78407 f IDRC - LIb

FEED RESOURCES FOR GOATS: RECENT ADVANCES IN AVAILABILITY AND UTILISATION IN AFRICA

Olanrewaju B. Smith,

International development Research Centre, Dakar Senegal

Paper prepared for the V International Conference on Goats. March 2-8, 1992. New Delhi, India.





FEED RESOURCES FOR GOATS : RECENT ADVANCES IN AVAILABILITY AND UTILISATION IN AFRICA

O. B. Smith International Development Research Centre, Dakar Senegal

ABSTRACT

Available feed resources for ruminants in general, and goats in particular were reviewed, and identified to include natural grasses and rangelands, cultivated pastures, crop residues, by-products and browse. Factors affecting not only the quantities but also the quality of these resources were discussed, and include regional and agro-ecological differences, goat breed and production systems.

Although the vegetation in natural pastures and rangelands play a major role in the nutrition and feeding system of goats, seasonal fluctuation in both quantity and quality limit its ability to meet their requirements for acceptable levels of production, hence the need to broaden the feed resource base and to enhance utilisation.

Strategies suggested to achieve these include feed complementarity and the exploitation of inherent attributes of the goat. A number of feeding systems based on such scrategies was suggested, and the future role of research in further expanding the feed resource base and enhancing its utilisation was stressed.

1. INTRODUCTION

The availability, quality, and cost of feeds have been identified as the major constraints to acceptable livestock productivity across the various regions(northern, southern, western, eastern and centra), and agro-ecological zones of Africa(Devendra, 1986; Ademosun <u>et al</u> 1988). Thus, in the humid zone of central, western and eastern Africa, where rainfall is high(>1500mm), herbaceous forages grow luxuriously, providing the much needed nutrients in near adequate amounts for livestock, but only for a short period, because early maturity is the norm in this ecozone.

The process of early maturity leads to a rapid change in the stem to leaf ratio, in favour of the less nutritive stems. Feed constraints are therefore mainly qualitative, and could be alleviated by judicious supplementation. In the arid and sahelian zones of northern and western Africa where rainfall is low(<600mm) and soils poorer, both qualitative and quantitative feed constraints act in concert, calling for a different feeding stategy.Regional and ecological differences in the availability and utilisation of feed resources by goats in Africa will be highlighted in this review. More importantly, recent advances in the development of feeding strategies with the potential to improve feed utilisation and goat productivity will be presented.

2. LIVESTOCK RESOURCES AND PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

Goats are the focus of this paper. Livestock population figures presented, will therefore be limited to ruminants with emphasis on the contribution of goats to African livestock resources and products. Ecozones and regionally based population figures presented in Tables 1 and 2 respectively, show that about 65% of the goat population is concentrated in the arid and semi-arid zones, where qualitative and quantitative feed constraints are most acute. This is a testimony of the ability of the goat to adapt to a variety of ecological conditions and management systems.

The most important goat producing areas are the eastern and western regions. In both regions as in others, goats form an integral part of the small scale farming systems producing meat, milk, manure, fuel and fertiliser, at levels that require improvement. Thus, while 31% of world goat population was located in Africa in 1989, Africa's share of chevron and goat milk production the same year were 26.7 and 23.9% respectively.

An important component of any production system is the utilisation of appropriate and adapted goat breeds. The literature abounds with unsuccessful livestock production projects attributable to the utilisation of inappropriate breeds.Shkolnick et al., (1980) described the desert Bedouin goat as being more suitable for the transhumant production system compared to several other breeds. The former can apparently withstand water deprivation for long periods, and digest low quality roughages better. More significantly, it can ingest large amounts of water when available, without adverse effects on the rumen functions. The exploitation of suitable, well adapted breeds within specific production systems is important, if feed resources associated with the production systems are to be optimally utilised.

2.1 Goat Production systems. Goats are managed under a variety of production systems ranging from the complex to the relatively simple. Five major types found in Africa as summarised in Table 3, which additionally shows the predominant ecosystems, the major objective and major source of feed of the systems. According to Johnson and Van Eys(1987) and Morand-Fehr(1988), the major objective of the extensive management system is not to maximise livestock productivity, but to optimally exploit the rangeland and pastures vegetation. In other words, management of rangeland to reduce excessive animal pressure and minimise degradation must feature prominently in strategies designed to improve rangeland utilisation. Devendra(1986), suggested a stocking rate of 1 to 4 goats/Ha.

