=\cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \Lambda} D_{\nu}$. We have

$$
\left|D_{\nu}^{\prime}\right| \asymp \nu_{2}^{d-s-2},\left|D_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right| \asymp \nu_{1}^{s}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\left|D_{\nu}\right|=\left|D_{\nu}^{\prime}\right|\left|D_{\nu}^{\prime \prime}\right| \asymp \nu_{1}^{s} \nu_{2}^{d-s-2} .
$$

Let $r^{\prime}=a_{s+2}=\ldots=a_{s+s^{\prime}+2}<a_{s+s^{\prime}+3} \leq \ldots \leq a_{d}$. From (9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\nu}=3^{d} \sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} 2^{|k|_{1}} \asymp \nu_{1}^{s} 2^{\nu_{1}} 2^{\nu_{2} / r^{\prime}} \nu_{2}^{s^{\prime}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{\nu}:=\sum_{k \in D_{\nu}}\left|Q_{k}\right|$. Given a positive integer $n$, we take a positive integer $\xi=\xi(n)$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C 2^{\xi} \xi^{s} \leq n \asymp 2^{\xi} \xi^{s} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant whose value will be chosen below.
Notice that there hold the inequality $\|f\|_{B_{q, \tau}} \leq\|f\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}}$ and the inclusion $U_{p, \theta}^{a} \subset$ $U_{p, \max \{p, \theta\}}^{a}$. Therefore, it suffices to treat the case $p \leq \theta$ and $q=\infty$. We choose fixed numbers $\delta, \alpha, \varepsilon$ satisfying $0<\delta<\min \{1, p(r-1 / p)\}, \max \left\{r,(1+\delta) r^{\prime} / p r\right\}<\alpha<$ $r^{\prime},(1+\delta) / p r<\varepsilon<\alpha / r^{\prime}$. Let the sequence $\left\{n_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu=0}^{\infty}$ be given by

$$
n_{\nu}:= \begin{cases}\left\lfloor m_{\nu} 2^{(1-\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}\right\rfloor+1 & \text { if } 0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha<\xi  \tag{23}\\ \left\lfloor m_{\nu} 2^{(1+\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}\right\rfloor & \text { if } \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \geq \xi\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to check that $n_{\nu}>0$ for $\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi(1+\delta) /(1+\delta-\varepsilon)-\nu_{0}$, where $\nu_{0}=\nu_{0}(\delta, d)$ is a positive constant. Since $(1+\delta) /(1+\delta-\varepsilon)>r /(r-1 / p)$, we can fix a number $\gamma$ so that $r /(r-1 / p)<\gamma<(1+\delta) /(1+\delta-\varepsilon)$. Put $\xi^{*}=\lfloor\gamma \xi\rfloor$. Then for $\xi$ large enough, we have $n_{\nu}>0, \quad \forall \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi^{*}$.

Let $0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi$. Then $n_{\nu} \geq m_{\nu}$. Take a number $\rho$ such that $0<\rho \leq \min \{1, p, \theta\}$ and $N_{k}=2^{|k|_{1}} \leq 2^{\nu_{1}} 2^{\nu_{2} / r^{\prime}}:=N_{0}, \forall k \in D_{\nu}$. From the inequalities

$$
\|\cdot\|_{b_{\rho, \rho}^{N \nu}} \leq\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \rho-1 / \theta} N_{0}^{1 / \rho-1 / p}\|\cdot\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}}
$$

and

$$
\|\cdot\|_{b_{\infty, \tau}^{N \nu}}^{N \nu} \leq\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \tau}\|\cdot\|_{b_{\infty, \infty}^{\Delta \nu}},
$$

it follows that for any subset $M_{\nu} \subset b_{\infty, \tau}^{N^{\nu}}$ and mapping $G_{\nu}: b_{p, \theta}^{N^{\nu}} \rightarrow M_{\nu}$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in S_{p, \theta}^{N /}}\left\|x-G_{\nu}(x)\right\|_{b_{\infty, \tau}^{N \nu}} \leq\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \rho-1 / \theta+1 / \tau} N_{0}^{1 / \rho-1 / p} \sup _{x \in S_{\rho, \rho}^{N /}}\left\|x-G_{\nu}(x)\right\|_{b_{\infty, \infty}^{\nu \nu}}
$$

Considering $S_{\rho, \rho}^{N^{\nu}}$ and $b_{\infty, \infty}^{N^{\nu}}$ as $B_{\rho}^{m_{\nu}}$ and $l_{\infty}^{m_{\nu}}$ and applying the result proved in [3, Lemma 1], then for any positive integer $n$ we can explicitly construct a subset $M$ of $l_{\infty}^{m}$ for $n \geq m$ having cardinality at most $2^{n}$ and a mapping $S: l_{\rho}^{m} \rightarrow M$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in B_{p}^{m}}\|x-S(x)\|_{l_{\infty}^{m}} \leq C(p) m^{-1 / \rho} 2^{-n / m}
$$

