



### Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

International Conference on Case Histories in **Geotechnical Engineering** 

(1993) - Third International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering

04 Jun 1993, 8:00 am - 10:00 am

## An Analytical Prediction of Consolidation Settlement of Fibrous **Peat Deposit Under Loading**

K. Kogure National Defense Academy, Hashirimizu, Yokosuka, Japan

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/icchge



Part of the Geotechnical Engineering Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Kogure, K., "An Analytical Prediction of Consolidation Settlement of Fibrous Peat Deposit Under Loading" (1993). International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering. 1. https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/icchge/3icchge/3icchge-session13/1

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.



Proceedings: Third International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering, St. Louis, Missouri, June 1-4, 1993, Paper No. 13.06

# An Analytical Prediction of Consolidation Settlement of Fibrous Peat Deposit Under Loading

K. Kogure

Professor of Civil Engineering, National Defense Academy, Hashirimizu, Yokosuka, Japan

SYNOPSIS In one-dimensional consolidation of fibrous peat, it is known that the behavior can not be sufficiently explained by Terzaghi theory. In this study, based on the fact that the pore of fibrous peat consists of macro (outer) pore between organic bodies and micro(inner) pore inside organic bodies, an approximate analytical method for one-dimensional consolidation of fibrous peat is presented. The macro pore consolidation(MAC) resulting from dewatering from the macro pore begins in the first place and the peat collapses to a new void ratio. At the same time, the micro pore consolidation(MIC) resulting from dewatering from the micro pore continuously starts to a final void ratio. The total settlement is given from the summing those by MAC and MIC. The proposed method is compared with field observational data and it is seen that the proposed model gives settlement result that follow quite closely the data.

#### INTRODUCTION

It is known that fibrous peat deposit is highly compressible compared with most mineral soils. The major involvement of peat in engineering work is in its use as a foundation. In this role, the high compressibility of peat stands out as a most significant engineering property. In applying the conventional theory of one-dimensional consolidation to the behavior of fibrous peat, there is the major deviation from the usual assumption, that is, the compressibility of the fibrous organic body which forms the fibrous framwork of peat. This anomaly is believed to account for the significant differences in consolidation behavior between fibrous peat and mineral soils. The settlement behavior of fibrous peat deposit has been reported in numerous publications[Hollingshead and Raymond,1972, Lefebvre et al.,1984]. However, the prediction of settlement remain difficult owing to the heterogeneity of fibrous peat deposit.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a simple and analytical means for predicting the consolidation settlement of fibrous peat deposit under loading. The fibrous peat has two types of pore. One is the outer pore among organic bodies and the other is the inner pore inside organic body itself[Adams,1963, Kogure et al.,1977]. In this paper, the outer pore is called "Macro pore" and the inner pore is called "Micro pore". It is assumed that the organic body is compressible resulting from dewatering from the micro pore.

The idealized and simplified model which analyze the consolidation process as a phase-change process, was proposed for the overconsolidated sensitive clays[Scott,1989]. In this study, the phase-change model is modified using the double-three phase model of fibrous peat structure [Kogure et al.,1979]. In the modified model for fibrous peat, the macro pore consolidation(abbreviation:MAC) resulting from dewatering from the macro pore begins in the first place and the peat collapses to a new void ratio. At the same time, the micro pore consolidation(abbreviation:MIC) resulting from dewatering from the micro pore under a excess pore pressure continuously starts to a final void ratio. The total settlement is given from the summing those by MAC and MIC. This model is called "MAC-

MIC" model in this study. This model applied to a documented case of settlement of a fibrous peat layer under a embankment loading. It is seen that the MAC-MIC model gives settlement results that follow quite closely the field observational results.

