1996 (April - December)

Environment, Society, and Economy: Policies Working Together

by David B. Brooks and Jamie Schnurr



Viet Nam is one of many countries attempting to harmonize environmental, social, and economic policies

Among Southeast Asian nations, Viet Nam is poised to join the next wave of Asian "tigers." But the heady pace of economic growth has carried with it significant social and environmental stresses -- and this in a country where four of every five persons works in agriculture, fisheries, or forestry. Rapid industrialization of these sectors, coupled with hurried urbanization as people are forced from traditional employment in rural areas, has contributed to the degradation of the natural resources that in many ways are the foundation of Viet Nam's society and economy. Therefore, Viet Nam is now attempting to harmonize its environmental, social, and economic objectives through a national environmental action plan.

The Vietnamese experience is by no means unique. In no country of the world are there neat divisions among goals for ecological sustainability, social equity, and economic efficiency. Policies and programs targeted at each objective have impacts in more than one sector -- typically, many sectors -- at once. Nonetheless, researchers and policy makers are more likely to focus on particular problems. Although the

need for policy integration is assumed, it is often left to others to address explicitly.

BARRIERS

Not surprisingly, the task of integrating policy invariably faces significant barriers. Interactions among ecological, social, and economic systems create complex cause and effect relationships that are not easily unravelled. Government agencies, corporate departments, and research and academic institutes are typically set up according to discrete sectors and disciplines, each with its own interests (and interest groups), virtually assuring policy segregation. Our political economy emphasizes discounting the future value of human development, natural resources, and ecological processes in exchange for shorter-term economic development. We find ourselves short of **experience in the effective application** of analytical tools and decision-making processes to identify, evaluate, and manage the necessary trade-offs among objectives.

WHAT SORT OF INTEGRATED POLICY?

One way of confronting the problem of complexity is to define the different levels at which integration should take place, whether this is local, regional, national, or international. Another strategy is to approach policy from an ecosystem perspective, such as fluvial or watershed regions, or bio-regions based on vegetation.

There are varying degrees of integration. A sectoral policy that is sensitive to other sectoral policies or issues could be considered one degree of integration. Command and control forms of legislation that require social and/or environmental impact assessments of development projects, or "end-of-the-pipe" abatement technology applied to industrial production systems, are more advanced forms of integration. Even deeper degrees of integration involve market-based instruments, green or socially responsible procurement measures, and various types of voluntary arrangements to attempt to make environmentally and socially responsible management a priority throughout government, industry, and among citizens. Strategic environmental planning, life cycle assessment and integrated impact assessment techniques are other tools that can foster forms of deeper integration.

One case study suggests that various degrees of integration can occur incrementally along a continuum. In this case, a series of legislated impact assessments created awareness of the environmental impacts of building hydro lines on a preselected site. The "learning" that took place during the assessments and the desire to apply the new knowledge, eventually led to change within the utility, which instituted strategic environmental planning processes and self-directed assessments. In the end, new management practices were introduced that proactively assessed the impacts of alternate sites for its transmission lines.

COORDINATION AND PARTICIPATION

Integration of any sort requires coordination and collaboration in designing, planning, and implementing policy to establish clear objectives and divisions of responsibility. More advanced degrees of integration require more sophisticated forms of communication, decision-making, and organizational behaviour.

Mechanisms and tools such as multi-stakeholder fora and "user-friendly" information systems can provide a range of people with the means for having input into policy processes. As well as contributing to informed decision-making, the process also helps policy makers understand the socio-economic and ecological context in which they work, and all stakeholders to appreciate the trade-offs entailed in a given policy decision.

GOVERNANCE SYSTEMS

Whether multi-stakeholder processes and other forms of participation can be applied in developing

countries depends on specific political, social, and cultural conditions. Systems of "governance" that can anticipate societal responses to various integrative measures and accommodate the policy objectives of a range of stakeholders and sectors are crucial.

In this perspective, governance means the inter and intra-organizational arrangements, decision-making processes, incentives, and disincentives through which government and non-government actors -- including civil society, the public, communities, and the private sector -- influence decisions about societal priorities and resource allocations. It goes beyond the formal institutions of government and recognizes the significant role of non-governmental actors in policy formulation and implementation, particularly in developing countries.

INTEGRATION MODELS

One model for policy integration uses a triangle whose points represent environmental, social, and economic objectives. This approach is useful, but it subsumes political activity under the "social" category. Political activity is the main way that any society does the integration. A tetrahedral -- or three-sided pyramid -- model, where the upper point is politics, would include not only government as elected officials but also all the institutions set up by government to carry out its policies.

