This article was downloaded by: [IDRC]

On: 10 February 2015, At: 07:14

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered

office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK





Click for updates

## Global Public Health: An International Journal for Research, Policy and Practice

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rgph20

# Commentary: Thailand: Sexual and reproductive health before and after universal health coverage in 2002

Viroj Tangcharoensathien<sup>a</sup>, Kamheang Chaturachinda<sup>b</sup> & Wassana Im-em<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> International Health Policy Program, Ministry of Public Health, Nonthaburi, Thailand

<sup>b</sup> Women's Health and Reproductive Rights Foundation of Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>c</sup> UNFPA, Bangkok, Thailand Published online: 18 Dec 2014.

To cite this article: Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Kamheang Chaturachinda & Wassana Im-em (2015) Commentary: Thailand: Sexual and reproductive health before and after universal health coverage in 2002, Global Public Health: An International Journal for Research, Policy and Practice, 10:2, 246-248, DOI: 10.1080/17441692.2014.986166

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2014.986166">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2014.986166</a>

#### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Versions of published Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open articles and Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open Select articles posted to institutional or subject repositories or any other third-party website are without warranty from Taylor & Francis of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any opinions and views expressed in this article are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor & Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages,

and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

It is essential that you check the license status of any given Open and Open Select article to confirm conditions of access and use.



## THEME: SEXUAL AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH IN CHANGING HEALTH SYSTEMS

## Commentary: Thailand: Sexual and reproductive health before and after universal health coverage in 2002

Viroj Tangcharoensathien<sup>a</sup>\*, Kamheang Chaturachinda<sup>b</sup> and Wassana Im-em<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>International Health Policy Program, Ministry of Public Health, Nonthaburi, Thailand; <sup>b</sup>Women's Health and Reproductive Rights Foundation of Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>c</sup>UNFPA, Bangkok, Thailand

Thailand has achieved wide access to health services, including sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services, through two major reforms (Balabanova et al., 2013). Beginning in the early 1980s, physical barriers to care were minimised by increased investment in the health system, particularly nation-wide expansion of well-functioning primary health care (PHC) to all sub-districts, and expansion of financial risk-protection mechanisms. Equity in access to health care, including SRH services, and also in health outcomes, improved significantly (Kongsri, Limwattananon, Sirilak, Prakongsai, & Tangcharoensathien, 2011; Limwattananon, Tangcharoensathien, & Prakongsai, 2007; Teerawattananon & Tangcharoensathien, 2004), though 30% of the population was still uninsured by 2001. A new universal health coverage (UHC) initiative was thus launched in 2002, extending financial risk protection to the remaining population. The UHC benefits package covers almost all relevant SRH services envisioned in the Programme of Action (POA) of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD), including treatment of reproductive tract cancers.

Significant progress in SRH was achieved well before the 1994 ICPD and the 2002 UHC initiative. Exemplary achievements include: 80% of pregnant women used prenatal care in 1987 and 92% in 2000. With UHC, utilisation reached 100% in 2012. Likewise, use of skilled birth attendance was 90.8% in 1990, 99.3% in 2000 and 99.7% in 2012.

The contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) for all methods also increased from 14.8% in 1970, prior to the 1971 launch of the National Family Planning Programme, to 74.5% in 1995, 78.9% in 2000 and 79.3% in 2012 (National Statistical Office, 2009, 2013). During the 1980s, intra-uterine devices (IUDs) and hormonal implants, provided by doctors and trained nurses, were added to widely available contraceptive pills and condoms (Tangcharoensathien, Suthivisessak, Siripornpanich, & Seriratanakorn, 1990). Oral contraceptives, available over the counter, were and remain the most widely used method (32.1% of the CPR), followed by tubal ligation, injectables, condoms and IUDs (National Statistical Office, 2013). All methods, except emergency contraceptive pills, are offered free to the entire target population. The total fertility rate has fallen from 5.9 children per woman in the 1970s to 1.6 in 2011.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: viroj@ihpp.thaigov.net

Owing to sustained political commitments and effective programme implementation, HIV was reversed from a generalised to a concentrated epidemic between 1993 and 2006. HIV prevalence in pregnant women declined from a peak of 2.3% in 1995 to 0.51% in 2012. Provision of free breast milk substitutes, beginning in 1995, and investment in preventing mother to child transmission (PMTCT) using azidothymidine (AZT), since 1998, reduced vertical transmission from 30% to 8%. In 2008, the UHC benefit package began to include Nevirapine for PMTCT, and vertical transmission declined further to 3.2% in 2011. By 2011, around 225,000 people living with HIV were receiving free antiretroviral (ART) in 943 health-care facilities, almost all run by the government with costs covered by UHC.

Despite such achievements, certain challenges persist and others have emerged. The adolescent birth rate has sharply increased from 31 per 1000 women aged 15–19 years in 2000 to 53 in 2012. The under-15 pregnancy rate has increased threefold, from 0.5 per 1000 in 2000 to 1.8 in 2012 (UNFPA and National Economic and Social Development Board, 2013). In 2009, 32% of women aged 15–19 years who had given birth reported that their most recent birth was unintended, while only 16% of women aged 15–49 years reported so (National Statistical Office, 2009). Unmet need for contraception is 6.9% higher among women aged 15–19 years (11.6%) than among women aged 45–49 years (8.3%; National Statistical Office, 2013). Pill failure is significant; of women who reported that their most recent birth was unintended, 38% reported forgetting to take their pills (National Statistical Office, 2009). Further, a sharp decline in use of longer acting contraceptives, such as implants and IUDs as well as injection, has occurred during a decade of UHC, due to decentralised management of the family planning programme by the district's health-care provider network (Saejeng, Siraprapasiri, Chunin, & Thongtumrong, 2011).