Resource inputs including labour requirement for both the semi intensive and intensive systems increase in consonance with the main objective of optimising productivity. Both systems rely on natural or cultivated pastures grazed, or cut and carried. Stocking rates of 16-60 goats/Ha. are apparently feasible, depending on the and quality of forage(Devendra, 1986), as well as the types ecological zone. The feed base - pastures - is subject to seasonal vagaries as well as management know-how. Improvement strategies must therefore focus on forage management as well as appropriate supplementation with crop residues or concentrates.

All of the factors discussed above - production systems, agroecological zones and breeds - acting alone or in concert , have significant effects on feed availabilty, and or, utilisation, and must be considered when designing strategies to improve, feed utilisation.

3. FEED RESOURCES AND UTILISATION

line

4. E

i par g

11-2C

red (on fed)

The variety of feeds available across the major ecological zones and production systems can be classified as: natural grasses and rangelands, cultivated pastures, crop residues and by-products and browse.

3.1 Natural grasses and rangelands. In the humid and sub-humid zones, natural pastures comprise a variety of grasses and broad leafed plants which except for a high moisture content are good nutritive materials at least for a short period during the growing season. According to Onim et al., (1986), the crude protein contents of common weeds and grasses eaten by small ruminants in westered Kenya were 23.6 and 16.3% respectively.

In this high rainfall area, rapid forage growth is accompanied by lignification which leads to a decline in nutritional quality that accentuates as the dry non growing season progresses. Goats grazing such a material are therefore not likely to meet their requirements for acceptable growth.Ademosun et al., (1988) confirmed the suggestion that unless well fertilised and harvested young, these grasses would not supply required nutrients for a reasonable production level.

Natural pastures in the semi-arid zone comprise a grass-tree complex typical of a savannah type vegetation. Thairu and Tessema(1985) identified <u>14 grass species</u>, 5 broad <u>leafed weeds</u> and <u>4 shrub species in a recent survey of the Katamani area of Kenya.</u> They reported a forage biomass yield of 2.3 tons/Ha under controlled conditions, but noted that yields may be 25 to 50% lower under common grazing conditions. A striking feature of their findings was a seasonal variation in crude protein content of the vegetation, which ranged from 10.9 % early in the rains to 4.4% at the peak of the dry season.

Palatable perennial grasses are scarse in the natural ecosystem of the arid Mediterranean zone of north Africa and west African sahel, which are dominated by Shrubs, Browse therefore constitute an important component of arid rangelands, making up 40% of livestock feeds (Le Houérou, 1980). According to Morand-Fehr (1988), rangeland vegetation of the arid Mediterranean is no better than poor quality hay with about 600 to 900 kcalNE/KgDM. This energy value could however be doubled, by reducing stocking rate by one third (Rouaissi and Majdoub, 1987).

The major points highlighted above are low and seasonal fluctuation in grasses and rangelands productivity, seasonally variable nutritive value, agravated by poor management techniques such as overgrazing. Several strategies have been suggested to improve the utilisation of this major feed resource.

Abou Akkada(1989) proposed a five-point strategy for the improvement of rangelands: rain water harvesting and distribution, provision of water points, feed and range reserve establishment, establishment of livestock cooperatives and range revegetation programmes. While some of these solutions may be difficult to effect, it is clear that improved rangelands and pastures utilisation depends essentially on good grazing management and control such as rotational grazing, temporary closures and proper stocking rates. Such controls and appropriate management techniques are, however, difficult to apply under the present communal land tenure systems in many of countries.

Other measures which would be equally effective in both the more humid and dry areas include: the introduction of more productive <u>pastures</u>, fodder conservation during periods of surplusses for use during critical periods of shortages, and supplementation with crop residues, by-products and even concentrates, where economically justifiable. Morand-Fehr(1988), however, cautioned that supplementation could have adverse effects on the intake of the basal feed, reducing it to such a point as to increase the overall cost of the diet. It should therefore be used judiciously, restricted in quantity, and duration, and targeted to goats whose Capacity enables them to accomodate the supplements.

