

Molecular systematics of the genera *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* (Rhodophyta) from the Canary Islands - Analysis of rDNA and RUBISCO spacer sequences

by

Sh. Lewis¹, P. Gacesa (†)¹, M.C. Gil-Rodríguez², F. Valdés³ & I. Frías⁴

¹ School of Molecular and Medical Biosciences, University of Wales, Cardiff CF1 3US, UK

² Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. mcgil@ull.es

³ Departamento de Biología Vegetal, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. fvaldes@ull.es

⁴ ICIC, Departamento de Bioquímica, Universidad de La Laguna, 38071 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. jfrías@ull.es

Abstract

Lewis, Sh., Gacesa, P., Gil-Rodríguez, M.C., Valdés, F. & Frías, I. 2008. Molecular systematics of the genera *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* (Rhodophyta) from the Canary Islands - Analysis of rDNA and RUBISCO spacer sequences. *Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid* 65(1): 97-109.

The molecular systematics of *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* (Rhodomelaceae, Ceramiales) species from the Canary Islands has been determined by analysis sequences of the ribulose, 1-5, biphosphate carboxylase (RUBISCO) spacer from the plastid genome and the internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) and the rDNA 5.8S coding region from the nuclear genome. Comparison of sequence data showed an identity of 72-83 % between the species. Three taxonomic group were identified that correspond to established phylogenetic taxons. Phylogenetic trees using both parsimony and maximum-likelihood methods were derived from the sequence data; the trees indicate that *O. pinnatifida* appears to be the most distantly related species from the *Laurencia* and *Palisada* species. The exact phylogenetic position of *Laurencia* sp. A ("amarilla") need additional studies.

Keywords: *Laurencia*, *Osmundea*, *Palisada*, Phylogeny, Canary Islands.

Resumen

Lewis, Sh., Gacesa, P., Gil-Rodríguez, M.C., Valdés, F. & Frías, I. 2008. Sistemática molecular de los géneros *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* y *Palisada* (Rhodophyta) de las Islas Canarias, basadas en la secuencia espaciadora del RUBISCO y del rDNA. *Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid* 65(1): 97-109 (en inglés).

Se aportan datos filogenéticos de algunas especies de *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* y *Palisada* (Rhodomelaceae, Ceramiales) de las Islas Canarias mediante el análisis de secuencias de la región espaciadora de ribulose, 1-5, bisfosfato carboxilasa (RUBISCO) del genoma plastídico y las regiones espaciadoras internas (ITS1, ITS2) y de la región codificadora del rDNA en el genoma nuclear. Los tres géneros analizados, *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* y *Palisada* muestran las correspondientes identidades moleculares con una identidad del 72-83% entre ellas. Empleando métodos de parsimonia y máxima similitud, los correspondientes árboles filogenéticos ponen de manifiesto que *O. pinnatifida* es el taxon más distante entre las especies de *Laurencia* y *Palisada* analizadas. La posición exacta del taxon mencionado como *Laurencia* sp. A "amarilla") precisa de estudio adicional.

Palabras clave: *Laurencia*, *Osmundea*, *Palisada*, Filogenia, Islas Canarias.

Introduction

The *Laurencia* complex Lamouroux (Rhodophyta) has been separate into five genera: *Laurencia sensu stricto* Lamouroux, *Chondrophycus* Tokida & Saito, *Osmundea* Stackhouse, *Palisada* K.W. Nam and *Coryneclaida* J. Agardh, based on vegetative and reproductive structures (Garbary & Harper, 1998; Nam & al., 1994).

The complex include red algae species of small to medium size; they are spread worldwide except in the Artic and Antarctic (McDermid, 1988). They are frequently found in temperate waters, however they make up an important part of the tropical and subtropical marine flora (Saito, 1969; Diaz-Piferrer, 1970; Lawson & John, 1982; Rodríguez de Ríos & Saito, 1982; Cordeiro-Marino & al., 1983; McDermid, 1988; Vandermeulen & al., 1990).

Many authors have pointed out the problems of identification presented in species from these complex in the Atlantic Ocean (Saito, 1964, 1965, 1967; Magne, 1980; Rodríguez de Rios & Saito, 1982; Cribb, 1983; Gil-Rodríguez & Haroun, 1992, 1993; Haroun & Prud'homme van Reine, 1993; Maggs & Hommersand 1993; Hernández-González & al., 1994; among others). At the same time, new combinations and records, and new species were described for the *Laurencia* complex (Wynne & Ballantine 1991; Gil-Rodríguez & Haroun 1992; Furnari & al. 2001, 2002; Yoneshigue-Valentin & al. 2003; Klein & Verlaque 2005; Cassano & al. 2006). Recently, a morphological phylogenetic analysis of this complex was reported but this complex, in Canary Islands, required additional analysis in order to be correctly ubicated into unequivocal taxons instead of some morphological and physiological similitude.

Both, the small and large subunits of RUBISCO, are encoded by the red algae plastid genome. The RUBISCO genes of Rhodophyta, Cryptophyta and Chromophyta are co-transcribed (Zetsche & al., 1991) and are separated by a small non-coding spacer region (Fig. 1).

The RUBISCO "operon" has been extensively studied in algae (e.g., Valentin & Zetche, 1990; Kono & al., 1991; Hommersand & al., 1994; Pichard & al., 1997). This spacer region has been utilized in taxo-

nomical and systematic studies of algae (Destombe & Douglas, 1991; Goff & al., 1994; Stache-Grain & al., 1997).

