College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU

Celebrating Scholarship & Creativity Day

Experiential Learning & Community Engagement

4-23-2015

F-35 and military spending

Colin J. Charpentier College of Saint Benedict/Saint John's University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.csbsju.edu/elce_cscday

Part of the Accounting Commons, Aviation Commons, Finance and Financial Management Commons, and the Military and Veterans Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Charpentier, Colin J., "F-35 and military spending" (2015). *Celebrating Scholarship & Creativity Day*. 43. https://digitalcommons.csbsju.edu/elce_cscday/43

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Celebrating Scholarship & Creativity Day by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@csbsju.edu.

F-35 and Military Spending

CASE STUDY COLIN CHARPENTIER charpcol@yahoo.com

Conclusion

In conducting the research on the F-35 it was found that while comparing cost data to capabilities the F-35 is not worth the money spent when compared to modern platforms. The data analysis is shown in lower sections.

<u>Overview</u>	<u>Page</u>
Research Question	1
Background Information	1
Relevance	2
Existing Research	2
Methodology	4
Findings	11
Assumptions	12
Future Research	12
Other Charts	13

Research Question

How does the F-35 Lightning II multirole fighter compare to current military airplanes in terms of costs and capabilities?

Background Information

This is a comparison study based around the F-35 and 5 other planes. The F-35 is chosen because of its status as an ongoing project and due to its controversy as a plane. This study looks at all values. It is completely numeric based allowing for objective analysis. These planes chosen for study are the F-16 C/D, F/A-18 E/F, F-22A, AV-8B Harrier II, and A-10C. These planes are chosen because the F-35 is meant to replace them in the case of all the planes except the F-22A.¹ In the case of the F-22A, it is the same generation as the F-35 and developed a bit earlier.² This will allow for the best comparison of information and make the study the most relevant.

<u>Relevance</u>

Case Study

This study allows for looking at the F-35 and comparing its value to that of current platforms. This can act as a case study for military spending. In this case, deeming that the spending is not worth the value based on the objective capabilities chosen. This opens the doors to further questions.

Civilians and military members

This study is relevant for civilians and military members as well. This lets people know what the future of our national defense is. We're looking at the F-35, a plane currently in development. Then it also evaluates cost. This is important so military members can know how the defense budget is being spent and for civilians so they know how their tax dollars are being spent. Finally this is also relevant to these people for accountability reasons. That military members and civilians are able to evaluate if those in charge are making responsible choices and then held accountable for those actions.

Existing Research

<u>Costs</u>

The F-35 is a costly jet and research and comparisons have been done based on this. Instances of this are in HIS Jane's study, *FAST JET OPERATING COSTS*. This study compares multiple planes based

¹ "About the F-35," https://www.f35.com/about

² "F-22 Raptor," http://www.lockheedmartin.com/us/products/f22.html

on Cost Per Flight Hour (CPFH), a statistic I use later. This one study values the F-35 at a much higher CPFH then any of the other planes used, the F-35 being less favorable than other platforms in this study. An instance of total spending is the Barr Group Aerospace "U.S Military Aircraft Program" cost comparison, showing the F-35 at the most costly aircraft program. Many other studies or comparisons show this as well, giving the F-35 to be very expensive.

Capabilities

The F-35 is deemed capable and affordable by the USAF.³ Its logistics, stealth, and mission capabilities are all incredibly valued and seen as offering great potential to the national defense and coalition capabilities. Public opinions, which are retired military members or military related groups, find the F-35 to be less up to the task. Some call its munitions capability into question, citing a lack of ability for it to carry missiles when comparted to the F-22 or lack of ammunition for its main gun when compared to the A-10.⁴ Others question the F-35 and its ability to combat other fighters, a role it can serve. One source deems it lacking when compared to the F-22 and ill-suited for the potential role.⁵ Finally, some question it's capabilities in terms of future aircraft and performance.⁶ As it can be seen, the F-35 is a greatly debated plane.

³ Davis, Charles R. "F-35 Lightning II Program Brief." USAF, 26 Sept. 2006.

⁴ "The F-35s Air-to-Air Capability Controversy." *Defense Industry Daily RSS News*. N.p., 30 May 2013. Web. McGarry, Brendan. "A Tale of Two Gatling Guns: F-35 vs. A-10." *Defense Tech RSS*. N.p., 2 Jan. 2015. Web.

⁵ "Can the F-35 Win a Dogfight?" *Medium*. N.p., 17 Dec. 2013. Web.

⁶ "RAAF vs F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter." Air Power Australia, 7 June 2014. Web.