3.2 Cultivated pastures/fodder. Natural pastures which are mainly grasses in the humid and sub-humid zones, but interspersed with badly managed shrubs and trees in the arid and semi-arid zones, are nutritionally inadequate for a greater part of the year. The period of nutritional adequacy is usually directly related to the length of the dry season. One of the corrective strategies suggested is the cultivation of leguminous and non-leguminous pastures and fodder plants. These pastures show promise of providing better quality feed and in larger amounts (Table 4), to meet the nutritional requirements of the animals. Leguminous pastures have the added advantage of restoring soil fertility depleted by intense cropping or grazing. Although the history of research on planted improved pastures is fairly old in Africa, the adoption and utilisation of the technology has been slow and rather unsuccessful for a number of reasons: lack of management expertise, lack of labour which is usually concentrated on cropping, the practice of communal grazing and insecure land tenure, rampant annual bush burning and uneconomic returns.

Nevertheless, research continues to look for solutions to some the pertinent questions : appropriate type of plant for the various ecological zones, effect of management input on the yield and quality of such plants, the economics of the system, and transfer of the technology. The solutions found todate, extension efforts and adoption rates, vary according to ecological zone and countries.

In east and southern Africa, Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum), Guatemala grass, Setaria (Setaria splendida) and lablab (Lablab purpureus), have been found suitable and are been used by smallholder farmers. In Kenya, Napier grass is extensively cultivated and used by all categories of farmers. In the humid and sub-humid zones of west Africa, several species of grasses and legumes have been tested, and Onifade and Agishi (1990) gave a long list of suitable and recommended species. Adoption has, however, been limited to a few leguminous browses such as Leucaena, Gliricidia and Cajanus cajan, probably because of ease of maintenance. Successful reports of adoption and use of gliricidia by smallholder.goat farmers have been published (Ademosun et al., 1988). In general, however, one could conclude that planted pastures and fodder play a limited role as a feed resource for goats in Africa, and where adopted extensively (Kenya), has been targeted towards dairy cattle.

3.3 CROP RESIDUES AND BY-PRODUCTS

An important component of the farming system in Africa is the cultivation of various food crops(cereals, grain legumes, roots and tubers, fruits...) and tree crops (cocoa, oil palm, rubber....). These crops generate residues after harvest and primary on-farm processing, and/or by-products after secondary processing elsewhere. Smith(1989) made a distinction between residues and byproducts. The former, are usually fibrous, low in nitogen and widely spread geographically, because they are produced onfarm(wheat and barley straws) or at homesteads(cassava peels,maize cobs), and are more suitable as basal feeds. The latter, on the other hand, could be rich in nitrogen(oilseed cakes, brewery and flour milling by-products)low(rice mill feed) or high in fibre(sugar cane bagasse, palm press fibre), and to a large extent, are more suitable as supplements. A list of major residues and by-products is shown on Table 5.

Quantitative and qualitative studies have been carried out on these feed resources in several parts of Africa, and in general the evidence is that large amounts are available for ruminant feeding. Sansoucy and Emery(1982) suggested that if all available residues were fed to livestock, each livestock unit would receive up to 3kg dry matter daily. Poor nutritional value has often been cited as the major reason for a much lower level of utilisation.

Many of the crop residues are highly fibrous, low in fermentable carbohydrates and nitrogen(Table 6), and therefore can not maintain an efficient rumen ecosystem. It has been suggested that the characteristics of a maintenance diet for adult ruminants are: a crude protein level of 6-7%, a dry matter intake of about 1.7% of body weight, and a dry matter digestibility of 50-55% (Devendra, 1985). Many crop residues rarely meet these requirements, and may require upgrading through physical, chemical or even biological means to improve their value and usefullness. Such treatments may, however, be unsuitable for the majority of goat owners who are small scale and subsistent producers.

Nevertheless, advantage could, and should be taken of the legendary selective ability and high digestive capabilities of the goat, as well as certain characteristics of the residues to evolve a viable and productive feeding system.

On the basis of simple parameters such as crude protein and fibre contents and <u>in situ</u> degradability, crop residues could be classified into potential supplements (to be fed with poor quality forages) and potential basal feeds(to be supplemented with better quality materials such as browse). Table 7 shows such a classification for some crop residues in the forest belt of humid west Africa.

The selective ability of the goat should also be exploited by increasing the amount of basal residue offered from the usual ad libitum refusal rate of 10-20% to a higher level. Owen <u>et al</u> (1989) reported that barley straw dry matter and digestible dry matter intake by goats increased by 47 and 78% respectively, simply by increasing the amount of feed offered to allow a 50% refusal rate.