In addition to RUBISCO, the comparison of DNA sequences of rDNA, has proved to be a useful systematic tool. rDNA consists of genes that encode for the large (28S) and small ribosomal sub-unit RNA (18S and 5.8S) plus transcribed and non-transcribed spacer regions. The intergenic spacer region (non-coding) has evolved most rapidly while the coding regions are the most evolutionary conserved sequences of the cistron (Druehl & Saunders, 1992). Interspecific and intraspecific sequence variation of these regions has been examined in plants (Baldwin, 1992), fungi (O'Donnell, 1992), diatoms (Zechman & al., 1994), green algae (Coleman & al., 1994; Bakker & al., 1995), ahermatypic corals (Beauchamp & Powers, 1996) and red algae (Steane & al., 1991). rDNA has also been utilised for systematic and taxonomic studies of algae (Goff & al., 1994; Rumpf & al., 1996; Stache-Grain & al., 1997).

Goff & al. (1994) and Stache-Grain & al. (1997) examined the value of both the ITS and RUBISCO spacer sequences in delineating relationships of populations, species and genera in *Gracilaria* Greville, *Gracilariopsis* Dawson, *Ectocarpus* Lyngbye and *Ku-ckuckia* Hamel. They found that both were highly conserved at species and population levels.




Fig. 1. Plastid RUBISCO spacer region in red algae. Amplification primers are represented by the forward and reverse arrows.

In this work we initiate a preliminary molecular analysis of this complex group by means of the amplification and sequencing of the RUBISCO spacer and rDNA internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) including the intervening 5.8S coding region of the nuclear genome of six species in the genus *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada*. The results obtained were used to derivate a phylogenetic tree that indicate the molecular taxonomy between species.

Materials and Methods

Treatment of algal material and nuclear DNA extraction: Individual plants were collected from various locations (Table 1) in Tenerife and La Palma (Canary Islands) and New Zealand. A voucher specimen from each species from the Canary Islands used for sequencing and amplification were deposited in the La Laguna University Herbarium TFC. Samples of *Laurencia thyrsoifera* J. Agardh from New Zealand were provided by Dr Ruth Falshaw (Industrial Research Limited, New Zealand). Only algae that were visibly clear of epiphytes were used for DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from the apical parts of the thallus, frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C .

For extraction and amplification of plastic DNA fresh/frozen thallus (5 g) was suspended in 15 ml of 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) containing 50 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and 0.5 M NaCl to which 10% (w/v) SDS was added. Ribonuclease A (0.15 mg) and Proteinase K (1.5 mg) were added to the sample and the tube incubated at 37°C for 1.5 h. DNA was isolated by phenol and chloroform / isoamylalcohol (24:1 v/v) precipitation and extracted in cold absolute alcohol. Plastid, nuclear and mitochondrial DNA was isolated by differential centrifugation in caesium chloride / Hoechst (33258) dye density gradient at $174,000 \times g$ for 16 h.

Table 1. *Laurencia*, *Palisada* and *Osmundea* species and locations.

Species	Location	Herbarium reference
<i>L. viridis</i>	Fajana de Barlovento (La Palma)	TFC Phyc. 9882
<i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	Paraíso Floral (Tenerife)	TFC Phyc. 9880
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	Paraíso Floral (Tenerife)	TFC Phyc. 9884
<i>P. perforata</i>	Fajana de Barlovento (La Palma)	TFC Phyc. 9883
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	Pto. de la Cruz (Tenerife)	TFC Phyc. 9881
<i>L. thyrsoifera</i>	Hokianga (New Zealand)	

RUBISCO DNA Amplification: The RUBISCO spacer was amplified using forward primer: $5^{\prime}\text{TGTG-GACCTCTACAAACAGC}$ and reverse primer: $5^{\prime}\text{CC-CATAGTTCCCAAT}$. The reactions were performed after initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min. The samples were then cycled sequentially using a temperature regime of $95^{\circ}\text{C}/5$ min, $90^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, $50^{\circ}\text{C}/2$ min (5 cycles); $72^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min; $90^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, $60^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min, $72^{\circ}\text{C}/1$ min (30 cycles); $72^{\circ}\text{C}/10$ min.

rDNA Amplification: Target regions of rDNA were amplified using forward primer: $5^{\prime}\text{GTTTCCGTAG-GTGAACCTGC}$ and reverse primer: $5^{\prime}\text{ATATGCT-TAAGTTCAGCGGGT}$. The reactions were performed after initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min. The samples were then cycled sequentially using a temperature regime of $94^{\circ}\text{C}/1.25$ min, $60^{\circ}\text{C}/2$ min and $72^{\circ}\text{C}/4$ min repeated for 30 cycles followed by a final period of $72^{\circ}\text{C}/10$ min.

Cloning of purified PCR products: Purified PCR products (Qiagen gel extraction kit) were ligated using the pGEM-T vector system (Promega) according to the company's instructions. These ligated products were transformed either by a heat shock method into *E. coli* XL1 or electrophorated using electro-competent *E. coli* JS5 high efficiency cells (Bio-Rad).

Sequencing of plasmid DNA: Chain-termination sequencing of purified PCR products was performed using the USB Sequenase[®] Version 2.0 kit according to the company's protocol. Some clones were sequenced using forward and reverse M13 primers labeled with fluorescein (Pharmacia LKB), using a Pharmacia LKB Automated Laser Fluorescent (ALF) DNA sequencer and others sequenced using a Hybaid LI-COR 4000LS infra-red automated sequencer.

