

ISSN: 2091-2749 (Print) 2091-2757 (Online)

Correspondence

Dr. Reena Shrestha Lecturer, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal Email: drreenashrestha@hotmail.com

Peer Reviewed By

Dr. Ashis Shrestha Patan Academy of Health Sciences

Peer Reviewed By

Dr. Sumana Bajracharya Patan Academy of Health Sciences

Relaparatomy after caesarean section

Reena shrestha,¹ Laxmi RC (Karki),² Sarada Duwal Shrestha,² Anagha Pradhan Malla,¹ Binita Pradhan,² Alka Singh,³ WuFei Shah,⁴ Padma Gurung,¹ Sushma Lama¹

¹Lecturer, ²Assistant Professor, ³Associate Professor, ⁴Senior Registrar, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

ABSTRACT

Introductions: Relaparotomy after caesarean section is rare and literature are scanty. The decision requires a good clinical judgment to save mother's life. Our objective was to analyse the outcome of relaparotomy after caesarean section at Patan hospital.

Methods: This was a cross sectional study done at the department of obstetrics and gynaecology, Patan Hospital, Nepal. Charts of caesarean section from January 2010 to December 2014 were reviewed to analyze the cases of relaparotomy for incidence, indication, management and outcome. Descriptive analysis was done using SPSS.

Results: During 5 years, there were 17,538 caesarean deliveries, 39.15% of total 44,788 deliveries. Relaparotomy was done in 15 cases, 0.085% of 17538 caesarean. Mean age was 26.6±4.7 years, 14 (93.3%) were between 25-35 years, 12 (80%) were primigravida. Indications of relaparotomy were pyoperitonium (40%), hemoperitoneum (33.3%) and rectus sheath hematoma (26.7%). Out of 15 relaparotomy, 14 were conservative surgery and one required hysterectomy. There was no maternal mortality.

Conclusions: Relaparotomyin our study the rate was eight in 10,000. Those requiring relaparatomy had fetal distress as indication for first caesarean.

Keywords: caesarean section, pyoperitoneum, relaparotomy

INTRODUCTIONS

Caesarean section (CS) is considered to be a safe operation to circumvent maternal and fetal complication.¹ Primary caesarean rates are persistently increasing worldwide, presumably reflecting changes in maternal characteristics and obstetric practices.^{2,3} Thus, increasing rates of major maternal complications are expected as this trend escalates.

Relaparotomy after CS is rare. Literature is scant and insufficient to make a valid comparison about this important issue.^{4,5} Objective of this study was to determine the incidence, indication, management and outcome of relaparotomy after CS at Patan hospital.

METHODS

This was a cross sectional study done at the department of obstetrics and gynaecology of Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences (PAHS), Kathmandu, Nepal. The study duration was 5 years from January 2010 to December 2014. Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee of PAHS. Records of all CS deliveries were reviewed to find out cases of relaparotomy. Demographics, obstetric variables, indications, intra-operative findings, and procedure done at relaparotomy were recorded in a structured proforma. The frequency and percentage distributions of the data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.

RESULTS

There were 17,538 CS (39.15%) out of total 44,788 deliveries. Relaparotomy was done in 15 (0.085% of 17538 CS).

In 15 relaparotomy, the mean age was 26.6 ± 4.7 years, 14 in age group of 20 to 35 years and one above 35 years. Twelve (80%) were primi, 2 (13.3%) were parity two and 1 (6.7%) was parity three. Twelve (80%) were

after emergency CS and 3 (20%) following elective CS. Indication of CS was meconium in 20%, Table 1.

Table 1. Indication of CS in patients requiring Relaparotomy (n=15)				
Indication of CS	Frequency	Percent		
Fetal Distress				
Meconium liquor	3	20.0		
Fetal bradycardia	1	6.7		
Persistent fetal tacycardia with GHTN	1	6.7		
Failed IOL with decreased Fetal movement, Hypothyroidism, Morbid obesity	1	6.7		
Total	6	40.0		
Cephalopelvic				
disproportion				
CPD	1	6.7		
CPD with previous section	1	6.7		
CPD with previous section with epilepsy with GHTN	1	6.7		
CPD with PROM	1	6.7		
Total	4	26.67		
Eclampsia	2	13.33		
Non progress of labor	2	13.33		
Preterm breech in labor	1	6.7		
Total	15	100.0		

Note: CS-caesarean section, GHTN-gestational hypertension, IOL-induction of labor, CPDcephalopelvic disproportion, PROM-premature rupture of membrane Relaporotomy was done after 3 to 456 hours (19 days) after CS, mean duration of 129.4 hours (5.4days), Table 2. Pyoperitonium indications of relaparotomy in three cases, Table 3. Conservative surgery i.e. peritoneal lavage, evacuation of hematoma and resuturing was done in 14 cases and one had total hysterectomy (6.6% of 15). All recovered well postoperatively. Maternal mortality was nil.

Table 2 mining of Kelaparotomy after CS				
Duration (hours)	Frequency	Percent		
<24hrs	3	20.0		
24hrs-48 hrs	3	20.0		
48hrs-72 hrs	1	6.7		
>72hrs	8	53.3		
Total	15	100.0		

Table 3. Indication of Relaparotomy after CS				
Indication	Frequency	%		
Rectus sheath hematoma	4	26.6		
Hemoperitoneum	5	33.3		
Pyoperitonium	6	40		
Total	15	100		

DISCUSSIONS

Relaparotomy after CS in 0.085% (15 out of 17835) in our study is lower than most reported series of 0.13% by Ahmed⁶, 0.2% by Levin⁷, 0.4% by Shiri⁸ and 1.04 % by Raagab⁹, possibly because Patan Hospital has good antenatal care.

