bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

1	Root hair-endophyte stacking (RHESt) in an ancient Afro-Indian crop creates an
2	unusual physico-chemical barrier to trap pathogen(s)
3	
4	W. K. Mousa ¹ , C. Shearer ¹ , Victor Limay-Rios ² , C. Ettinger ³ , J. A. Eisen ³ , and M.N. Raizada ¹
5	¹ Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON Canada N1G 2W1
6	² Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph, Ridgetown Campus, Ridgetown, ON,
7	Canada, N0P 2C0
8	³ University of California Davis Genome Center, Davis, California, USA 95616
9	
10	*Author for correspondence:
11	Manish N. Raizada
12	Email: raizada@uoguelph.ca; Phone 1-519-824-4120 x53396; FAX 1-519-763-8933
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

The ancient African crop, finger millet, has broad resistance to pathogens including the 19 toxigenic fungus *Fusarium graminearum*. Here we report the discovery of a novel plant 20 defence mechanism, resulting from an unusual symbiosis between finger millet and a root-21 inhabiting bacterial endophyte, M6 (Enterobacter sp.). Seed-coated M6 swarms towards 22 *Fusarium* attempting to penetrate root epidermis, induces growth of root hairs which then 23 bend parallel to the root axis, then forms biofilm-mediated microcolonies, resulting in a 24 remarkable, multi-layer root hair-endophyte stack (RHESt). RHESt results in a physical 25 barrier that prevents entry and/or traps F. graminearum which is then killed. Thus M6 26 27 creates its own specialized killing microhabitat. M6 killing requires c-di-GMP-dependent signalling, diverse fungicides and xenobiotic resistance. Further molecular evidence 28 suggests long-term host-endophyte-pathogen co-evolution. The end-result of this 29 30 remarkable symbiosis is reduced DON mycotoxin, potentially benefiting millions of subsistence farmers and livestock. RHESt demonstrates the value of exploring ancient, 31 orphan crop microbiomes. 32

33

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

Introduction 34

35	Finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>) is a cereal crop widely grown by subsistence farmers in
36	Africa and South Asia ^{1,2} . Finger millet was domesticated in Western Uganda and Ethiopia
37	around 5000 BC then reached India by 3000 BC 3 . With few exceptions, subsistence farmers
38	report that finger millet is widely resistant to pathogens including <i>Fusarium</i> species ^{4,5} . One
39	species of Fusarium, F. graminearum, causes devastating diseases in crops related to finger
40	millet including maize, wheat and barley, associated with accumulation of the mycotoxin
41	deoxynivalenol (DON) which affects humans and livestock ^{6,7} . However, despite its prevalence
42	as a disease-causing agent across cereals, F. graminearum is not considered to be an important
43	pathogen of finger millet, suggesting this crop has tolerance to this <i>Fusarium</i> species ^{4,8} .
44	
45	The resistance of finger millet grain to fungal disease has been attributed to high
46	concentrations of polyphenols ^{9,10} . However, emerging literature suggests that microbes that
47	inhabit plants without themselves causing disease, defined as endophytes, may contribute to host
48	resistance against fungal pathogens ^{11,12} . Endophytes have been shown to suppress fungal
49	diseases through induction of host resistance genes ¹³ , competition ¹⁴ , and/or production of anti-
50	pathogenic natural compounds ^{15,16} .

51

Fusarium are ancient fungal species, dating to at least 8.8 million years ago, and their 52 diversification appears to have co-occurred with that of the C4 grasses (which includes finger 53 millet), certainly pre-dating finger millet domestication in Africa¹⁷. Multiple studies have 54 reported the presence of *Fusarium* in finger millet in Africa and India $^{18-23}$. A diversity of *F*. 55

verticillioides (synonym *F. moniliforme*) has been observed in finger millet in Africa and it has
been suggested that the species evolved there ¹⁸. These observations suggest the possibility of coevolution within finger millet between *Fusarium* and millet endophytes. We previously isolated,
for the first time, fungal endophytes from finger millet and showed that their natural products
have anti-*Fusarium* activity ⁴. We could not find reports of bacterial endophytes isolated from
finger millet.

62

The objectives of this study were to isolate bacterial endophytes from finger millet, assay for anti-*Fusarium* activity and characterize the underlying cellular, molecular and biochemical mechanisms. We report an unusual symbiosis between the host and a root-inhabiting bacterial endophyte.

67

68 **Results**

69 Isolation, identification and antifungal activity of endophytes

A total of seven bacterial endophytes were isolated from surface-sterilized tissues of finger
millet, strains M1 to M7 (Fig. 1a-c and Supplementary Table 1). BLAST searching of the 16S
rDNA sequences against Genbank suggested that strains M1 and M6 resemble *Enterobacter* sp.
while M2 and M4 resemble *Pantoea* sp.., and M3, M5, and M7 resemble *Burkholderia* sp..
GenBank accession numbers for strains M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6 and M7 are KU307449,
KU307450, KU307451, KU307452, KU307453, KU307454, and KU307455, respectively. The
16S rDNA sequences for finger millet bacterial endophytes were used to generate a phylogenetic

tree (Supplementary Fig.1) using Phylogeny.fr software ^{24,25}. Interestingly, five of the seven strains 77 showed anti-fungal activity against F. graminearum in vitro (Fig. 1c-d). Strain M6 from millet 78 roots showing the most potent activity and hence was selected for further study including whole 79 80 genome sequencing, which resulted in a final taxonomic classification (Enterobacter sp., strain UCD-UG FMILLET)²⁶. M6 was observed to inhibit the growth of 5 out of 20 additional crop-81 associated fungi, including pathogens, suggesting it has a wider target spectrum (Supplementary 82 Table 2). As viewed by electron microscopy, M6 showed an elongated rod shape with a wrinkled 83 surface (Fig. 1e). Following seed coating, GFP-tagged M6 localized to finger millet roots 84 intercellularly and intracellularly (Fig. 1f,g). In addition, colonization of finger millet with M6 85 did not result in pathogenic symptoms (Supplementary Fig. 2). Combined, these results confirm 86 that M6 is an endophyte of finger millet. 87

88

To determine whether strain M6 has anti-Fusarium activity in planta, related Fusarium-89 90 susceptible cereals (maize and wheat) were used as model systems (Fig. 1 h-r), since finger millet itself is not reported to be susceptible to F. graminearum. Seed-coated GFP-tagged M6 91 was shown to colonize the internal tissues of maize (Fig. 1h) and wheat (Fig. 1n) suggesting it 92 can also behave as an endophyte in these crop relatives. Treatments (combined seed coating and 93 foliar spray) with M6 caused statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) reductions in F. graminearum 94 disease symptoms in maize (Gibberella Ear Rot, Fig. 1i-l) and wheat (Fusarium Head Blight, Fig. 95 10-q) ranging from 70 to 90% and 20-30%, respectively in two greenhouse trials, compared to 96 plants treated with *Fusarium* only (vield data in Supplementary Fig. 3a-b; Supplementary Table 97 3). Foliar spraying alone with M6 resulted in more disease reduction compared to seed coating 98 alone, though this effect was not statistically significant, at $P \le 0.05$ (Supplementary Fig. 3c). 99

Following extended storage to mimic those of African subsistence farmers (ambient temperature and moisture), treatment with M6 resulted in dramatic reductions in DON accumulation, with DON levels declining from ~3.4 ppm to 0.1 ppm in maize, and from 5.5 ppm to 0.2 ppm in wheat, equivalent to 97% and 60% reductions, respectively, compared to plants treated with *Fusarium* only, at P \leq 0.05 (Fig. 1m,r and Supplementary Table 4).

105

106 Microscopic imaging of M6-Fusarium interactions in finger millet roots and in vitro

Since F. graminearum has been reported to infect cereal roots ²⁷, and since endophyte M6 107 was originally isolated from the same tissue, finger millet roots were selected to visualize 108 potential interactions between M6 and F. graminearum (Fig. 2). GFP-tagged M6 was coated 109 onto millet seed. Following germination (Fig. 2a), GFP-M6 showed sporadic, low population 110 density distribution throughout the seminal roots (Fig. 2b). Following inoculation with F. 111 graminearum, which was visualized using calcofluor staining. GFP-M6 accumulated at sites of 112 attempted entry by *Fusarium*, creating a remarkable, high density layer of microcolonies of M6 113 along the entire root epidermal surface, the rhizoplane (Fig. 2c,d). External to the M6 rhizoplane 114 barrier was a thick mat of root hairs (RH) (Fig. 2c,d). RH number and length were much greater 115 at sites of M6 accumulation compared to the opposite side (Fig. 2c), and M6 was shown *in vitro* 116 to produce auxin (Supplemental Method 1, Supplementary Fig. 4), a known RH-growth 117 promoting plant hormone ²⁸. Interestingly, most RH were bent, parallel to the root axis (Fig. 2d). 118 The RH mat appeared to obscure M6 cells, and when observed in a low RH density area (Fig. 119 2e), M6 cells were clearly visible and appeared to attach onto root hairs and engulf *Fusarium* 120 hyphae (Fig. 2f). Imaging at deeper confocal planes below the surface of the RH mat (Fig. 2g,h) 121

122 revealed that the mat did not consist only of RH, but rather that M6 cells were intercalated between bent RH strands forming an unusual, multi-layer root hair-endophyte stack (RHESt). 123 Within the RHESt, F. graminearum hyphae appeared to be trapped (Fig. 2h). By imaging only 124 125 the M6-Fusarium interaction within the RHESt, M6 microcolonies were observed to be associated with breakage of the fungal hyphae (Fig. 2i,j). To confirm that the endophyte actively 126 kills Fusarium, Evans blue vitality stain, which stains dead hyphae blue, was used following co-127 incubation on a microscope slide. The fungal hyphae in contact with strain M6 stained blue and 128 appeared broken (Fig. 3a) in contrast to the control (F. graminearum exposed to buffer only) 129 130 (Fig. 3b). The M6 result was similar to the well known fungicidal activity of the commercial biocontrol agent, B. subtilis (Fig. 3c). Combined, these results suggest that M6 cooperates with 131 RH cells to create a specialized killing microhabitat (RHESt) that protects millet roots from 132 133 invasion by F. graminearum.

134

Since M6, in the absence of *Fusarium*, was sporadically localized *in planta*, but then 135 accumulated at sites of *Fusarium* hyphae, it was hypothesized that M6 actively seeks *Fusarium*. 136 To test this hypothesis, GFP-tagged M6 and F. graminearum were spotted adjacent to one 137 another on a microscope slide coated with agar; as time progressed, M6 was observed to swarm 138 towards *Fusarium* hyphae (Fig. 3d-i), confirming its ability to seek *Fusarium*. Upon finding the 139 pathogen, M6 cells were observed to physically attach onto F. graminearum hyphae (Fig. 3g-i). 140 At the endpoint of these interactions, dense microcolonies of M6 were observed to break the 141 hyphae (Fig. 3j). Transmission electron microscopy showed that M6 possesses multiple 142 143 peritrichous flagella (Fig. 3k). Since this interaction was observed *in vitro*, independent of the host plant, the data show that M6 alone is sufficient to exert its fungicidal activity. To test if the 144

145 attachments of M6 observed *in vitro* and *in planta* are mediated by biofilm formation, the proteinaceous biofilm matrix stain, Ruby Film Tracer (red), was used in vitro. Red staining, 146 indicating biofilm formation, was observed associated with M6 in the absence of Fusarium (Fig. 147 148 31). In the presence of F. graminearum, biofilm was also observed on the hyphal surfaces (Fig. 3m). Combined, these results suggest that M6 cells swarm towards *Fusarium* hyphae attempting 149 to penetrate the root epidermis, induces root hair growth and bending, resulting in formation of 150 RHESt within which M6 cells form biofilm-mediated microcolonies which attach, engulf and 151 kill Fusarium. 152

153

154 Identification of strain M6 genes required for anti-Fusarium activity

Since the fungicidal activity of M6 was observed to occur independently of its host plant, 155 M6 was subjected to Tn5 mutagenesis and then candidate Tn5 insertions were screened in vitro 156 for loss of fungicidal activity against F. graminearum. Out of 4800 Tn5 insertions that were 157 screened in triplicate, sixteen mutants were isolated that resulted in loss or reduction in the 158 diameter of inhibition zones of F. graminearum growth (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The mutants 159 that resulted in complete loss of the antifungal activity in vitro were validated for loss of anti-160 *Fusarium* activity *in planta* in two independent greenhouse trials in maize (Supplementary Fig. 161 5b,c), demonstrating the relevance of the *in vitro* results. Rescue of the Tn5-flanking sequences 162 followed by BLAST searching against the whole genome wild type M6²⁶, resulted in the 163 successful identification of 13 candidate genes in 12 predicted operons (Supplementary Table 5 164 and 6). Based on gene annotations and the published literature, four regulatory and/or anti-165 microbial mutants of interest were selected, complemented (Supplementary Fig. 5d) and 166

subjected to detailed characterization. The selected genes encode two LysR family

transcriptional regulators, a diguanylate cyclase, and a colicin V biosynthetic enzyme:

169 LysR transcription regulator in a phenazine operon (*ewpR*-5D7::Tn5)

Ewp-5D7::Tn5 resulted in complete loss of the antifungal activity *in vitro* (Supplementary 170 Fig. 5a) and reduction in activity in planta (Fig. 4a). The Tn5 insertion was localized to an 171 operon (ewp, Fig. 4b) that included tandem paralogs of phzF (ewpF1 and ewpF1) (trans-2,3-172 dihydro-3-hydroxyanthranilate isomerase, EC # 5.3.3.17), a homodimer enzyme that forms the 173 core skeleton of phenazine, a potent anti-fungal compound ²⁹. The insertion occurred within a 174 member of the LysR transcriptional regulator family (*ewpR*), which has been previously reported 175 to induce phenazine biosynthesis³⁰. Three lines of evidence suggest the LysR gene is an 176 177 upstream regulator of the *ewp* operon. First, the genomic organization showed that LysR was transcribed in the opposite direction as the operon. Second, the LysR canonical binding site 178 sequence (TN₁₁A) was observed upstream of the *phzF* (*ewaF*) coding sequences 31 (Fig. 4b). 179 180 Finally, real time PCR revealed that the expression of *ewpF1* and *ewpF2* were dramatically down-regulated in the LysR mutant compared to wild type (Fig. 4c). Combined, these results 181 suggest that EwpR is a regulator of phenazine biosynthesis in strain M6 (Fig. 4d). The crude 182 methanolic extract from EwpR-5D7:: Tn5 lost anti-Fusarium activity in vitro in contrast to 183 extracts from wild type M6 or randomly selected Tn5 insertions that otherwise had normal 184 growth rates (Fig. 4e). Anti-F. graminearum bioassay guided assay fractionation using extracts 185 from M6 showed two active fractions (A, B) (Fig. 4e-g), each containing a compound with a 186 diagnostic fragmentation pattern of [M+H]+=181.0, corresponding to a phenazine nucleus 187 $(C_{12}H_8N_2, MW = 180.08)^{32}$, and molecular weights (M+Z= 343.3 and 356.3) indicative of 188 phenazine derivatives [griseolutein A ($C_{17}H_{14}N_2O_6$, MW= 342.3) and D-alanyl-griseolutein 189