Analysis of sequence data: Sequence data were analyzed using the UWGCG (University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group, Version 7.1 UNIX, Daresbury & al. 1984) package at Daresbury. Alignments of sequences were produced using GAP, which uses the algorithm of Needleman. The default parameters used were "Gap weight" (penalty for introducing a new gap): 1.0 and "Gap length" weight (maximum length of internal gaps): 0.1. Phylogenies were recovered using PHYLIP package (version 3.5c) (Felsenstein, 1993). The branch and bound algorithm (DNAPENNY) was used to find the most parsimonious tree and a phylogeny was also recovered by maximum-likelihood (DNAML). Bootstrap values were calculated for each tree using additional routines in the package (SEQBOOT, CONSENSE).

DNA sequences showed in this work are deposited in the GenBank with the following accession num-

Table 2. Sizes and percentage identity of the *rbc* spacers in the genera *Laurencia*, *Palisada*, *Osmundea*, *Antithamnion* and *Gracilariopsis*

Species	Length (bp)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. <i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	109	–	73.2	80.9	81.7	75.8	79.8	73.2	62.1
2. <i>O. pinnatifida</i>	112	73.2	–	77.3	75.7	80.8	80.6	68.4	66.3
3. <i>L. viridis</i>	109	80.9	77.3	–	95.4	72.5	83.0	65.3	72.0
4. <i>L. thyrifera</i>	108	81.7	75.7	95.4	–	70.9	81.7	70.8	72.0
5. <i>P. perforata</i>	103	75.8	80.8	72.5	70.9	–	72.0	67.7	69.1
6. <i>P. cf. perforata</i>	93	79.8	80.6	83.0	81.7	72.0	–	72.4	69.2
7. <i>Antithamnion sp.</i>	99	73.2	68.4	65.3	70.8	67.7	72.4	–	71.9
8. <i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	107	62.1	66.3	72.0	72.0	69.1	69.2	71.9	–

bers: AF081268-AF081272 and AF082340-AF082344.

Results

Amplification of the RUBISCO spacer using primers conserved for the 3' end of the *rbcL* and the 5' end of the *rbcS* genes (Fig. 1) produced products ranging in size between 350-375 bp depending on the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species. The sequence data revealed a spacer region of 93-112 bp in all of the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* samples analyzed (Table 2).

Although the spacer is a non-coding region there is quite a high percentage identity amongst the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* samples (72-83%) and *L. viridis* and *L. thyrifera* have 95% identity. Additional comparison with spacer sequence from the far related genera: *Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis* (Bory de Saint-Vincent) Dawson, Acleto & Foldvik and *Antithamnion sp.*, in order to compare the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* differences, shows an equivalent 62-73% of identity. Multiple alignments of these sequences were produced using CLUSTALV (Fig. 2).

There are no insertions / deletions in the coding regions of *rbcL* and *rbcS* but there are point mutations with approximately equal numbers of transitions and transversions. Of the 379 alignable positions (Fig. 2) 94 were possible phylogenetically important locations and over half of these were in the non-coding spacer region (i.e. 55/118 positions = 46.6% informative variability in this region); nine informative positions occurred in the *rbcL* (9/105 nucleotides = 8.6% variability), and 31 occurred in the *rbcS* region (31/156 nucleotides = 19.9% variability).

The length of the ITS regions and 5.8S rDNA is relatively well conserved in the *Laurencia* species. ITS1 is of similar size in all the species studied (160-170 bp)

with the exception of *O. pinnatifida* (211 bp). The latter species produced the largest amplification product and the increase in size resulted from insertions into ITS1 (Fig. 1). There is less variation in the length of the ITS2 regions than ITS1 of *Laurencia* (202-222 bp) and ITS2 is larger than ITS1 in all of the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species examined. The percentage identity of the sequence data obtained from each region of these amplified products was calculated using GAP (UWGCG, Daresbury) (Table 3).

There is a high percentage of identity within the species in all three regions. The identity in the ITS1 is the lowest in all the species with values ranging from 70.3-97%, while the identity in the ITS2 is slightly higher at 74.1-98.2%. The 5.8S has the highest percentage identity amongst the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* where *L. viridis* has a 100% identity to *L. sp. A* ("amarilla"). Sequence data of the 5.8S coding region of the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species were compared with each other and with the 5.8S data of *Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis* (Bory de Saint-Vincent) Dawson, Acleto & Foldvik which was used as an outgroup (data kindly supplied by Dr. Lynda Goff, UCSC), and the identity calculated using GAP (Table 4). The multiple alignments of these sequences as produced by CLUSTALV are shown in Figure 2.

Table 3. Percentage identity of ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 sequences.

Species	length (bp)	% Identity		
		ITS1	5.8S	ITS2
<i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	536	100	100	100
<i>L. viridis</i>	537	84.2	100	92.9
<i>P. perforata</i>	521	97.0	98.7	98.2
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	543	85.5	97.4	88.1
<i>L. thyrifera</i>	544	72.5	96.8	84.7
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	579	70.3	91.5	74.1

Table 4. Percentage identity matrix of the 5.8S coding region.

Species	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. <i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	–	100	98.7	97.4	96.8	91.4	73.9
2. <i>L. viridis</i>	100	–	98.7	97.4	96.8	91.4	73.9
3. <i>P. perforata</i>	98.7	98.7	–	97.4	95.5	90.8	72.5
4. <i>P. cf. perforata</i>	97.4	97.4	97.4	–	95.5	91.4	73.2
5. <i>L. thyrsofera</i>	96.8	96.8	95.5	95.5	–	91.4	75.2
6. <i>O. pinnatifida</i>	91.4	91.4	90.8	91.2	91.4	–	76.9
7. <i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	73.9	73.9	72.5	73.2	75.2	76.9	–