Hence, we obtain there exists a set $M_{\nu} \subset b_{\infty, \tau}^{N^{\nu}}$ of cardinality at most $2^{n_{\nu}}$ and a mapping $G_{\nu}: b_{p, \theta}^{N^{\nu}} \rightarrow M_{\nu}$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in S_{p, \theta}^{N^{\nu}}}\left\|x-G_{\nu}(x)\right\|_{b-\infty, \tau}^{N_{0}^{\nu}} \leq\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \rho-1 / \theta+1 / \tau} N_{0}^{1 / \rho-1 / p} m_{\nu}^{-1 / \rho} 2^{-n_{\nu} / m_{\nu}}
$$

We define a subset $B_{\nu}$ of $B_{\infty, \tau}$ and a mapping $S_{\nu}: B_{p, \theta}^{a} \rightarrow B_{\nu}$ as follows. From (11),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}=\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}}\left\{2^{(a, k)-|k|_{1} / p}\left\|\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\|_{l_{p}\left|Q_{k}\right|}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta}, \\
& \left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}=\left(\sum_{k \in D_{\nu}}\left\{2^{(a, k)-|k|_{1} / p}\left\|\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\|_{l_{p}^{\left|Q_{k}\right|}}\right\}^{\theta}\right)^{1 / \theta},
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain $\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \leq\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}$. Hence, if $f \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}$ then $f_{\nu} \in B_{p, \theta}^{a}$, and consequently $\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}_{s \in Q_{k}}\right\}_{k \in D_{\nu}}$ belongs to $b_{p, \theta}^{N_{\theta}}$. We put

$$
S_{\nu}(f)=\sum_{k \in D_{\nu}} \sum_{s \in Q_{k}} f_{k, s}^{*} \varphi_{k, s}
$$

and $B_{\nu}=S_{\nu}\left(M_{\nu}\right)$, where $\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}^{*}\right\}_{s \in Q_{k}}\right\}_{k \in D_{\nu}}=G_{\nu}\left(\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}_{s \in Q_{k}}\right\}_{k \in D_{\nu}}\right)$. We can see that $\left|B_{\nu}\right| \leq\left|M_{\nu}\right| \leq 2^{n_{\nu}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\nu}-S_{\nu}(f)\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} & \asymp\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}-f_{k, s}^{*}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{\infty}^{N \nu, \tau}} \\
& \ll\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \rho-1 / \theta+1 / \tau} N_{0}^{1 / \rho-1 / p} m_{\nu}^{-1 / \rho_{2}} 2^{-n_{\nu} / m_{\nu}} 2^{-r \nu_{1}-\nu_{2}} N_{0}^{1 / p}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll \nu_{1}^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-r \xi} 2^{r\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}} 2^{(r / \alpha-1) \nu_{2}} \nu_{2}^{\mu}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-r \xi} 2^{r\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu=(d-s-2)(1 / \rho-1 / \theta+1 / \tau)-s^{\prime} / \rho$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{\nu}-S_{\nu}(f)\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} \ll A(\nu)\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(\nu)=\xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-r \xi} 2^{r\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}}$.
Let $\xi<\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi^{*}$. Then $n_{\nu}<m_{\nu}$. The following result was proved in [3, Lemma 4]. Let $0<p, \theta, \tau \leq \infty$. Then for any positive integer $n<m=\sum_{k \in Q} N_{k}$, we can explicitly construct a subset $M \subset b_{\infty, \tau}^{N}$ of cardinality at most $2^{n}\binom{m}{n}$ and a mapping $S: b_{p, \theta}^{N} \rightarrow M$ such that

$$
\sup _{x \in S_{p, \theta}^{N}}\|x-S(x)\|_{b_{\infty, \tau}^{N}} \leq C(p) n^{-1 / p}|Q|^{1 / \tau+(1 / p-1 / \theta)_{+}}
$$