The application of such basic nutrition principles should lead to the formulation of a number of practical feeding strategies that will maximise the utilisation of available feed resources. The choice must be guided by season, number of animals to be fed, available resources and ecological zone. A non exhaustive list of feasible feeding systems for goats in the humid zone of West Africa is presented in Table 8.

3.4 Browse The vegetation of arid range land is dominated by browse, in form of shrubs, bushes and sub-shrubs(van

Duivenbooden,1989), and they form an integral part of the farming system in the humid zone, particularly of west Africa(Atta-Krah et al 1986). They therefore merit a special interest, particularly as evidence exist that goats relish browse(Devendra,1986), and probably utilise them more efficiently. In terms of utilisation, browse currently play an important, albeit non-strategic role in goat nutrition, as animals under confinement in the humid zone often receive one type or the other of browse, from fallow lands or around the homestead, forming up to 25% of their diet.

In the arid and semi-arid zones, browse constitute the main feed resource during the extended dry periods of the year(Le Houérou,1980) and play a similar role in the sub-humid savannah zone, to the extent that Stuth and Kamau (1990) suggested that when contemplating bush removal in the savannah zone(for tse-tse control), consideration should be given to the role trees and shrubs could play in stabilising protein intake of goats. They concluded that tree cutting is particularly detrimental to the nutritional ecology of goats.

The nutritional value of several browse species and the complimentary role they could play in pasture or crop residue based diets have been well documented (Reynolds, 1989; Smith <u>et al.,1989</u>). They are therefore assuming an important role in the nutrition of goats, and a number of viable feeding systems built around this feed resource are currently being developed and refined.

4. RECENT ADVANCES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF FEEDING SYSTEMS

Most notable advances in recent years have been in the area of the development of feeding systems built around the use of browse. As indicated earlier, browse consitute the main feed resource during the extended dry periods of the year in the semi-arid zones, Even in the humid and sub-humid zones, goats are often fed browse from fallow lands with occasional supplementation with kitchen wastes. Weight losses varying from mild to severe are the results of this feeding regime. Efforts are currently being made, however, to develop this model - browse only - into a viable feeding system, through appropriate management of cultivated browse species for year round production on the one hand, and judicious mixtures of different browse on the other hand.

Ademosun <u>et al</u>.,(1988) compared several diets in which Gliricidia was fed alone, or as basal feed, supplemented with varying levels of Leucaena. These diets were compared to a concentrate based control diet, on which goats grew at a rate of 60g/day considered optimal for West African Dwarf Goats. The browse only diets provided enough digestible organic matter not only for maitenance, which Zemmelinck <u>et al</u>.,(1984) estimated at 26g/kg of metabolic weight, but also for a reasonable growth rate ranging from 39 to 60% of the optimum. This feeding system therefore appears feasible. Although Leucaena constituted 50% of the diet at the highest level of supplementation, no symptoms of toxicity were observed during the more than 6 month long trial. Similar trials need to be carried out to with local browses currently being used by farmers, to work out optimum combinations.

The nutritional value of browse has also been exploited in feeding systems using them as supplements to low quality tropical forages and crop residues. In general, many of the common browse species contain high levels of protein and energy in the range of 14 to 26% and 11 to 14 MJ of ME/kg of dry matter respectively. In addition, they are fairly well digested, 50 to 65% of organic matter digestibility, and contain reasonable levels of both macro and trace minerals required for efficient rumen function.

Recent studies have shown that when fed as supplements to cereal straws at the optimum dietary level of 30 to 50% of ration dry matter, browse have consistently increased total dry matter intake, feed digestibility and animal performance as summarised in table 9. A more detailed result of one specific study in Tanzania is shown in table 10.

Browse are also being used as protein banks, particularly in humid tropical Africa. Umder this system, suitable browse are planted as tree only plots, or tree-grass combinations. In the former, leguminous browse such as Leucaena or Gliricidia are planted in rows with 0.5m interrow spacing, while in the latter, they are spaced 4 or 2.5 m apart, with 4 or 2 rows of grass respectively in in the alleys.