Methodology

Sources

Sources chosen for finding information on this study are GAO publications, consulting firms, US military databases, manufactures sites, and analyst sites. These sources were chosen because they are believed to be the most relevant and factual. They all contained information related to the numerical valued metrics chosen.

Data factors

Listed below are the factors chosen in the beginning of the study. A caveat of these data points is that some proved more relevant then others later on in the study.

CPFH: Cost per flight hour is use of fuel, pre-flight preparation and repair, and scheduled maintenance and personnel costs.⁷

Program Cost: Program cost is the cost of procurement and research and development.⁸

Cost per unit: Cost to acquire one unit, normally taken as a division of total cost divided by units.⁹

Payload: The amount of munitions an aircraft can carry.¹⁰

Hard points: External point on airframe capable of carrying external load, munitions or fuel.¹¹

Ferry Range: Range with minimal munitions and maximum fuel.¹²

⁷ Hunt, Edward. "FAST JET OPERATING COSTS." *IHS Jane's* 13 Mar. 2012. Web.

⁸ "Analysis of the Fiscal Year 2012 Pentagon Spending Request" National Priorities Project 15 Feb. 2011. Web.

⁹ "Analysis of the Fiscal Year 2012 Pentagon Spending Request"

¹⁰ "Payload" *Dictionary.com* Web.

¹¹ "Hardpoint" *Wikipedia* Web.

¹² "Range (aeronautics)" Wikipedia Web.

Combat radius: Range with munitions load and ability to maneuver on site.¹³

Maximum Speed: Top speed of the aircraft.

Cruising speed: Speed an aircraft can maintain for extended periods of time, most efficient.¹⁴

Total Force: Amount acquired or planned to acquire.

Ceiling: How high the plane can fly.

¹³ "Range (aeronautics)" Wikipedia Web.

¹⁴ "Cruise (aeronautics)" Wikipedia Web.

Data Manipulation

In this study data was manipulated in a number of ways. One was via charts, as can be

seen below.

Range (NN		
<u>Planes</u>	Ferry	<u>Combat</u>
F-35		
	2,172 ¹⁵	560 ¹⁶
F-16		
	1,740 ¹⁷	295 ¹⁸
F/A-18 E/F		
	1,660 ¹⁹	390 ²⁰
F-22		
	1,608 ²¹	410 ²²
AV-8B Harrier II		
	1,792 ²³	300 ²⁴
A-10		
	2,242 ²⁵	250 ²⁶

And another was more visual based, via graphs. This too can be seen below, representing the same data

as above.

¹⁵"F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter" *Barr Group Aerospace* Web.

¹⁶ "F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter"

¹⁷ "F-16 Fighting Falcon" US Air Force Web.

¹⁸ "F-16 Fighting Falcon" Barr Group Aerospace Web.

¹⁹ "U.S Navy Fact Sheet F/A-18 Hornet Strike fighter" United States Navy Web.

²⁰ "F/A-18 Hornet" Federation of American Scientists Military Analysis Network Web.

²¹ "F-22 Raptor" US Air Force Web.

²² "F-22 Raptor" Barr Group Aerospace Web.

²³ "AV-8B Harrier II Plus VSTOL Fighter and Attack Aircraft, United States of America" *airforce-technology.com* Web.

²⁴ "AV-8B Harrier II" *Barr Group Aerospace* Web.

²⁵ "A-10 Thunderbolt" *Barr Group Aerospace* Web.

²⁶ "A-10 Thunderbolt"





In this way data was manipulated to show side by side comparisons. Finally data was manipulated to show it against cost data. Examples below are shown comparing CPFH and Cost per unit to Payload and Combat radius, what I believe to be two key statistics to combat capability of an aircraft. Note, that in valuing statistics a higher number is better. Formulas are Combat radius or payload divided by cost value.

<u>Planes</u>	<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Payload</u> (in nounds)	<u>Payload</u> to CPFH	<u>Combat</u>	Combat Radius to
		<u>50011057</u>			<u>crm</u>
F-35	\$ 32,000 ²⁷	17,000 ²⁸	0.5	560	0.018
F-16	\$ 7,000 ²⁹		2.5		0.042
		17,200 ³⁰		295	
F/A-18 E/F	\$ 17,700 ³¹		1.0		0.022
		17,750 ³²		390	
F-22	\$ 19,000 ³³		0.3		0.022
		6,325 ³⁴		410	
AV-8B Harrier II	\$ 11,134 ³⁵		0.8		0.027
		9,200 ³⁶		300	
A-10	\$ 17,716 ³⁷		0.9		0.014
		16,000 ³⁸		250	

²⁷ Majumdar, Dave "USAF estimates F-35 will cost \$32,000 per hour to operate" 29 May 2013 *Flightglobal* Web.