#### IDEALIZATION OF FIBROUS PEAT BEHAVIOR

Usually the relationship between applied stress  $\sigma'$  and void ratio e obtained from oedometer test is shown on a diagram of e versus  $\log \sigma'$ . The nonlinear behavior of peat in compression is known [Kogure, 1986]. A normally consolidated peat can not be represented to an approximation by a straight line on a e-log  $\sigma'$  plot and the plot has a sharper break at very low stresses. For the analysis here, it is more convenient to show the peat behavior to a natural scale of o' as shown in Fig.1. It is more apparent that the curve drops steeply at low applied stress and becomes less steep at higher values of  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}.$  In a typical one dimensional consolidation problem, a point in a peat deposit of properties shown in Fig.1 rests in the ground at an existing effective vertical stress of  $\sigma_0$ , and is subjected by a load applied at ground surface to a final effective stress  $\sigma_f^t$ . To enable analysis to proceed, the peat behavior indicated in Fig.1 has to be simplified as shown in Fig.2. The points A' and B' in Fig.2 have coordinates  $(\sigma'_0, e_0)$  and  $(\sigma'_0, e_m)$  respectively.

In Fig.2, an intermediate point B' is introduced at coordinates  $(\sigma_0^{\prime}$ ,  $e_m)$ , where  $e_m$  is now the void ratio at selected point B in Fig.1. The final void ratio  $e_f$  will be the same final void ratio experienced by the real peat for the given load increment. Between A' and B' the peat collapses in volume from a void ratio  $e_0$  to  $e_m$ . The collapse of volume take place due to dewatering from the macro pore. In this study the collapse process is called MAC and the peat can be considered to have undergone a phase change from an initial phase of  $e_0$  to an intermediate phase of  $e_m$ . Between B' and C' in Fig.2, the peat of intermediate phase(collapsed peat) is taken to be linearly compressible at stresses greater than  $\sigma_0^{\prime}$ . The consolidation process from B' to C' can be considered to the compression of organic bodies due to dewatering from the micro




Fig.l Typical relationship between e and  $\sigma'$ 

Fig.2 Idealization of  $\sigma'$  -  $\epsilon$  relation




Fig. 3 Soil profile and distribution of excess pore pressure

pore. In this study the consolidation process by the compression of organic body itself is called MIC.

#### MACRO PORE CONSOLIDATION PROCESS ( MAC )

A fibrous peat layer of the property shown in Fig.2 is taken for analysis. It is assumed that the initial effective strss throughout the normally consolidated peat is  $\sigma_0^1$ , and that an increment of vertical effective stress  $\Delta\sigma^1$  is applied to the surface, such that after all primary consolidation has taken place the final effective stress in the peat is  $\sigma_f^1$ . Simultaneously with the loading, the peat will begin to MAC process from  $e_0$  to  $e_m$ . The peat layer consolidated by MAC at void ratio  $e_m$  (the layer is called intermediate phase zone) will be form, and the interface between it and the initial phase peat will travel into the lower part with a variable rate.

Fig. 3 shows the conditions at time t after application of the stress increment  $\Delta\sigma^{\text{!`}}$ . The intermediate phase zone in Fig. 3 has a void ratio varing between  $e_m$  at its base and  $e_f$  at the top. The settlement of the surface is due both to the compression by MAC and to subsequent linear compression of the intermediate phase layer, that is, the compression by MIC. The stress conditions and the excess pore pressure profile are shown in Fig. 3. An excess pore pressure can only develop when MAC is initiated.

Since the pore water will flow out of the peat resulting from the compression of the intermediate phase layer, as well as from the interface, the pore pressure variation in the intermediate phase layer is approximated by a parabola as shown in Fig.3[Atkinson and Bransby,1982]. The parabola approximation of the distribution of excess pore pressure in the intermediate phase layer is taken as an assumption for further analysis.

