



FACCE-MACSUR

DC-3.4 Evaluation of scaling methods for other crops, regions and scaling methods

Holger Hoffmann ^{a,*}, Frank Ewert ^a and members of workpackage 3

^a Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, University of Bonn, Katzenburgweg 5, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

*hhoffmann@uni-bonn.de

Instrument:	Joint Programming Initiative
Topic:	Agriculture, Food Security, and Climate Change
Project:	Modelling European Agriculture with Climate Change for Food Security (FACCE-MACSUR)
Start date of project:	1 June 2015
Duration:	24
Theme, Work Package:	CropM
Deliverable reference num.:	D-C 3.4
Deliverable lead partner:	University of Bonn
Due date of deliverable:	M 18
Submission date:	2016-04
Confidential till:	Contains parts from published journal articles, only the abstract may be published

Revision	Changes	Date
1.0	First Release	2016-04

Abstract/Executive summary

The MACSUR WP3 Scaling Exercise predominantly investigated the effects of spatially aggregating or sampling model input data for large scale model assessments. This was first carried out for the region of North Rhine-Westphalia (NRW) and predominantly evaluated for water-limited yield simulations of winter wheat grain yield. In this report, specific findings from NRW are compared to findings from a larger population of simulation settings / environmental conditions, extending the analysis to further crops, regions and impact variables. Similar aggregation errors and spatial patterns of silage maize and winter wheat yield have been found. When verifying findings with a different region, partially similar error patterns were observed for Tuscany, Italy. While the aggregation error is strongly related to the spatial heterogeneity of the data, other influences as e.g. the climate may be less relevant if the cropping system is adapted to local conditions. Findings for different output variables (NPP, N-leaching, water use efficiency, etc.) largely confirm findings from crop yield with regard to error patterns. However, absolute values and thresholds partially differed considerably across output variables. The findings give a first empiric insight towards a possible generalization of aggregation errors.

Table of Contents

Introduction and overview on evaluated scaling methods.....	3
Interaction of spatial input data aggregation effects and crop.....	4
Interaction of spatial input data aggregation effects and impact variables	7
Interaction of spatial input data aggregation effects and region.....	8
Interaction of spatial output data aggregation (sampling) with crop	9
References.....	10