Most of our cases (93.33%, 14 out of 15) were younger in the age group of 20 to 35 years, similar to the study by Biswas SP¹⁰, and Ahmed Khan⁶, possibly because of early marriage in our part of the world.

Emergency CS is an important risk factor for relaparotomy as seen from our finding of 12 (80% of 15) relaparotomy were after emergency CS and only 3 (20% of 15) after elective CS. Seal SL¹¹ reports 95.5%, Raagab AE⁹ 95.5%, and Ahmed Khan NB et al⁶ 85.18% of relaparatomy cases were following emergency CS deliveries.

In our study the most common indication of CS in patients who later required relaparotomy was fetal distress in 6 (40%, Table 3), similar to Biswas SP¹⁰ who has reported the commonest indication of primary caesarean section was prolonged labor and fetal distress in 40%. In study by Ahmed khan NB et al.⁶ the most common indication of caesarean section was failure of progress of labor (29.6%) and fetal distress (22.2%).

In our series the mean time of relaparotomy was 5.4 days after CS, whereas Levin⁷ had an average of 5 hours, Biswas SP¹⁰ reports 32.73% within 7th day, Raagab AE⁹ within 5.5 hours, and Kessous R¹² had 51.2% relaparotomy within 24 hours after primary CS. In all these studies the commonest indication of relaparotomy was post partum hemorrhage (PPH), early detection followed by definitive reloaparotomy. The delayed relaparotomy after primary CS in our study was because most of our cases had pyoperitoneum (40%, Table 3) which is generally manifested after 2nd or 3rd postoperative day.

The PPH after primary CS was the commonest cause for relaparotomy in study by Biswas SP¹⁰, Levin⁷ and Seal SL¹¹ had 42.4% PPH and rectus sheath hematoma 27.3%. Incidence of infection, manifested as pyoperitonuem was higher in our case and PPH was lowest, Table 3.

Only one case required total hysterectomy out of 15 in our series and rest of 14 were managed by conservative surgery, similar to study by Lurie⁵, who reports one hysterectomy out of 18. However Ahmed et al⁶ reports hysterectomy was required in 21 (77.78%), of which 14 was total (66.66%) and 7 (33.33%) subtotal hysterectomy. In study by Biswas SP ¹⁰, hysterectomy or subtotal hysterectomy was done in 38.18% and conservative surgery was done in 61.82%. In study by Kessous Roy¹², hysterectomy was performed in 31.3% patients. As most of our cases had pyopertonium or rectus sheath hematoma conservative surgery during relaparotomy was adequate.

There was no maternal mortality in our case unlike other study where maternal death was as high as 18.52% in Ahmed Khan NB et al^6 , 15.38% in Shyamal D^{13} and 9% in Seffah¹⁴ series.

CONCLUSIONS

The relaparotomy rate following caesarean deliveries was low at 0.085%, due to payoperitonium and hemoperitonium in two-third. Hysterectomy was required in one out of 15. Mortality was nil.

REFERENCES

- Gabert HA, Bey M. History and development of caesarean operation. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 1988; 15: 591-601
- Joseph KS, Young DC, Dodds L, O'Connell CM, Allen VM, Chandra S, et al. Changes in maternal characteristics and obstetric practice and recent increases in primary cesarean delivery. Obstet Gynecol 2003; 102(4):791-800.
- Van- Roosmalin J, Van- der- Does CD. Caesarean birth rate world wide, a search for determinants. Trop Geogr Med 1995; 47:19-22
- Gedikbasi A, Akyol A, Asar E, Bingol B, Uncu R, Sargin A, et al. Re-laparotomy after cesarean section: operative complications in surgical delivery. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2008; 278(5):419-25.

- 5. Lurie S, Sadan O, Golan A. Re-laparotomy after cesarean section. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2007; 134(2):184-7.
- Ahmed Khan NB, Kolasseri SS. Relaparotomy after caesarean section: an analysis of the risk factors, indications and outcome. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2015;4:575-80.
- Levin I, Rapaport AS, Satzor L, Maslovitz S, Lessing JB, Almog B. Risk factors for relaparotomy after caesarean delivery. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2012;119:163-5.
- Shinar S, Hareuveni M, Ben-Tal O, Many A. Relaparotomies after caesarean sections: risk factors, indications and management. J Perinatal Med. 2013;41:567-72.
- Raagab AE, Misbah YH, Brabat RI, Zayed AA, Alsaammani MA. Relaparotomy after caesarean section: risks, indications and management options. Med Arch. 2014;68:44-5.
- Biswas SP, Halder S, Shirin FB. Indications and outcome of relaparotomy after caesarean section. Bang Med J. 2012;45:19-23.
- Seal SL, Kamilya G, Bhattacharyya SK, Mukherji J, Bhattarcharyya AR. Relaparotomy after caesarean delivery: experience from an Indian Teaching Hospital. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2007;33(6):804-9.
- Kessous R, Danor D, Weintraub A, Wiznitzer A, Sergienko R, Ohel I, et al. Risk factors for relaparotomy after caesarean section. J Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med. 2012;25:2167-70.
- Shyamal D, Pratim SP, Aradhana K, Partha M, Kirti M, Subhankar D. Early reoperations after gynecological and obstetrical surgery-a five years. J Obstet Gynecol India. 2010;60:507-10
- Seffah JD. Relaparotomy after cesarean section. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2005;88(3):253-7.