札幌医学雑誌 87 (1-6) 63 ~ 74 (2018) doi: 10.15114/smj.87.63

ORIGINAL

Parental rearing attitudes in childhood is associated with adult sleep disturbances independently from depression and life events: a cross-sectional survey in Japan

Motoki Amai¹⁾, Masanori Nojima²⁾, Toshio Sato³⁾, Yukari Udagawa⁴⁾, Mitsuru Mori¹⁾

¹⁾Department of Public Health, Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan.

²⁾Center for Translational Research, The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

³⁾Department of Educational Development Center for Medical Education, Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan.

⁴⁾Otaru-shi public health center, Otaru, Japan.

ABSTRACT

Sleep disturbance associated with depression and suicide is a serious public health concern. Previous studies have suggested that sleep disturbances increase the risk of suicide without going through depression. Adverse childhood experience, which has a long-term effect on mental health, is also a serious problem. We conducted a study to investigate the association between parental rearing attitudes in childhood and sleep disturbances in adulthood by performing a questionnaire survey. A cross-sectional survey performed with the residents of a provincial city in Japan. A total of 1,500 male (aged \geq 30 years) subjects were randomly selected by a computer from the basic resident register after stratifying them by age. The subjects were assessed by personal characteristics, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), the Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS), and the Parent Bonding Instrument (PBI). We obtained an answer from 400 people (26.7%) among 1,500 subjects. Their average age and standard deviation were 48.3 ± 8.6 years at the time of the survey. According to performing a logistic regression analysis that assessed each parental bonding type compared with optimal bonding adjusted for CES-D and SRRS, "affectionless control" and "awaking during sleep," and "affectionate constraint" and "a sense of insufficiency of the sleep" were significantly associated, even after controlling for age (OR 2.93, 95% CI: 1.46-5.87; OR 0.24, 95% CI: 0.07-0.79, respectively). Our study raises the possibility that the parental care of a child affects sleep disturbances in adulthood.

(Accepted October 31, 2018)

Key words: Men's health, Sleep disturbances, Parental rearing attitude, Depression, Life events

1. Introduction

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), including child abuse, neglect, parental separation, and divorce, have been focused on as risk factors for mental health disorders. According to previous reports, ACEs increase the risk of depression and suicide in adulthood ¹⁻⁴, indicating that ACEs have a long-term effect on mental health. In recent years, there are studies that quantitatively evaluate parental rearing attitudes and their influence on mental health. In studies using Parental Bonding Instrument (PBI) developed by Burbach DJ et al. (1989)⁵, parental rearing that lacked interest and affection was associated with various mental health problems including depression, eating disorders, and suicide, regardless of the time from exposure to onset⁶⁻¹¹⁾. From the above, even if the problems of parent-child relationship in early childhood are not seriously crucial (such as obvious child abuse), it may affect long-term mental health conditions in adulthood. Regarding psychiatric or biological mechanism, a previous report indicated that young women exposed to ACEs have a higher risk of depression, even with a mild stress, suggesting that ACEs weaken stress tolerance¹²⁾. In addition, those who have a history of child abuse have increased adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), cortisol concentration and heart rate with psychosocial stress, and decreased self-esteem $^{13)}$.

Meanwhile, sleep disturbance is a serious public health concern which is considered not only a problem itself but also as a cause of subsequent more serious mental health problems¹⁴⁾. In Japan, according to the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare's National health and Nutrition Poll in 2013 (N = 3,311), 67% of subjects had sleep problems, including disturbance of sleep induction, early morning awakening, waking during sleep, and insufficiency of sleep¹⁵⁾. In previous studies, sleep disturbance was associated with current or future serious mental health problems, such as depression and suicide¹⁴⁻²¹⁾. According to the investigation in Jones-Hopkins University that spanned over approximately forty years, the presence of past sleep disturbance is a risk of depression in adulthood²², suggesting a long-term effect of sleep disturbance on mental health. Thus, sleep disturbance is not only a symptom in mental health disorders, but rather can be a factor causing a new mental health problem.

Based on the above, both ACEs and sleep disturbance have long-term influence on mental health, but there are few reports discussing these relationships thus far. We, therefore, conducted a study to investigate the association between parental rearing attitudes in childhood and sleep disturbance in adulthood by performing a questionnaire survey, including PBI. As the suicide rate of Japanese men in the prime of life has remained high (around 30.0 per 100,000) particularly after 1998²³, mental health problems of this population in Japan are serious. Therefore, this population was set for the target population in this study. The eventual goal of our study was to identify the high-risk population from the view point of parent-child relationship in early childhood, and developing an efficient precautionary approach of sleep disturbance in adulthood.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and subjects

This study is a cross-sectional survey, and was performed among the residents of Otaru, a northern city in Japan, in October and December 2013.

In 2013, the registered population of the entire city was approximately 127,000 (men = 57,662). From the basic resident register, a total of 1,500 male (aged \geq 30 years) subjects were randomly selected by a computer after stratifying them by age. A structured questionnaire, including a letter, which explained the purpose of the survey and contained a request to participate, was mailed to each of the selected subjects at the end of October 2013. Submission of the completed form was requested back by the end of December 2013.

2.2. Measurements

The questionnaire included personal characteristics and psychological measurements.

2.2.1. General characteristics

Personal characteristics included the following items: age, marital status, education level, employment status, BMI, sleeping habits, physical exercise habits, and smoking status. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated from the question on current weight (measured in kg) and height (measured in cm). This was divided into four categories (<18.5, 18.5 - 24.9, and >25.0).