Despite coordination and participation strategies, efforts to balance conflicting objectives often cannot avoid some degree of conflict. Success in managing conflict lies in structuring the process so that it involves the affected parties' representatives in the design and evolution of the process itself, as well as in the negotiation of substantive issues.

Interest-based negotiation is one example of a structured, deliberate attempt to cooperatively seek an outcome that attempts to accommodate rather than compromise the interests of all concerned.

LEARNING

In structured multi-stakeholder and negotiation processes, learning is fostered through decision-making guidelines, communication rules and process steps. Learning can also be fostered even when specific structures are absent. In the case of the hydro utility discussed above, legislation, along with encouragement from management and an inter-departmental committee, prompted line departments to learn from their experiences and develop more effective integrative tools.

Learning can best be encouraged when the various parties jointly define rules for communication and negotiation, have equal access to information, create incentives for risk taking, and allow a margin for error. Other positive elements involve the delegation of responsibility and a willingness and ability to capture and build on unexpected results.

IDRC'S APPROACH TO POLICY INTEGRATION

IDRC has taken a dual approach to policy integration as a research question. One component is exploration and the other is "learning by doing." Among other things, the Centre has reviewed all projects it funds under the theme of integrating environmental, social, and economic policy (INTESEP) to identify common threads. It has supported case studies where specific information was lacking and funded workshops in different regions to learn how researchers and policy makers address policy integration.

ROLE OF RESEARCH

There is no longer any question that research can play a valuable role in a successful integration process. For example, research can identify policy options or alternative institutional mechanisms under different scenarios, and analyze their advantages and disadvantages. Research can also develop the tools and

techniques for analysis and evaluation.

Not surprisingly, the policy emphasis in integration varies by region or country. The focus in Africa tends to be on impacts of macro-level economic policies on social development, whereas in Asia the environmental implications of economic growth are paramount.

The findings also highlight challenging questions for policy integration. In what context is integration appropriate? From a governance perspective, how should integration be managed and by whom?

LESSONS LEARNED

One key conclusion drawn from the research to date is that integration hinges on the process by which the trade-offs inherent in any policy choice are evaluated and managed. Political institutions and policy-making processes need to have the flexibility to promote and foster integration when appropriate.

Ultimately, policy integration unleashes processes whose outcomes cannot be predicted at the outset. A variety of stakeholders may be relevant in any given context, which will affect both substance and process. As a result, inputs can arrive from diverse sources, leading to several possible outcomes, any of which may meet goals of equity and sustainability.

David B. Brooks and Jamie Schnurr are chief scientist and research officer, respectively, for integrating environmental, social, and economic policy (INTESEP) at IDRC. Neale MacMillan is editor-in-chief of IDRC Reports.

<u>Integration: a skeptic's view</u> David Brooks discusses the problems with using integration as an analytical tool for policy development.

Unless otherwise stated, all articles and photos may be freely reproduced providing suitable credit is given.

ISSN 0315-9981. This magazine is listed in the Canadian Magazine Index.

- Subscription information
- Return to the IDRC Reports homepage
- Return to the IDRC homepage

Copyright © International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada Please send your comments to editor of Reports.





IDRC Reports Archives

IDRC Reports is published by the International Development Research Centre. Its aim is to keep an international readership informed about the work IDRC supports in developing countries as well as other development issues of interest.