With yields of 30t dry matter/ha (Atta-Krah and Reynolds, 1989), and a protein content of 22%, Leucaena only plots supply over 6.5t of high quality proteins for supplementary feeding. When targeted to the smallholder farmer with only 0,1ha of land and 3 to 4 goats, the tree only protein bank provides sufficient browse to meet about 12.5% of the daily dry matter requirement of the animals.(Atta-Krah and Reynolds, 1989).

5. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A number of issues need to be addressed more systematically and in depth, in order to increase available feed resources and improve their utilisation by goats. These include:

a. Fodder conservation Fodder conservation technologies need to be developed to ensure a year round good quality feed supply. A large part of fairly good quality herbaceous, tree and shrub fodder as well as crop residues available during the crop growing season is often left unutilised and allowed to deteriorate during this period of abundance. These feeds should be preserved either as hay or silage for dry season feeding. Some interesting work along this line and targeted towards smallholders is going on in Kenya. Onim et al., (1988) described a simple grass and legume hay making technique that was described as effective and accepted by smallholder farmers.

More recently, Otieno \underline{et} \underline{al} .,(1990) demonstrated the technical feasibility of ensiling maize stover, sugarcane tops, sorghum stover and bana grass (Pennisetum purpureum x Pennisetum typhoides) with or without molasses in gunny bags. These bags, normally used for industrial packaging of sugar, salt etc. are readily available in the market, and cost about 0.25\$ each. The technique is flexible enough to allow farmers ensile small quantities of material as and when available, and to feed equally small amounts without much wastage. The workers reported that the technique improved feed availability and monthly distribution year round.

b. Expansion of feed resource base The full range of potential feed resources available for goat feeding are yet to be fully tapped. Aquatic plants, which in some areas (river banks of sahel zones) constitute a major feed resource, fall into this category. A number of studies have shown that they could constitute viable feed resources. El-Serafy <u>et al.</u>,(1986) reported high digestibility values in goats fed water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes) as hay or when ensiled with other feeds such as maize stalks rice straw and molasses. Similar results were reported by Adebowale(1988) who fed the Bourgu plant (Echinochloa stagnina) to goats and reported that the plant could maintain the animals year round. Harvesting and feeding systems that could maximise the value of such plants need to be developed.

Other research issues that need to be addressed in order to meet the objectives of increasing the feed resource base and develop efficient year round feeding systems are: management of grasslands, identification of suitable pasture species for various ecozones, and the development of tree crop-grazing systems.

In attacking these problems, it is suggested that researchers should take into account the socio-economic and environmental context of the target population (i.e. farmers), as well as their main goals, objectives and aspirations, so that solutions suggested, and technologies developed are relevant and readily adopted.

5. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

The foregoing discussion has highlighted the fact that vegetation in natural pastures/rangelands play, and will continue to play an important role in the nutrition and feeding systems of goats in Africa. This feed resource, as indicated earlier, is subjected to quantitative and qualitative seasonal fluctuations, that limit its capacity to cover livestock requirements. Indeed, feed budgets in Africa always show a deficit on the supply side. Thus, according to Abou Akkada(1989) only 48% of energy requirements of livestock in Libya, Tunisia, Algeria, Morocco and Mauritania is covered by available pasture vegetation. In other words, other feed resources must be utilised to ensure acceptable production levels.

A number of potential feeds were discussed, and suggestions made as to how to how to exploit certain attributes and feed preferences of goats to maximise their utilisation. In this respect, emphasis was laid on the utilisation of browse and generous offers of the more fibrous materials to take advantage of their selective ability. Research has a big role to play in increasing available feed resources and their utilisation by goats. Some of the pressing issues that need attention have been highlighted.