2.2.2. Sleeping habits

A questionnaire for sleeping habits was made by modifying the questionnaire used in the previous study²⁴⁾. The questions included eight items: daily hours of sleep (defined by an answer fewer than 6 h), difficulty initiating sleep (defined by taking more than 30 min to fall asleep), mid-sleep waking (defined by an answer of more than three times a week), early-morning waking (defined by an answer more than three times a week), difficulty waking up in the morning (defined by considerably/somewhat), sleeping poorly at night (defined by very poorly/not so well), insufficiency of sleep (definitely/somewhat), and difficulty breathing during sleep (defined by more than once a week). Sleeping poorly at night was defined as getting enough sleep despite having sleepiness and malaise. Insufficiency of sleep was measured as a subjective level of satisfaction.

2.2.3. Psychological measurements

Psychological conditions were quantitatively evaluated by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)²⁵⁾, the Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS)²⁶⁾, and the Parent Bonding Instrument (PBI)^{27, 28)}.

The CES-D scale was used in assessing the depressive symptom. The CES-D scale in the Japanese version was taken from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. This scale has 20 items that measured the level of depressive symptoms experienced in the past week. CES-D was divided into two classes using a score of 16.0 as a cut-off point for severity. These two groups were high-level depressive symptoms (more than 16) and lower levels of depression (less than 15).

The Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS) was used to identify major stressful life events using a self-report questionnaire developed by Holmes and Rahe in 1968. SRRS measured the strength of stress that the responder had passed through a variety of life events in this 43 -item scale, which have occurred to subjects within certain periods of one year. All items were scored on a zero to four scale with zero representing "no event," one "not upset/distressed," two "a little upset/ distressed," three "moderately upset/distressed," and four "very distressed." A total score of 150 or less was good, suggesting a low level of stress in one year and a low probability of developing a stress-related disorder. A score of 150 or more statistically experienced strong stress levels and stood an almost 50-80% chance of getting a psychosomatic disorder in the near future.

2.2.4. Parental bonding instrument (PBI)

PBI is a widely used self-reporting questionnaire to evaluate parental child-rearing behavior. This questionnaire has 25 items rated on a four-point Likert-Type scale. This scale consists of 12 items assessing "care" and 13 items assessing "protection." The care dimension ranges from affection, closeness, and reciprocity to rejection, coldness, and indifference, including items such as "Spoke to me in a warm and friendly voice." Protection dimension ranges from overprotection, extensive intrusion, control, and infantilization, to the promotion of independence and autonomy, including items such as "Liked me to make my own decisions." These items were used to divide four major dimensions of parental bonding, such as "optimal bonding" (high care and low protection), "abuse or weak bonding" (low care and low protection), "affectionate constraint" (high care and high protection), and "affectionless control" (low care and high protection). High care was determined if 24 points for paternal and 27 points for maternal points or more in the care score (these are separately determined). High protection was determined if 12.5 points for paternal and 13.5 points for maternal points or more in the protection score.

2.3. Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using SPSS ver.22.0.

P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses, in detail, were as follows.

2.3.1. Categorical analyses

To distinguish unhealthy sleeping habits, all items related to sleep were binarized. Using this binarized outcome, we carried out descriptive analysis and logistic regression. The prevalence of sleeping habits by different categories was shown along with P values of their bivariate association obtained by a chi-square test and residual analysis. In the residual analysis, standardized adjusted residuals were calculated for each cell in cross-tabulation Tables and are considered statistically significant when they were greater than 1.96 (see https://www.ibm.com/support/ knowledgecenter/en/SSLVMB_23.0.0/spss/base/idh_ xtab cell.html). Logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the association between PBI (four categories) and sleeping habits (binalized) with the adjustment for age, CES-D, and SRRS (as continuous variables).

2.3.2. Path analysis

Path analysis was performed using multiple linear regression model for visualization of direct/ indirect effect of parental bonding²⁹. In the analysis, all of outcomes and explanatory variables were input as continuous variables (including standardized CES-D, SRRS and PBI), and coefficients calculated in the model was interpreted as path coefficient. Variables for parental bonding were raw scores for care and protection (described above).

3. Ethics

The Ethics Committee at Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine approved this study.

4. Results

4.1. Baseline characteristics

We obtained answers from 400 people (26.7%) among the 1,500 subjects who participated in the study and mailed back the completed questionnaire before the deadline. The data of 400 people was analyzed. The average age at the time of the survey was higher for those who were over 50 (49.5%) compared with subjects in their 30s (20.3%). This ranged from 31 to 63 (mean 48.3, SD 8.6), which is shown in Table 1. Among them, 132 (33.0%) subjects had depressive symptoms and subjects of SRRS with a score of 150 or more

Variable	Sample size(%)	Mean (SD, range)
Number	400	
Age group (year)		48.3 (8.6, 31 - 63)
· 30 - 39	81 (20.3)	
· 40 - 49	121 (30.3)	
· 50 - 59	168 (42.0)	
• 60+	30 (7.5)	
Marital status		
 Currently married 	297 (74.3)	
• Not married	82 (20.5)	
• Divorced	18 (4.5)	
• Widowed	3 (0.8)	
Education group (year)		
· 6 - 9	19 (4.8)	
· 10 - 12	187 (46.8)	
• 13 - 16	182 (45.5)	
· 16+	12 (3.0)	
Employment status		
• Regular occupation	279 (69.8)	
 Self-employed 	48 (12.0)	
· Atypical employment	36 (9.0)	
• Unemployment	27 (6.8)	
• other	10 (2.5)	

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Baseline Charactaristics in Study

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of life habits and scale score (CES-D, SRRS and PBI).