190	$(C_{18}H_{17}N_3O_5, MW=355.3)$, respectively] ³³⁻³⁵ . Surprisingly, the <i>ewp</i> mutant showed a significant
191	reduction in motility (Fig. 4h) and swarming (Fig. 4i) compared to the wild type (Fig. 4j),
192	concomitant with a ~60 % reduction in flagella (Fig. 4k) compared to wild-type (Fig. 3k), loss of
193	attachment to Fusarium hyphae (Fig. 41) compared to wild-type (inset in Fig. 41), as well as
194	reductions in biofilm formation (Fig. 4m,n). Combined, these results suggest that ewpR is
195	required for multiple steps in the anti-fungal pathway of M6 including phenazine biosynthesis.
196	
197	LysR transcriptional regulator in a fusaric acid resistance pump operon (<i>ewf</i> R-7D5::Tn5)
198	EwfR-5D7::Tn5 resulted in a significant loss of the antifungal activity in vitro
199	(Supplementary Fig. 5a). The Tn5 insertion occurred in an operon (ewf, Fig. 5a) that included
200	genes that encode membrane proteins required for biosynthesis of the fusaric acid efflux pump
201	including a predicted <i>fus</i> E-MFP/HIYD membrane fusion protein and <i>fus</i> E (<i>ewf</i> D and <i>ewf</i> E,
202	respectively) and other membrane proteins (ewfB, ewfH and ewfI) ^{36,37} . Fusaric acid (5-
203	butylpyridine-2-carboxylic acid) is a mycotoxin that is produced by Fusarium which interferes
204	with bacterial growth and metabolism and alters plant physiology ^{38,39} . Bacterial-encoded fusaric
205	acid efflux pumps promote resistance to fusaric acid ^{40,41} . Consistent with expectations, <i>EwfR</i> -
206	5D7::Tn5 failed to grow on agar supplemented with fusaric acid compared to the wild type (Fig.
207	5b,c). The Tn5 insertion specifically occurred within a member of the LysR transcriptional
208	regulator family (<i>ewfR</i>). Similar to <i>ewpR</i> above and a previously published fusaric acid
209	resistance operon 41 , the regulator was transcribed in the opposite direction as the <i>ewf</i> operon,
210	with this genomic organization suggesting that <i>ewfR</i> may be an upstream regulator of the operon.
211	Indeed, the LysR canonical binding site sequence (TN ₁₁ A) was observed upstream of the $ewfB$ -J

212	coding sequences 31 (Fig. 5a). Finally, real time PCR revealed that the expression of <i>ewfD</i> and
213	<i>ewfE</i> were dramatically downregulated in the LysR mutant compared to wild type (Fig. 5d).
214	Combined, these results suggest that EwfR is a positive regulator of the <i>ewf</i> fusaric acid
215	resistance operon in strain M6.
216	
217	In addition, the <i>ewf</i> mutant showed a significant reduction in motility (Fig. 5e) and
218	swarming (Fig. 5f) compared to the wild type (Fig. 5g), concurrent with a \sim 30 % reduction in
219	flagella (Fig. 5h) compared to the wild-type (Fig. 3k). The mutant also showed loss of
220	attachment to Fusarium hyphae (Fig. 5i) compared to wild-type (inset) and reductions in biofilm
221	formation (Fig. 4j,k). Combined, these results suggest that strain M6 expression of resistance to
222	fusaric acid is a pre-requisite step that enables subsequent anti-fungal steps.
223	

Interaction between the phenazine biosynthetic operon (*ewp*) and the fusaric acid resistance operon (*ewf*)

The expression of ewfR (LysR regulator of fusaric acid resistance) increased two-fold in 226 the presence of *Fusarium* mycelium *in vitro* after 1 h of co-incubation, and tripled after 2 h (Fig. 227 51). Expression of *ewfR* was also up-regulated by fusaric acid alone (Fig. 51), demonstrating that 228 the resistance operon is inducible. Fusaric acid has been shown to suppress phenazine 229 biosynthesis through suppression of quorum sensing regulatory genes ⁴². Interestingly, 230 expression of the putative LysR regulator of phenazine biosynthesis (*ewpR*, see above) was 231 downregulated by fusaric acid at log phase (2.5-3 h), but only when fusaric acid resistance was 232 apparently lost (*ewfR* mutant) (Fig. 5m) compared to wild type (Fig. 5n), suggesting that fusaric 233

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

acid normally represses phenazine biosynthesis in M6. These results provide evidence for an 234 epistatic relationship between the two LysR mutants required for the anti-Fusarium activity. 235

236

237

Diguanylate cyclase (ewgS-10A8::Tn5)

EwgS-10A8::Tn5 resulted in a significant loss of the antifungal activity in vitro 238 239 (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The Tn5 insertion occurred in a coding sequence encoding diguanylate cyclase (EC 2.7.7.65) (ewgS) that catalyzes conversion of 2-guanosine triphosphate to c-di-GMP, 240 a secondary messenger that mediates quorum sensing and virulence traits ⁴³ (Fig. 6a). Addition 241 of exogenous c-di-GMP to the growth medium restored the antifungal activity of the mutant 242 (Fig. 6b). Real time PCR showed that *ewgS* is not inducible by *Fusarium* (Fig. 6c). Consistent 243 with its predicted upstream role in regulating virulence traits, the *ewg* mutant showed dramatic 244 losses in motility (Fig. 6d), swarming (Fig. 6e, compared to wild-type Fig. 6f) and flagella 245 formation (~40 % reduction, Fig. 6g compared to wild-type, Fig. 3k). Attachment to Fusarium 246 hyphae (Fig. 6h) and biofilm formation (Fig. 6i-j) appeared to have been lost completely. 247 248

Colicin V production protein (*EwvC*-4B9::Tn5) 249

EwvC-4B9::Tn5 showed significant loss of the antifungal activity *in vitro* (Supplementary 250 Fig. 5a). The Tn5 insertion occurred in a minimally characterized gene required for colicin V 251 production (*ewvC*) orthologous to *cvpA* in *E.coli*⁴⁴. Colicin V is a secreted peptide antibiotic ⁴⁵. 252 Consistent with the gene annotation, EwvC-4B9::Tn5 failed to inhibit the growth of an E. coli 253 strain that is sensitive to colicin V, compared to the wild type (Fig. 7a). Real time PCR showed 254

255	that the expression of ewvC increased 6-fold after co-incubation with Fusarium at log phase (Fig.
256	7b). The mutant showed only limited changes in other virulence traits, including reductions in
257	motility (Fig. 7c) and swarming (Fig. 7d, compared to wild-type, Fig. 7e), but with only a ~15%
258	minor reduction in flagella formation (Fig. 7f, compared to wild-type, Fig. 3k), no obvious
259	change in attachment to Fusarium hyphae (Fig. 7g), and only a slight reduction in biofilm
260	formation (Fig. 7h,i).

261

262 **Discussion**

263 RHESt as a novel plant defence mechanism

264 We hypothesized that the ancient African cereal finger millet hosts endophytic bacteria that 265 contribute to its resistance to *Fusarium*, a pathogenic fungal genus that has been reported to 266 share the same African origin as its plant target. Here, we report that a microbial inhabitant of 267 finger millet (M6) actively swarms towards invading Fusarium hyphae, analogous to mobile immunity cells in animals, to protect plant cells that are immobile, confirming a hypothesis that 268 we recently proposed ⁴⁶. Endophyte M6 then builds a remarkable physico-chemical barrier 269 270 resulting from root hair-endophyte stacking (RHESt) at the rhizosphere-root interface that prevents entry and/or traps Fusarium for subsequent killing. Mutant and biochemical data 271 demonstrate that the killing activity of M6 requires genes encoding diverse regulatory factors, 272 273 natural products and xenobiotic resistance. The RHESt consists of two lines of defence, a dense layer of intercalated root hairs and endophyte microcolonies followed by a long, continuous 274 endophyte barrier layer on the root epidermal surface (see summary model, Supplementary Fig. 275 6). RHESt represents a plant defence mechanism that has not been previously captured to the 276

best of our knowledge and is an unusual example of host-microbe symbiosis.

278

279	The epidermal root surface where microbes reside is termed the rhizoplane ⁴⁷⁻⁵¹ . Soil
280	microbes have previously been reported to form biofilm-mediated aggregates on the rhizoplane
281	⁵²⁻⁵⁷ sometimes as part of their migration from the soil to the root endosphere ^{48,58} and may
282	prevent pathogen entry ^{48,59} . However, here we report that an endophyte, not soil microbe, forms
283	a pathogen barrier on the rhizoplane. The previously reported soil microbes on the rhizoplane are
284	thought to take advantage of nutrient-rich root exudates ⁵⁹ , whereas RHESt is a <i>de novo</i> ,
285	inducible structure that only forms in the presence of <i>Fusarium</i> in coordination with root hairs.
286	
287	M6 creates its own specialized killing microhabitat by inducing growth of local root hairs
288	which are then bent to form the RHESt scaffold, likely mediated by biofilm formation and
289	attachment. In vitro, we observed that M6 synthesizes auxin (IAA), a hormone known to
290	stimulate root hair growth ⁶⁰ and that can be synthesized by microbes ⁶¹ . Root hair bending
291	associated with RHESt might be an active process, similar to rhizobia-mediated root hair curling
292	⁶² , or an indirect consequence of micro-colonies attachment to adjacent root hairs.
293	
294	Regulatory signals within the anti-fungal pathway
295	Some of the mutants caused pleiotropic phenotypes, including loss of swarming,
296	attachment and/or biofilm formation, which was a surprising result. These mutants included the

transcription factors associated with operons for phenazine biosynthesis, fusaric acid resistance,

- as well as formation of c-di-GMP. One interpretation of these results is that the underlying
- 299 genes help to regulate the early steps of the anti-fungal RHESt pathway. Indeed, phenazines have

300 been reported to act as signalling molecules that regulate the expression of hundreds of genes including those responsible for motility and defense ⁶³. Our results showed an epistatic 301 relationship between the two transcription factors regulating phenazine biosynthesis and fusaric 302 303 acid resistance, with the latter required for the former (Fig. 5m,n), suggesting that the pleiotropic phenotypes observed in the fusaric acid resistance regulatory mutant may have been mediated by 304 a reduction in phenazine signaling. Finally, c-di-GMP as a sensor of the environment and 305 population density ^{64,65}, and a secondary messenger ⁶⁶ involved in transcriptional regulation of 306 genes encode virulence traits such as motility, attachment, and biofilm formation ^{43,64,66-68}, all 307 activities that would be logically required for the RHESt-mediated anti-fungal pathway. Genome 308 mining of strain M6 also revealed the presence of a biosynthetic cluster for 2, 3 butanediol which 309 is a hormone known to elicit plant defences ⁶⁹. Production of 2, 3 butanediol was confirmed by 310 LC-MS analysis (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Butanediol is thus a candidate signalling molecule for 311 M6-millet cross-talk. 312

313

Fungicidal compounds required for M6 killing activity

In addition to RHESt formation, we gained evidence that bacterial endophyte M6 evolved multiple biochemical strategies to actively break and kill *Fusarium* hyphae (Fig. 2j and Fig. 3a,j) involving diverse classes of natural products, including phenazines, colicin V, chitinase and potentially other metabolites.

Phenazines: Phenazines are heterogeneous nitrogenous compounds produced exclusively by
 bacteria ⁷⁰. Phenazines exhibit potent antifungal activity, in particular against soil pathogens
 including *Fusarium* species ⁷¹⁻⁷³. Here M6 was observed to produce at least two distinct

322 phenazines, D-alanyl-griseolutein and griseolutein A which previously shown to have antimicrobial activities ⁷⁴. Phenazines lead to the accumulation of reactive oxygen species in target 323 cells, due to their redox potential ^{75,76}. Phenazines were also reported to induce host resistance ⁷⁷. 324 325 For bacteria to survive inside a biofilm, where oxygen diffusion is limited, molecules with high redox potential such as phenazines are required $^{78-82}$. Furthermore, the redox reaction of 326 phenazine releases extracellular DNA which enhances surface adhesion and cellular aggregation 327 of bacteria to form a biofilm⁸³⁻⁸⁸. Consistent with these roles, phenazines are known to be 328 produced inside biofilms ⁷⁸, and are required for biofilm formation ⁸⁹, which might explain the 329 diminished biofilm formation observed with the phenazine-associated LysR mutant. Since M6 330 was observed to produce biofilm around its fungal target (Fig. 3m) which is killed within RHESt 331 (Fig. 2j), phenazines may be part of the killing machinery. 332

Colicin V: An exciting observation from this study is that colicin V also appears to be required
for the fungicidal activity of strain M6 (Fig. 7). Colicin V is a small peptide antibiotic belonging
to the bacteriocin family which disrupts the cell membrane of pathogens resulting in loss of
membrane potential ⁹⁰. Bacteriocins are generally known for their antibacterial activities ⁹¹.
Indeed, we could find only one previous report of colicin V having anti-fungal activity ⁹². Our
results suggest that this compound may target a wider spectrum of pathogens than previously
thought.

Chitinase: A Tn5 insertion in a gene (*ewc*-3H2::Tn5)encodes chitinase (EC 3.2.1.14), also
resulted in a significant loss of the antifungal activity (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The mutant
shows a significant reduction in production of chitinase *in vitro*, compared to wild type M6
(Supplementary Fig. 7b). Chitinase exerts its antifungal activity by hydrolyzing chitin, a
principle component of the fungal cell wall ^{93,94}.