Therefore, we can construct a subset $B_{\nu}$ of $B_{\infty, \tau}$ having cardinality at most $2^{n_{\nu}}\binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}}$, as well as a mapping $S_{\nu}: B_{p, \theta}^{a} \rightarrow B_{\nu}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{\nu}-S_{\nu}(f)\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} \asymp\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}-f_{k, s}^{*}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{\infty, \tau}^{N \nu}} \ll n_{\nu}^{-1 / p}\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \tau+(1 / p-1 / \theta)+}\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $|k|_{1} \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / r^{\prime}$, hence

$$
\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \asymp 2^{r \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}\left\|\left\{\left\{2^{-|k|_{1} / p} f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}} \geq 2^{r \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} 2^{-\nu_{1} / p} 2^{-\nu_{2} / p r^{\prime}}\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}}
$$

and consequently $\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N \nu}} \ll 2^{-r \nu_{1}-\nu_{2}} 2^{\nu_{1} / p} 2^{\nu_{2} / p r^{\prime}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}$. We continue the estimation (25),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\nu}-S_{\nu}(f)\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} & \asymp\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}-f_{k, s}^{*}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{\infty, \tau}^{N_{N}}} \\
& \ll n_{\nu}^{-1 / p}\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \tau+(1 / p-1 / \theta)+}\left\|\left\{\left\{f_{k, s}\right\}\right\}\right\|_{b_{p, \theta}^{N_{D}}} \\
& \ll\left\{\nu_{1}^{s} 2^{\nu_{1}} 2^{\nu_{2} / r^{\prime}} \nu_{2}^{s^{\prime}} 2^{(1+\delta) \mu_{1}}\right\}^{-1 / p}\left(\nu_{1}^{s} \nu_{2}^{d-s-2}\right)^{\mu_{2}} 2^{-r \nu_{1}-\nu_{2}} 2^{\nu_{1} / p} 2^{\nu_{2} / p r^{\prime}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll 2^{-r \xi} \nu_{1}^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{(r-(1+\delta) / p) \mu_{1}} \nu_{2}^{(d-s-2) \mu_{2}-s^{\prime} / p^{2}} 2^{-(1-r / \alpha) \nu_{2}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll 2^{-r \xi} \nu_{1}^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{(r-(1+\delta) / p) \mu_{1}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll C(\nu)\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C(\nu)=2^{-r \xi} \nu_{1}^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\beta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)}, \beta=r-(1+\delta) / p>0, \mu_{1}=\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha$, $\mu_{2}=1 / \tau+1 / p-1 / \theta$. It is easy to check that

$$
C(\nu) \leq \begin{cases}2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\beta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)} & \text { if } \nu_{1} \leq \xi \\ 2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\beta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)} & \text { if } \nu_{1}>\xi\end{cases}
$$

Finally, let $\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}$. From (20) and the Holder inequality, it follows that for any $\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}$. Put $\mu=r-1 / p$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{\infty}, \tau} & \ll 2^{-\left(r \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)} 2^{\nu_{1} / p} 2^{\nu_{2} / p r^{\prime}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \tau}^{a}} \\
& \ll 2^{-\left(r \nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)} 2^{\nu_{1} / p} 2^{\nu_{2} / p r^{\prime}}\left|D_{\nu}\right|^{1 / \tau-1 / \theta}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll 2^{-\mu \xi^{*}}\left(\xi^{*}\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\mu\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}  \tag{26}\\
& \ll 2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\mu\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \\
& \ll E(\nu)\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E(\nu)=2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\mu\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)}$.
For a function $f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}$, we define the mapping $S$ by

$$
S(f):=\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \times \Lambda} S_{\nu}(f) .
$$

We obtain

$$
f-S(f)=\sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha=0}^{\xi^{*}}\left(f-S_{\nu}(f)\right)+\sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}} f_{\nu}
$$

Therefore, by (22), (24)-(26) and the inequalities $\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}} \ll\|f\|_{B_{p, \theta}^{a}}$ we get the following estimates for any $f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f-S(f)\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} & \leq \sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha=0}^{\xi^{*}}\left\|f-S_{\nu}(f)\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}}+\sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}}\left\|f_{\nu}\right\|_{B_{\infty, \tau}} \\
& \ll \sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi} A(\nu)+\sum_{\xi<\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi^{*}} C(\nu)+\sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}} E(\nu) \\
& \ll 2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} \sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi} 2^{r\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)} 2^{-2^{(1-\delta)\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)}} \\
& +2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} \sum_{\xi<\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi^{*}}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\beta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)} \\
& +2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} \sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha>\xi^{*}}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} 2^{-\mu\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi^{*}\right)} \\
& \ll 2^{-r \xi} \xi^{s(1 / \tau-1 / \theta)} \asymp E_{\theta, \tau}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}}\|f-S(f)\| \ll E_{\theta, \tau}(n) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $S$ is a mapping from $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ into $B:=\sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha=0}^{\xi^{*}} B_{\nu}$. Moreover, by (21), (23) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log |B| & \leq \sum_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha=0}^{\xi^{*}} \log \left|B_{\nu}\right| \ll \sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi} 2^{\xi} \xi^{s} 2^{-\delta\left(\xi-\nu_{1}-\nu_{2} / \alpha\right)} 2^{-\nu_{2}\left(1 / \alpha-1 / r^{\prime}\right)} \nu_{2}^{s^{\prime}} \\
& +\sum_{\xi<\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha \leq \xi^{*}}\left(2^{-\delta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)} 2^{\xi} \xi^{s}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)^{s} 2^{-\nu_{2}\left(1 / \alpha-1 / r^{\prime}\right)} \nu_{2}^{s^{\prime}}+\log \binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Stirling's formula gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \binom{m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}} & \leq n_{\nu} \log \frac{b m_{\nu}}{n_{\nu}} \\
& \leq 2^{-\delta\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)} 2^{\xi} \xi^{s}\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)^{s} 2^{-\nu_{2}\left(1 / \alpha-1 / r^{\prime}\right)} \nu_{2}^{s^{\prime}}\left(b+(1 \delta)\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2} / \alpha-\xi\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b$ is a constant. Hence,