The rate of progress of the interface,  $Z_i$ , can be obtained from considering the incoming and outcoming of water at the intermediate phase layer and the equivalent condition between the outgoing water and the volume change. By using the hydraulic gradient at the soil surface,  $i=2~u_0~/~\gamma_W(~Z_i~-~S_1~)$ , the foundamental equation of the rate of interface progress is given as

$$Z_{i} \frac{dZ_{i}}{dt} = \frac{2 k u_{o}}{\gamma_{W} (1 - \Delta V) \Delta V}$$
 (1)

where, t:time,  $\Delta V$ :the volume at water expelled by unit volume of the original peat due to the change of void ratio from  $e_0$  to  $e_m$ ,  $\Delta V = (e_0 - e_m)/(1 + e_0)$ , k:the permeability of the intermediate phase layer,  $u_0$ :the excess pore pressure,  $\gamma_W$ :the unit weight of water.

If  $u_0$  is constant, that is, if the stress increment  $\Delta\sigma'$  is applied rapidly and maintained constant in time, from integration of Eq.(1),

$$Z_{i} = 2 B t^{1/2}$$
 (2)

where

$$B = \left[\frac{k u_0}{\gamma_W (1 - \Delta V) \Delta V}\right]^{1/2}$$
 (3)

The settlement of surface by MAC,S $_1$ , is given as S $_1$ = $\Delta$ V·Z $_1$ . Therefore, it follows that the surface settlement by MAC develops as the square root of time.

$$S_1 = 2 B \Delta V t^{1/2}$$
 (4)

The final settlement by MAC,  $S_{1f}$ , is given as  $S_{1f} = \Delta V \cdot H$  .

When the peat layer is of finite thickness H, with no drainage at its base, the movement of the interface terminates when  $Z_1{=}H,$  and the intermediate phase layer is  $(H{-}\Delta V{\cdot}H){=}(1{-}\Delta V)H$  thick. The time  $t_f$  that the interface reachs to the base of peat layer is given as

$$t_f = \frac{H^2}{4 B^2} \tag{5}$$

When t=t\_f, the MAC process terminates. In the original peat at void ratio  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathring{\mathbf{o}}}$  below the interface, the excess pore pressure at a point will stay at the constant value  $\mathbf{u}_{\mathring{\mathbf{o}}}$  until the interface reaches that point, when it will decrease. This contrasts with the behavior of a consolidating according to a linear law of behavior, when the excess pore pressure at a point decreases continuously after application of an applied stress. Such delayed




Fig.4 Excess pore pressure distribution

excess pore pressure decay has been observed in many fields and oedometer tests[Shogaki and Kogure,1991].

#### MICRO PORE CONSOLIDATION ( MIC )

In the intermediate phase zone, the void ratio ranges between  $e_m$  to  $e_f$ , which contributes an additional amount of compression to the surface settlement. The consolidation process from  $e_m$  to  $e_f$  was called the micro pore consolidation (MIC) in this study. We assume that the excess pore pressure distribution may be approximated by a parabola [Atkinson and Bransby,1982]. This approximation gives a reasonably accurate solution. For the assumption of parabola distribution, we must distinguish between two stages of MIC process.

In Fig.4, there is the excess pore pressure distribution for the time  $t_f$  which passes through the point D. We must consider the consolidation process for  $t \le t_f$  and  $t \ge t_f$ .

#### MIC Process for t≤tf

A parabolic excess pore pressure distribution for  $t \le t_f$ , AC line, is schematically shown in Fig.4. The progress of MIC is related to the region ABC, representing effective stresses, between the parabolic excess pore pressure curve and the pressure boundary at  $u_0$ . The area of such a region represents the product of effective stress and lepth. The surface settlement  $S_2$  by MIC after some time for  $t \le t_f$  is given as follows:

$$S_2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{a_V u_0 (1 - \Delta V) B}{1 + e_m} t^{1/2}$$
 (6)

where,  $a_V\!:\!the$  coefficient of compressibility and is given by  $a_V\!=\!(e_m\!-\!e_f)/u_O\!\cdot\!$ 