	20	40	60	80	100	120
<i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	<u>TGTGGACCTCTACAAACAGC</u>	<u>ATTAGACTTATGGAAGGACA</u>	<u>TTACATTCAACTATACTTCT</u>			
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>T.....A..T.T..T.....			
<i>L. viridis</i>T.....A..T.			
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>T.C.....A..T.			
<i>P. perforata</i>A.....	T.....G.....A..T.G.....			
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>T.....A..T.			
<i>Antithamnion</i> sp.T..T.....	T.....TC.....A..T.T..T.....			
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	T.....T.....A..T.T.....			
	+++++ ++ + ++++++	+++++ +++++ ++ + ++++++	++ +++++++ ++ +++++++			
						bcL end 120
						←
<i>L. sp. A</i> (amarilla)	<u>ACAGATACTGCTGACTTTGT</u>	<u>AGAAACTCCAACAGCTAATG</u>	<u>TTTAAATCAAACAAATAATA</u>			
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>A.....T.....G.....T.C..T..-			
<i>L. viridis</i>A.....G.....T..CCG..			
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>C..A.....G.....T..CCG..			
<i>P. perforata</i>T.....G-T.-G.-.-			
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>A.....X.....T.G..TG..			
<i>Antithamnion</i> sp.A.....C..A.....AT.TTT.C..CG.-				
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>A.....	T.....G.....	A..G...-TTTTC.TCT..			
	+++++ ++ ++++++ ++ ++	++ +++++++ ++ ++ + +	++ + + + + + + + +			

Fig. 2. Aligned sequences of the RUBISCO spacer and its flanking regions. Sequences were aligned using the CLUSTALV program. Dots denote the same nucleotide as that of *Laurencia* sp. A ("amarilla") in row one, a * denotes the same nucleotide in all the species, a x represents unknown nucleotides and a hyphen represents a gap with the exception of *L. viridis* where for positions 248-375 the sequence is not known. The stop and start codons of *rbcl* and *rbcs* respectively are highlighted as are the Shine-Dalgarno sequences. *Antithamnion* sp. is used as an outgroup and the forward and reverse primers are underlined.

		180
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	AGCATTC-----ATTATAT TTCAATGTTAATTTTGCACT TACGTAAAGTCGCATTAGAA	
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	CAT...ACAAAAC.AG...A .CT...A.C...C...T. .-T.C.G.A.T.A...T.-	
<i>L. viridis</i>	.A...A-----... ..GG...A.C...A.T. .T.T.GA.A...C... ..	
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>	.A...A-----... ..GG...A.C...A.T. .T.T...A.AT..C... ..	
<i>P. perforata</i>	.AT.A.ATTTAAC..ATCTG .CT..A.CA-----A---- ..AA...T.A--.A.G	
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	TA.....A.C. .T.G.....-----C...A.TA...A..	
<i>Antithamnion sp.</i>	--T...TTTA---CG.G.-C..A.G...A.TGAA .T-C...A.-----C.AGC	
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	.TTC..ATATTTC..AG.GG .AT----T..T...A--- ..TTC.TGA.AAA.A..T..	
	+ + + + + +	
		<i>rbcS</i> start 240
		→
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	<u>ATTCTTAAACAAGATTAATC -ATTAATAAGGAGTATAGATA AGTGAGATTAACACAAGGA</u>	
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	GC.T...CT.....T... ..	
<i>L. viridis</i>	GC.....CT.....-..... ..	
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>	GC.....CT.....-...G..... ..	
<i>P. perforata</i>	TCAAC...CA.....-.....C..... ..	
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	GC...G.CT.....T..... ..	
<i>Antithamnion sp.</i>	T..AA...TT--C...C. -..C.....C.A.ATC..... ..	
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	TCC.....CA..T.CAGCAA C..T.....CTT.AT	
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	Shine-Dalgarno	

Fig. 2. (Continuation).

Phylogenetic analysis of the data from RUBISCO and rDNA were carried out using both parsimony and maximum-likelihood methods. The phylogenetic trees produced for the different regions using both methods were similar and indicated that *O. pinnatifida* was the most distantly related of the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species (Fig. 3a). The phylogenetic trees from the sequence data for the ITSs and 5.8S sequences, and using *G. lemaneiformis* as the outgroup shown similar results and indicated that *O. pinnatifida* was the most distantly related of the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species (Fig. 3b).

Discussion

Amplification of the RUBISCO spacer and its flanking coding regions from the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species studied produced a sin-

gle product of approximately 350-375 bp in length. The non-coding spacer region in these species ranged between 93-112 bp, which are within the range reported for RUBISCO spacers from other algae (Destombe & Douglas, 1991; Goff & al., 1994). In addition, amplification of the ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 regions in the nuclear genome gave consistently reproducible results similar to those found for other red algae (680-1450 bp) as examined by Steane & al. (1991). In that study, DNA from the Australian alga *Laurencia filiformis* (C. Agardh) Montagne was amplified and the product was similar in size to the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species studied in this work. Even though the size differences of the PCR products within the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species studied here were quite small, (~ 5-50 bp), this was sufficient to distinguish between them.