345 Other putative M6 anti-fungal metabolites: Two additional putative Tn5 mutants suggest that other metabolites may be involved in the anti-Fusarium activity of strain M6 within the RHESt, 346 specifically phenylacetic acid (PAA) and P-amino-phenyl-alanine antibiotics (PAPA). The 347 requirement for PAA was suggested by a mutant in phenylacetic acid monoxygenase which 348 resulted in loss of anti-*Fusarium* activity (m2D7, Supplementary Fig. 5a). This enzyme catalyzes 349 the biosynthesis of hydroxy phenylacetic acid, derivatives of which have been shown to act as 350 anti-fungal compounds ^{95,96}. In an earlier report, an *Enterobacter* sp. that was used to control 351 Fusarium dry rot during seed storage ⁹⁷ was shown to require phenylacetic acid, indole-3-acetic 352 acid (IAA) and tyrosol ⁹⁶. In addition to PAA implicated here by the Tn5 mutant, wild type strain 353 M6 was shown to produce IAA in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 4). 354 The requirement for PAPA was suggested by a putative mutant (m15A12, Supplementary Fig. 355 5a) which disrupted a gene encoding a permease transport protein that is a part of an operon 356 357 responsible for biosynthesis of PAPA and 3-hydroxy anthranilates. PAPA is the direct precursor of well known antibiotics including chloramphenicol and obafluorin⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰. 358 359

360 M6-Fusarium-millet co-evolution and the fusaric acid-phenazine arms race

Molecular and biochemical data suggest that the anti-fungal activity of M6 requires diverse classes of anti-fungal natural products (phenazine metabolites, colicin V peptide antibiotic, chitinase enzyme). We previously demonstrated that finger millet also hosts fungal endophytes that secrete complementary anti-*F. graminearum* natural products including polyketides and alkaloids ⁴. These observations, combined with loss of function mutants from this study that demonstrate that no single anti-fungal mechanism is sufficient for M6 to combat *Fusarium*, 367 suggests that the endophytic community of finger millet and *Fusarium* have been engaged in a step-by-step arms race that resulted in the endophytes having a diverse weapons arsenal, 368 presumably acting within RHESt. Consistent with this interpretation, mutant analysis showed 369 370 that the anti-fungal activity of M6 requires a functional operon that encodes resistance to the *Fusarium* mycotoxin, fusaric acid. Furthermore, our results show a novel epistatic regulatory 371 interaction between the fusaric acid resistance and phenazine pathways, wherein an M6-encoded 372 LysR activator of fusaric acid resistance prevents fusaric acid from suppressing expression of the 373 M6-encoded LysR regulator of phenazine biosynthesis (Fig. 5m). Fusaric acid has previously 374 been shown to interfere with guorem sensing-mediated biosynthesis of phenazine ⁴². We propose 375 that the phenazine-fusaric acid arms race provides a molecular and biochemical paleontological 376 record that M6 and Fusarium co-evolved. 377

378

We show how this tripartite co-evolution likely benefits subsistence farmers not only by 379 380 suppressing *Fusarium* entry and hence disease in plants, but also in seeds after harvest. Specifically, under poor seed storage conditions that mimic those of subsistence farmers, M6 381 caused dramatic reductions in contamination with DON (Fig. 1m,r), a potent human and 382 livestock mycotoxin. Hence, in the thousands of years since ancient crop was domesticated, 383 farmers may have been inadvertently selecting for the physico-chemical RHESt barrier activity 384 of endophyte M6, simply by selecting healthy plants and their seeds. We have shown here that 385 the benefits of M6 are transferable to two of the world's most important modern crops, maize 386 and wheat (Fig. 1i,o), which are severely afflicted by F. graminearum and DON. In addition to 387 388 F. graminearum, M6 inhibited the growth of five other fungi including two additional Fusarium species (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting that RHESt-mediated plant defence may contribute 389

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

to the broad spectrum pathogen resistance of finger millet reported by subsistence farmers.

391 Despite its importance, finger millet is a scientifically neglected crop 1,2 . Our study suggests the

value of exploring microbiome--host interactions of other scientifically neglected, ancient crops.

393

394 Methods

395 Isolation, identification and antifungal activity of endophytes

396 Finger millet seeds originating from Northern India were grown on clay Turface in the summer of 2012 according to a previously described method⁴. Tissue pool sets (3 sets of: 5 397 seeds, 5 shoots and 5 root systems from pre-flowering plants) were surface sterilized following a 398 standard protocol⁴. Surface sterilized tissues were ground in LB liquid medium in a sterilized 399 400 mortar and pestle, then 50 µl suspensions were plated onto 3 types of agar plates (LB, Biolog Universal Growth, and PDA media). Plates were incubated at 25°C, 30°C and 37°C for 1-3 days. 401 402 A total of seven bacterial colonies (M1-M7) were purified by repeated culturing on fresh media. 403 For molecular identification of the isolated bacterial endophytes, PCR primers (Supplemental Table 7) were used to amplify and sequence 16S rDNA as previously described 7 , followed by 404 405 best BLAST matching to GenBank. 16S rDNA sequences were deposited into GenBank. Scanning electron microscopy imaging was used to phenotype the candidate bacterium as 406 previously described ⁷ using a Hitachi S-570 microscope (Hitachi High Technologies, Tokyo, 407 408 Japan) at the Imaging Facility, Department of Food Science, University of Guelph.

409

410 To test the antifungal activity of the isolated endophytes against *F. graminearum*, agar

411	diffusion dual culture assays were undertaken in triplicate ¹⁰¹ . Nystatin (Catalog #N6261, Sigma
412	Aldrich, USA) and Amphotericin B (Catalog #A2942, Sigma Aldrich, USA) were used as
413	positive controls at 10 μ g/ml and 5 μ g/ml, respectively, while the negative control was LB
414	medium. Using a similar methodology, additional anti-fungal screening was conducted using the
415	Fungal Type Culture Collection at Agriculture and Agrifood Canada, Guelph, ON
416	(Supplementary Table 2).
417	
418	Microscopy imaging
419	In planta colonization of the candidate anti-fungal endophyte M6
420	In order to verify the endophytic behaviour of the candidate anti-fungal bacterium M6 in
421	maize, wheat and millet, the bacterium was subjected to tagging with green fluorescent protein
422	(GFP) (vector pDSK-GFPuv) ¹⁰¹ and <i>in planta</i> visualization using confocal scanning microscopy
423	as previously described ¹⁰¹ at the Molecular and Cellular Imaging Facility, University of Guelph,
424	Canada.
425	
426	<i>In vitro</i> interaction using light microscopy
427	Both the fungus and bacterium M6 were allowed to grow in close proximity to each other
428	overnight on microscope slides coated with a thin layer of PDA as previously described ¹⁰¹ .
429	Thereafter, the fungus was stained with the vitality stain, Evans blue which stains dead hyphae
430	blue. The positive control was a commercial biological control agent (Bacillus subtilis QST713,
431	Bayer CropScience, Batch # 00129001) (100 mg/10 ml). Pictures were captured using a light
432	microscope (BX51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

433 *In vitro* and *in planta* interactions using confocal microscopy

434	All the experiments were conducted using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser scanning
435	microscope at the Molecular and Cellular Imaging Facility at the University of Guelph, Canada.
436	To visualize the interactions between endophyte M6 and F. graminearum inside finger
437	millet, finger millet seeds were surface sterilized and coated with GFP-tagged endophyte, and
438	then planted on sterile Phytagel based medium in glass tubes, each with 4-5 seeds. Phytagel
439	medium was prepared as previously described ⁴ . At 14 days after planting, finger millet seedlings
440	were inoculated with F. graminearum (50 µl of a 48 h old culture grown in potato dextrose
441	broth) and incubated at room temperature for 24 h. The control consisted of seedlings incubated
442	with potato dextrose broth only. There were three replicate tubes for each treatment. Thereafter,
443	roots were stained with calcofluor florescent stain (catalog #18909, Sigma-Aldrich), which stains
444	chitin blue, following the manufacturer's protocol, and scanned.
445	
446	To visualize the attachment of bacterium M6 to fungal hyphae, GFP-tagged M6 and F.
447	graminearum were inoculated overnight at 30°C on microscope slides covered with a thin layer
448	of PDA. Thereafter, the fungal hyphae was stained with calcofluor and examined. The same
449	protocol was employed to test if this recognition was disrupted in the Tn5 mutants.

450

To visualize biofilm formation by bacterium M6, GFP-tagged M6 were incubated on
microscope slides for 24 h at 30°C. The biofilm matrix was stained with FilmTracer[™] SYPRO®

Ruby Biofilm Matrix Stain (F10318) using the manufacturer's protocol and then examined. The
same protocol was employed to test if the biofilm was disrupted in Tn5 mutants.

455

456 Suppression of *F. graminearum in planta* and accumulation in storage

Bacterium M6 was tested in planta for its ability to suppress F. graminearum in two 457 susceptible crops, maize (hybrid P35F40, Pioneer HiBred) and wheat (Quantum spring wheat, 458 C&M Seeds, Canada), in two independent greenhouse trials as previously described for maize 459 ¹⁰¹, with modifications for wheat (Supplemental Method 2). ELISA analysis was conducted to 460 test the accumulation of DON in seeds after 14 months of storage in conditions that mimic those 461 462 of African subsistence farmers (temperature ~18-25°C, with a moisture content of ~40-60%) as previously described ¹⁰¹. Control treatments consisted of plants subjected to pathogen inoculation 463 only, and plants subjected to pathogen inoculation followed by prothioconazole fungicide 464 spraving (PROLINE® 480 SC, Bayer Crop Science). Results were analyzed and compared using 465 Mann-Whitney t-tests ($P \le 0.05$). 466

467

468 Transposon mutagenesis, gene rescues and complementation

To identify the genes responsible for the antifungal activity, Tn5 transposon mutagenesis was conducted using EZ-Tn5 <R6K γ ori/KAN-2>Tnp TransposomeTM kit (catalog #TSM08KR, Epicentre, Madison, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The mutants were screened for loss of anti-*Fusarium* activity using the agar dual culture method compared to wild type. Insertion mutants that completely lost the antifungal activity *in vitro* were further tested for loss 474 of *in planta* activity using maize as a model in two independent greenhouse trials (same protocol as described above). The sequences flanking each candidate Tn5 insertion mutant of interest 475 were identified using plasmid rescues according to the manufacturer's protocol (Supplemental 476 477 Method 3). The rescued gene sequences were BLAST searched against the whole genome sequence of bacterium M6²⁶. To test if the candidate genes are inducible by *F. graminearum* or 478 constitutively expressed, real-time PCR analysis was conducted using gene specific primers 479 (Supplemental Method 4). Operons were tentatively predicted using FGENESB¹⁰² from 480 Softberry Inc. (USA). Promoter regions were predicted using PePPER software (University of 481 Groningen, The Netherlands)¹⁰³. In order to confirm the identity of the genes discovered by Tn5 482 mutagenesis, each mutant was complemented with the corresponding predicted wild type coding 483 sequence which was synthesized (VectorBuilder, Cyagen Biosciences, USA) using a pPR322 484 vector backbone (Novagen) under the control of the T7 promoter. Two microlitres of each 485 synthesized vector was electroporated using 40 µl electro competent cells of the corresponding 486 mutant. The transformed bacterium cells were screened for gain of the antifungal activity against 487 F. graminearum using the dual culture assay as described above. 488

489

490 Mutant phenotyping

491 *Transmission electron microscopy (TEM):* To phenotype the candidate mutants, TEM imaging 492 was conducted. Wild type strain M6 and each of the candidate mutants were cultured overnight 493 in LB medium (37°C, 250 rpm). Thereafter, 5 µl of each culture were pipetted onto a 200-mesh 494 copper grid coated with carbon. The excess fluid was removed onto a filter, and the grid was 495 stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 10 sec. Images were taken by a F20 G2 FEI Tecnai bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

496	microscope operating at 200 kV equipped with a Gatan 4K CCD camera and Digital Micrograph
497	software at the Electron Microscopy Unit, University of Guelph, Canada.
498	

- 499 *Motility assay:* Wild type or mutant strains were cultured overnight in LB medium (37°C, 225
- rpm). The OD₅₉₅ for each culture was adjusted to 1.0, then 15 μ l of each culture were spotted on
- the center of a semisolid LB plates (0.3% agar) and incubated overnight (37°C, no shaking).
- 502 Motility was measured as the diameter of the resulting colony. There were ten replicates for each
- 503 culture. The entire experiment was repeated independently.

504

- 505 *Swarming test:* To examine the ability of the strains to swarm and form colonies around the
- 506 fungal pathogen, *in vitro* interaction/light microscopy imaging was conducted. Wild type M6 and
- 507 each mutant were incubated with *F. graminearum* on microscope slides covered with PDA (as
- described above). *F. graminearum* hyphae was stained with lactophenol blue solution (catalog
- 509 #61335, Sigma-Aldrich) then examined under a light microscope (B1372, Axiophot, Zeiss,
- 510 Germany) using Northern Eclipse software.

511

Biofilm spectroscopic assay: To test the ability of the strains to form biofilms, the strains were
initially cultured overnight in LB medium (37°C and 250 rpm), and adjusted to OD₆₀₀ of 0.5.
Cultures were diluted in LB (1:100), thereafter, 200 µl from each culture were transferred to a 96
well microtitre plate (3370, Corning Life Sciences, USA) in 6 replicates. The negative control
was LB medium only. The microtitre plate was incubated for 2 days at 37°C. The plate was

517	emptied by aspiration and washed three times with sterile saline solution. Adherent cells were
518	fixed with 200 μl of 99% methanol for 15 min then air dried. Thereafter, 200 μl of 2% crystal
519	violet (94448, Sigma) were added to each well for 5 min then washed with water, and left to air
520	dry. Finally, 160 μl of 33% (v/v) glacial acetic acid were added to each well to solubilise the
521	crystal violet stain. The light absorption was read by a spectrophotometer (SpectraMax plus 348
522	microplate reader, Molecular Devices, USA) at 570 nm ¹⁰⁴ . The entire experiment was repeated
523	independently.

524

Fusaric acid resistance: To test the ability of M6 wild type or *EwfR::Tn5* to resist fusaric acid,

the strains were allowed to grow on LB agar medium supplemented with different concentrations

of fusaric acid (0.01, 0.05 and 0.1%, catalog #F6513, Sigma Aldrich) as previously reported ¹⁰⁵.

528

c-di-GMP chemical complementation: To test if the Tn5 insertion in the predicted guanylate
cyclase gene could be complemented by exogenous c-di-GMP, M6 wild type or *EwgC::Tn5*strains were grown on LB agar medium supplemented with c-di-GMP (0.01 or 0.02 mg/ml)
(Cataloge # TLRL-CDG, Cedarlane). After 24 h, the agar diffusion method was used to test for
antifungal activity.

534

Colicin V assay: To verify that the Tn5 insertion in the predicted colicin V production gene
caused loss of colicin V secretion, M6 wild type or *EwvC*-4B9::Tn5 strains were inoculated as

537 liquid cultures ($OD_{600} = 0.5$) into holes created in LB agar medium pre-inoculated after cooling 538 with an *E.coli* strain sensitive to colicin V (MC4100, ATCC 35695)⁹⁰.