Variable	Sample size(%)	Mean (SD, range)
number	400	
BMI:		
• <18.5	8 (2.0)	
· 18.5 - 24.9	238 (59.5)	
· ≧25.0	153 (38.3)	
Physical exercise habits (vs yes)	143 (35.8)	
Smoking:		
• Non-smoker	77 (19.3)	
· Every day	167 (42.0)	
· Occasional smorker	8 (2.0)	
• EX-smoker	146 (36.7)	
Drinking		
Non-drinking	78 (19.5)	
• Every day	152 (38.0)	
• Occasional drinker	157 (39.3)	
EX-drinking	13 (3.3)	
Sleeping habits:		
• Daily sleep duration: fewer		
than 6h and more than 10h	169 (42.3)	
• Taking more than 30 min to fall asleep	70 (17.5)	
• Waking during sleep more than 3 times/week	173 (43.3)	
• Early morning awakening more than 3 times/week	52 (13.0)	
 Difficulty waking up in the morning (Considerably/ somewhat) 	287 (71.8)	
• A sense of insufficiency of sleep (definitely/somewhat)	194 (48.5)	
 Sleeping poorly at night (very poorly/not so well) 	175 (43.8)	
• Difficulty breathing during sleep (more than once a week)	39 (9.8)	
CES-D score:		13.2 (8.8, 0-55)
· <16.0	267 (66.8)	
$\cdot \geq 16$ (Depressive symptom)	132 (33.0)	
SRRS score:	102 (00.0)	90.6 (79.8 0 - 466)
· <150	320 (80.8)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
$\cdot \ge 150$ (sick near future)	76 (19.2)	
Father bonding types:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
• Ontimal bonding	140 (44.0)	
Affectionless control	57 (17.9)	
Affectionate constraint	17 (5.3)	
· Abuse or week bonding	104(32.7)	
Mother hending types	107 (32.7)	
• Ontimal bonding	100(574)	
• Affectionloss	61 (19 4)	
· Affectionateint	(10.4)	
Allectionate constraint	34 (10.3)	
· Abuse or weak bonding	40 (13.9)	

of parental bonding types. In these groups, "paternal optimal bonding" (57.4%) was the largest number in comparison to "paternal affectionless control" (18.4%), "paternal abuse or weak bonding" (13.9%), and "paternal affectionate constraint" (10.3%). "Maternal optimal bonding" (57.4%) was the largest number in comparison to "maternal affectionless control" (18.4%), "maternal abuse or weak bonding" (13.9%), and "maternal affectionate constraint" (10.3%). The overall prevalence of having difficulty waking up in the morning (71.8%) was the most frequently reported self-reported sleeping habit in this study. Other self-reported sleeping habits were "a sense of insufficiency of sleep" (48.5%), "sleeping poorly at night" (43.8%), "waking during sleep more than three times per week" (43.3%), and "daily sleep duration fewer than six h and more than ten h" (42.3%) in Table 2.

were 76 (19.2%). They were divided into four groups

4.2. Parental bonding types by sleep disturbances, CES-D and SRRS

As shown in Table 3, the residual analysis demonstrated that the father and mother bonding types were significantly associated with sleeping habits, CES-D, and SRRS. "Paternal affectionless control" was positively correlated with "waking during sleep

more than three times per week" (p = 0.002), "early morning awakening more than three times per week" (p = 0.003), "a sense of sufficiency of sleep" (p = 0.019),

				Pat	ernal bone	ling typ	es ^a						Mat	ernal bon	ding typ	jes ^a			
		Opt Bon	imal ding	Affect	ionless itrol	Affect Const	ionate raint	Abuse c Bon	r Weak	p value	Opt Bon	ding	Affecti Con	onless trol	Affect	ionate rraint	Abuse Bor	or Weak iding	p value
Daily sleep duration	6 - 9h	86	61.4%	29	50.9%	=	64.7%	62	59.6%		115	60.5%	28	45.9%	22	64.7%	27	58.7%	
	<6h or $10+hb$	54	38.6%	28	49.1%	9	35.3%	42	40.4%	0.544	75	39.5%	33	54.1%	12	35.3%	19	41.3%	0.187
Taking more than 30 min to fall asleep	less than 30 min	123#	88.5%	45	80.4%	=	64.7%	81	78.6%		156	82.5%	47	77.0%	27	79.4%	38	84.4%	
	more than 30 min	16	11.5%	11	19.6%	#9	35.3%	22	21.4%	0.038	33	17.5%	14	23.0%	7	20.6%	7	15.6%	0.731
Waking during sleep (times/week)	less than 3 times	91#	65.0%	21	37.5%	13	76.5%	57	54.8%		119	63.0%	30	50.0%	16	47.1%	22	47.8%	
	more than 3 times	49	35.0%	35#	62.5%	4	23.5%	47	45.2%	0.002	70	37.0%	30	50.0%	18	52.9%	24	52.2%	0.076
Early morning awakening (times/week)	less than 3 times	123	87.9%	41	73.2%	16	100.0%	95	91.3%		167	88.4%	50	83.3%	28	82.4%	38	82.6%	
	more than 3 times	17	12.1%	15#	26.8%	0	0.0%	6	8.7%	0.003	22	11.6%	10	16.7%	9	17.6%	8	17.4%	0.563
Difficulty waking up in the morning	no	102	72.9%	44	78.6%	10	58.8%	74	71.2%		131	68.9%	42	70.0%	25	73.5%	36	78.3%	
	yes	38	27.1%	12	21.4%	7	41.2%	30	28.8%	0.434	59	31.1%	18	30.0%	6	26.5%	10	21.7%	0.638
Difficulty breathing during sleep	no	129	92.1%	50	87.7%	16	94.1%	94	90.4%		177	93.2%	50	82.0%	31	91.2%	40	87.0%	
	yes	Ξ	7.9%	٢	12.3%	-	5.9%	10	9.6%	0.752	13	6.8%	11	18.0%	Э	8.8%	9	13.0%	0.071
A sense of insufficiency of the sleep	sufficiency	76	54.3%	19	33.9%	12	70.6%	55	52.9%		104	54.7%	22	36.7%	18	52.9%	24	52.2%	
	insufficiency	64	45.7%	37#	66.1%	5	29.4%	49	47.1%	0.019	86	45.3%	38	63.3%	16	47.1%	22	47.8%	0.108
Sleeping poorly at night	well	84	60.0%	23	41.1%	12	70.6%	61	59.2%		116	61.1%	26	43.3%	18	52.9%	26	56.5%	
	poorly	56	40.0%	33#	58.9%	5	29.4%	42	40.8%	0.050	74	38.9%	34	56.7%	16	47.1%	20	43.5%	0.111
CES - Dc	<16.0	118#	84.3%	26	45.6%	7	41.2%	71	68.9%		141 #	74.6%	25	41.0%	19	55.9%	35	76.1%	
	≧16.0	22	15.7%	31#	54.4%	10^{\pm}	58.8%	32	31.1%	<0.001	48	25.4%	36#	59.0%	15	44.1%	11	23.9%	<0.001
SRRSd	<150	126#	90.0%	38	66.7%	13	76.5%	80	79.2%		160#	85.6%	41	67.2%	27	79.4%	36	78.3%	
	≧150	14	10.0%	19#	33.3%	4	23.5%	21	20.8%	0.001	27	14.4%	20#	32.8%	7	20.6%	10	21.7%	0.018
^a Four dimensions of parental bonding ty. control /Ahnse or weak honding in car	pes are divided using p e-low control.	arental	bonding	nstrume	nt. Optima	l bond	ing: high	care-lo	w control	/Affection	less con	crol: low	care-hig	h control	/affectio	onnate cc	instrain	t: high c	tre-high