$$
\log |B| \leq C^{\prime} 2^{\xi} \xi^{s} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta t} t^{s}
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ is an absolute constant. Setting $C^{\prime \prime}:=C^{\prime} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta t} t^{s}$, we obtain $\log |B| \leq n$, and consequently $|B| \leq 2^{n}$. Let $V^{*}=\cup_{\nu} V_{\nu}^{*}$, where $V_{\nu}^{*}=\left\{\varphi_{k, s}\right\}_{s \in Q_{k}, k \in D_{\nu}}$. By construction, it follows that $V^{*}$ is a finite subset of $V$ and $B$ is a subset of $M_{n}\left(V^{*}\right)$.

Summing up, we have constructed a subset $B$ in $M_{n}\left(V^{*}\right)$ having cardinality does not exceed $2^{n}$ and a sampling recovery method $S_{n}^{B}:=S$ of the form (2) satisfying the inequality (27) and therefore, the upper bound of (16) and (17).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{q_{1}} \ll\|\cdot\|_{q_{2}}, q_{1} \leq q_{2} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28), it is sufficient to prove (15) for $q>2$. By (12), we can verify that

$$
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \ll e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, B_{q, \min \{q, 2\}}\right)
$$

Using this inequality and Theorem 3.2, we get the upper bound of $e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$.
The lower bound of $\rho\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ in obtained from the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3. Let $1<p, q<\infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $r>1 / p$. Then we have

$$
\rho\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \gg\left(n / \log ^{s} n\right)^{-r}(\log n)^{s(1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Proof. Denote by $U_{p, \theta}^{a_{\theta}^{*}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)$ the unit ball in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{a^{*}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right) \subset L_{q}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)$, where $a^{*}:=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s+1}\right)=(r, r, \ldots, r) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{s+1}$. In [3] it was proven that

$$
\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a^{*}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right), B_{q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)\right) \gg n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Notice that for any function $f \in L_{q}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)$, the function $g: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is defined by $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s+1}\right)$, belongs to $L_{q}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. Moreover, if $f \in U_{p, \theta}^{a_{\theta}^{*}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)$, then $g \in U_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. Hence we deduce that

$$
\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), B_{q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right) \geq \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a^{*}}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right), B_{q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{T}^{s+1}\right)\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), B_{q, \tau}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right) \gg\left(n / \log ^{s} n\right)^{-r}(\log n)^{s(1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

The proof is complete.
We now can state and prove the main results (4) and (5) as follows.

Theorem 3.4. Let $1<p, q<\infty, 0<\theta \leq \infty$ and $r>1 / p$. Then

$$
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Moreover, we have also the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery following

$$
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3 and (14), we have

$$
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \gg n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \leq \epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Hence

$$
\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Using Theorem 3.1 and (14), we get

$$
r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \leq e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

Since Theorem 3.3 and (13), we obtain

$$
r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \geq \rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \gg n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

By the last two inequalities, we get

$$
e_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp r_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right) \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{s(r+1 / 2-1 / \theta)} .
$$

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we extend the results in [3] to multivariate Besov-type classes $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ of functions having nonuniform mixed smoothness $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ and the problems of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$. We obtain the asymptotic order of entropy numbers $\epsilon_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$ and non-linear widths $\rho_{n}\left(U_{p, \theta}^{a}, L_{q}\right)$. Moreover, we construct corresponding asymptotically optimal methods of nonlinear approximations. In result we obtain the asymptotic order of optimal methods of adaptive sampling recovery of functions in $U_{p, \theta}^{a}$ by sets of a finite capacity which is measured by their cardinality or pseudo-dimension. In the future we shall consider the above problems in the space $B_{p, \theta}^{A}$, which is the intersection of spaces $B_{p, \theta}^{a}$, where $A$ is a finite subset in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.
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