539

540 **Biochemical and enzymatic assays**

541 Detection of anti-fungal phenazine derivatives: For phenazine detection, bio-guided fractionation combined with LC-MS analysis was undertaken. Wild type M6 or mutant bacterial strains were 542 grown for 48 h on Katznelson and Lochhead liquid medium¹⁰⁶, harvested by freeze drying, then 543 the lyophilized powder from each strain was extracted by methanol. The methanolic extracts 544 were tested for anti-Fusarium activity using the agar diffusion method as described above. The 545 extracts were dried under vacuum and dissolved in a mixture of water and acetonitrile then 546 fractionized over a preparative HPLC C18 column (Nova-Pak HR C18 Prep Column, 6 µm, 60 547 548 Å, 25 x 100 mm prepack cartridge, part # WAT038510, Serial No 0042143081sp, Waters Ltd, USA). The solvent system consisted of purified water (Nanopure, USA) and acetonitrile (starting 549 at 99:1 and ending at 0:100) pumped at a rate of 5 ml/min. The eluted peaks were tested for anti-550 551 Fusarium activity. Active fractions were subjected to LC-MS analysis. Each of the active fractions was run on a Luna C18 column (Phenomenex Inc, USA) with a gradient of 0.1% formic 552 acid in water and 0.1% formic in acetonitrile. Peaks were analyzed by mass spectroscopy 553 (Agilent 6340 Ion Trap), ESI, positive ion mode. LC-MS analysis was conducted at the Mass 554 Spectroscopy Facility, McMaster University, ON, Canada. 555

556

GC-MS to detect production of 2,3 butanediol: To detect 2,3 butanediol, wild type strain M6 was
grown for 48 h on LB medium. The culture filtrate was analyzed by GC-MS (Mass Spectroscopy)

Facility, McMaster University, ON, Canada) and the resulting peaks were analyzed by searchesagainst the NIST 2008 database.

561

Chitinase assay: Chitinase activity of wild type strain M6 and the putative chitinase Tn5 mutant
was assessed using a standard spectrophotometric assay employing the Chitinase Assay Kit
(catalog #CS0980, Sigma Aldrich, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. There were
three replicates for each culture, and the entire experiment was repeated independently.

566

567 **Figures legends**

Figure 1 Isolation, identification and antifungal activity of endophytes. a. Picture showing 568 569 finger millet grain head. b, Mixed culture of endophytes isolated from finger millet. c, Quantification of the inhibitory effect of finger millet endophytes or fungicide controls on the 570 growth of F. graminearum in vitro. For these experiments, n=3. d, M6 endophyte suppresses the 571 growth of F. graminearum hyphae (white) using the dual culture method. e, Imaging of M6 572 573 viewed by scanning electron microscopy. **f-g**, GFP-tagged M6 inside roots of finger millet viewed by scanning confocal microscopy. h, GFP-tagged M6 inside roots of maize (stained with 574 propidium iodide). i, Effect of M6 treatment on suppression of F. graminearum disease in maize 575 in two greenhouse trials. j-l, (left to right) Representative ears from M6, fungicide and *Fusarium* 576 577 only treatments. **m**, Effect of M6 or controls on reducing DON mycotoxin contamination in 578 maize during storage following the two greenhouse trials. n, GFP-tagged M6 inside roots of 579 wheat viewed by confocal microscopy. \mathbf{o} , Effect of M6 treatment on suppression of F. 580 graminearum disease in wheat in two greenhouse trials. **p**, Picture of a healthy wheat grain. **q**,

Picture of an infected wheat grain. **r**, Effect of M6 or controls on reducing DON mycotoxin contamination in wheat during storage following the two greenhouse trials. Scale bars in all pictures equal 5 μ m. For greenhouse disease trials, n=20 for M6 and n=10 for the controls. For DON quantification, n=3 pools of seeds. The whiskers (**i**, **o**) indicate the range of data points. The error bars (**c**, **m**, **q**) indicate the standard error of the mean. For all graphs, letters that are different from one another indicate that their means are statistically different (P≤0.05).

587

Figure 2| Confocal imaging of m6-fusarium interactions in finger millet roots. a, Picture of 588 millet seedling showing primary root (PR) zone used for confocal microscopy, **b**. Control 589 primary root that was seed coated with GFP-M6 (green) but not infected with F. graminearum. 590 591 As a control, the tissue was stained with fungal stain calcofluor to exclude the presence of other fungi. Root following seed coating with GFP-tagged endophyte M6 (M6, green) following 592 inoculation with F. graminearum (Fg. purple blue, calcofluor stained) showing interactions with 593 594 root hairs (RH, magenta, lignin autofluoresence), \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{d} , Low (\mathbf{c}) and high (\mathbf{d}) magnifications to show the dense root hair and endophyte barrier layers. e-f, Low (e) and high (f) magnifications at 595 the edge of the barrier layers. **g-h**, Low (**g**) and high (**h**) magnifications in a deeper confocal 596 597 plane of the root hair layer shown in (d) showing root hair endophyte stacking (RHESt) with trapped fungal hyphae. i-j, Low (i) and high (j) magnifications of the interactions between M6 598 (green) and F. graminearum in the absence of root hair-lignin autofluorescence, showing 599 breakage of fungal hyphae. 600

601

602 Figure 3| Behavior and interactions of endophyte m6 and *f. graminearum in vitro* on

microscope slides. a-c, Light microscopy of interactions between *F. graminearum* (Fg) and M6
following staining with Evans blue, which stains dead hyphae blue. Shown are (a) Fg following
overnight co-incubation with M6, (b) Fg, grown away from M6 (control), and (c) Fg following
overnight co-incubation with a commercial biological control agent. d-i, Time course to
illustrate the swarming and attachment behaviour of GFP-tagged M6 (green) to Fg (blue,

calcofluor stained) viewed at 0.5 h, 1.5 h, 3 h, 6 h, 6 h (close-up) and 8 h following co-

609 incubation, respectively. Fg and M6 shown in (d) and (e) were inoculated on the same slide

distal from one another at the start of the time course but digitally placed together for these

611 illustrations. **j**, M6 shown breaking Fg hypha. **k**, Transmission electron microscope picture of

M6 showing its characteristic flagella. (I-m) Biofilm formed by M6 as viewed by staining with

Ruby film tracer (red) in the (l) absence of Fg or (m) presence of Fg.

614

Figure 4 | Characterization of phenazine mutant *ewpR*-5D7::Tn5. a, Effect of M6 mutant 615 strain *ewpR*⁻ on suppression of F. graminearum (Fg) in maize compared to wild type M6 and Fg-616 only control, with corresponding, representative maize ear pictures. **b**, Genomic organization of 617 the predicted phenazine biosynthetic operon showing the position of the Tn5 insertion and 618 putative LysR binding site within the promoter (P). c, Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) 619 gene expression of the two core phenazine genes (ewpF1 and ewpF2) in wild type M6 (+) and 620 the mutant (-) $(ewpR^{-})$. **d**, Illustration of the phenazine biosynthetic pathway. **e**, Agar diffusion 621 assay showing the inhibitory effect of different methanol extracts on the growth of Fg from wild 622 type M6, two wild type fractions (FrA, FrB), the *ewpR*⁻ mutant (mutant), a random Tn5 insertion 623 or buffer. f-g, Mass spectroscopy analysis of putative phenazine derivatives in wild type M6 624

625 fractions A and B. h. Quantification of $ewpR^{-}$ mutant strain (M) motility compared to wild type M6 (W), with representative pictures (inset) of motility assays on semisolid agar plates. i-j, Light 626 microscopy image showing loss of swarming and colony formation of (i) $ewpR^{-}$ mutant strain 627 628 around Fg hyphae stained with lactophenol blue, compared to (j) wild type M6. \mathbf{k} , Electron microscopy image of $ewpR^2$ mutant strain. I, Confocal microscopy image showing attachment 629 pattern of GFP-tagged *ewpR*⁻ mutant strain (green) to Fg hyphae stained with calcofluor stain, 630 compared to wild type M6 (inset). m, Confocal microscopy image showing reduced 631 proteinaceous biofilm matrix stained with Ruby film tracer (red) associated with GFP-tagged 632 $ewpR^{-}$ mutant strain compared to wild type M6 (inset). **n**, Spectrophotometric quantification of 633 biofilm formation associated with wild type M6 compared to the *ewpR*⁻ mutant strain, with 634 representative biofilm assay well pictures (left and right, respectively; 3 replicates shown). For 635 636 graphs shown in (a, c, h, n) letters that are different from one another indicate that their means are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$), and the whiskers represent the standard error of the mean. 637

638

Figure 5 | Characterization of fusaric acid resistance mutant *ewfR*-7D5::Tn5. a. Genomic 639 organization of the predicted fusaric acid resistance operon showing the position of the Tn5 640 insertion and putative LysR binding site within the promoter (P). **b-c**. The inhibitory effect of 641 fusaric acid embedded within agar on the growth of the *ewfR*⁻ mutant compared to wild type M6. 642 **d**. Quantitative real time PCR gene expression of two protein-coding genes required for the 643 formation of the fusaric acid efflux pump (ewfD and ewfE) in wild type M6 (+) and the mutant (-644) (ewfR). e. Quantification of ewfR mutant strain (M) motility compared to wild type M6 (W). 645 646 with representative pictures (inset) of motility assays on semisolid agar plates. **f-g**, Light microscopy image showing decreased swarming and colony formation of (f) the $ewfR^{-}$ mutant 647

648 strain around Fg hyphae stained with lactophenol blue, compared to (g) wild type M6. **h**, Electron microscopy image of the $ewfR^{-}$ mutant strain. i, Confocal microscopy image showing 649 the attachment pattern of the GFP-tagged $ewfR^{-}$ mutant strain (green) to Fg hyphae stained with 650 651 calcofluor stain, compared to wild type M6 (inset). j. Confocal microscopy image showing a 652 proteinaceous biofilm matrix stained with Ruby film tracer (red) associated with GFP-tagged $ewfR^{-}$ mutant strain compared to wild type M6 (inset). **k**, Spectrophotometric quantification of 653 biofilm formation associated with wild type M6 compared to the $ewfR^{-}$ mutant strain, with 654 representative biofilm assay well pictures (left and right, respectively; 3 replicates shown). gRT-655 PCR analysis of: (1) wild type *ewfR* expression in a wild type M6 background, (**m**) wild type 656 ewpR in an ewfR mutant background, and (n) wild type ewpR in a wild type M6 background. 657 For graphs shown in (d, e, k, l- n) letters that are different from one another indicate that their 658 659 means are statistically different (P≤0.05; in the case of **l-n**, within a time point), and the whiskers represent the standard error of the mean. 660

661

Figure 6 | Characterization of di-guanylate cyclase mutant ewgS-10A8::Tn5. a. Illustration 662 of the enzymatic conversion of 2 guanosine phosphate to c-di-GMP catalyzed by di-guanylate 663 cyclase. **b**, Complementation of the putative $ewgS^{-}$ mutant with respect to inhibition of F. 664 graminearum (Fg) by addition of c-di-GMP (0.01 and 0.02 mg/ml), compared to wild type strain 665 M6. c, qRT-PCR analysis of wild type *ewgS* expression in a wild type M6 background. d, 666 Quantification of ewgS⁻ mutant strain (M) motility compared to wild type M6 (W), with 667 representative pictures (inset) of motility assays on semisolid agar plates. e-f, Light microscopy 668 669 image showing decrease in swarming and colony formation of (e) $ewgS^{-}$ mutant strain around Fg 670 hyphae stained with lactophenol blue, compared to (\mathbf{f}) wild type M6. \mathbf{g} , Electron microscopy

671 image of $ewgS^{-}$ mutant strain. **h**, Confocal microscopy image showing attachment pattern of GFP-tagged *ewgS*⁻ mutant strain (green) to Fg hyphae stained with calcofluor stain, compared to 672 wild type M6 (inset). i, Confocal microscopy image showing loss of proteinaceous biofilm 673 674 matrix stained with Ruby film tracer (red) associated with GFP-tagged ewgS⁻ mutant strain compared to wild type M6 (inset). j, Spectrophotometric quantification of biofilm formation 675 associated with wild type M6 compared to the *ewgS*⁻ mutant strain, with representative biofilm 676 assay well pictures (left and right, respectively; 3 replicates shown). For graphs shown in (c, d, j) 677 letters that are different from one another indicate that their means are statistically different 678 679 $(P \le 0.05)$, and the whiskers represent the standard error of the mean.

680

681 Figure 7 | Characterization of Colicin V Mutant ewvC-4B9::Tn5. a, Dual culture agar diffusion assay showing loss of antagonism against the colicin V sensitive *E coli* strain 682 (MC4100) by the *ewvC* mutant compared to wild type M6. **b**. aRT-PCR analysis of wild type 683 684 *ewvC* expression in a wild type M6 background. **c**, Quantification of *ewvC* mutant strain (M) motility compared to wild type M6 (W), with representative pictures (inset) of motility assays on 685 semisolid agar plates. **d-e**, Light microscopy image showing decrease in swarming and colony 686 687 formation of (d) the *ewvC* mutant strain around Fg hyphae stained with lactophenol blue, compared to (e) wild type M6. f. Electron microscopy image of the ewvC mutant strain. g. 688 Confocal microscopy image showing the attachment pattern of the GFP-tagged *ewvC* mutant 689 strain (green) to Fg hyphae stained with calcofluor stain, compared to wild type M6 (inset). \mathbf{h} , 690 Confocal microscopy image showing the proteinaceous biofilm matrix stained with Ruby film 691 tracer (red) associated with the GFP-tagged $ewvC^{-}$ mutant strain compared to wild type M6 692 (inset). i, Spectrophotometric quantification of biofilm formation associated with wild type M6 693

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

694	compared to the <i>ewvC</i> ⁻ mutant strain, with representative biofilm assay well pictures (left and
695	right, respectively; 3 replicates shown). For graphs shown in (b , c , i) letters that are different
696	from one another indicate that their means are statistically different (P \leq 0.05), and the whiskers
697	represent the standard error of the mean.
698	
699	Supplementary Data
700	Supplementary Table 1 Taxonomic classification of finger millet bacterial endophytes
701	based on 16S rDNA sequences and BLAST Analysis.
702	
703	Supplementary Table 2 Effect of endophyte strain M6 isolated from finger millet on the
704	growth of diverse fungal pathogens in vitro.
705	
706	Supplementary Table 3 Suppression of <i>F. graminearum</i> disease symptoms in maize and
707	wheat by endophyte M6 in replicated greenhouse trials.
708	
709	Supplementary Table 4 Reduction of DON mycotoxin accumulation during prolonged
710	seed storage following treatment with endophyte M6.
711	

bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

712	Supplementary Table 5 Complete list of strain M6 Tn5 insertion mutants showing loss of
713	antifungal activity against F. graminearum in vitro.
714	
715	Supplementary Table 6 M6 wild type nucleotide coding sequences ²⁶ corresponding to Tn5
716	insertion mutants that showed loss of antifungal activity against F. graminearum in vitro.
717	
718	Supplementary Table 7 Gene-specific primers used in quantitative real-time PCR analysis
719	(see Supplemental Methods).
720	
721	Supplementary Figure 1 16S rDNA based phylogenetic tree of finger millet endophytes
722	M1-M7.
723	
724	Supplementary Figure 2 Image of finger millet seedlings previously seed-coated with
725	GFP-tagged M6 showing no pathogenic symptoms, consistent with the strain behaving as
726	an endophyte.
727	
728	Supplementary Figure 3 Suppression of F. graminearum (Fg) by M6 and its effect on
729	grain yield in greenhouse trials. a-b, Effect of endophyte M6 on grain yield per plant in two
730	greenhouse trials for (a) maize and (b) wheat. c, Effect of treatment with endophyte M6 on Fg
731	disease symptoms in maize ears when the endophyte was applied as a seed coat or foliar spray

compared to a *Fusarium* only control treatment. Letters that are different from one another within a trial indicate that their means are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$). The whiskers indicate the range of data.