The final settlement of the surface  $S_{2f}$  by MIC will occur when the excess pore pressure is everywhere zero and  ${}_{1}\sigma^{1}=\Delta\sigma_{\bullet}$ . The  $S_{2f}$  is, therefore, given by

$$S_{2f} = \frac{a_V}{1 + e_m} u_o (1 - \Delta V) H$$
 (7)

and thus, the average degree of consolidation U at  $tst_f$  for MIC process is given by  $S_2/S_{2f}$ . Hence,

$$U = \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{R_e} \right)^{1/2} T_v^{1/2}$$
 (8)

where,

$$T_{v} = \frac{c_{v} t}{\left[ (1 - \Delta V) H \right]^{2}}$$
 (9)

$$R_{e} = \frac{e_{o} - e_{m}}{e_{m} - e_{f}}$$
 (10)

 $T_V\!:\!$  the time factor,  $c_V\!:\!$  the coefficient of consolidation and is given by  $c_V\!=\!k\,(1\!+\!e_m)/\gamma_w a_V$  .

At the end of MAC at  $t=t_f$ , the intermediate phase layer still retains a parabolic distribution of excess pore pressure, AD line, so that U=1/3. For  $t \ge t_f$ , the excess pore pressure distribution line no longer touches BD in Fig.4 and a new analysis must be performed.

If a pore pressure gauge has been inserted in the peat layer initially at a depth  $Z_g$ , its absolute position will not change until the interface reaches to the depth of gauge. Thereafter, the gauge will move downwards{Scott, 1989]. A pore pressure gauge is assumed to be imbedded in the peat at time t=0 at depth  $Z_g$  below initial ground surface. After a loading, the interface just reaches to the depth  $Z_g$  at a time t=tg.  $t_g$  is given by  $t_g=Z_g^2/4B^2$  from Eq.(5).

The pore pressure at the gauge is constant until  $t_g$  and begins to decline at  $t_g$ . The distance  $Z_g^1$  of the gauge from the soil surface at  $t_g$  is given by  $Z_g^1 = (1-\Delta V)Z_g$ , and stays constant, thereafter, with the gauge moving down with the soil surface. The pore pressure at the gauge,  $u_g$ , for  $t \le t_f$  is given by

$$u_g = \frac{z_g}{2 B t^{1/2}} (2 - \frac{z_g}{2 B t^{1/2}}) u_o$$
 (11)

MIC Process for t≥tf

A parabolic distribution for  $t \ge t_f$ , AE line, is shown in Fig.4. The distribution curve intersects the base of the layer orthogonally. Making use of the geometry of a parabola distribution and proceeding as before, the surface settlement  $S_2$  after a time t for  $t \ge t_f$  is given as

$$S_2 = \frac{a_V}{1 + e_m} u_0 (1 - \Delta V) H \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} \exp\left(\frac{3}{4} R_e - 3 T_V\right)\right]$$
 (12)

Therefore, the average degree of consolidation U at  $t \ge t_f$  for MIC process is written as

$$U = 1 - \frac{2}{3} \exp(\frac{3}{4} R_{e} - 3 T_{v})$$
 (13)

Thus, in MIC process model, the one-third(1/3) of the settlement of the intermediate phase layer, that is, 1/3 of the settlement by MIC, occurs before completion of MAC, and 2/3 after. The total final settlement S is then given by  $S=S_1+S_2$ .

When the peat layer is of finite thickness H and there is no drainage at the base of the layer, the pore pressure at the gauge,  $u_g$ , for time  $t \ge t_f$  is given by the expression

$$u_g = \frac{Z_g}{H} (2 - \frac{Z_g}{H}) u_o \exp(\frac{3}{4} R_e - 3 T_V)$$
 (14)

and the pore pressure at the base of the peat layer,  $\boldsymbol{u}_{b}\text{,}$  is given by

$$u_b = u_0 \exp(\frac{3}{4} R_e - 3 T_V)$$
 (15)

#### DISCUSSIONS BASED ON FIELD OBSERVATIONS

Many fibrous peats have behavior shown in Fig.1. This behavior is generally obscured in practice because of the custom of plotting settlements in terms of the logarithm of time.