Table 3. Parental and maternal bonding types by sleeping habits, CES-D, and SRRS

control ratio as weak bounds, now care now control. ^b Daily sleep duration fewer than 6 hours is sleep deprived and more than 10 hours is hypersonnia. ^c CES-D: A score of more than 16 is the high level depressive symptom. ^d SRRS: A score of 150 or more has strong stress, and there is pssibility of getting a psychosomatic disorder in the near future.

Parental rearing attitudes in childhood is associated with adult sleep disturbances : a cross-sectional survey

"sleeping poorly at night" (p = 0.05), "CES-D" (p < 0.001), and "SRRS" (p = 0.001). The paternal affectionate constraint was "positively correlated with taking more than 30 min to fall asleep more than three times per week" (p = 0.038), and "CES-D" (p < 0.001). Likewise, "maternal affectionless control" was also positively associated with CES-D (p < 0.001) and SRRS (p < 0.018). On the other hand, paternal optimal bonding shows the negatively associations with "taking more than 30 min to fall asleep" (p = 0.038), "waking during sleep" (p = 0.002), "a sense of sufficiency of sleep" (p = 0.019), "sleeping poorly at night" (p = 0.05), "CES-D" (p < 0.001), and "SRRS" (p = 0.001). This bonding type was also associated with "CES-D" (p < 0.001) and "SRRS" (p = 0.001) in maternal ones.

4.3. Sleep disturbances by CES-D and SRRS

We investigated whether among these factors (sleep disturbances, CES-D, and SRRS) have relevance. As shown in Table 4, a chi-square test demonstrated that sleeping habits were significantly associated with CES-D and SRRS. SRRS was positively associated with "daily sleep duration" (p = 0.003), "taking more than 30 min to fall asleep" (p = 0.001), "difficulty breathing during sleep" (p = 0.042), and "a sense of insufficiency of the sleep" (p = 0.011). CES-D was associated with all the other sleeping habits other than "waking during sleep" and "early morning waking".

Table 4. Sleep Disturbances by SRRS and CES-D

SRRS^a CES-D^b <150 ≧150 p value <16 ≧16 p value 6 - 9h 197 Daily sleep duration 86.0% 32 14 0% 167 72.3% 100 59 5% 73.7% 26.3% 40.5% <6 or 10+ 123 44 0.003 64 27.7% 68 0.010 273 51 15.7% 233 71.3% 28.7% Taking more than 30 min to fall asleep less than 30 min 84.3% 94 more than 30 min 45 65.2% 24 34.8% 0.001 31 44.9% 38 55.1% < 0.001 Waking during sleep (times/week) less than 3 times 187 83.9% 36 16.1% 158 70.2% 67 29.8% more than 3 times 40 23.4% 0.073 108 62.8% 37.2% 0.132 131 76.6% 64 Early morning awaking (times/week) less than 3 times 280 81.9% 62 18.1% 237 68.7% 108 31.3% more than 3 times 73.1% 14 26.9% 0.135 30 57.7% 22 42.3% 0.153 38 54 79 Difficulty waking up in the morning 232 81.1% 18.9% 208 72.5% 27.5% nc 87 79.8% 22 20.2% 0.778 59 53.2% 52 46.8% < 0.001 yes Difficulty breathing during sleep 17.8% no 296 82.2% 64 252 69.8% 109 30.2% 24 66.7% 12 33.3% 0.042 15 39.5% 23 60.5% < 0.001 yes 85.7% 29 14.3% 159 77.9% 45 22.1% A sense of insufficiency of the sleep 174 sufficiency 47 24.5% 0.011 108 55.7% 44.3% < 0.001 insufficiency 145 75.5% 86 Sleeping poorly at night 84.2% 35 15.8% 171 77.0% 51 23.0% well 186

76.3%

41

23.7%

0.054

95

54.3%

80

45.7%

< 0.001

^a SRRS: A score of 150 or more has strong stress, and there is the possibility of getting a psychosomatic disorder in the near future.