The comparison of the sequence data obtained

	300
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	<u>ACTTTTTCTTTTTTACCTGA CCTAACTGATGAACAAATTAA AAXTCAAAGTAACTACGCT</u>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>C..... ..AA...GTAG.A..T...
<i>L. viridis</i>	-----
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>C.....C.. ..AG...TAG.A....A
<i>P. perforata</i>G...C..... ..C..... ..AGTC..T..CA.....
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>C..... ..A.....TAG.T.....
<i>Antithamnion sp.</i>C..... TT.....C..... ..AA..G.T.GCA....A
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>G..A.. ..A.....T.....C. TAG..G.T.G.A..T..A
	+++++++ ++ + ++ ++ +++++ + +
	++ ++
	360
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	<u>AGATTCTAXXXCTGGGCAAT TAATATTGAATATACHGACG ATCCACATCCAAGAAACAAC</u>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	.TC.XA.AAAAT...GXXX.A..T.GX.C.X..C....T
<i>L. viridis</i>	-----
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>	.T..CTC.AAAT..... A.....A..T.T..T
<i>P. perforata</i>	XXXXXXXXXXXX..... ..T.....T..T.T...
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	.T..CAA.TAG..... ..G..C.CA..T.GT
<i>Antithamnion sp.</i>	.GT...AC.AAA..... C..C.....T..CT..T.. ..G.....T...
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	.TT.CAA.AGG...T..G. A.....A.. ..C..C.T..T.A.
	+++ ++ + ++ +++++ ++ ++ +++ ++ +++ + ++ +

Fig. 2. (Continuation).

	3'end 377
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	<u>TATTGGGAAGTATGGGG</u>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>
<i>L. viridis</i>	-----
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>
<i>P. perforata</i>
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>
<i>Antithamnion sp.</i>T.....
	+++++++ +++++

Fig. 2. (Continuation).

shows a relatively high percentage identity of the spacer region between the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species (72 - 83 %) and across other genera (62.1-73.2 %) even though it is a non-coding region. *Laurencia viridis* and *L. thyrsofera* have very different geographical locations (Tenerife and New Zealand respectively), but still have a high sequence similarity for this spacer (95.4 %). The two species are morphologically very similar with the exception that *L. viridis* is green in color and *L. thyrsofera* reddish/purple. This high degree of conservation of primary sequence of the RUBISCO spacer has been noted in other algae (Valentin & Zetche, 1990; Destombe & Douglas, 1991; Goff & al., 1994; Stache-Grain & al., 1997) and it has been hypothesized that this conservation of sequence is as a result of the secondary structures in the plastid spacer perhaps having a role in tRNA maturation (Destombe & Douglas, 1991). The AT content




Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree result from the DNAPENNY analysis of the RUBISCO spacer and its flanking coding regions (a) and of the ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 regions (b).

for the spacer in the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species ranges between 76-80%, which is similar to other algal species as *Cryptomonas* sp., *Olisthodiscus luteus* and *Pylaeilla littoralis* (Goff & al., 1994; Douglas & Durnford, 1989; Delaney & Cattolico, 1989; Assali & al., 1991).

Towards the 3' end of the spacer in all the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species examined, there is a purine rich sequence (5' AAGGAG 3'), corresponding to the ribosome binding site (Shine & Dalgarno, 1974), upstream of the *rbcS* coding region. This sequence, which has been found in all algae studied so far, contributes to the high conservation of the spacer

region (Destombe & Douglas, 1991). The initiation codon of the *rbcS* in these algae GTG (GUG) is a sequence used at only 3-4% of the frequency of AUG in bacteria (Reddy & al., 1985). The open reading frames (ORF's) of *rbcL* and *rbcS* from the sequence data obtained from the algae were compared and there is a high percentage identity of these regions in all the algae with no insertions or deletions of bases (Fig. 4). There are equal numbers of transitions and transversions overall but there are a higher number of substitutions in the *rbcS* than the *rbcL*, a situation also observed by Goff & al. (1994).

Analysis of the multiple alignment of the RUBIS-

	<u><i>rbcL</i></u>	<u><i>rbcS</i></u>
<i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	CGPLQ TALDLWKDITFN YSTDTAD FVETPTANV	VRLTQGTFSFLPDLTDEQIKXQSNYAR
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>H.....K.VE..X
<i>L. viridis</i>L.....	
<i>L. thyrsoifera</i>S.....	Spacer regionK.IE..I
<i>P. perforata</i>	...K.....L..X.....KSIT..X
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>N.ID..I
<i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	
<i>Antithamnion</i> sp.K.IA..V
	++++ +++++ +++++ + + ++++++	++++ +++++ +++++ + +

Fig. 4. Aligned sequences of ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 regions from *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species. Sequences were aligned using the CLUSTALV programme and in some areas adjusted by eye. Dots denote the same nucleotide as that of *Laurencia* sp. A ("amarilla") in row one, a * denotes the same nucleotide in all the *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species, a hyphen represent a gap while a x represents an unknown nucleotide. The 5.8S coding region is highlighted in bold. Lower case letters at the beginning and end of the alignment represent the 18S and 28S coding regions respectively. The forward and reverse primers are underlined.

<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	FXXWAINIEYTDPPHPRNNYWELW
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	XKN.XX.....XXT.....
<i>L. viridis</i>	
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>	SQN.....I.....
<i>P. perforata</i>	XXX.....
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	SNS.....S.....
<i>G. lemanaeiformis</i>	
<i>Antithamnion</i> sp.	SQN.....F.....

Fig. 4. (Continuation).

CO spacer sequence data by maximum-likelihood (Fig. 3) indicates that the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species appear to split into two branches with *L. viridis* and *L. thyrsofera* grouped together and *O. pinnatifida*, *P. perforata*, *P. cf. perforata* and *L. sp. A* (“amarilla”) forming the other. The evolution of the two spacers at different rates was also seen in the two

closely related Gracilariales genera *Gracilaria* Greville and *Gracilariopsis* Dawson (Goff & al., 1994). Even though the plastid and nuclear spacers studied in the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species have similar percentage identities (72-95.4% and 70.3-98.7% respectively) the RUBISCO spacer is quite highly conserved across genera. Our results confirm the position of *Palisada* cf. *perforata* in the taxon *P. perforata*. In addition, *Laurencia* sp. A (“amarilla”) is separated from *Osmundea* but close to *Palisada*, these results are different to found in the “classical” morphological approach. Additional analysis is necessary to evaluate the exact taxonomical positions of these groups.