The extensive embankment for residential area was constracted on a fibrous peat deposit. The peat layer is 2.6 m thick. The peat samples were obtained before the construction and various identification tests and oedometer tests were conducted on the obtained samples. The fill thickness is 4 m and the sand mat in thick of 0.5 m was laid between the ground surface and the bottom of fill. The settlement plates and the pore water pressure cells were put in place before the construction.

The consolidation behavior of the peat deposit is shown as AC line in Fig.5. The points A, B and C correspond to those in Fig.2 and were used in the analysis. Numerical values of void ratios and effective stresses used in the analysis are shown in Fig.5. An initial condition corresponding to the initial effective vertical stress,  $\sigma_0^t$ , in the layer of 15.6 kN/m² corresponds at point A to a void ratio of 9.90. The final effective stress  $\sigma_1^t$  is taken to be 68.2 kN/m², as a resulting of the embankment, and the corresponding void ratio is 4.10 at point C. An intermediate void ratio has to be selected, and a straight line drawn from this point B to the final void ratio and effective stress, point C.

For this analysis, the point B was picked at a void ratio  $e_m$  equal to 7.00. The MAC-MIC model is then indicated by the path ABC in Fig.5 to give a reasonable simulation of the behavior of the real peat.

The properties required are the values of  $\Delta V$ , k, uo and  $\gamma_w$ . From  $\Delta V$  = (eo - em )/(1 + eo),  $\Delta V$  is found to be 0.266, and by uo = of - of , uo = 52.6 kN/m². Oikawa[1989] summarized previous work on the permeability of peat and proposed the following formula for the vertical permeability k of peat at the mean void ratio em:

$$\log k = A \cdot e_m + B \quad (cm/day)$$
 (16)

where,

$$A = \frac{1.51}{w^{1.14}} + 0.2$$

$$B = -\frac{1.12}{(w - 0.12)^{0.68}} - 4.06$$




Fig.5 e-σ' relation and its idealization of peat of embankment site




Fig.6 Comparison of calculated results and observational data




Fig.7 Comparison of calculated results and observational data

Table 1 Calculations of settlements

Ū	T <sub>v</sub>	t	$s_1$	s <sub>2</sub>	s
		(days)	(m)	( m )	(m)
0	0	0	0	0	0
0.06	0.0081	14	0.124	0.042	0.166
0.1	0.0225	38	0.220	0.069	0.289
0.2	0.0900	153	0.440	0.138	0.578
1/3	0.2500	426	0.692	0.231	0.923
0.4	0.2851	486		0.277	0.969
0.5	0.3459	589		0.346	1.038
0.6	0.4204	716		0.415	1.107
0.7	0.5163	880		0.484	1.176
0.8	0.6513	1110		0.554	1.246
0.9	0.8825	1504		0.623	1.315
0.95	1.1134	1897		0.657	1.349

w:the water content expressed by ratio. Somewhat arbitrarily, the value of k was calculated for a void ratio of 7.00. Substitution into Eq.(16) gave k =  $1.47 \times 10^{-4}$  m/day with w taken to be w = 713 % (=7.13) of the natural water content of the peat layer.

These values enable the constant B to be determined from Eq.(3) to be B =  $6.30 \times 10^{-2}$  in m·day<sup>-1/2</sup> units. This constant controls the advance of the interface into the layer of the model from top surface, according to Eq.(2). With single grainage, the MAC process will be complete at the time t<sub>f</sub> when the interface reachs at the bottom of the peat layer at  $Z_1$  = H = 2.6 m. From Eq.(5), t<sub>f</sub> is found to be 426 days.