Access to safe abortion is the least addressed SRH service, despite technological advances in abortion care, such as medical abortion (WHO, 2012) and manual vacuum aspiration (Suphanchaimat et al., 2013). Abortion is permitted by law, when conducted by a physician, if the pregnancy threatens a woman's life or health, or is the result of a sexual crime. Official reports suggest that about 30,000 abortions occur each year (including induced, spontaneous and therapeutic), but other estimates go as high as 200,000–300,000 annually, suggesting that abortion is widely practiced.

Many women have experienced intimate partner violence; in 2001, the prevalence of physical violence was 28% (lifetime) and 10% (previous year); while sexual violence was 29% and 16%. Also, 4% of pregnant women reported being beaten by husbands, and such violence is especially high (8%) against pregnant teenagers (Archvanitkul, Kanchanachitra, Im-em, & Lertsrisanthat, 2001). Early marriage (before the legal age of 18 years) also increased from 19.7% in 2005 to 22.1% in 2012 (National Statistical Office, 2013).

Expansion of PHC and universal financial protection has clearly improved utilisation of SRH services and equity in access and health outcomes. A strong example of specific action is the November 2013 announcement by the Ministry of Public Health, of plans to set up community-level, one-stop crisis centres to improve services for child and female victims of violence (Govt to provide more crisis centres, 2013). Other key actions are needed, especially to provide ready access for youth to supportive family planning services, including emergency contraception not yet covered by UHC, and access to safe abortion. Comprehensive sexuality education, including for out-of-school youth, is also urgently needed to reverse the trend of unintended pregnancies among girls, violence against women and an apparent increase in marriage before 18. All these require effective inter-sectoral actions addressing key social determinants.

### **Funding**

This work was carried out with the aid of a grant from Canada's International Development Research Centre.

#### References

- Archvanitkul, K., Kanchanachitra, C., Im-em, W., & Lertsrisanthat, U. (2001). WHO multi-country study on women's health and life experiences. Nakorn Pathom: Institute of Population and Social Research Publication, Mahidol University.
- Balabanova, D., Mills, A., Conteh, L., Akkazieva, B., Banteyerga, H., Dash, U., ... McKee, M. (2013). Good health at low cost 25 years on: Lessons for the future of health systems strengthening. *The Lancet*, 381, 2118–2133. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62000-5
- Govt to provide more crisis centres. (2013, November 25). *Bangkok Post*. Retrieved from http://www.bangkokpost.com/news/local/381629/community-health-clinics-to-have-crisis-centres
- Kongsri, S., Limwattananon, S., Sirilak, S., Prakongsai, P., & Tangcharoensathien, V. (2011). Equity of access to and utilization of reproductive health services in Thailand: National reproductive health survey data, 2006 and 2009. Reproductive Health Matters, 19(37), 86–97. doi:10.1016/S0968-8080(11)37569-6
- Limwattananon, S., Tangcharoensathien, V., & Prakongsai, P. (2007). Catastrophic and poverty impacts of health payments: Results from national household surveys in Thailand. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 85, 600–606. doi:10.2471/BLT.06.033720
- National Statistical Office. (2009). *National Reproductive Health Survey 2009*. Nonthaburi: Ministry of Information, Communication and Technology. Retrieved from http://service.nso.go. th/nso/nsopublish/themes/files/fertility/fertilityFull.pdf
- National Statistical Office. (2013). *Major Findings of Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2012*. Nonthaburi: Ministry of Information, and Communication Technology.
- Saejeng, J., Siraprapasiri, T., Chunin, R., & Thongtumrong, S. (2011). A research project on management of the national family planning for Thailand. Nonthaburi: Bureau of Reproductive Health, Department of Health, Ministry of Public Health.
- Suphanchaimat, R., Boonthai, N., Tangthasana, S., Putthasri, W., Tangcharoensathien, V., & Chaturachinda, K. (2013). A survey of manual vacuum aspiration's experiences among the new medical graduates in Thailand. *Reproductive Health*, 10(49). doi:10.1186/1742-4755-10-49
- Tangcharoensathien, V., Suthivisessak, P., Siripornpanich, P., & Seriratanakorn, J. (1990). Intrauterine contraceptive devices: Comparing health centre and district hospital costs in Thailand. *Health Policy and Planning*, 5, 177–181. doi:10.1093/heapol/5.2.177
- Teerawattananon, Y., & Tangcharoensathien, V. (2004). Designing a reproductive health services package in the universal health insurance scheme in Thailand: Match and mismatch of need, demand and supply. *Health Policy and Planning*, 19, i31–i39. doi:10.1093/heapol/czh043
- UNFPA and National Economic and Social Development Board. (2013). *Motherhood in childhood:* Facing the challenge of adolescent pregnancy. UNFPA state of Thailand population 2013. Bangkok: United Nations Population Fund and Office of the Prime Minister.
- WHO. (2012). Safe abortion: Technical and policy guidance for health systems. Geneva: Author.