735

736	Supplementary Figure 4 Assay for production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA, auxin) by wild
737	type strain M6. Production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) in vitro by wild type strain M6
738	compared to a positive control (bacterial endophyte strain E10) ¹⁰⁷ using the Salkowski reagent
739	colorimetric assay.

740

741 Supplementary Figure 5 | Tn5 mutagenesis-mediated discovery, validation and

742 complementation of genes required for the anti-Fusarium activity of strain M6. a, Loss of 743 anti-F. graminearum activity associated with each Tn5 insertion mutant using the in vitro dual 744 culture diffusion assay, along with a representative image (inset) of the mutant screen. b-c, In 745 planta validation of loss of anti-fungal activity of M6 mutant strains based on quantification of 746 F. graminearum disease symptoms on maize ears, in (b) greenhouse trial 1, and (c) greenhouse 747 trial 2. Only mutant strains that completely lost anti-fungal activity in vitro were selected for in planta validation. The whiskers indicate the range of data points. Letters that are different from 748 749 one another indicate that their means are statistically different ($P \le 0.05$). **d**, Genetic 750 complementation of Tn5 mutants with the predicted, corresponding wild type coding sequences. Shown are representative images. 751

752

753 Supplementary Figure 6 | Model to illustrate the interaction between strain M6, the host

plant and *F. graminearum* **pathogen**. Following pathogen sensing, M6 swarm towards

Fusarium hyphae and induces local hair growth, perhaps mediated by M6-IAA production. M6

then forms microcolony stacks between the elongated and bent root hairs resulting root hair-

rsr endophyte stack (RHESt) formation, likely mediated by biofilms. The RHEST formation

prevents entry and/or traps *F. graminearum* for subsequent killing. M6 killing requires diverse

antimicrobial compounds including phenazines. *Fusarium* will produce fusaric acid which

interferes with phenazine biosynthesis. However, M6 has a specialized fusaric acid-resistance

761 pump system which is predicted to pump the mycotoxin outside the endophyte cell.

762

763	Supplementary Figure 7 Assays for production of butanediol and chitinase by strain M6
764	a , Entire GC chromatogram showing an arrow pointing to a peak with RT 11.13 with a
765	molecular weight and fragmentation pattern (inset) that matches 2, 3 butanediol when searched
766	against the NIST 2008 database. b , Quantification of chitinase production by an M6 mutant
767	strain carrying a Tn5 insertion in a chitinase encoding gene (ewc-3H2::Tn5) compared to wild
768	type M6 (see Supplementary Table 7).

769

770 Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

772

773 Author Contributions

774	WKM designed and conducted all experiments, analyzed all data and wrote the manuscript. CS
775	assisted in the greenhouse trials. VLR performed the DON quantification experiments. CE and
776	JE sequenced the M6 genome and provided gene annotations. MNR helped to design the
777	experiments and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

778

779 Acknowledgements

780 We are very thankful to Dr. Michaela Streuder (Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology,

781 University of Guelph) for assistance in confocal microscopy experiments and for her insightful

comments. We thank Prof. A. Schaafsma and Dr. Ljiljana Tamburic-Ilincic (Ridgetown College,

783 University of Guelph, Canada) for kindly providing hybrid maize and wheat seeds, respectively.

784 We thank Marina Atalla for assistance in disease scoring. WKM was supported by generous

scholarships from the Government of Egypt and the University of Guelph (International

786 Graduate Student Scholarships, 2012, 2014). This research was supported by grants to MNR by

the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (OMAFRA), Grain Farmers of

788 Ontario (GFO), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and

the CIFSRF program funded by the International Development Research Centre (IDRC, Ottawa)

- and Canadian Department of Global Affairs.
- 791

792 **References**

 Goron, T. L. & Raizada, M. N. Genetic diversity and genomic resources available for the small millet crops to accelerate a New Green Revolution. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6, 157 (2015).
 Thilakarathna, M. S. & Raizada, M. N. A review of nutrient management studies involving finger millet in the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. *Agronomy* 5, 262-290 (2015). bioRxiv preprint first posted online Aug. 25, 2016; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/071548. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

708							
798 799	3	Hilu, K. W. & de Wet, J. M. J. Domestication of <i>Eleusine coracana</i> . Econ. Bot. 30, 199-208 (1976).					
800							
801 802 803	Mousa, W. K. <i>et al.</i> An endophytic fungus isolated from finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>) produces anti-fungal natural products. <i>Front. Microbiol.</i> 6 , 1157(2015).						
803 804 805 806	5	Sundaramari, P. V. a. M. Rationality and adoption of indigenous cultivation practices of finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> (L.) Gaertn.) by the tribal farmers of Tamil Nadu. <i>Intl. J. Manag. Social Sci.</i> 02 , 970-977 (2015).					
807 808 809 810	6	Sutton, J. C. Epidemiology of wheat head blight and maize ear rot caused by <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> . <i>Can. J. Plant Pathol.</i> 4 , 195-209 (1982).					
810 811 812 813 814	7	Mousa, W. K., Shearer, C., Limay-Rios, V., Zhou, T. & Raizada, M. N. Bacterial endophytes from wild maize suppress <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> in modern maize and inhibit mycotoxin accumulation. <i>Front. Plant Sci.</i> 6 , 805 (2015).					
814 815 816 817	8	Munimbazi, C. & Bullerman, L. B. Molds and mycotoxins in foods from Burundi. J. Food Prot. 59, 869-875 (1996).					
817 818 819 820	9	Chandrashekar, A. & Satyanarayana, K. Disease and pest resistance in grains of sorghum and millets. <i>J. Cereal Sci.</i> 44 , 287-304 (2006).					
820 821 822 823	10 Siwela, M., Taylor, J., de Milliano, W. A. & Duodu, K. G. Influence of phenolics in finger mill grain and malt fungal load, and malt quality. <i>Food Chem.</i> 121 , 443-449 (2010).						
824 825 826	11	Wilson, D. Endophyte: the evolution of a term, and clarification of its use and definition. <i>Oikos</i> 73 , 274-276 (1995).					
827 828 829	12	Johnston-Monje, D. & Raizada, M. N. Conservation and diversity of seed associated endophytes in across boundaries of evolution, ethnography and ecology. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 6 , e20396, (2011).					
830 831 832 832	13	Waller, F. <i>et al.</i> The endophytic fungus <i>Piriformospora indica</i> reprograms barley to salt-stress tolerance, disease resistance, and higher yield. <i>Proc. NatL. Acad. Sci. (USA)</i> 102 , 13386-13391, (2005).					
835 835 836	14	Haas, D. & Defago, G. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. <i>Nat. Rev. Microbiol.</i> 3 , 307-319 (2005).					
837 838 839	15	Mousa, W. K. & Raizada, M. N. The diversity of anti-microbial secondary metabolites produced by fungal endophytes: An interdisciplinary perspective. <i>Front. Microbiol.</i> 4 ,65 (2013).					
840 841 842	16	Mousa, W. K. & Raizada, M. N. Biodiversity of genes encoding anti-microbial traits within plant associated microbes. <i>Front. Plant Sci.</i> 6 , 231(2015).					
843 844 845	17	O'Donnell, K. <i>et al.</i> Phylogenetic analyses of RPB1 and RPB2 support a middle Cretaceous origin for a clade comprising all agriculturally and medically important fusaria. <i>Fungal Genet. Biol.</i> 52 , 20-31 (2013).					
840 847 848	 Saleh, A. A., Esele, J., Logrieco, A., Ritieni, A. & Leslie, J. F. <i>Fusarium verticillioides</i> from f millet in Uganda. <i>Food Addit. Contam.</i> 29, 1762-1769 (2012). 						

849							
850 851	19	Pall, B. & Lakhani, J. Seed mycoflora of ragi, <i>Eleusine coracana</i> (L.) Gaertn. <i>Res. Develop. Rep.</i> 8, 78-79 (1991).					
853 854	20	Amata, R. <i>et al.</i> An emended description of <i>Fusarium brevicatenulatum</i> and <i>F. pseudoanthophilum</i> based on isolates recovered from millet in Kenya. <i>Fungal Divers.</i> 43 , 11-25 (2010).					
855 856 857	21	Penugonda, S., Girisham, S. & Reddy, S. Elaboration of mycotoxins by seed-borne fungi of finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> L.). <i>Intl. J. Biotech. Mol. Biol. Res.</i> 1 , 62-64 (2010).					
858 859 860 861	22	Ramana, M. V., Nayaka, S. C., Balakrishna, K., Murali, H. & Batra, H. A novel PCR–DNA probe for the detection of fumonisin-producing <i>Fusarium</i> species from major food crops grown in southern India. <i>Mycology</i> 3 , 167-174 (2012).					
862 863 864	23	Adipala, E. Seed-borne fungi of finger millet. E. Afr. Agricult. Forest. J. 57, 173-176 (1992).					
865 866 867	24	Dereeper, A. <i>et al.</i> Phylogeny. fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. <i>Nucleic acids Res.</i> 36 , 465-469 (2008).					
868 869 870	25	Dereeper, A., Audic, S., Claverie, JM. & Blanc, G. BLAST-explorer helps you building datasets for phylogenetic analysis. <i>BMC Evol. Biol.</i> 10 , 8 (2010).					
871 872 873 874	26	Ettinger, C. L., Mousa, W. M., Raizada, M. N. & Eisen, J. A. Draft Genome Sequence of <i>Enterobacter</i> sp. Strain UCD-UG_FMILLET (Phylum Proteobacteria). <i>Genome Announc.</i> 3 ,e0146-14 (2015).					
875 876	27	Chongo, G. <i>et al.</i> Reaction of seedling roots of 14 crop species to <i>Fusarium graminearum</i> from wheat heads. <i>Can. J. Plant Pathol.</i> 23 , 132-137 (2001).					
877 878 879	28	Pitts, R. J., Cernac, A. & Estelle, M. Auxin and ethylene promote root hair elongation in Arabidopsis. <i>Plant J.</i> 16 , 553-560 (1998).					
881 882 883	29	Parsons, J. F. <i>et al.</i> Structure and function of the phenazine biosynthesis protein PhzF from <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> 2-79. <i>Biochemistry</i> 43 , 12427-12435 (2004).					
884 885 886 887	30	Lu, J. <i>et al.</i> LysR family transcriptional regulator PqsR as repressor of pyoluteorin biosynthesis and activator of phenazine-1-carboxylic acid biosynthesis in <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. M18. <i>J. Biotechnol.</i> 143 , 1-9 (2009).					
888 889 890 891	31	Goethals, K., Van Montagu, M. & Holsters, M. Conserved motifs in a divergent nod box of <i>Azorhizobium caulinodans</i> ORS571 reveal a common structure in promoters regulated by LysR-typ proteins. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)</i> 89 , 1646-1650 (1992).					
892 893 894 895	32	Wang, Y., Luo, Q., Zhang, X. & Wang, W. Isolation and purification of a modified phenazine, griseoluteic acid, produced by <i>Streptomyces griseoluteus</i> P510. <i>Res. Microbiol.</i> 162 , 311-319, (2011).					
896 897 898	33	Nakamura, S., Wang, E. L., Murase, M., Maeda, K. & Umezawa, H. Structure of griseolutein A. J. Antibiot. 12, 55-58 (1959).					

899 900 901	34	Giddens, S. R., Feng, Y. & Mahanty, H. K. Characterization of a novel phenazine antibiotic gene cluster in <i>Erwinia herbicola</i> Eh1087. <i>Mol. Microbiol.</i> 45 , 769-783 (2002).				
902 903	35	Kitahara, M. <i>et al.</i> Saphenamycin, a novel antibiotic from a strain of Streptomyces. <i>J. Antibiot.</i> 35 , 1412-1414 (1982).				
905 906 907 908	36	Toyoda, H., Katsuragi, K., Tamai, T. & Ouchi, S. DNA Sequence of genes for detoxification of fusaric acid, a wilt-inducing agent produced by <i>Fusarium</i> species. <i>J. Phytopathol.</i> 133 , 265-277 (1991).				
909 910 911	37	Utsumi, R. <i>et al.</i> Molecular cloning and characterization of the fusaric acid-resistance gene from <i>Pseudomonas cepacia. Agri. Biol. Chem.</i> 55 , 1913-1918 (1991).				
912 913 914 915	38	Marre, M., Vergani, P. & Albergoni, F. Relationship between fusaric acid uptake and its binding to cell structures by leaves of <i>Egeria densa</i> and its toxic effects on membrane permeability and respiration. <i>Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.</i> 42 , 141-157 (1993).				
916 917 918 919	39	Bacon, C., Hinton, D. & Hinton, A. Growth-inhibiting effects of concentrations of fusaric acid on the growth of <i>Bacillus mojavensis</i> and other biocontrol <i>Bacillus</i> species. <i>J. App. Microbiol.</i> 100 , 185-194 (2006).				
920 921 922	40	Borges-Walmsley, M., McKeegan, K. & Walmsley, A. Structure and function of efflux pumps that confer resistance to drugs. <i>Biochem. J.</i> 376 , 313-338 (2003).				
923 924 925 926	41	u, RM., Liao, ST., Huang, CC., Huang, YW. & Yang, TC. An inducible fusaric acid ipartite efflux pump contributes to the fusaric acid resistance in <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> . <i>LoS ONE</i> 7 , e51053 (2012).				
927 928 929	42	van Rij, E. T., Girard, G., Lugtenberg, B. J. & Bloemberg, G. V. Influence of fusaric acid on phenazine-1-carboxamide synthesis and gene expression of <i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i> strain PCL1391. <i>Microbiology</i> 151 , 2805-2814 (2005).				
930 931 932 933	43	Römling, U., Galperin, M. Y. & Gomelsky, M. Cyclic di-GMP: the first 25 years of a universal bacterial second messenger. <i>Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.</i> 77 , 1-52 (2013).				
934 935 936	44	Fath, M., Mahanty, H. & Kolter, R. Characterization of a purF operon mutation which affects colicin V production. <i>J. Bacteriol.</i> 171 , 3158-3161 (1989).				
937 938 939	45	Fath, M. J., Zhang, L. H., Rush, J. & Kolter, R. Purification and characterization of colicin V from <i>Escherichia coli</i> culture supernatants. <i>Biochemistry</i> 33 , 6911-6917 (1994).				
940 941 942	46	Soliman, S. S. <i>et al.</i> An endophyte constructs fungicide-containing extracellular barriers for its host plant. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 25 , 2570-2576 (2015).				
943 944 945	47	Reinhold-Hurek, B., Bünger, W., Burbano, C. S., Sabale, M. & Hurek, T. Roots shaping their microbiome: global hotspots for microbial activity. <i>Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.</i> 53 , 403-424 (2015).				
946 947 948 949	48	Compant, S., Clément, C. & Sessitsch, A. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo-and endosphere of plants: their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. <i>Soil Biol. Biochem.</i> 42 , 669-678 (2010).				