From  $a_V$  = (  $e_m$  -  $e_f$  )/u\_O and  $c_V$  = k( 1 +  $e_m$  )/ $\gamma_w$   $a_V$  , the coefficient of consolidation  $c_V$  at MIC process can now be determined to be 2.14  $\times\,10^{-3}\,\text{m}^2/\text{day}$ , and this can be used for calculation of MIC settlement as a function of time.

From Eq.(4) or  $S_{1f}$  =  $\Delta V \cdot H$ , the settlement of the peat layer due to the MAC process at the time,  $t_f$ , when the phase change is complete, is found to be 0,69 m. The settlement due to the MIC process at the time,  $t_f$ , is found to be 0.231 m from Eq.(6). Thus, at  $t_f$ , the total settlement of the peat layer is (0.692 + 0.231) = 0.923 m.

The amount of settlement remaining in the intermediate zone at tatf is calculated by Eq.(11). The final settlement by MIC is 0.69 m from Eq.(7). The relationships between the degree of consolidation U, the time factor  $T_{\rm V}$ , the time t and the settlements S are given in Table 1. The final total settlement is (0.692 + 0.692) = 1.384 m.

The settlement observations were conducted at two points. The plots of the observations as a function of time are shown in Figs.6 and 7. The calculated results using the MAC-MIC model are also shown by solid and chain lines on these figures. From Figs.6 and 7, it is seen to be remarkably representative of the actual process of settlement for the MAC-MIC model.

#### CONCLUSIONS

An analytical prediction method of one-dimensional consolidation settlement of fibrous peat deposit has been proposed based on the modifing the phase change model by Scott. The proposed method was called MAC-MIC model in this study. This model base s on the fact that the pore of fibrous peat consists of the both of macro pore between the organic bodies and micro pore inside the organic body itself. The macro pore consolidation (MAC) or the collapse of peat by the increasing of surface loading gives rise to the interface which advaces into the peat layer as a function of the square root of time, and the surface settlement also varies as the square root of time. At the same time, the micro pore consolidation(MIC) or pre-MAC consolidation of the collapsed layer gives rise, and the settlement of ground surface by MIC is expressed as a function of the exponential of the time factor. The total settlement of peat layer can be obtained from the summing of those by MAC and MIC. From the comparison of the analytical and experimental results, it was seen that the proposed MAC-MIC model can be applied to the settlement prediction of fibrous peat deposit.

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, J.I. (1963): A Comparison of Field and Laboratory Consolidation Measurements in Peat. Proc. of 9th Muskeg Research Conf., NRCC, 117-135.
- Atkinson, J.H. and Bransby, P.L. (1982): The Mechanics of Soils, An Introduction to Critical State Soil Mechanics. McGraw-Hill, 145-166.
- Hollingshead, G.W. and Raymond, G.P. (1972): Field Loading Tests on Muskeg. Canadian Geotechnical Jour., 9, 278-289.
- Kogure, K. and Ohira, Y. (1979): A Structure Model of Hyghly Organic Soils. Proc. of Symposium on Organic Soils, JSSFME, 11-16 (in Japanese).
- Kogure, K., Yamaguchi, H., Ohira, Y. and Ono, H. (1986): Experiments on Consolidation Characteristics of a Fibrous Peat. Proc. of Advances in Peatlands Engineering, NRCC, 101-108.
- Lefebvre, G. et al.(1984): Laboratory Testing and In-Site Behavior of Peat as Embankment Foundation. Canadian Geotechnical Jour., 21, 322-337.
- Oikawa,H.(1989): A Metho for Predicting e-log p Curve and log c<sub>V</sub>-log p Curve of a Soft Soil from its Natural Water Content. Proc. of JSCE, No.412/3-12, 43-49.
- Scott, R.F. (1989): Consolidation of Sensitive Clay as Phase Change Process. Jour. of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, 115, No.10, 1439-1458.
- Shogaki, T. and Kogure, K. (1991): Evaluation of Consolidation Parameters in Standard Consolidation Test. Proc. of Int. Conf. on Geotechnical Engineering for Coastal Development, Vol.1, 81-84.