REFERENCES

- Abou Akkada, A.R. 1989. Availability and utilisation of feed resources with special reference to the middle east. In <u>Ruminant production in the dry tropics : constraints and</u> <u>potentials</u>.(Eds. Galal E.S.E., Aboul-Ela, M.B. and Shafie,M. M.). Pudoc Wageningen, p. 17-23.
- Adebowale, E.A. 1988. Performance of young west African dwarf goats and sheep fed the aquatic macrophyte (Echinochloa stagnina). <u>Small Ruminant Res.</u>, 2:167-173.
- Ademosun, A. A., Bosman, H. G. and Jansen, H. J. 1988. Nutritional studies with West African Dwarf Goats in the humid tropics.<u>Proc. Goat production in the Humid Tropics.</u> (Eds. Smith, O.B. and Bosman H. G.) Pudoc Wageningen, p. 51-61.
- Atta-Krah, A.N. Sumberg, J. E. and Reynolds, L. 1986. Leguminous fodder trees in the farming system - an overview of research at the humid zone programme of ILCA in southwestern Nigeria. <u>Proc. Potentials of forage legumes in farming systems of sub-</u> <u>saharan Africa.</u> (Eds. Haque I. Jutzi, S. and Weate, P.J.) ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 307-329.
- Atta-krah A. A. and Reynolds, L. 1989. Utilisation of pasture and fodder in the nutrition of sheep and goats in the humid tropics of West Africa. In <u>Sheep and goat meat production in</u> <u>the humid tropics of West Africa.</u> (Eds. Timon V. M. and Baber, R. P.) FAO, Rome, p. 68-91.
- Banda, J.L.L. and Ayoade, J.A. 1986. Leucaena leaf hay (<u>Leucaena</u> <u>leucocephala cv Peru</u>) as protein supplement for Malawian goats fed chopped maize stover. <u>Proc. Towards optimal feeding of</u> <u>agricultural byproducts to livestock in Africa.</u>(Eds. Preston T.R. and Nuwanyakpa, M.Y.) ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 124-128.

- Devendra, C. 1985. Forage supplements : potential value of in feeding systems based on crop residues and agro-industrial byproducts in south east Asia. <u>Proc. Relevance of Crop Residues</u> <u>and Animal Feeds in Developing Countries.</u> (Eds. Wanapat, M. and Devendra C.) Bangkok, Thailand, p. 221-248.
- Devendra, C. 1986. Feeding systems and nutrition of goats and sheep in the tropics. In <u>Improvement of small ruminants in Eastern</u> <u>and Southern Africa</u>. (Eds. Adeniji K.O. and Kategile J.A.) OAU/STRC/IBAR, NAirobi Kenya, p. 91-109.
- Duivenbooden, N. van. 1988. Contributions of various feed components to feed availability in integrated barley/livestock systems in the north-western coastal zone of Egypt : a simulation study. Journal of Arid Environments, 16 : 217-228.
- El-Serafy, A.M., Shoukry, M., Mansour, S., Aly, H.M. and El-Ashry, M.A. 1989. A new potential roughage source for ruminants in Egypt: processing and utilization of water hyacinth as silage or hay. <u>Proc. Ruminant Production in the dry subtropics : constraints</u> and potentials. (Eds. Galal, E.S.E., Aboul-Ela, M.B., and Shafie, M.M.). Pudoc, Wageningen, Netherlands p.53-155. Janhke, H. E. 1982. Livestock production systems and livestock development in Tropical Africa. Kieler Wissenchaftsverlag Vauk, Kiel Federal Republic of Germany.
- Johnson, W. L. and van Eys, J. E. 1987. Recent concepts in tropical forage utilisation by goats. In <u>IV Int. Conf. on Goats</u>, Brasilia, Brazil. Vol. 2: 1063-1067.
- Le Houérou, H. N. 1980. Browse in Northern Africa. In : Browse in Africa, the current state of knowledge. (Ed. Le Houérou, H. N.) ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 55-82.
- Morand-Fehr, P. 1988 Strategies of goat feeding in intensive and extensive systems of production. <u>Proc.Small Ruminants Research</u> <u>and Development in the Near East.</u> (Ed. Aboul Naga, A. M.). IDRC MR237e Otawa, p. 61-69.
- Mtenga,L.A.and Shoo,R.A. 1990. Growth rate, feed intake and feed utilisation of small East African goats supplemented with Leucaena leucocephala. Small Rumínants Res., 3:9-18.
- Mutali J. T. and Dzowela, B. H. 1985. Inventory of livestock feeds in Malawi.<u>Proc. Animal feed Resources for small scale</u> <u>livestock producers.</u> (Eds. Kategile, J.A. Said, A.N. and Dzowela, B.H. IDRC-MR165e, Ottawa, p. 61-69.