132

^b CES-D: A score of more than 16 was the high level depressive symptom.

poorly

4.4. Sleep disturbances and parental bonding types, controlling for confounding factors

From the above results, the association between parental bonding and sleep disturbances was observed. However, these results can be influenced by recall biases based on confounding from current depression condition and recent life events. We then performed a logistic regression analysis to explore the association between independent variables to control the recall bias and assess direct effect of parental bonding to sleep disturbances. According to the model in Table 5 that assessed each parental bonding type compared with optimal bonding adjusted for age, CES-D and SRRS, "affectionless control and awaking during sleep" and "affectionate constraint and a sense of insufficiency of the sleep" were significantly associated (OR: 2.93, 95% CI: 1.46-5.87, OR: 0.24, 95% CI: 0.07-0.79, respectively).

4.5. Path analysis

To visualize the direct/indirect effect of paternal parental bonding on sleep disturbances, we performed path analysis as summarized in Figure 1. A path diagram was constructed based on previous results in this study and publications cited in the introduction. As shown in Figure 1A, the "care" score is associated independently from depression with awaking during sleep. Next, since a statistical interaction was suggested between "care" and "protection" to the outcome in multiple linear regression analysis with interaction term



 x_{a} protect path coefficient between X_{a} and X_{b} calculated by multiple linear regression model (numbers appearing beside the arrows)

Figure 1. The direct/indirect effect of paternal parental bonding on sleep disturbances.

(data not shown), we performed subgroup analysis stratified by the protection score (high or low). In this analysis, the care score is more strongly associated with the outcome (Figure 1B). It suggested that care was more important for overprotected population, which is corresponding to population with affection less control (low care) or affectionate constraint (high care). Subsequently, to assess general sleep condition, we calculated the "sleep score" as counting the number of worse responses after binarization in the eight questions (as in Table 3, the maximum score is 8). When the outcome was the "sleep score", we found almost no direct association between paternal parental bonding and sleep disturbances, being consistent to the result in Table 5 except "waking during sleep" (Figure 1C). However, if we restricted analysis subjects to the population with overprotected population, the "care" was highly associated with sleep disturbances directly (Figure 1D). The result suggested that overprotection without affection (care) has harmful influence on sleep condition independently from depression.

5. Discussion

69

The key analysis in this study is an assessment of the association between parental bonding in childhood and sleep disturbances in adulthood (summarized in Table 5 and Figure 1). In the results referred to the paternal bonding types (Table 5), the association between "affectionless control (low care, high protection) and waking during sleep (risk elevation)" and "affectionate constraint (high care, high protection) and a sense of insufficiency of the sleep (risk reduction)" were significant, even after controlling for age, CES-D score, and SRRS score. In contrast, the maternal bonding type did not remain significant after controlling for these confounders. This result is consistent with the previous report that receiving low care of parental bonding from the same sex impaired the quality of sleep³⁰⁾. The impact of "affectionless control" on the future has been clarified in many past studies. For example, it is associated with adult depression¹⁰, prolongation the duration of achieving remission of depression⁷⁾ and suicide in adulthood⁹⁾. Taken together with this study, "affectionless control

