

# Does collaborative farm-scale modelling address current challenges and future opportunities?

#### **Nick Hutchings**

Weindl, I. (DE), Topp, C.F.E. (UK), Snow, V.O. (NZ), Rotz, A. (USA), Raynal, H. (F), Özkan Gülzari, S. (N), Martin, R. (F), Holzworth D.P. (AUS), Graux, A-I. (F), Faverdin, P. (F), Del Prado, A. (ES), Eckard, R. (AUS), Bannink, A. (NL)

#### **Opportunities for farm-scale models**

- Developments in sensors and IT, Internet of Things
  - Enables local parameterisation
- Mitigation of GHG emissions
  - EU effort-sharing decision on non-ETS emission reductions
- Adaptation to climate change

## Challenges for farm-scale models

#### Scientific

- How to model new farming technologies (e.g. NH<sub>3</sub> stripping)
- Simulation of effects of weather extremes
- Widen the user base
  - Accessibility
  - Reliability
- Resources for agricultural research
  - Have generally been reducing
  - Increasingly short-term

#### Widening the user base

- Usability user interface
  - Configuration and input
  - Output and overview of results
  - > Help
- Reliability
  - Scientific and technical documentation
  - QA/QC including version control
  - Parameterisation extent and limits
  - Long-term accessibility legal constraints
  - Long-term development scientific, technical

#### The problem

- Resources required increasing, resources available decreasing
- Single-owner models
  - Model is owned by an individual or single organisation
  - > Traditional approach
- Community models
  - Model is owned by a group of individuals or organisations
  - Possible due to increased mobility, collaboration, www

## Single-owner models

- Advantages
  - Benefit from earlier investment
  - May have access to cheap labour or a generous benefactor
  - Commercialisation may be an option
  - Streamlined management
  - Low communication overheads
- Disadvantages
  - Vulnerable to fluctuations in funding
  - Vulnerable to staff changes (e.g. retirement)

## Community modelling - advantages

- Shared costs
  - Some functions are common for all farm models
  - Technical development
  - Scientific improvements
- Achieve more with less
  - Including access to empirical data for parameterisation & testing
- Intellectual forum, mutual support
- Greater credibility QA/QC
- Greater resilience to fluctuations in funding, staffing

#### Community modelling - disadvantages

- Need agreed procedures for
  - Sharing costs
  - Maintaining standards
- Organisational barriers
  - > Wish to retain 'flagship' models, 'not invented here'
  - Resistence to sharing costs
- Technical issues
  - > e.g. Windows v Linux
  - Migration of existing software modules
- Scientific limitations
  - Some underlying concepts may be inflexible

#### Who should be talking to whom?

		Arable 💻	Pig/poultry	<b>Ruminants</b>
Single farm	Management input High detail	Fields	Fields Livestock Manure	Fields Livestock Manure Grazing
	Management Simulated Medium detail	Optima	1 for community	modelling:
Many farms	Management simulated	<ul><li>Similar levels of detail</li><li>Similar time steps</li></ul>		

Low detail

#### **Revolution or evolution?**

- Creating a new model system?
  - Compare model simulations using standard scenarios
  - Discuss processes and level of detail
  - Get to know each other
  - Discuss IT, management and cost sharing
- Joining an existing model system?
  - > These decisions will have been made
- Competing modelling systems?
  - Probably inevitable (Windows v Linux, programming language)
  - Dialogue between them would be useful

#### Conclusions

- Resources required increasing, resources available decreasing
  - Farm-scale modellers will need to make strategic decisions
- Single-owner models
  - > May continue with additional resources
  - Risk of 'succession' problem
- Community modelling is an alternative
  - Need to continue building a community of farm modellers