Visit the new Reports online

APRIL-DECEMBER 1996

April 5	Bednets for malaria control by Robert Bourgoing
April 12	<i>Environment, society and economy : policies working together</i> by David B. Brooks and Jamie Schnurr
April 12	Global action on local agenda 21 by Kirsteen MacLeod
April 19	Sustainable urban planning in Santos, Brazil by Patrick Knight
April 26	Integrated pest management for Colombian small farmers by David Mowbray
May 3	Infectious diseases: a growing global threat by John Eberlee
May 10	Naturalized knowledge systems of indigenous communities by Salli M.K. Benedict
May 17	Public stake in Senegal's environmental planning by Khodia Ndiaye
May 17	<i>Environment, society and economy : policies working together</i> by David B. Brooks and Jamie Schnurr
May 24	<u>Cambodia: bringing sewage treatment onstream</u> by Emilia Casella
May 31	Community participation in planning for Jinja, Uganda by Anna Borzello
June 7	Children's health in Ghana's North by Jason Lothian
June 14	Water policy in Manila by Estrella Maniquis
June 21	Reconciling ecological, economic, and social imperatives by Pattie LaCroix
June 28	Reconstructing war-torn societies by Jennifer Pepall
July 5	Project Leader Tracer Study reviews IDRC's performance by Curt Labond
July 12	Ecotourism in Northern Thailand by Glen Hvenegaard
July 19	Ecotourism in the Himalayas: the Nepalese experience by Elizabeth Kalbfuss
July 26	New weapon in war on micronutrient deficiencies by Michael Boulet
August 2	Breeding a better bean: the horizontal resistance approach by Douglas Powell
August 9	<i>High maize yields offer hope for Burundi farmers</i> by Andrew Ker and Dunstan Malithano
August 16	Aboriginal tourism in Venezuela: walking lightly on the land by Lauren Walker
August 23	Yucape Project : economic development in Mexico's Yucatán Peninsula by Chris Hayes
	Knowledge economy and the social economy: university support for community

August 23	enterprise development as a strategy for economic regeneration in distressed regions in <u>Canada and Mexico</u> by Greg Macleod, Bruce McFarlane and Charles H. Davis
August 30	Map Maker simplifies cartography in the field by Curt Labond
September 6	<u>Protecting Mexico's tropical forests : the Calakmul model forest program</u> by Michael Boulet
September 13	Teaching mixed - market economics in Havana by Roula el-Raifi
September 20	Road to democracy in Sub-Saharan Africa by André Lachance
September 27	Sustainable hillside agriculture in Colombia by Ronnie Vernooy
October 4	Assessing and monitoring sustainable development in Colombia by Rhoda Metcalfe
October 11	Fungus fights cereal killer in Africa by Philip Fine
October 18	Levelling the playing field for international trade by Henry F. Heald
October 25	Putting a price on indigenous knowledge by Jennifer Pepall
November 1	Lessons from Canada's tobacco war by Lauren Walker
November 8	Bringing tropical organic products North by Kirsten Kozolanka
November 15	Emerging southern markets fueling global economic growth by Curt Labond
November 22	<u>Costs of mining in Latin America</u> by Steve Hunt
November 29	Tradition among the Gran Sabana Pemón by John Eberlee
December 6	PAN Mongolia experience by Geoff Long
December 13	<u>Protecting natural resources: bioaccess legislation in Laos</u> by Richard Littlemore
December 20	Building one's house of adobe by André Lachance

Unless otherwise stated, all articles and photos may be freely reproduced providing suitable credit is given.

ISSN 0315-9981 This magazine is listed in the Canadian Magazine Index.

Copyright 2003 © International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada Octubre 2003

INTEGRATION: A SKEPTIC'S VIEW

For the most part, we assume integration is a good thing. We talk quite blithely about integrated rural development or integrated river basin management. Of course, in some sense, such integration is highly desirable. All too often, sectoral policies and programs have been implemented with little concern for, if not sheer ignorance of, their broader effects. However, I am less concerned with integration as a practical tool for implementing policy than as an analytical concept for developing policy.

ANALYSIS PARALYSIS?

I see at least three problems with integration in analysis. First, integration tends to reduce intellectual rigour. It is a fact of life that affects all inter-disciplinary and, to a lesser extent, multi-disciplinary, research. True, academic disciplines often become straitjackets on innovative thinking. But those disciplines did not evolve entirely for their own sakes and they do, in the end, have some meaning. By obscuring those boundaries, making us all into generalists, we risk achieving what one observer called "profound superficiality".

Second, as we integrate across analytical lines in the logical attempt to emulate the real world, the very complexity of the process typically forces us to ever higher levels of abstraction. Hence, we move further and further from policies that can be applied to that real world.

Third, and perhaps most important, I worry that by integrating analytically and deriving policies on the basis of that integration, we are stepping beyond the role of analysts and playing politician. I am not arguing that a clear line exists between value-free analysis and value-laden politics. Rather, our most appropriate analytical role is to present alternatives and suggest how they will work under various scenarios, but not to propose the integration itself.

David B. Brooks is the chief scientist for integrating environmental, social, and economic policy (INTESEP) at IDRC.

RESOURCE PERSON:

David B. Brooks, IDRC, PO Box 8500, Ottawa, ON K1G 3H9, CANADA; E-mail: dbrooks@idrc.ca

Copyright © International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada Please send your comments to editor of Reports.