SRRS.))))			1
					Crud	e									Adjust	ted					
	Paternal b	onding 1	types																		
	Optimal Bonding	Affect	tionless	Control	Affecio	nate Co	nstraint	Ab	use or V Bondin	Veak g	Optimal Bonding	Affect	ionless	Control	Affectio	nate Co	nstraint	A	buse or ¹ Bondin	Weak g	1
Outcomes: sleeping habits	5		95	% CI	6	959	6 CI		95	% CI	6		95	% CI	6	959	6 CI	8	95	% CI	1
(reference: optimal bonding)	OK	OK	lower	upper	OK	lower	upper	OK	lower	upper	OK	OK	lower	upper	OK	lower	upper	- OK	lower	upper	1
Daily sleep duration (<6 or ≥ 10)	1.0	1.5	0.8	2.9	0.9	0.3	2.5	=	0.6	1.8	1.0	1.0	0.5	2.0	0.5	0.2	1.6	0.9	0.5	1.5	1
Taking more than 30 min to fall asleep	1.0	1.9	0.8	4.4	4.2*	1.4	12.9	2.1*	1.0	4.2	1.0	1.0	0.4	2.5	2.3	0.6	8.0	1.5	0.7	3.2	
Waking during sleep	1.0	3.1**	* 1.6	5.9	0.6	0.2	1.8	1.5	0.9	2.6	1.0	2.9**	1.5	5.9	0.6	0.2	2.0	1.5	0.9	2.7	l I
Early morning awaking	1.0	2.7*	1.2	5.8	0.0			0.7	0.3	1.6	1.0	1.7	0.7	4.1	0.0		I	0.5	0.2	1.2	l I
Difficulty waking up in the morning	1.0	0.7	0.4	1.5	1.9	0.7	5.3	1:1	0.6	1.9	1.0	0.5	0.2	1.1	1.1	0.3	3.3	0.8	0.4	1.5	i i
Difficulty breathing during sleep	1.0	1.6	0.6	4.5	0.7	0.1	6.1	1.2	0.5	3.1	1.0	0.8	0.3	2.4	0.3	0.0	2.6	0.7	0.2	1.8	1
A sense of insufficiency of the sleep	1.0	2.3*	1.2	4.4	0.5	0.2	1.5	=	0.6	1.8	1.0	1.4	0.7	2.9	0.2*	0.1	0.8	0.8	0.5	1.5	1
Sleeping poorly at night	1.0	2.2*	1.1	4.0	0.6	0.2	1.9	1.0	0.6	1.7	1.0	1.5	0.7	2.9	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.8	0.5	1.5	L I
	Maternal b	onding	types																		
	Optimal Bonding	Affect	tionless	Control	Affectio	nate Co	nstraint	Ab	use or V Bondin	Veak g	Optimal Bonding	Affect	ionless	Control	Affectio	mate Co	nstraint	A	use or ¹ Bondin	<i>N</i> eak g	1
Out comes: sleeping habits	6		95	% CI	6	959	6 CI		95	% CI	6		95	% CI	Ę	95%	6 CI	5	95	% CI	1
(reference: optimal bonding)	ND	ND ND	lower	upper	NO	lower	upper	NO.	lower	upper	ND NO	ND N	lower	upper	NO.	lower	upper	40	lower	upper	1
Daily sleep duration (<6 or \geq 10)	1.0	1.4	0.8	2.3	0.9	0.3	2.5	0.9	0.5	1.6	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.9	0.5	0.2	1.7	0.8	0.5	1.4	
Taking more than 30 min to fall asleep	1.0	1.2	0.6	2.5	1.0	0.3	3.9	0.9	0.5	1.9	1.0	0.7	0.3	1.6	0.5	1.1	2.2	0.8	3.9	1.7	l I
Waking during sleep	1.0	1.8	1.0	3.2	1.3	0.5	3.6	1.4	0.8	2.3	1.0	1.5	0.8	2.7	1.1	0.4	3.1	1.2	0.7	2.1	
Early morning awaking	1.0	1.6	0.7	3.7	2.0	0.5	7.8	2.1	1.0	4.4	1.0	1.0	0.4	2.4	1.2	0.3	5.1	1.9	0.9	4.1	
Difficulty waking up in the morning	1.0	0.7	0.4	1.4	0.7	0.2	2.2	0.7	0.4	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.2	1.0	0.4	0.1	1.4	0.6	0.3	1.2	
Difficulty breathing during sleep	1.0	2.2	0.9	5.7	2.0	0.4	10.0	2.2	0.9	5.2	1.0	1.6	0.6	4.4	1.1	0.2	6.5	2.1	0.8	5.7	1 1
A sense of insufficiency of the sleep	1.0	1.8	1.0	3.2	1.3	0.5	3.6	1.4	0.8	2.3	1.0	1.2	0.7	2.3	0.8	0.3	2.4	1.2	0.7	2.1	1 1
Sleeping poorly at night	1.0	1.8	1.0	3.1	1.2	0.4	3.5	1.4	0.8	2.4	1.0	1.3	0.7	2.3	0.8	0.3	2.5	1.2	0.7	2.1	

Table 5. Sleep Disturbances and Parental Bonding Types. Logistic Regression Models (odds ratios with 95% confidence interval) with Additional Adjustments for Age, CES-D and

*p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 OR: odds ratio (vs. optimal bonding) (low care, high protection)" is harmful attitude for mental health, even in adulthood. While measures to be taken from this finding are not clear, for the father to keep high care and moderate protection, parenting support systems and education for fathers would be useful.

It is important to consider whether parental bonding causes sleep disturbance independently from depression and life events as well as the background psychological mechanisms and the influence of recall bias. In this study, sleep disturbances are generally strongly associated with the degree of depression and stressful life events (Table 4). Parental bonding types are also associated with sleep disturbances in univariate analysis (Table 3), but most associations were not significant after adjustment for CES-D and SRRS (Table 5 and also suggested in Figure 1C). These results seemed to suggest that general sleep condition is influenced by parental bonding mostly through developing vulnerability to stressful events which can cause depression. However, in the path analysis (Figure 1D), paternal "care" score was linearly correlated with general sleep condition independently from depression in the overprotected population, in whom independence from parents was suppressed, even with the adjustment. Above all, there may be a direct association between parental bonding and sleep disturbances.

Sleep disturbances are frequently observed in people who make suicide attempts, and these reports suggest that sleep disturbances increase the risk of suicide without going through depression ^{31, 32)}. Furthermore, previous meta-analysis results indicated that the association between sleep disturbances and suicide was also significant, even after adjustment for depression or hopelessness^{14, 19, 33)}. From the above, it may be possible that sleeping conditions will affect other problems (e.g. suicide) and be influenced by other problems (e.g. parental bonding) without affecting mood condition. This is also important from the viewpoint of therapeutic intervention or prevention. In the previous placebo-controlled trial, subjects with depression in the group of fluoxetine hydrochloride (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor: SSRI) with eszopiclone, a sleep inducing agent, had been reported to improve more in depression compared to the group of fluoxetine hydrochloride with the placebo³⁴⁾. The former group showed a higher remission rate of depression. These findings suggested that the treatment and prevention

for sleep disturbances is important to prevent more severe mental disorders, independently from the presence of depression.