950 951	49	Glaeser, S. P. <i>et al.</i> Non-pathogenic <i>Rhizobium radiobacter</i> F4 deploys plant beneficial activity independent of its host <i>Piriformospora indica</i> . <i>ISME J. in press</i> (2015).				
952 953 954	50	Germaine, K. J., Keogh, E., Ryan, D. & Dowling, D. N. Bacterial endophyte-mediated naphthalene phytoprotection and phytoremediation. <i>FEMS Microbiol. Lett.</i> 296 , 226-234 (2009).				
955 956 957	51	Marasco, R. <i>et al.</i> A drought resistance-promoting microbiome is selected by root system under desert farming. <i>PloS ONE</i> 7 , e48479 (2012).				
958 959 960	52	Dandurand, L., Schotzko, D. & Knudsen, G. Spatial patterns of rhizoplane populations of <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens. Appl. Environmen. Microbiol.</i> 63 , 3211-3217 (1997).				
961 962 963 964	53	Foster, R. The ultrastructure of the rhizoplane and rhizosphere. <i>Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.</i> 24 , 211-234 (1986).				
965 966 967	54	Fukui, R. <i>et al.</i> Spatial colonization patterns and interaction of bacteria on inoculated sugar beet seed. <i>Phytopathology</i> 84 , 1338-1345 (1994).				
968 969 970	55	Rovira, A. A study of the development of the root surface microflora during the initial stages of plant growth. <i>J. Appl. Bacteriol.</i> 19 , 72-79 (1956).				
971 972 973	56	Rovira, A. & Campbell, R. Scanning electron microscopy of microorganisms on the roots of wheat. <i>Microb. Ecol.</i> 1 , 15-23 (1974).				
974 975 976	57	Newman, E. & Bowen, H. Patterns of distribution of bacteria on root surfaces. <i>Soil Biol. Biochem.</i> 6 , 205-209 (1974).				
970 977 978	58	Mercado-Blanco, J. & Prieto, P. Bacterial endophytes and root hairs. <i>Plant Soil</i> 361 , 301-306 (2012).				
979 980 981	59	Danhorn, T. & Fuqua, C. Biofilm formation by plant-associated bacteria. <i>Annu. Rev. Microbiol.</i> 61 , 401-422 (2007).				
981 982 983	60	Lee, R. DW. & Cho, HT. Auxin, the organizer of the hormonal/environmental signals for root hair growth. <i>Front. Plant Sci.</i> 4 , 448 (2013).				
985 986 087	61	Patten, C. L. & Glick, B. R. Bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid. <i>Can. J. Microbiol.</i> 42 , 207-220 (1996).				
987 988 989	62	Oldroyd, G. E. Speak, friend, and enter: signalling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. <i>Nat. Rev. Microbiol.</i> 11 , 252-263 (2013).				
990 991 992	63	Du, X., Li, Y., Zhou, Q. & Xu, Y. Regulation of gene expression in <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> M18 by phenazine-1-carboxylic acid. <i>Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i> 99 , 813-825 (2015).				
995 994 995	64	Srivastava, D. & Waters, C. M. A tangled web: regulatory connections between quorum sensing and cyclic di-GMP. <i>J. Bacteriol.</i> 194 , 4485-4493 (2012).				
996 997 998	65	Flemming, HC. & Wingender, J. The biofilm matrix. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 623-633 (2010).				
999 1000	66	An, S.Q. <i>et al.</i> Novel cyclic di-GMP effectors of the YajQ protein family control bacterial virulence. <i>PLoS Pathog.</i> 10 , e1004429 (2014).				

1001							
1001	67	Landini P Antoniani D Burgess I G & Niiland R Molecular mechanisms of compounds					
1003	07	affecting bacterial biofilm formation and dispersal. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 86 , 813-823 (2010)					
1004							
1005	68	Steinberg, N. & Kolodkin-Gal, I. The matrix reloaded probing the extracellular matrix synchronizes					
1006		bacterial communities. J. Bacteriol. 197 , 2092–2103 (2015).					
1007		, , , ,					
1008	69	Cortes-Barco, A., Hsiang, T. & Goodwin, P. Induced systemic resistance against three foliar diseases					
1009		of Agrostis stolonifera by (2R, 3R)-butanediol or an isoparaffin mixture. Annal. Appl. Biol. 157, 179-					
1010		189 (2010).					
1011							
1012	70	Mavrodi, D. V. et al. Recent insights into the diversity, frequency and ecological roles of phenazines					
1013		in fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. Environmen. Microbiol. 15, 675-686 (2013).					
1014							
1015	71	Gurusiddaiah, S., Weller, D., Sarkar, A. & Cook, R. Characterization of an antibiotic produced by a					
1016		strain of Pseudomonas fluorescens inhibitory to Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici and Pythium					
1017		spp. Antimicrob. Agents Chemotherap.29, 488-495 (1986).					
1018							
1019	72	Anjaiah, V. et al. Involvement of phenazines and anthranilate in the antagonism of Pseudomonas					
1020		aeruginosa PNA1 and Tn5 Derivatives toward Fusarium spp. and Pythium spp. Mol. Plant-Microb.					
1021		Interact. 11, 847-854 (1998).					
1022							
1023	73	Bloemberg, G. V. & Lugtenberg, B. J. Phenazines and their role in biocontrol by Pseudomonas					
1024		bacteria. New Phytol. 157, 503-523 (2003).					
1025							
1026	74	Hori, M., Nozaki, S., Nagami, K., Asakura, H. & Umezawa, H. Inhibition of DNA synthesis by					
1027		griseolutein in <i>Escherichia coli</i> through a possible interaction at the cell surface. <i>Biochimica et</i>					
1028		Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Nuc. Acids Protein Synth. 521, 101-110 (1978).					
1029	75	Haggen II M & Evidencial I Machanism of the antibiotic action programming I Burtonial 141 156					
1030	15	Hassan, H. M. & Fridovich, I. Mechanism of the antibiotic action pyocyanine. J. Bacteriol. 141, 156-					
1031		105 (1900).					
1022	76	Hassett D. J. Schweizer H. P. & Ohman D. F. Pseudomonas garuginosa sodA and sodB mutants					
1033	/0	defective in manganese and iron cofectored superoxide dismutase activity demonstrate the					
1034		importance of the iron-cofactored form in aerobic metabolism <i>L Bacteriol</i> 177 6330-6337 (1905)					
1035							
1037	77	Audenaert K Pattery T Cornelis P & Hofte M Induction of systemic resistance to <i>Botrytis</i>					
1038	, ,	<i>cinerea</i> in tomato by <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> 7NSK2: role of salicylic acid, pyochelin, and					
1039		pyocyanin Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact. 15 1147-1156 (2002)					
1040		F)					
1041	78	Price-Whelan, A., Dietrich, L. E. & Newman, D. K. Rethinking 'secondary'metabolism:					
1042		physiological roles for phenazine antibiotics. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2, 71-78 (2006).					
1043							
1044	79	Wang, Y. & Newman, D. K. Redox reactions of phenazine antibiotics with ferric (hydr) oxides and					
1045		molecular oxygen. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 2380-2386 (2008).					
1046							
1047	80	Hernandez, M. & Newman, D. Extracellular electron transfer. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 58, 1562-1571					
1048		(2001).					
1049							
1050	81	Fu, YC., Zhang, T. & Bishop, P. Determination of effective oxygen diffusivity in biofilms grown in					
1051		a completely mixed biodrum reactor. Water Sci. Technol. 29, 455-462 (1994).					

1052						
1052	82	Stewart P. S. Diffusion in biofilms <i>J. Bacteriol</i> 185 1485-1491 (2003)				
1054	02	510 ward, 1 · 5 · 5 infaston in otorinis. V 5 actoriou 200, 1100 1191 (2005).				
1055	83	Whitchurch, C. B., Tolker-Nielsen, T., Ragas, P. C. & Mattick, J. S. Extracellular DNA required for				
1056		bacterial biofilm formation. Science 295, 1487-1487 (2002).				
1057						
1058	84	Petersen, F. C., Tao, L. & Scheie, A. A. DNA binding-uptake system: a link between cell-to-cell				
1059		communication and biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol. 187, 4392-4400 (2005).				
1060						
1061	85	Das, T., Sharma, P. K., Busscher, H. J., van der Mei, H. C. & Krom, B. P. Role of extracellular DNA				
1062		in initial bacterial adhesion and surface aggregation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76, 3405-3408				
1063		(2010).				
1064	0.6					
1065	86	Das, T., Kutty, S. K., Kumar, N. & Manefield, M. Pyocyanin facilitates extracellular DNA binding to				
1066		<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> influencing cell surface properties and aggregation. <i>PloS ONE</i> 8, e58299				
1067		(2013).				
1068	07	Des T. & Monofield M. Duequenin promotos outrocallular DNA release in <i>Basudamenas</i>				
1009	0/	asmusinessa, PLeS ONE 7, e46718, (2012)				
1070		<i>deruginosa. FLos ONE 1</i> , e40/18. (2012).				
1071	88	Das T at al Phanazine vizulence factor binding to extracellular DNA is important for Pseudomonas				
1072	00	<i>aeruginosa</i> biofilm formation Sci Rep 5 8398 (2015)				
1074		deruginosa olomini tomadon. Set. Rep. 3,8376 (2013).				
1075	89	Pierson III L S & Pierson E A Metabolism and function of phenazines in bacteria: impacts on the				
1076	07	behavior of bacteria in the environment and biotechnological processes Appl Microbiol Biotechnol				
1077		86 , 1659-1670 (2010).				
1078						
1079	90	Gérard, F., Pradel, N. & Wu, LF. Bactericidal activity of colicin V is mediated by an inner				
1080		membrane protein, SdaC, of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 187, 1945-1950 (2005).				
1081						
1082	91	Gillor, O. & Ghazaryan, L. Recent advances in bacteriocin application as antimicrobials. <i>Recent Pat.</i>				
1083		Anti-infect. Drug Discov. 2, 115-122 (2007).				
1084						
1085	92	Hammami, I., Triki, M. & Rebai, A. Purification and characterization of the novel bacteriocin bac				
1086		ih7 with antifungal and antibacterial properties. J. Plant Pathol. 93, 443-454 (2011).				
1087						
1088	93	Dahiya, N., Tewari, R. & Hoondal, G. S. Biotechnological aspects of chitinolytic enzymes: a review.				
1089		Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 71, 7/3-782 (2005).				
1090	0.4					
1091	94	Daniya, N., Tewari, K., Tiwari, K. P. & Hoondal, G. S. Production of an antifungal chitinase from				
1092		<i>Enterobacter</i> sp. NKG4 and its application in protopiast production. <i>World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.</i> 21 , 1611, 1616 (2005).				
1093		21 , 1011-1010 (2003).				
1094	05	Burkhead K D Slininger P I & Schieler D A Biological control bacterium Enterobacter				
1095	95	cloacea S11:T:07 (NRRI B-21050) produces the antifungal compound phenylacetic acid in				
1090		Sabouraud maltose broth culture Soil Riol Riochem 30 665-667 (1998)				
1098		$ = \frac{1}{2} \frac$				
1099	96	Slininger, P., Burkhead, K. & Schisler, D. Antifungal and sprout regulatory bioactivities of				
1100		phenylacetic acid, indole-3-acetic acid, and tyrosol isolated from the potato dry rot suppressive				
1101		bacterium Enterobacter cloacae S11: T: 07. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 31 , 517-524 (2004).				
1102						

1103 1104 1105 1106	97	Schisler, D. A., Slininger, P. J., Kleinkopf, G., Bothast, R. J. & Ostrowski, R. C. Biological contr of <i>Fusarium</i> dry rot of potato tubers under commercial storage conditions. <i>Am. J. Potato Res.</i> 77 40 (2000).				
1107 1108 1109	98	Herbert, R. B. & Knaggs, A. R. Biosynthesis of the antibiotic obafluorin from p-aminophenylalanine and glycine (glyoxylate). <i>J. Chem. Soci.</i> (Perkin Transactions) 1 , 109-113 (1992).				
1110 1111 1112	99	Lewis, E. A., Adamek, T. L., Vining, L. C. & White, R. L. Metabolites of a blocked chloramphenicol producer. <i>J. Nat. Prod.</i> 66 , 62-66 (2003).				
1113 1114 1115 1116	100	He, J., Magarvey, N., Piraee, M. & Vining, L. The gene cluster for chloramphenicol biosynthesis in <i>Streptomyces venezuelae</i> ISP5230 includes novel shikimate pathway homologues and a monomodular non-ribosomal peptide synthetase gene. <i>Microbiology</i> 147 , 2817-2829 (2001).				
1117 1118 1119 1120	101	Wang, K., Kang, L., Anand, A., Lazarovits, G. & Mysore, K. S. Monitoring <i>in planta</i> bacterial infection at both cellular and whole-plant levels using the green fluorescent protein variant GFPuv. <i>New Phytol.</i> 174 , 212-223 (2007).				
1121 1122 1123 1124	102	Solovyev, V. & Salamov, A. Automatic annotation of microbial genomes and metagenomic sequences. in <i>Metagenomics and its Applications in Agriculture, Biomedicine and Environmental Studies</i> (ed. Robert, W. Li), 61-78 (Nova Science, 2011).				
1125 1126 1127	103	de Jong, A., Pietersma, H., Cordes, M., Kuipers, O. P. & Kok, J. PePPER: a webserver for prediction of prokaryote promoter elements and regulons. <i>BMC Genom.</i> 13 , 299 (2012).				
1128 1129 1130 1131	104	Stepanović, S., Vuković, D., Dakić, I., Savić, B. & Švabić-Vlahović, M. A modified microtiter-plate test for quantification of staphylococcal biofilm formation. <i>J. Microbiol. Methods</i> 40 , 175-179 (2000).				
1132 1133 1134 1135	105	Bacon, C., Hinton, D. & Hinton, A. Growth-inhibiting effects of concentrations of fusaric acid on the growth of <i>Bacillus mojavensis</i> and other biocontrol <i>Bacillus</i> species. <i>J. Appl. Microbiol.</i> 100 , 185-194 (2006).				
1136 1137 1138	106	Paulus, H. & Gray, E. The biosynthesis of polymyxin B by growing cultures of <i>Bacillus polymyxa</i> . J. Biol. Chem. 239 , 865-871 (1964).				
1139 1140	107	Shehata, H. Molecular and physiological mechanisms underlying the antifungal and nutrient acquisition activities of beneficial microbes. <i>PhD thesis, University of Guelph,</i> ON Canada. (2016).				
1141						