²⁸ "F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter" *Barr Group Aerospace* Web.

²⁹ "Project Air Force" *Rand* Web.

³⁰ "General Dynamics (now Lockheed Martin) F-16 Fighting Falcon Multi-Role Fighter" *aerospaceweb.org* Web.

³¹ Hunt, Edward. "FAST JET OPERATING COSTS." IHS Jane's 13 Mar. 2012. Web

³² "F/A-18 Hornet" Federation of American Scientists Military Analysis Network Web.

³³ "F-22 Assertions and Facts" July 2009 US Senate Web.

³⁴ "AIM-120 Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM), United States of America" *airforcetechnology.com* Web.

[&]quot;F-22A Raptor Advanced Tactical Fighter, United States of America" *airforce-technology.com* Web.

³⁵ "US Spends At Least \$168 Million for First Strikes on Libya" 22 March 2011 Newsmax Web.

³⁶ Nordeen, Lon Harrier II: Validating V/STOL (Maryland: Naval Institue Press, 2006), 73

³⁷ Thompson, Mark "Costly Flight Hours" 02 April 2013 *Time* Web.

³⁸ "A-10 Thunderbolt II" 14 May 2004 US Air Force Web

F-35 and Military Spending (Charpentier)

<u>Planes</u>	<u>Cost (in</u>	millions)	<u>Weight</u> (in	Cost/Weight	<u>Combat</u>	<u>Combat</u> <u>Radius to Cost</u>
			<u>pounds)</u>			<u>per Unit</u>
F-35	\$	106 ³⁹		142		5
			15,000		560	
F-16	\$	33 ⁴⁰		529		9
			17,200		295	
F/A-18 E/F	\$	57 ⁴¹		311		7
			17,750		390	
F-22	\$	143 ⁴²		44		3
			6,325		410	
AV-8B Harrier II	\$	24 ⁴³		388		13
			9,200		300	
A-10	\$	19 ⁴⁴		851		13
			16,000		250	
Inflation		3.28% ⁴⁵				

³⁹ "Producing, Operating and Supporting a 5th Generation Fighter" *Lockheed Martin* Web.

⁴⁰ "Status of the F-16 Aircraft Program" 01 April 1977 GAO Web.

⁴¹ "Status of the F-18 Naval Strike Fighter Program" 01 March 1977 GAO Web.

⁴² Kerr, Jennifer "Air Force's newest fighter jet, F-22 Raptor makes combat debut" 24 September 2014 *PBS* Web.

⁴³ "Harrier II Plus (AV-8B) VSTOL Fighter and Attack Aircraft, USA" *airforce-technology.com* Web.

⁴⁴ "COST AND PERFORMANCE OF THE AIRCRAFT AND MUNITIONS IN DESERT STORM Appendix IV" 12 June 1997 *US Air Force* Web.

⁴⁵ "United States Inflation Rate" *Trading Economics* Web.

Mchahon, Tim "Long Term U.S. Inflation" 01 April 2014 InflationData.com Web.









Findings

As can be seen in the 2 tables and 4 charts above, the F-35 does not compare favorably to other existing 4th generation aircraft. This evaluation holds true when comparing cost data to other

sources. This would allow for the conclusion, based on the data presented and examined, that the F-35 is not worth the cost.

Assumptions

Values are assumed to be correct in all instances of this study. Possible room for variations is in the accuracy of values the sources have; that is the most current data. Other sources could be if calculations of numbers by sources are varying from other sources.

Future Research

Further research could be done in to more extraneous factors. Looking at what new technologies generation 5 aircraft have over generation 4 would be one example. Others would be looking at mission set and determining value there, looking to see if it allows for better cooperation with coalition partners, impact on economies, and if future improvements to generation 5 and 4 aircraft change the values of those aircrafts. Subjective factors could also be researched, such as likability, likability, pilot preference, and factors similar to that.