Our study has several limitations. First, although the reliability of PBI has been insured for a retrospective period of 20 years³⁵⁾, there were some subjects who passed this guarantee period in this study. Moreover, there may be a potential recall bias. Since SRRS score theoretically depends on current life events, it should be independent of past child rearing, unlike depression. However, a strong association is observed, as shown in Table 3. This suggested that current mood and recent events may have influenced the PBI evaluation as a recall bias. Regarding this point, the adjustment of CES-D and SRRS is important for controlling the recall bias. As described above, since direct association between parental bonding and sleep disturbances are observed, it could be interpreted as an independent association from the recall bias. Second, a low response rate could cause a selection bias. There is a possibility that the subjects in our study were healthy people who have a good relationship with parents and a stable life. In addition, the average age was higher than our expectation due to small young populations. Our study subjects were recruited from Otaru City, a northern city in Japan. Depending on such potential selection bias, our study may be limited in generalization. The Small sample size is also a limitation in this study. However, although the sample size is relatively small compared to larger epidemiological studies with tens thousands of people, four hundred subjects is not too small to estimate confidence intervals. With the 400 subjects, the maximum exact confidence intervals for proportion is +/- 5.0%, and statistical power for detecting statistical significance in a comparison of proportions between two groups assuming 15% risk difference is more than 80%. We, therefore, do not consider that the number of subjects is insufficient. Our study provided a meaningful finding that sleep conditions in adulthood are affected by parental bonding type in childhood.

6. Conclusions

Parental bonding types of low care were associated with sleep disturbances among Japanese men in adulthood. In particular, "affectionless control" tends to increase the risk of "waking during sleep." Paternal "care" score was directly correlated with general sleep disturbances independently from depression in the overprotected population. Our study raises the possibility that the parental care of a child affects sleep disturbances in adulthood, which can cause severe mental health problems.

References

- Chapman DP, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Edwards VJ, Anda RF. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. J Affect Disorder 2004; 82: 217-225.
- 2) Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, Koss MP, Marks JS. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. Am J Prev Med 1998; 14: 245-258.
- Perez NM, Jennings WG, Piquero AR, Baglivio MT. Adverse Childhood Experiences and Suicide Attempts: The Mediating Influence of Personality Development and Problem Behaviors. J Youth Adolesc 2016; 45: 1527-1545.
- 4) Fuller-Thomson E, Baird SL, Dhrodia R, Brennenstuhl S. The association between adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and suicide attempts in a population-based study. Child Care Health Dev 2016; 42: 725-734.
- Burbach DJ, Kashani JH, Rosenberg TK. Parental Bonding and Depressive Disorders in Adolescents. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 1989; 30: 417-429.
- Enns MW, Cox BJ, Clara I. Parental bonding and adult psychopathology: results from the US National Comorbidity Survey. Psychol Med 2002; 32; 997-1008.
- 7) Handa H, Ito A, Tsuda H, Ohsawa I, Ogawa T. Low level of parental bonding might be a risk factor among women with prolonged depression: A preliminary investigation. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2009; 63: 721-729.
- 8) Narita T, Sato T, Hirano S, Gota M, Sakado K, Uehara T. Parental child-rearing behavior as measured by the Parental Bonding Instrument in a Japanese population: Factor structure and relationship to a lifetime history of depression. J Affect Disord 2000; 57: 229-234.
- Goschin S, Briggs J, Blanco-Lutzen S, Cohen LJ, Galynker I. Parental affectionless control and suicidality. J Affect Disord 2013; 151: 1-6.
- Parker G. Parental' affectionless control' as an antecedent to adult depression. A risk factor delineated. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1983; 40: 956-960.
- Patton G, Coffey C, Posterino M, Carlin JB, Wolfe R. Parental "affectionless control" in adolescent depressive disorder. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2001; 36: 475-480.
- Hammen C, Henry R, Daley SE. Depression and sensitization to stressors among young women as a function of childhood adversity. J Consult Clin Psychol 2000; 68: 782-787.
- Heim C, Ehlert U, Hellhammer DH. The potential role of hypocortisolism in the pathophysiology of stress-related bodily disorders. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2000; 25: 1-35.
- 14) Bernert RA, Kim JS, Iwata NG, Perlis ML. Sleep Disturbances

as an Evidence-Based Suicide Risk Factor. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2015; 17: 554.

- 15) 厚生労働省 平成25年 国民健康・栄養調査結果の概要 [Internet] [cited 2016 Sept 21] Available from: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/04-Houdouhappyou-10904750-Kenkoukyoku-Gantaisakukenkouzoushinka/0000106403.pdf.
- 16) Woznica AA, Carney CE, Kuo JR, Moss TG. The insomnia and suicide link: toward an enhanced understanding of this relationship. Sleep Med Rev 2015; 22: 37-46.
- 17) Fujino Y, Mizoue T, Tokui N, Yoshimura T. Prospective Cohort Study of Stress, Life Satisfaction, Self-Rated Health, Insomnia, and Suicide Death in Japan. Suicide Life Threat Behav 2005; 35: 227-237.
- Goldstein TR, Brent DA, Bridge JA. Sleep disturbance preceding completed suicide in adolescents. J Consult Clin Psychol 2008; 76: 84-91.
- Pigeon WR, Pinquart M, Conner K. Meta-analysis of sleep disturbance and suicidal thoughts and behaviors. J Clin Psychiatry 2012; 73: e1160-7. doi 10.4088/JCP.11r07586.
- 20) Salo P, Sivertsen B, Oksanen T, Sjösten N, Pentti J, Virtanen M, Kivimäki M, Vahtera J. Insomnia symptoms as a predictor of incident treatment for depression: Prospective cohort study of 40,791 men and women. Sleep Med 2012; 13: 278-284.
- 21) Kodaka M, Matsumoto T, Katsumata Y, Akazawa M, Tachimori H, Kawakami N, Eguchi N, Shirakawa N, Takeshima T. Suicide risk among individuals with sleep disturbances in Japan: a case-control psychological autopsy study. Sleep Med 2014; 15: 430-435.
- 22) Chang PP, Ford DE, Mead LA, Cooper-Patrick L, Klag MJ. Insomnia in Young Men and Subsequent Depression. The Johns Hopkins Precursors Study. Am J Epidemiol 1997; 146: 105-114.
- 23) 厚生労働省 自殺の統計:各年の状況 [Internet] [cited 2016 Sept 21] Available from: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/hukushi_