With respect to the nuclear sequences, we found that with the exception of *O. pinnatifida*, the ITS length ranged between 160 and 170 base pairs for ITS1 while ITS2 was larger by 37-62 base pairs, resulting in lengths between 202-222 base pairs (Fig. 5). This greater length for ITS2 is seen in other red algae such as species of *Gracilariopsis* Dawson and *Gracilaria* Greville (Goff & al., 1994). The comparison of se-

	ITS1 5' end																			
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70													
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	g	t	t	t	c	c	g	t	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>P. perforata</i>
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	a	.	a
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
											140									
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	C	G	G	C	C	G	G	G	G	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>P. perforata</i>
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
											210									
<i>L. sp. A</i> (“amarilla”)	-	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
<i>L. viridis</i>	-
<i>P. perforata</i>	-
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>	-
<i>L. thyrsofera</i>	-
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>	G	T	T
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Fig. 5. Aligned sequences of *rbcL* and *rbcS* regions from *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* species. Sequences were aligned using the CLUSTALV programme same that figure 4.




Fig. 5. (Continuation).




Fig. 5. (Continuation).

	656
<i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	aggtaccgcg ctgaacttaa gcataat
<i>L. viridis</i>
<i>P. perforata</i>
<i>P. cf. perforata</i>
<i>L. thyrsoifera</i>
<i>O. pinnatifida</i>
	***** ***** *****

Fig. 5. (Continuation).

quence data obtained for each species of *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* shows a relatively high percentage identity within each of the three ITS/5.8S regions. Where differences between sequences occurred, 63% of the changes were substitutions. ITS1 was more variable than ITS2, with 52.2% variable positions compared with 42% in ITS2. Furthermore, nucleotide deletions, which accounted for 37% of all differences, were most common in ITS1. Baldwin

(1992) reported that overall, ITS2 sequences were usually less variable than ITS1 sequences in some members of the *Asteraceae*, but there is also documentation to the contrary (Zechman & al., 1994; O'Donnell, 1992). Zechman & al. (1994) reported that in *Stephanodiscus* clones (diatoms), the ITS1 had 7.02% variability including insertions/deletions while the ITS2 had 8.85% variability; however, this difference is not great considering that these are spacer regions.

The 5.8S coding region has the highest similarity between species which might be expected as the sequence is more likely to be conserved than the non-coding internal transcribed spacers (Table 3). The degree of identity of the 5.8S between the genera *Laurencia* and *Osmundea* (91.7-99.3%) is consistent with values for the percentage identity within the genus *Gracilaria* of 94-98.7%, the lowest identities being between *O. pinnatifida* and the *Laurencia* species (Table 4). Hence, the 5.8S is highly conserved within a genus but less so between genera. However, the non-coding

5.8S <i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	TAACTCTCAACAACGGATATCTTGGCTCTCGCAACGATGAAGAACGAGCGAAATGCGAT
5.8S <i>L. viridis</i>
5.8S <i>P. perforata</i>
5.8S <i>P. cf. perforata</i>	C.....G.....
5.8S <i>L. thyrsoifera</i>	C.....A.....
5.8S <i>O. pinnatifida</i>	C...G.....
5.8S <i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	C...GT...GGT...G...C..T..CTA..T.....T..A..C..... **** *** ***** * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5.8S <i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	ACGTAGTGTGAATTGCAGAATTCCGTGAATCATCGAATCTTGAACGCACATGCGGTCG
5.8S <i>L. viridis</i>
5.8S <i>P. perforata</i>
5.8S <i>P. cf. perforata</i>T.....
5.8S <i>L. thyrsoifera</i>A.....
5.8S <i>O. pinnatifida</i>T.....G..
5.8S <i>G. lemaneiformis</i>A..CG.....C..CT.....A..T.....AC....C... ***** * ***** * ***** * ***** * ***** * ***** * ***** * ***** *
5.8S <i>L. sp. A</i> ("amarilla")	AGGCTTCGGCCGAGACCACGCCTGCCTCAGCGTC
5.8S <i>L. viridis</i>
5.8S <i>P. perforata</i>X...A.....
5.8S <i>P. cf. perforata</i>A.....
5.8S <i>L. thyrsoifera</i>T.....T.....
5.8S <i>O. pinnatifida</i>	T.C...T-ATC...T.T.....T..
5.8S <i>G. lemaneiformis</i>	C..G.AA.C...TG.G..T.T...TT.G..T... * * * * * * * * *

Fig. 6. Multiple alignment of 5.8S sequences produced by CLUSTALV. A dot denotes the same nucleotide as that of *Laurencia sp. A* ("amarilla"), * represents the same nucleotide in all seven species, a hyphen represents a gap and a x represents an unknown nucleotide.

regions displayed a comparatively high degree of similarity between species implying that there may be constraints on these regions as well.

The multiple alignment of the 5.8S sequence data of the four *Laurencia* species (Fig. 6) shows that the majority of the differences in sequence are towards the 3' end of the coding region. This concurs with results from other genera in which the 3' region of 5.8S is less conserved than the 5' end (Steane & al., 1991, based on Mindell & Honeycut, 1990). It is interesting to note that in *Osmundea pinnatifida* the 5.8S coding region ends in the sequence TTC rather than GTC which is seen in the *Laurencia* species, as well as the majority of red algae.

