#### College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University

#### DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU

**OARCA Staff Publications** 

Office of Academic Review and Curricular Advancement

10-2008

#### "A Meta-Evaluation of Institutional Outcomes Assessment: The Confluence of Assessment Policy, Procedure, and Practice" or, simply, "Assessing Assessment"

Philip I. Kramer College of Saint Benedict/Saint John's University, pkramer@csbsju.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.csbsju.edu/oarca\_pubs



Part of the Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Kramer, P. I. (2008). "A Meta-evaluation of institutional outcomes assessment: The confluence of assessment policy, procedure, and practice." Presented at the Indiana University Purdue University Indiana 2008 Assessment Institute Conference, October 26-28, 2008, Indianapolis, IN.

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU. It has been accepted for inclusion in OARCA Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@CSB/SJU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@csbsju.edu.

"A Meta-Evaluation of Institutional Outcomes
Assessment: The Confluence of Assessment Policy,
Procedure, and Practice"
or, simply,
"Assessing Assessment"

Philip Kramer, College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University, Director of Academic Assessment

#### Why Should We Assess Assessment?

- Good to periodically evaluate the policy, procedure, and practice of student outcomes assessment.
- Why? Opportunity to reflect on purposes, structures, and activities of assessment and strategically plan, design, and implement improvements in teaching and learning.

#### Goals of the Workshop

- To consider one case study of an assessment of assessment and to begin examining assessment at your institutions;
- Session designed for all campus stakeholders;
- To make assessment part of everyday activities and long term, strategic thinking and decision-making.

#### My Assessment of Assessment (Was I nuts?)

- I was new to the institutions and wanted to understand the assessment landscape;
- Recent and significant personnel changes in academic areas affecting assessment;
- No one had ever assessed assessment.

#### My Assessment of Assessment (Context)

Institutions were researching and writing self-study in preparation for the October 2008 Higher Learning Commission (HLC) accreditation site visit. Ten years ago, the last accreditation report dinged us for concerns related to assessment and program review...

#### My Assessment of Assessment (Previous Accreditation Dings)

- Little faculty understanding of and appreciation for assessment's potential contribution;
- Assessment was unevenly designed and implemented across academic departments and general education;
- Serious deficiency of systemic program evaluation for the purposes of demonstrating program quality.

A ten year descriptive history of our assessment, including assessment origins, stops and starts and stops, faculty governance, faculty resistance, leadership changes, and personnel challenges.

Evaluative summary of recent assessment developments, including faculty plans to embed assessment; struggles with disciplinary and general ed plans; and failure to articulate administrative and faculty expectations for student learning outcomes.

A glossary of typical assessment terms common to most institutions: e.g., student outcomes assessment; accountability; value-added; methodological, organizational, and implementational issues, pedagogical and curricular improvement.

A glossary of terms and acronyms unique to my institutions: APSAC (Academic Policy, Standards, and Assessment Committee); AAP (Academic Assessment Plan); and ACCCR (annual core/common curriculum report).

Description of our internal assessment stakeholders: faculty; staff; students; administrators; board members; and members of the monastic communities.

Description of our external assessment stakeholders: employers; alumni; parents; general public; accreditors; peer and aspirant institutions.

- Budget: a ten year narrative and a spreadsheet fiscal history of monies spent on assessment by majors, minors, and divisions;
- Fiscal policy analysis of the evolution of assessment budget allocation in Academic Affairs.

Assessment Personnel: description of the development, transitions, roles and responsibilities of jobs related to assessment.

Extensive evaluative discussion of ten years of assessment policy, procedures, and practice; both then--challenging, irregular, a faculty add-on, vigorously resisted at times, limited resources, seen as decades long imposition by accreditors...

...and now--high level hires, significant organization changes resulted in shifting the purposes, policies, and processes; now, assessment is nearly systemic, mostly accepted, and used increasingly for datainformed decision-making.

- Examples of policy, procedure, and practice changes in assessment:
  - Top leadership committed to using assessment to improve teaching and learning;
  - A new general ed curriculum with defined, operationalized, and measurable goals

- Examples of policy, procedure, and practice changes in assessment:
  - Faculty committees improved the general ed curriculum and embedded assessment in curricula;
  - Responsibility for assessment has been clearly defined and delegated.

- Recommendations made:
  - Clarify purpose of assessment;
  - Increase stakeholder involvement;
  - Improve faculty reward and compensation;
  - Integrate planning...

- Recommendations made:
  - ...Improve methodologies;
  - Implement systemically;
  - Widely disseminate and use results.

- If you're here with others from your institution, please sit together...
- ...If not, that's okay too--join others from different institutions...

- Why do you want to assess assessment?
- What are the origins of assessment at your institution?
- Considering the political, cultural, organization, and learning structures and systems at your institution...

List and briefly define the typical assessment descriptions, definitions, (and jargon) common to most institutions that you use.

List and define the assessment terms, including jargon and acronyms, unique to your institution.

- Who has responsibility for assessment?
- Is assessment supported by faculty, staff, students, and the administration?
- Is it systemic?
- Is it used? Does it lead to improvements in teaching and learning? Are there rewards? Is there punishment?

- Does assessment evolve? Is it evaluated and improved?
- Is it valued? Is it part of faculty, staff, administrative, and student cultures? Is it part of faculty teaching, scholarship, and service?

- List your internal and external assessment stakeholders.
  - How important is assessment to each stakeholder group?
  - How do you communicate assessment policies, procedures, and practice (especially the results) to each group?

- Describe the budget for assessment—just approximate for now;
- Analyze the fiscal policy of assessment budget allocations. Does money influence behavior? Is it enough? Why? Why not?

- Describe the development, transitions, challenges, and successes of the roles and responsibilities of assessment personnel.
- Who does the work? Who leads? Who follows? Who likes it? Who hates it? Who uses it?

- Step back and consider...
  - Past, present, and future assessment policies, practices, and procedures and outcomes.
  - Where have you been? Where are you now? Where do you want to go and why? What do you need to get there?

- Describe tentative recommendations you will make to improve the policies, procedures, and practices of assessment?
  - Where will you go? Why? How? When? By and with whom? What systems and structures do you want in place? Why?

Group reports: the state of assessment at your institutions—past, present, and future?

#### Thank you!

- Thanks for participating!
- Philip Kramer, College of Saint Benedict and Saint John's University
- (320) 363-5289
- pkramer@csbsju.edu
- www.csbsju.edu/assessment