1142



Figure 1





Figure 3











Figure S2





Figure S4







Figure S7







Supplementary Table 1. Taxonomic classification of finger millet bacterial endophytes based on 16S rDNA sequences and BLAST analysis

ID (Genbank	Tissue	BLAST analysis	% of max.	Length of
Accession)	source		identity	aligned
				sequence
M1(KU307449)	Roots	Enterobacter sp. (CP015227)	99	646
M2 (KU307450)	Seeds	Pantoea sp. (FN796868)	99	701
M3(KU307451)	Seeds	Burkholderia sp. (KC522298)	99	587
M4 (KU307452)	Shoots	Pantoea sp. (KT075171)	95	751
M5 (KU307453)	Shoots	Burkholderia sp. (KP455296)	99	586
M6 KU307454	Roots	Enterobacter sp. (KU935452)	99	586
M7 (KU307455)	Shoots	Burkholderia sp. (HQ023278)	100	644

Target fungal species	Diameter of growth inhibition zone in mm			
	Nystatin	Amphotericin	M6	
	(10.0 U/ml)	(250 µg/ml)		
Alternaria alternata	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.0±0.0	
Alternaria arborescens	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.0±0.0	
Aspergillus flavus	2.0±0.2	0.0±0.0	3.6±0.2	
Aspergillus niger	0.0±0.0	2.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	
Bionectria ochroleuca	2.0±0.2	0.5±0.2	0.0±0.0	
Davidiella tassiana	1.5±0.2	0.5±0.3	0.0±0.0	
Diplodia pinea	2.5±0.2	3.0±0.2	0.0±0.0	
Diplodia seriata	3.0±0.2	2.0±0.2	0.0±0.0	
Epicoccum nigrum	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.0±0.0	
Fusarium avenaceum (isolate 1)	2.5±0.3	3.0±0.6	1.8±0.2	
Fusarium graminearum	1.5±1.6	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	5.0±0.3	
Fusarium lateritium	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	1.0±0.2	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	
Fusarium sporotrichioides	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0±0.2	2.8±0.2	
Fusarium avenaceum (isolate 2)	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	
Nigrospora oryzae	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	1.83±0.2	
Nigrospora sphaerica	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	
Paraconiothyrium brasiliense	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.0±0.0	
Penicillium afellutanum	3.0±0.2	3.0±0.2	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	
Penicillium expansum	2.0±0.2	5.0±0.2	4.9±0.1	
Penicillium olsonii	1.5±0.3	3.5±0.3	0.0±0.0	
Rosellinia corticium	2.0±0.2	4.5±0.3	0.0 ± 0.0	

Supplementary Table 2. Effect of endophyte strain M6 isolated from finger millet on the growth of diverse fungal pathogens *in vitro*.

-

Supplementary Table 3. Suppression of *F. graminearum* disease symptoms in maize and wheat by endophyte M6 in replicated greenhouse trials.

Treatment	% Infection (mean ± SFM)*	% Disease reduction relative to <i>Fusarium</i> only treatment	Average yield per plant*	% Yield increase relative to <i>Fusarium</i> only treatment
	G	reenhouse trial 1 in r	naize	treatment
<i>Fusarium</i> only	33.69±2.3	0.0	41.77±1.4	0.0
Proline	23.10±2.0	31.4	50.9±1.2	21.85
fungicide				
M6	10.13±0.9	69.93	39.58±2.6	-5.2
	G	reenhouse trial 2 in r	naize	
Fusarium only	85.11±4.5	0.0	7.8±1.1	0.0
Proline	41.42±4.5	51.33	40.8±1.2	423
fungicide				
M6	6.9±0.9	91.89	34.70±2.7	344
Greenhouse trial 1 in wheat				
Fusarium only	51.8±6.3	0.0	10.5 ± 2.6	0.0
Proline	31.70±2.6	38.8	10.9 ± 1.3	3.8
fungicide				
M6	41.1±2.6	20.6	10.6±0.8	0.95
Greenhouse trial 2 in wheat				
Fusarium only	54.0±2.6	0.0	17.7±1.5	0.0
Proline	31.5±4.1	41.6	20.1±2.1	13.5
fungicide				
M6	30.7±3.6	43.1	21.1±1.3	19.2%

*SEM is the standard error of the mean.

Supplementary Table 4. Reduction of DON mycotoxin accumulation during prolonged seed storage following treatment with endophyte M6.

Tuestment	DON content (ppm)	% DON reduction relative to	
I reatment	(mean ± SEM)*	Fusarium only treatment*	
Greenhouse trial 1 in maize			
Fusarium only	3.4±0.4	0.0	
Proline fungicide	0.7±0.4	79.4	
M6	0.1±0.0	97	
Greenhouse trial 2 in maize			
Fusarium only	3.5±0.3	0.0	
Proline fungicide	0.1 ± 0.0	97.1	
M6	0.1±0.0	97.1	
Green house trial 1 in wheat			
Fusarium only	7.6±0.3	0.0	
Proline fungicide	0.5±0.1	94.6	
M6	1.5±0.6	81.33	
Green house trial 2 in wheat			
Fusarium only	5.5±0.7	0.0	
Proline fungicide	1.3±0.9	76.3	
M6	2.0±0.4	63.6	

*SEM is the standard error of the mean.

Supplementary Table 5. Complete list of strain M6 Tn5 insertion mutants showing loss of antifungal activity against *F. graminearum in vitro*.

ID	Gene prediction	Swarming	Motility	Presence	Biofilm
		assay	assay	of flagella	(mean ±
			(mean ±	(%)	SEM)*
			SEM)*		
Wild type		+++	4.2±0.3	70%	0.8
ewa-1B3::Tn5	Transcription		0.6 ± 0.02	50%	0.11 ± 0.00
<i>ewa-</i> 4B8::Tn5	regulator, AraC				
105 7 5	X/1 II 4		0.5+0.02	100/	0.2+0.00
ewy-1C5::1n5	Y JDH outer-	++	0.5 ± 0.03	10%	0.3 ± 0.08
ewy-5D2::1n5	memorane protein				
ewm-2D7::Tn5	4-hydroxyphenyl		0.8±0.05	20%	0.07 ± 0.00
	acetate 3-				
	monoxygenase				
	May catalyze				
	production of				
	phenylacetic acid				
	(PAA)				
ewpR-5D7::Tn5	Transcription		0.5±0.03	30%	0.18 ± 0.01
	regulator, LysR				
ewb-9F12::Tn5	Fatty acid		0.6 ± 0.05	30%	0.3±0.11
ewb-7C5::Tn5	biosynthesis				
ewvC-4B9::Tn5	Colicin V	+	1.8 ± 0.30	60%	0.6 ± 0.05
	production			.	
ewT-15A12::Tn5	Transport permease	+	1.5 ± 0.00	30%	0.6 ± 0.04
	protein				
	Within operon for				
	biosynthesis of P-				
	amino-phenyl-				
	alanine antibiotics				
	(PAPA).				
ews-1H3::Tn5	Sensor histidine	+	0.8±0.16	50%	0.8±0.2
	kinase				
ewc-3H2::Tn5	Chitinase		1.0 ± 0.00	20%	0.4±0.15
ewfR-7D5::Tn5	Transcription	+	1.5 ± 0.20	50%	0.3±0.08
	regulator, LysR				
ewgS-10A8::Tn5	Di-guanylate		0.8 ± 0.30	40%	0.1±0.01
	cyclase				
<i>ewh-5B1::Tn5</i>	Hig A protein	+++	1.6±0.30	30%	0.6±0.10
ewa-8E1::Tn5	Hypothetical	++	2.3 ± 0.40	20%	0.5±0.09
	protein				

*SEM is the standard error of the mean.

Supplementary Table 6. M6 wild type nucleotide coding sequences (Ettinger et al, 2015) corresponding to Tn5 insertion mutants that showed loss of antifungal activity against *F. graminearum in vitro*

ID	Gene sequence
ewa-1B3::Tn5	gtgaataccattggcataaacagcgagcccatcctgacgcacagtggctttagcattaccgccgatacc actcttgccgcagacaggcactatgacgttatctatcttcctgccctgtggcgcaatcctcgtgcagtggtc agacaacagcctgaactcctggcatggcttagcgaacaggcggcggagggacccgcatcgcggcc gtcggaacgggctgctgtttcctggcggaatcgggattgctcaacgggaaacccgcaccaccactg gcactacttcaaacagttctcgcgtgactaccccaacgtaaaattacaaaccaaacattttctcacgcag gccgataatatttactgtgccgccagcgtcaaagccctctcagatctgaccatccat
ewy-1C5::Tn5	atgaaaagaacctatctctacagcatgctggcgctctgcgtgagtgccgcgtgccatgcagaaacgtat ccggcacccattggccgtcagtcagtcagacttcggcggcggtggttgctgcaaacgccaccggcgg atggcgcggaaggggaaattagccttaactaccgtgataacgatcgttactatctcggcgtcgg tgcagctgttcccgtggcttgaaaccagctgcgctacaccgacgtgcgtacgaacagtacagcagc gtgatgcgttcccgtggctgaagcacaaacagaagataaggcttcgggctgaggaagagactactggatgcgggggcgttcggtgggcgcaaagatatcggtggtgcggtgggag gagagtactggatgccggaggtgtccgtggggcgcaaagatatcggtggatcgggtggggggttacctgg gcactggcggtaacgtgaaaatcgtttggtcgtgggggcgttcgatggggtgggggttaccg gtatgaaggggttcatcaaaggtacagatgttccaggcggatagggggtaccggaggtg gtatcaaacgccctggcagcattacgccgaagtgtgccggaaggtgggatggggttcatcggggg gtatcaaacgcctggcagcattacgcctgaagtgggacgtgggatgggataccggaggat gtatcaaacgccctggcagcattacgcctgaagtgggacattacggggaagattacgggggatggat

ewm-2D7.:Tn5	atgaagcctgaagagttccgcgctgatgccaaacgcccgttaaccggcgaagagtatttaaaaagcct gcaggacggtcgtgagatttatatctacggcgagcggtcaaagacgtcaccacccatccggcatttcg caacgcggcggcctccatcgcgcagatgtacgacgcgctgcaaagacgtaccaccaccatccggcatttcg cgacctggccagcagcggcggcgataccaccacaagtttttccgcgtggcgaaaagtgccga cgacctggccagcagcggcggcatcgccggatggtcggccctgagctacggctggatgggccgc acgccggactacaaagccggttggtcggcgctaggcggctataccacggcctgtaat ccgccgatcgaccgtcacaagccggcggacggatggagggggaaagaggtattaaccacgccatcgtaa acagaacgcccgtaactggtacacggcggacgaggtgaaagacgtctacatcaagctggagaaag agaccgacggcgggattatcgtcagcggcggaaggtgaaagacgtctacatcaagctggagaaag agaccgacggcgggattatcgtcagcggcggaaggtgaagacgtctacatcaagctggagaaag ggccgatggatgccgaaggcgtgaagctgatcccgcgcctttacggacagcggcgaaaccg gatccccgtacgactacccgctccaagccgctttgatggagaagggcgaaagggggagaaggtggagacggatgtagggcggaaagtgtggggggagacggagggtgaaggtggaggcggatggggggagagggggaaaggggggagagggggagagggggaga
ewp-5D7::Tn5	atggacttaacccagcttgaaatgtttaacgccgtcgcgctgacgggcagcatcacccaggcggcgca gaaggtgcatcgcgtgccgtccaacctgacgacccgcatccgccagctggaagccgatcttggcgttg agctgtttattcgtgagaaccagcgtttgcgcttatctcccgccgggcataacttcctgcgctacagcaggc agatcctcgccctggtggatgaagcgcgcatggtcgtcgcggggtgatgaggcgcaggggttatttgccct cggcggcgtggaaagcaccgccgggtgcgcattcccgaaacgctggcgcaggttaaccagcggctat agcgccgcttgaaagcaccgccgggtgcgcattcccggaagggcatggtggggggatgagggcatctggaggggcacctta agcgccgcttgcacggggccgctgtcgcagggtggaggggatggcggtatggggggagg agatgatgctagtcagccggggcgcgtgtcgcagggggggg
ewb-9F12::Tn5	atgcccgcaaaatcatcaggaagcgcgtgggagcgttttgccggagtattacgtaatgcgcaaacgga atgtattgttacgacagcaaagggagcagaaacgctaggccagctatcacttccgttatccccgcttatttt taccttcgacaaaccagacacagctgcgctccctgcgggctatcgtcgcacctctgatcgcacgttcc cggggcatttcaccccgttccggtagcggaaaacgatcggctgcgcacactcgcatgccattcacccgggttcgacgg gctctccgaagggcgtgatggtgacccatggcaacctgtgggccaactcgcatgccattcaccgctttttc ggccatcacagcgaaagccggggcacgatctggctgccgcattttcatgatatggggctgattggcggg ctactgcagcccgtgtttggcgcattcccctgtcgggtgatgtcgcccatggtgatgaaaaatcccctta actggctcaaacatatttctgactatcaggcgacgacctccggcggccctaatttcgcctacgatctgtgc gtgcgcaagattggcagaggagcagttgaggcattagatctttctcgctgggatgtgggtgg