In conclusion, the phylogenetic trees derived from the plastid and nuclear sequences of the *Laurencia*, *Osmundea* and *Palisada* species were different, which would imply that the three genomes are evolving at different rates (Goff & al., 1994). However, all of the trees and sequence data do indicate that *O. pinnatifida* has the least similarity to the *Laurencia* species and hence is the most distantly related. Nam & al. (1994) proposed that *O. pinnatifida*, along with certain other *Laurencia* species, should be moved from the genus *Laurencia* into the resurrected genus *Osmundea*. The data presented here would support this proposal.

Acknowledgements

This work is in memory of Prof. Dr Peter Gacesa. This work has been partially supported by Project Actions Intergrades Hispano Britannica's n° 285.A. We would also like to thank M.C. Hernández González for her assistance and Dr R. Falshaw for provided New Zealand sample.

References

- Assali N., Martin W., Sommerville & Loiseaux-de Goer S. 1991. Evolution of the Rubisco operon from prokaryotes to algae. Structure and analysis of the RbcS gene of the brown algae *Pyralaeilla littoralis*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 17: 853-863.
- Bakker, F., Olsen, J. & Stam, W. 1995. Evolution of Nuclear rDNA ITS sequences in the Cladophora albida/sericea Clade (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 40: 640-651.
- Baldwin, B. 1992. Phylogenetic utility of the internal transcribed spacers for nuclear ribosomal DNA in plants: an example from the Compositae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 1: 3-16.
- Beauchamp, K. & Powers, D. 1996. Sequence variation of the first internal spacer (ITS-1) of ribosomal DNA in ahermatypic corals from California. *Molecular marine biology and biotechnology* 5: 357-362.
- Cassano, V., M.T. M. Szechy & M.T. Fujii. 2006. *Laurencia caduciramulosa* (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta) from Ilha Grande Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a recent introduction into the Atlantic Ocean? *Cryptogamie, Algologie* 27(3): 265-277.
- Coleman, A., Suarez, A. & Goff, L. 1994. Molecular delineation of species and syngens in Volvocacean green algae (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology* 30: 80-90.
- Cordeiro-Marino, M., Toyota Fuji, M. & Yamaguishi-Tomita, N. 1983. Morphological and Cytological studies on Brazilian *Laurencia*. 1: *L. arbuscula* Sonder (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta). *Rickia* 10: 29-39.
- Cribb, A.B. 1983. *Marine Algae of the Southern Great Barrier Reef. Part 1. Rhodophyta*. Australian Coral Reef Society, Brisbane, Handbook No. 2. 173 pp, 71 pls.
- Delaney, T. & Cattolico, R. 1989. Chloroplast ribosomal DNA organization in the chromophytic algae *Olisthodiscus luteus*. *Current Genetics* 15(3): 221-229.
- Destombe, C. & Douglas, S. 1991. Rubisco spacer sequence divergence in the rhodophyta algae *Gracilaria verrucosa* and closely related species. *Current Genetics* 19: 395-398.
- Diaz-Piferrer M. 1970. Adiciones a la flora marina de Venezuela. *Caribbean Journal of Science* 10(3-4): 159-198.
- Douglas, S. & Durnford, D. 1989. The small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase is plastid encoded in the chlorophyll c-containing algae *Cryptomonas*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 13: 13-20.
- Druehl, L. & Saunders, G. 1992. Molecular explorations in kelp evolution. In: Round, F.E. & Chapman, D.J. (eds), *Progress in Phycological Research Vol. 8*. Dan Hua Printing Press Co. Ltd, Hong Kong, pp. 47-78
- Furnari, G., Boisset, F., Cormaci, M & Serio, D. 2002. Characterization of *Chondrophycus tenerrimus* (Cremades) comb. nov. (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta), a species often misidentified as *C. papillosus* (C. Agardh) Garbary & J. Harper in the Mediterranean Sea. *Cryptogamie, Algologie* 23: 223-235.
- Furnari, G., Cormaci, M. & Serio, D. 2001. The *Laurencia* complex (Rhodophyta, Rhodomelaceae) in the Mediterranean Sea: an overview. *Cryptogamie, Algologie* 22: 331-373.
- Garbary, D.J & Harper, J.T. 1998. A phylogenetic analysis of the *Laurencia* complex (Rhodomelaceae) of the red algae. *Cryptogamie Algologie* 19(3): 185-200.
- Gil-Rodríguez, M.C. & Haroun, R. 1992. *Laurencia viridis* sp nov. from the macaronesia Region. *Botanica Marina* 35(3): 227-237.
- Gil-Rodríguez, M.C. & Haroun, R. 1993. A view about the problematic in *Laurencia* (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta) in the Canary Islands. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 159: 113-117.
- Goff, L., Moon, D. & Coleman, A. 1994. Molecular delineation of species and species relationships in the red algal agarophytes *Gracilariopsis* and *Gracilaria* (Graciariales). *Journal of Phycology* 30: 521-537.
- Haroun, R. & Prud'homme van Reine, W. 1993. A biogeographical study of *Laurencia* and *Hypnea* species of the Macaronesian Region. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 159: 119-125.
- Hernández-González, M.C., Gil-Rodríguez, M.C. & Haroun, R. 1994. Existence of plants bearing tetrasporangia and spermatangial receptacles (mixed phases) in the genus *Laurencia* Lamouroux (Rhodomelaceae). *Nova Hedwigia* 59: 189-194.
- Hommersand, M., Fredericq, S. & Freshwater, D. 1994. Phylogenetic systematics and biogeography of the Gigartinales (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) based on sequence analysis of rbcL. *Botanica Marina* 37: 193-203.
- Klein, J. & Verlaque, M. 2005. *Laurencia caduciramulosa* Masuda et Kawaguchi (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta), first record on the Mediterranean coast of France. *Cryptogamie, Algologie* 26: 209-216.
- Kono, M., Satoh, H., Okabe, Y., Abe, Y., Nakayama, K. & Okada, M. 1991. Nucleotide sequence of the large subunit of Ribulose