Other Charts

Program Co	<u>ost</u>					
Planes	Cost (in mil	lions)				
F-35	\$	398,5	85 ⁴⁶			
F-16	\$	46,9	57 ⁴⁷			
F/A-18 E/F	\$	44,2	34 ⁴⁸			
F-22	\$	75,8	92 ⁴⁹			
AV-8B Harrier II	\$	16,0	24 ⁵⁰			
A-10	\$	1,0	23 ⁵¹			
Inflation			3.28%	Γ	1	1
<u>Hardpoints</u>	5					
		Unit Cost			1	
<u>Planes</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Uni</u>	it Cost	<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Points/U</u>	Points/CPFH
<u>Planes</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Uni</u> (in r	i <u>t Cost</u> millions)	<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Points/U</u> <u>nit cost</u>	Points/CPFH
<u>Planes</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Uni</u> (in r	i <u>t Cost</u> nillions)	<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Points/U</u> <u>nit cost</u>	Points/CPFH
Planes F-35	<u>Points</u>	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$	i <u>t Cost</u> nillions) 106	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057	Points/CPFH 0.00019
Planes F-35	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵²	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$	i <u>t Cost</u> millions) 106	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057	Points/CPFH 0.00019
Planes F-35	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵²	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$	i <u>t Cost</u> millions) 106	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057	Points/CPFH 0.00019
Planes F-35 F-16	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵²	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$	i <u>t Cost</u> millions) 106 19	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.00129
Planes F-35 F-16	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³	Uni (in r \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.00129
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19 57	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.00129 0.00062
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F F-22	<u>Points</u> 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³ 11 ⁵⁴	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>it Cost</u> <u>millions)</u> 106 19 57 143	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700 \$ 19,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193 0.028	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.000129 0.00062 0.00021
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F F-22	Points 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³ 11 ⁵⁴ 4 ⁵⁵	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19 57 143	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700 \$ 19,000	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193 0.028	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.000129 0.00062 0.00021
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F F-22 AV-8B Harrier II	Points 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³ 11 ⁵⁴ 4 ⁵⁵	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19 57 143 24	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700 \$ 19,000 \$ 11,134	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193 0.028 0.295	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.000129 0.00062 0.00021 0.00063
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F F-22 AV-8B Harrier II	Points 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³ 11 ⁵⁴ 4 ⁵⁵ 7 ⁵⁶	<u>Uni</u> (in r \$ \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19 57 143 24	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700 \$ 19,000 \$ 11,134	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193 0.028 0.295	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.000129 0.00062 0.00021 0.00063
Planes F-35 F-16 F/A-18 E/F F-22 AV-8B Harrier II A-10	Points 6 ⁵² 9 ⁵³ 11 ⁵⁴ 4 ⁵⁵ 7 ⁵⁶	Uni (in r \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	it Cost millions) 106 19 57 143 24 19	<u>CPFH</u> \$ 32,000 \$ 7,000 \$ 17,700 \$ 19,000 \$ 11,134 \$ 17,716	Points/U nit cost 0.057 0.479 0.193 0.028 0.295 0.532	Points/CPFH 0.00019 0.000129 0.00062 0.00021 0.00063 0.00056

⁴⁶ "Selected Acquisition Report (SAR) F-35 Joint Strike Fighter Aircraft (F-35)" 2015 Department of Defense Web.

⁴⁷ "Status of the F-16 Aircraft Program"

⁴⁸ "Status of the F-18 Naval Strike Fighter Program"

⁴⁹ "Analysis of the Fiscal Year 2012 Pentagon Spending Request"

⁵⁰ "AV-8B hits trouble" 23 May 1987 *Flightglobal* Web.

⁵¹ Jacques, David & Strouble, Dennis "A-10 Thunderbolt II (Warthog) SYSTEMS ENGINEERING CASE STUDY" 2010 Air Force Institute of Technology Web.

⁵² Reed, John "Pics of the week: F-35 With Weapons" 21 Feb. 2012 *Defensetech* Web.

⁵³ "F-16 Fighting Falcon Multirole Fighter, United States of America" airforce-technology.com Web.

⁵⁴ "F/A-18 SUPER HORNET" Boeing Web.

^{55 &}quot;F-22A Raptor Advanced Tactical Fighter, United States of America"

^{56 &}quot;AV-8B Harrier II"

⁵⁷ Alex, Dan "Fairchild Republic A-10 Thunderbolt II (Warthog) Close Air Support / Forward Air Control (1976)" 2 Feb. 2015 Military Factory Web.

