# Georgia Southern University Digital Commons@Georgia Southern

**Faculty Senate Index** 

**Faculty Senate Documents** 

7-27-2012

Chick-fil-A

David Seaman Georgia Southern University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/faculty-senateindex



Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons

### Recommended Citation

Seaman, David, "Chick-fil-A" (2012). Faculty Senate Index. 253. https://digital commons.georgia southern.edu/faculty-senate-index/253

This request for information is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate Documents at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Index by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu.

# Chick-fil-A

Submitted by: David Seaman

7/27/2012

## Question:

What is the nature and extent of Georgia Southern's relationship with Chick-fil-A? Should we have a Chick-fil-A restaurant on campus, and continue with our association with the company?

#### Rationale:

Recent news items have indicated that the owner of Chick-fil-A has contributed significant funds to organizations that are actively anti-gay. I wonder if this profile of the company is consistent with GSU's diversity goals. I am not certain what the association is, but I have noticed over the years many events that mention them, etc.

## **SEC Response:**

9/10/2012: In considering this RFI, the SEC found that while the first question would be a legitimate RFI, the second question is a statement more than a question, and therefore would be more appropriate in the form of a motion request. The RFI is therefore rejected, but both parts could be considered at a later meeting if submitted in the appropriate forms.

## Senate Response:

Minutes: 9/19/2012: . The other (submitted by David Seaman re: ChickfilA's relationship with GSU) was considered inappropriately worded for an RFI, as which it had been submitted, and more appropriate in the form of a motion request; therefore, the RFI was not approved.