gccaggccgatcccgcgctggcggaagcgacggtttgcgtgtttgccagtgaagacgagcgcccggtt gcggcggtggcggagcggcaccggcatcacgctggatgaactgctccttgtcgggcgaagggcaattc cccgcaccaccagcgggaaactacagcgcacccgcgcgaaagcgatgcaccagcagggaaccct ggaagtagcctggcgcagctgccaggacgcgtcgaaacctgttgaactcgcgggggaaaccccacc cgcgctggcgcgctgatagccgggataatcagcagcgcgatgaacacgacgatcggcgaatccca gtgggacgaggcgtttaccggctttggcatgagctctctgcaggcggtgggcgtgattggcgagcttgaa cagcggctgggccgcgagctctctccccgcgctgatttatgactaccccaccatcaatcggctggcggccgcgctggggcaacccgctgcggcccggtcagctcagccgtcgcggagagcgccattgcggtg attggcatcggcgtggagctgccgggacatagcggcgtggaggcgctgtggtcgctgctgcagcaggg ccacagcacgaccggcgagatcccggcgcaccgctggcgtacctcgtcccttgacggttttaaccgtaa aggcagtttcttcgacgaggtcgacgcgttcgacgcaggctacttcggcatctctcccccgtgaggccgtct atatcgatccgcagcatcgtctgctgttagaaaccgttcaacaggcgctaaccgatgccggccttaaggc gtcctccctgcgcggtagcgatacggcggtctttgttgggatcagcgccagcgactacgcgctggcctgc ggcgataacgtctcggcctacagcggcttaggcaacgcgcacagtatcgcggccaaccgaatttcttat ctttatgatttaaaaggtccaagcgtcgccgtcgacacggcctgttcttcctcgctggtggcgatagaggg ggcaatgcagagcctgcgggccggacgttgcgctctggccattgccggaggcgttaatctggcgctgac gccacatttgcaaaaagtcttcaccgaagcccagatgctggcccccgacggccggtgtaaaaccttcg acgcccgcgggatggctatgttcgtggcgaagggtgtggcgtcgtggtgcttaagccgctttcacaggc gctggcggatggcgatcgggtttatgccacgctggtggcgagcgccgtgaatcaggacggccgcagc aacggcattaccgcgccaaatggcccatcgcagcaggcggtcatcctgcaggcgatggcggacgcc gggttggacagcgacagcattgactatatcgaagcgcacggtacgggaaccgcgcttggcgatctgatt gaatatcaggcgctggaagcggtgtttgcggaccggaaaaagaccgcacctgtccaggtgggttcgat caaaaccaacattggccaccttgaggcggcggcgggcgtgctgggcgtggtgaaaacgtctctgatgc tgcacttccggcaatacgtacctcacctcaattttcagcagaaaaacccgcatattgcggcgattagccgt catgttgaggtgagcggcgcgcagcctgcctcatggcatgccgatggcgaagcgcgctatgcgggcgt aag cag ctttgg cttcgg cgg taccaa cgg t catgtg attttg cg cag cg cg cg cg gg tgg aa aa a cg gg tgg aa aa aa cg gg tgg aa aa cg gg tgg aa aa aa cg gg tgg aa aa aa cg gg tgg aaccaggagcccgctgcgccgcacggcctgcttctggtcggttcacatgataaaggggcgtttacccttcag cgggaggcggtcaaaaaagggttatcgacgtgccaggagagcgatattgccacctggtgtcggctggt gaacacccgctacgacgcggcccgctatcgcggcgtggcgtatggcgcggatcgctcccagctggcg gaaagccttgcgcagctcaccgtctgcaaggtgggtaaagcccagccccaggtctggctcttcccggggcagggcacccagcaaatcggcatgggtgccgagctgtatcaccatctgccgcactatcgcacccagt ttgacgcgctggcgacgactattcagcagcgctatcagattgatattacgcaggcgctgtttgcccgtgac gacagctggcagcgctgcgccagaacgtgtcagctctcattatttgcctgtagctacgcgcttgctcagag cgtgatgcagttcggcccgcgtccggctgccgtaatggggcacagcctgggagagtactgcggcgg gcgattgacgcctgccttcaggccatatcttcaaaaggcggtcacgccagaaaaattaaaactgccagcgcatttcactcctcgatgatggatccgatcctcggcgcctggcgcgagtggctggtcaacaacgtcacctt cacccgcgggacgatcccgttttacagcaacctgaacggtgaggcgtgcgaccgcaccgacgccgactactggacccggcaaattcgccagcccgtgagtttccttcagggcgtgcaaaacgtgctggcacagggt ccgggtgctggccgcaggcgaccggcgacatgagtacaaatcactgctgacgctgctgggtacgctgt ggcagcaagggcacgacatcaactggagcgggctgtaccacgcgaccacgcgggaggcgctaacc gcaaaagaggacgctatgtcaaatcaacaccatttagccgctgaaataaaagcgattattgccggttttct tgaggcggatcccgccgcgcttgacgactctctgccgttcctggaaatgggggcggactcgctggtgctg ctggatgccatcaataccattaaagaccgctttggcgtagccatcccggtgcgggcgctgtttgaagagc tcaatacgctggacgcggtgatcggatatgtggtggagcacgcggcggcttcgctcaccaccccggaaaccgccggcctggcggcacagcctgtcgcggcaccgcagggtaccagcaggccggtgcct gatacggttcaggatctgattgcccgccagctggagctcatgtcccagcagctaaatttgcttaacggcac ggcgcaggctctcccgatgccagccgcacccgcgacgccggacgttatcgcgcctgcgccgtcgtg gccccgaccgcaccggtgaaggccagcgcgcacaacagctggtttaaaaaagagaccaaaaaggt ctccctcggcgctgagcgcgaccagcatctggcgcaactcaccgaacggtttgtcgataaaacgggcgggtcaaaacgcaatgcccagcaataccgcgccgtgctcgcggataaccgggcctctgcgggctttcgtt

	tatccaccaaagagatgctttaccccttagtgggagagcgctctcagggttcgcgcatctgggatgtcgat ggcaacgagtatattgattttacgatggggtttggcgctaacctgctgggacatgcgccggactgcgtgca gcaggccgttgccgaccagctggcgcggggcatgcagattggtccgcaaagcgccctggcgggcg
	ggtggcaacgcttatcagcgagctgaccggccagcagcgcgtggcattttgtaactccggctccgaagc ggtaatgagcgccgtgcgcctggcgcggggccgtgacggggaaaaataaagtcgcgctgtttagcggct
	gccgattgccgcaggcacgccgccatcgctggtggacgacctgctggtgctgaccgcggcgcgac gagagcctggcgcttatcgccgccatcgctggtggacgacgtggtggtgatcgtcgaaccggtgcagagc
	cgttatcccgatcatcagccacgcgactatctgcataccctgcgtgaactgacgacaacccacaacattg cgctgatgtttgacgaggtgatcaccggtttccgtctggctgccggcggcgcgcggcgtattacggcgtt caggcggatatcgcctcctacggaaagattgtcgcggcggcgatgcggcgcgcggcgcgcgc
	gcgcgctttatggacagtatcgacggcggattctggcagtatggcgatgactcctggccgcaggctgaa ctgatcttctttgccggtacgttctccaagcatccgctgaccatggcggcaagcaa
	atacctggttctcagcgaccggcacgccgattgagatcgtctcagccggcagcctgttccgttcaaattc aacggtaactacgacatcctcttccaccacctgatgctgcgcggcattttcatctgggaagggcgtaactg
	ttttgtctcggtcgcgcacgcggatgaggatatcgatcggtttattgcggcggtgaaagagagcgttaacg ccatgcgcgtggacggcttctttggggaggcgggattgcgccccgacacgcgttatgccgttgcagaca gccagcagcattttctgcagctggccgcgcaggatgaaagaggcggggtgaaagagggggggg
	cgtgatcgaaacgccgcgcaatgtagatggcgacatcatgcgcgccgcctggcaactgctgtgcgagc gccatgacgcgctgcgtatgcaattcaccgacgcgggtgaccttcaggtggccctggcgcccatcgtcg
	cacgccgctggccgcctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctg
	cgggtaaacgtcctgacctggcggcagcagcagctatctgcaggctatccgcgcgcagaacatcgtc agcgaggctgagctacctgcgcgtcttgcggcgcttccagcgcgccgggtaacgccggaagttctgag cgtgcaagatccctccctgacgtatcaggggcagcggctggtacagcgcctgtcgtatccgggcctgac
	cgggcagcttcgcaaagcctccgccgaactgcgggtgacccgcttcgcgatgctgaatgcgctctttacc ctgacgcttgagaaggcgttcggccattccccggtgccggtcggcgtgccggatgccgggggggacttc
	gcgtcggtgtccggggtcgccagggcaatccatgacggtatcctcgcgcagcgcgggcgagcccgcac tgccgtcacgctgcttccacggtcaggatgcgccgcttccgctgctggcgacctttaacgtcgagccgcat
	ccgctgatggttaacattctcgagacgaaagaggggctgtccgtcgagctggattaccagatgcgccgtcgaattc caccgaggaacgagcccgcgcgctactggagcacttcctgaaggcgataaccgtgctggccgagca
	gggagaagaggctgttgaagcattattctcgtcgtcagaggcgctggccgcatcatga
ewv-4B9::Tn5	atggtctggattgattacgccatcattgcggtgattggtttttcctgtctggttagcctgatccgtggctttgttcgt gaagcgttatcgctggtgacttggggttgtgctttctttgtcgccagtcattactacacttacctgtctgt
	cggcgctatcgtgaattacgtgataggtcagctggtcgagaaaaccggtctgtcaggaacggacaggg tactcgggatctgtttcggggcgttgcgaggcgtgctgattgtggccgcgatcctgttcttcctggataccttt accqqqttctccaaaaqcqaaqactqqcaqaaatcqcaqctcattccaqaqttcaqcttcatcatcaqa
	tggttctttgactatctgcaaagctcgtcgagttttttgcccagggcataa
ewT-15A12::Tn5	atgtcatggcaatacttcaaacagacttacctggttaagttctggtcacctgtcccggccgttatcgcggca ggcattctctctacctactatttcggcatcaccggcaccttctgggccgtgaccggcgagttcacccgctgg
	ggtggtcaattgctacagctcgcaggcgtacatgccgaggagtggggttactttaaactcatccatc
	gcggtatcattgccgggtttggcgcccgtctggcgatgggctgtaacctggccgctttcttt
	gciaccgcigiiccgcattccggtgaaaatgacaaaagtcagcgcagcg

	cagatctgctttacctccgcgtttcgcgatatgtggatcaccggccgtacgatgatggcaaaggcgattat cgccgggatggcggtcagcgccatcggcatcttcagctatgttcaactgggcgtcgaaccgaaaatcat gggctggccctaacgccgtgatcggcggcctgctgtttggcttcgggatcgtgctggcgggggtgga aaccggctggatgtaccgcgccgtcgaaggccaggtacactactggtgggtg
ews-1H3::Tn5	atgacgagccgtaaacctgcccatcttttactggtggatgacgatcccgggctgttaaagctgctggggat gcgtctggtgagtgaaggctacagcgtcgtgacggccgaaagcgggctgaaggtctgaagatcctc acccgcgagaaaatcgatctggtgataagcgacctgcggatggacgaaatggatgg
ewc-3H2::Tn5	atgaacaaagaacattactcagtgttcttattgcgggcgcatgtgttgcaccgtttatggctcaggcaacc ctgctgcaggccagcagcgaaccttacacccttaaggccagcgatctgcagaagaagagcaggag ttaaccaacttcccgctgtgggttagtcggtgaagcagcaggaggttaccagcagctgggaaacgggggttatctctccactgcgtgggaaacggtcagcagcggggaaggggttatcaagcgggggaaggggttatcaagggcgggaacttgcgggaaacgggcggg

	gttaacaaccgcggccagctgttccagtgcaaaccttatccgtacagcggctggtgcaataacgcgcca
ewf-7D5::Tn5	atgatgatcttttacactggcgcttgctggttgccgtggccgatgccagtaatatttcccgtgccgctgaag aggtcggtatgacgcagtcaggtgccagccaggcgatcgctcagttagaggcctcattaggatttgcggt attcacgcgagagcgacgccacatcggcgtgactgccctgggggggg
ewg-10A8::Tn5	atgacccacaacatttctctcagaaatagggtgtgcgatgaacaaagtttgtcatctcagcctgcggtttt acgttccagggatatcgtctgttccttaaccagtacggaatacaggcttcgcatattcattttgatggggatg aggcatcgcaacaggatatgaaaaatatccttataaatcagaatgctcatgttgtggtgtttcctggaaaa ggtatcttaagtctcctggagggcctgaagcgactggcgtccgtgctcaatgcgtgccgttattcgacgc gtcacgctgtatggcgacataccggatggctggctatatcgcaccctgggcagtcttttaaataatagttat caattatcattgattcgactagcccgcgtttcggatgtcgtcactggttctcatacgcaccatcatgtatttaa ggaacgttcgtacttattacgcgatcgctacagggataattcttcgcaagacaacgtcaagtggctaaca aaaagagagattgacgtttattaaccgcggcatgtccgtaaaagaaatgtgcgatgaaatgg gactatctaataaaacgtttataccaccgtaaggaaggcgtgctgaaattaggtggatgaaatgg gactatctaataaaacggtttataccaccgtaaggaaggcgtgctgaaattaggtggatgaaatgg gactatcgaaaaaaggcgtaggctttgagatactgatcgtggaacaaaacggtaaaattgtcaa gacggtacggaagaagtttttaatgcgataggatag
ewh-5B1::Tn5	Atgacacttcaacaggcactccgcaaacccaccacgccgggcgacgtgttgcagtatgagtatcttgaacc gctcaatctgaaaatcagcgatctggcggagatgctcaatgttcaccgcaacaccatcagcgcgctggtca ataacaatcgcaaacttactgccgatatggcgatcaaactggcaaaagcctttgataccacgattgaattttgg ctgaacttacagctgaacgtcgatatctgggaagcgcaatctaactccaggagcgaggaggctaagccg tataaagaccgttgcggaagttatggctaagcgaaaatctggccagccggatgttgcctga
ewa-8E1::Tn5	gtgatccgcaaagagaaagggcgcgtggatgtctatggcgaccgctttcgcgcaccgtgcgcatcagct gacgccgcgtctgctgcaggttgcacgctatatccatgacaaccgcgaagcggtgatggaacagaccg caatggagattgcgacgctgttgaaaacgtcggatgccacggtggtccgcgccattcaggcgctgggttt cgccgggctgcgcgatcttaagcaaacgctggagcagtggttggt

ccacggcacggtgctgctgtgtctggagatgatcattttatccgtcgcctccaccgagccgcagcgcacc
atcaagtcgatgaagcgcatcaacgagttacaccgtgggttaaagccggggaagaaaagtacctaa

Supplementary Table 7. Gene-specific primers used in quantitative real-time PCR analysis.

Gene ID	PCR primers
ewpR	5D7F: 5'- GGCATAACTTCCTGCGCTAC - 3'
	5D7R: 5': CAGTACGCCATCAATCATCG - 3'
ewpF1	PhzF1F: 5'- TTTTCTCACCGGGCGTTTC- 3'
	PhzF1R: 5'- GTATGTGCGGAGCCGGTAA- 3'
ewpF2	PhzF2F: 5'- AAATGGCGCAGCAGCATAA- 3'
	PhzF2R: 5'- GTCGGTGCGCACGAAAA- 3'
ewfR	D5F : 5'- GGGGACAGTAACGACGAAAC - 3'
	D5R : 5'- CGGCAATCTGTCGATATGAA - 3'
ewfD	FusE/MFPF: 5'- TGGCCGTGCGGGATAAT- 3'
	FusE/MFPR: 5'- GGATCGATGGTGTAAAGCACATC- 3'
ewfE	FusEF: 5'- CGTCGAGCCCACCTTTAGC- 3'
	FusER: 5'- TCCGGCAATCTGTCGATATG- 3'
ewgS	A8F 5: GGAGTCAAAACACGGAATTTACG - 3'
	A8R 5: ATCTGATAAGCAGGGAAGATCTCTTT - 3'
ewvC	B9F 5: TGTTTTATGCTTAAACTGGCGATT - 3'
	B9R 5: CGAATGCGGTGGGATATCA - 3'
16SrDNA (housekeeping	799F: 5'- AACMGGATTAGATACCCKG- 3'
gene)	1492R: 5'- GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT- 3'