<u>Speed</u> (KPH)								
				00511		o :: /ooru		
<u>Planes</u>	<u>Cruising</u>	Max	<u>Unit</u> <u>Cost (in</u> <u>millions)</u>	<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Cruising/Unit</u> <u>Cost</u>	Cruising/CPFH	<u>Max/Unit</u> <u>Cost</u>	Max/CPFH
F-35	1,470 ⁵⁸	1,960 ⁵⁹	\$ 106	\$ 32,000	13.87	0.05	18.49	0.06
F-16	929 ⁶⁰	2,165 ⁶¹	\$ 19	\$ 7,000	49.39	0.13	115.14	0.31
F/A-18 E/F	1,250 ⁶²	2,205 ⁶³	\$ 57	\$ 17,700	21.94	0.07	38.68	0.12
F-22	2,229 ⁶⁴	2,756 ⁶⁵	\$ 143	\$ 19,000	15.63	0.12	19.33	0.15
AV-8B Harrier II	759 ⁶⁶	1,041 ⁶⁷	\$ 24	\$ 11,134	32.03	0.07	43.93	0.09
A-10	563 ⁶⁸	918 ⁶⁹	\$ 19	\$ 17,716	29.95	0.03	48.83	0.05

68 "A-10 Thunderbolt"

⁵⁸ Tirpak, John "The F-35's Race Against Time" November 2012 *Air Force Association* Web.

⁵⁹ "F-35 Lightning II Joint Strike Fighter"

⁶⁰ "GENERAL DYNAMICS F-16A FIGHTING FALCON" 14 Jul. 2014 National Museum of the US Air Force Web.

⁶¹ "GENERAL DYNAMICS F-16A FIGHTING FALCON"

⁶² "McDonnell Douglas F-18 Hornet" Virtual Aircraft Museum Web.

^{63 &}quot;F/A-18 SUPER HORNET"

^{64 &}quot;F-22 Raptor"

^{65 &}quot;F-22 Raptor"

⁶⁶ "Standard Aircraft Characterisitcs Navy Model AV-8B Harrier II Aircraft" Oct. 1986 Naval Air System Command Web.

⁶⁷ "AV-8B HARRIER II/(V/STOL) AIRCRAFT" *Boeing* Web.

^{69 &}quot;A-10 Thunderbolt"

<u>Ceiling (feet)</u>							
<u>Planes</u>		<u>Ceiling</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> (in <u>millions)</u>		<u>CPFH</u>	<u>Points/Unit</u> <u>cost</u>	Points/CPFH
F-35		50,000 ⁷⁰	\$	106	\$ 32,000	472	1.56
F-16	>	50,000 ⁷¹	\$	19	\$ 7,000	2660	7.14
F/A-18 E/F	>	50,000 ⁷²	\$	57	\$ 17,700	877	2.82
F-22	>	50,000 ⁷³	\$	143	\$ 19,000	351	2.63
AV-8B Harrier II		38,000 ⁷⁴	\$	24	\$ 11,134	1603	3.41
A-10		45,000 ⁷⁵	\$	19	\$ 17,716	2394	2.54

⁷⁰ "F-35A Lightning II Conventional Takeoff and Landing Variant" 11 Apr. 2014 US Air Force Web.

⁷¹ "F-16 Fighting Falcon"

⁷² "U.S Navy Fact Sheet F/A-18 Hornet Strike fighter"

^{73 &}quot;F-22 Raptor"

⁷⁴ "AV-8B HARRIER II/(V/STOL) AIRCRAFT"

⁷⁵ "A-10 Thunderbolt"

<u>Total</u>								
<u>Force</u>								
				00511				
<u>Planes</u>	Number	<u>Unit Cost</u> (in millions)		<u>Unit Cost</u> (in millions)		<u>CPFH</u>	<u>cost</u>	Points/CPFH
F-35	2,457 ⁷⁶	\$	106	\$ 32,000	23.0	0.076		
F-16	1,018 ⁷⁷	\$	19	\$ 7,000	54.1	0.145		
F/A-18 E/F	563 ⁷⁸	\$	57	\$ 17,700	9.9	0.032		
F-22	183 ⁷⁹	\$	143	\$ 19,000	1.3	0.010		
AV-8B Harrier II	134 ⁸⁰	\$	24	\$ 11,134	5.7	0.012		
A-10	343 ⁸¹	\$	19	\$ 17,716	18.2	0.019		

⁷⁶ Gertler, Jeremiah "F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program" 29 Apr. 2014 *Congressional Research Service* Web.

⁷⁷ "F-16 Fighting Falcon"

⁷⁸ "F/A-18E/F Super Hornet" 25 Mar. 2015 Barr Group Aerospace Web.

^{79 &}quot;F-22 Raptor"

⁸⁰ "AV-8B Harrier II"

⁸¹ "A-10 Thunderbolt II"