kaigo/shougaishahukushi/jisatsu//jisatsu_year.html

- 24) Nakata A, Ikeda T, Takahashi M, Haratani T, Fujioka Y, Fukui S, Swanson NG, Hojou M, Araki S. Sleep-related Risk of Occupational Injuries in Japanese Small and Mediumscale Enterprises. Ind Health 2005; 43: 89-97.
- 25) Roberts RE, Vernon SW. The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale: its use in a community sample. Am J Psychiatry 1983; 140: 41-46.
- Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The social readjustment rating scale. J Psychosom Res 1967; 11: 213-218.
- Parker G. The Parental Bonding Instrument: psychometric properties reviewed. Psychiatr Dev [Internet] 1989; 7: 317-335.
- Parker G. The parental bonding instrument. A decade of research. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1990; 25: 281-282.
- 29) Elazar J. Pedhazur, Multiple Regression in Behavioral Research: explanation and prediction, 2nd edition, New York: Holt, Rinehard and Winston; 1982.
- 30) Shibata M, Ninomiya T, Anno K, Kawata H, Iwaki R,

Sawamoto R, Kubo C, Kiyohara Y, Sudo N, Hosoi M. Perceived inadequate care and excessive overprotection during childhood are associated with greater risk of sleep disturbance in adulthood: the Hisayama Study. BMC Psychiatry 2016; 16: 215.

- 31) Bernert RA, Turvey CL, Conwell Y, Joiner TH Jr. Association of poor subjective sleep quality with risk for death by suicide during a 10-year period: A longitudinal, population-based study of late life. JAMA Psychiatry 2014; 71: 1129-1137.
- 32) Bjorngaard JH, Bjerkeset O, Romundstad P, Gunnell D. Sleeping problems and suicide in 75,000 Norwegian adults: a 20-year follow-up of the HUNT I study. Sleep 2011; 34: 1155-1159.
- 33) Ribeiro JD, Pease JL, Gutierrez PM, Silva C, Bernertc RA, Rudd MD, Joiner TE Jr. Sleep problems outperform depression and hopelessness as cross-sectional and longitudinal predictors of suicidal ideation and behavior in young adults in the

military. J Affect Disord 2012; 136: 743-750.

- 34) Fava M, McCall WV, Krystal A, Wessel T, Rubens R, Caron J, Amato D, Roth T. Eszopiclone co-administered with fluoxetine in patients with insomnia coexisting with major depressive disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 59: 1052-1060.
- 35) Wilhelm K, Niven H, Parker G, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. The stability of the Parental Bonding Instrument over a 20-year period. Psychol Med 2005; 35: 387-393.

別刷請求先:天井 基樹
 〒108-8639 東京都港区白金台 4-6-1
 東京大学医科学研究所附属病院 TR・治験センター
 TEL:03-6409-2340
 FAX:03-6409-2340
 E-mail:m-amai@ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp

児童期に受けた養育と鬱やライフイベントから独立した 成人後の睡眠障害の関連の検討:日本での横断研究

天井基樹¹⁾,野島正寛²⁾,佐藤利夫³⁾,宇田川ゆかり⁴⁾,森 満¹⁾

¹⁾札幌医科大学公衆衛生学講座
 ²⁾東京大学医科学研究所附属病院 TR・治験センター
 ³⁾札幌医科大学医療人育成センター教育開発研究部門
 ⁴⁾小樽市保健所

睡眠障害は、うつや自殺と関連する公衆衛生上の重 大な問題である.先行研究によると睡眠障害はうつ病 を介さずに自殺のリスクを高めることが示唆されてい る.一方、うつ病や自殺のリスク要因として近年注目 されているのが、両親による児童虐待などの有害な養育 態度である.このような有害な養育態度を受けること が、壮年期のうつ病や自殺のリスク増加に関連すると いう長期的な影響が示されている.本研究では、睡眠 障害に焦点を当て、過去の両親の養育態度との関連を 質問紙調査によって検討することとした.本横断研究は、 日本の地方都市において実施され、対象者は、30 ~ 65歳までの男性1500人を年代ごとに500人ずつ住民 基本台帳から無作為抽出した.調査票は、基本属性、 生活習慣、睡眠習慣、Parental Bonding Instrument (PBI), Social Readjustment Rating Scale (SRRS), Self-report depression scale (CES-D) で構成した. 対象者から回収された調査票は,400通(回収率26.7%) であった.回答者の平均年齢±標準偏差(範囲)は, 48.3±8.6歳(31~63歳)であった.回答者を,PBIの 結果を用いて父母ごと4つの養育態度に分類し,睡眠 障害との関連についてロジスティック回帰分析を用い て検討した.年齢,CES-D,SRRSの得点を共変量 として調整した結果,父親の養育態度において,冷淡 な養育と中途覚醒,過保護な養育と熟眠感が関連して いた(OR 2.93,95% CI: 1.46-5.87; OR 0.24,95% CI: 0.07-0.79).我々の調査結果は,壮年期男性の 睡眠障害に児童期の養育態度が影響するという重要な 知見を示唆するものとなった.