- 1, 5- bisphosphate carboxylase / oxygenase from the green algae *Bryopsis maxima*. *Plant Molecular Biology* 17: 505-508.
- Lawson, G. & John, D. 1982. The marine algae and coastal environment of Tropical West Africa. *Nova Hedwigia* 70: 1-455.
- Maggs, C. & Hommersand, M. 1993. *Seaweeds of the British Isles. Rhodophyta*. Part 3a *Ceramiales*. The Natural History Museum, London, 444 p.
- Magne, F. 1980. On the identity of *Laurencia pinnatifida* from Scandinavian coast. Xth International Seaweed Symposium. Glasgow.
- McDermid, K. 1988. *Laurencia* from Hawaii Islands: Key annotated list, and distribution of the species. In: Abbott, I. (ed.), *Taxonomy of Economic Seaweeds with reference to some Pacific and Caribbean species*. Vol. II. California Sea Grant College Program, La Jolla, California. pp. 231-247.
- Mindell, D. & Honeycutt, R. 1990. Ribosomal - RNA in vertebrates - evolution and phylogenetic applications. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 21: 541-566.
- Nam, K., Maggs, C. & Garbary, D. 1994. Resurrection of the genus *Osmundea* with an emendation of the generic delineation of *Laurencia* (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta). *Phycologia* 33(3): 384-395.
- O'Donnell, K. 1992. Ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacers are highly divergent in the phylogenetic ascomycete *Fusarium sambucinum* (*Gibberella pulicaris*). *Current Genetics* 22: 213-220.
- Pichard, S., Campbell, L., & Paul, J. 1997. Diversity of the ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase form gene (*rbcL*) in natural phytoplankton communities. *Applied Environment Microbiology* 63(9): 3600-3606.
- Reddy, P., Peterkofsky, A. & Mckenney, K. 1985. Translation efficiency of the *Escherichia coli* adenylate cyclase gene - mutating the UUG initiation codon to GUG or AUG results in increased gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 82: 5656-5660.
- Rodríguez de Rios, N. & Saito, Y. 1982. Observaciones sobre el genero *Laurencia* en Venezuela. 1: *Laurencia intermedia* (Yamada) y *Laurencia corallopsis* (Montagne) Howe. *Ernstia* 11: 1-16.
- Rumpf, R., & al. 1996. Evolution consequences of the loss of photosynthesis in *Chlamydomonadaceae*: Phylogenetic analysis of *Rrn18* (18s rDNA) in 13 *Polytoma* stains (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology* 32: 119-126.
- Saito, Y. 1964. Contribution to the morphology of the genus *Laurencia* of Japan. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Fisheries Hokkaido University* 5: 69-74.
- Saito, Y. 1965. Contribution to the morphology of the genus *Laurencia* of Japan II. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Fisheries Hokkaido University* 15: 207-212.
- Saito, Y. 1967. Studies on Japanese species of *Laurencia* with special reference to their comparative morphology. *Memoirs of the Faculty of Fisheries*. Hokkaido University Japan 15: 1-81.
- Saito, Y. 1969. On morphological distinctions of some species of Pacific North American *Laurencia*. *Phycologia* 8: 85-90.
- Shine, J. & Dalgarno, L. 1974. The 3'-terminal sequence of *Escherichia coli* 16S ribosomal RNA: complementarity to nonsense triplets and ribosome binding sites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 71: 1342-1346.
- Stache-Grain, B., Muller, D. & Goff, L. 1997. Molecular systematic of *Ectoparpus* and *Kuckuckia* (Ectocarpaceae, Phaeophyceae) inferred from phylogenetic analysis of nuclear and plastid-encoded DNA sequences. *Journal of Phycology* 33: 152-168.
- Steane, D. & al. 1991. Amplification of the polymorphic 5.8S rRNA gene from selected Australian Gigartinales species (Rhodophyta) by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Phycology* 27: 758-762.
- Valentin, K. & Zetsche, K. 1990. Structure of the *Rubisco* operon from the unicellular red algae *Cyanidium caldarium*: Evidence for a polyphyletic origin of the plastids. *Molecular and General Genetics* 222: 425-430.
- Vandermeulen, H., Garbary, D. & Guiry, M. 1990. *Laurencia minuta* sp. nov. (Ceramiales, Rhodomelaceae) a diminutive red algae from the Gulf and Aqaba (Red Sea). *British Phycology Journal* 25: 237-244.
- Wynne, M.J. & Ballantine, D.L. 1991. *Laurencia iridiscens* sp. nov. (Rhodomelaceae, Ceramiales) from the Caribbean Sea. *Phycologia* 30: 394-401.
- Yoneshigue-Valentin, Y., Fujii, M.T. & Gurgel, F.D. 2003. *Osmundea lata* (Howe & Taylor) comb. nov. (Ceramiales, Rhodophyta) from southeastern Brazilian continental shelf. *Phycologia* 42: 301-307.
- Zetsche, K., Valentin, K., Maid, U. & Kostrzwa, M. 1991. Structure and sequence of plastid genes of red algae (Rhodophyta)-Phylogenetic implications. *Endocytobiosis Cell Research* 8: 197-229.
- Zechman, F., Zimmer, E. & Therist, E. 1994. Use of Ribosomal DNA Internal Transcribed spacers for phylogenetic studies in Diatoms. *Journal of Phycology* 30: 507-512.

Editor asociado: A. Flores

Recibido: 30-I-2007

Aceptado: 21-XII-2007