- Organisation of African Unity(OAU), 1989. Africa Distribution of goats. OUA/STRC/IBAR publication.
- Onifade, O.S. and Agishi E. C. 1990. A review of forage production and utilisation in Nigeria savanna. <u>Proc. Utilisation of</u> <u>research results on forage and agriculture by-product</u> <u>materials as animal feed resources in Africa.</u> (Eds. Dzowela, B.H. Said A. N. Asrat Wendem-Agenehu and Kategile, J. A.). ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 114-125.
- Onim, J.F.M., Semenye, P.P. and Fitzhugh, H.A. 1985. Nutritional constraints to small ruminants in Africa. <u>Proc. Small</u> <u>ruminants in African Agriculture.</u> (Eds. Wilson, R.T. and Bourzat, D.) IICA, Addis Ababa, p. 55-63.
- Onim J. F. M. Mathuva, M Otieno, K. and Fitzhugh, H. A. 1986. <u>Proc.Improvement of small ruminants in Eastern and Southern</u> <u>Africa.</u> (Eds. Adeniji, K. O. and Kategile, J. A.). OAU/STRC/IBAR, Nairobi, Kenya, p. 145-155.
- Otieno,K. Onim, J.F.M. and Mathuva,M.N. 1990. A gunny-bag ensiling technique for small-scale farmers in western Kenya. <u>Proc.</u> <u>Utilisation of research results on forage and agricultural by-</u> <u>product materials as animal feed resources in Africa.</u> (Eds. Dzowella,B.H., Said,A.N, Asrat Wendem-Agenehu, and Kategile,J.A.). ILCA,Addis Ababa, p. 664-685.
- Owen, E. Wahed, R. A Alimon, R. and El-Nalem, W. 1989. Strategies for feeding straw to small ruminants : Upgrading or generous feeding to allow selective feeding. Proc.Overcoming constraints to the efficient utilisation of Agricultural byproducts as animal feed. (Eds. Said, A. N. and Dzowela, B. H.).ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 1-21.
- Reynolds, L. 1989. Effects of browse supplementation on the productivity of West African Dwarf Goats. <u>Proc. African Small</u> <u>Ruminant Research and Development.</u> (Eds. Wilson, R. T. and Azeb M.). ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 237-250.
- Rouassi, H. and Madjoub, A. 1987. Valeur nutritive de la ration prelevée par les chevrès sur parcours. <u>Revue de L'INAT, 2</u>:45-49.
- Sansoucy, R. and Emery, B. 1982. Utilisation actuelle des residus de recolte et sous-produits agro-industrielle en alimentation animale. In : <u>Crop residues and agro-industrial by-products in</u> <u>animal feeding.</u> FAO, Rome, p. 5-18.
- Shkolnik, A., Maltz, E. and Gordin, S. 1980. Desert conditions and goat milk production. J. Dairy Sci., 63:1749-1755.

- Smith O. B. 1989. Utilisation of crop residues in the nutrition of sheep and goats in the humid tropics of West Africa. In <u>Sheep</u> and Goat Meat Production in the Humid Tropics of West Africa. FAO, Rome, p. 92-112.
- Smith, O. B., Idowu, O. A. Asaolu, V. O. and Odunlami, O. M. 1989. Comparative rumen degradability of forages, browse crop residues and agricultural by-products. <u>Proc. African Small</u> <u>Ruminants Research and Development.</u> (Eds. Wilson, R. T. and Azeb M.). ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 204-218.
- Stuth, J. W. and Kamau, P. N. 1990. Influence of woody plant cover on dietary selection by goats in an Acacia senegal Savanna of East Africa. <u>Small Ruminant Res</u>. <u>3</u>:211-225.
- Thairu, D. M. and Tessema S. 1985. Research on animal feed resources : Medium-potential areas of Kenya. <u>Proc. Animal feed</u> <u>resources for small-scale livestock producers.</u> (Eds. Kategile, J.A. Said, A. N. and Dzowela, B. H.). IDRC-MR165e, Ottawa, p. 125-148
- Zemmelink, G., Tolkamp, B.J. and Meinderts, J.H. 1985. Feed intake and weight gain of West African Dwarf goats. <u>Proc. Sheep and goats</u> <u>in the humid zone of west Africa.</u> (Eds. Sumberg, J.E. and Cassaday, K.). ILCA, Addis Ababa, p. 29-33.

FCOLOCION	GOAT	S	CHEED	
ZONE	(10 ⁶)	% OF TOTAL	(10 ⁶)	(10 ⁶)
	* • • • • • • • • • • •			
ARID	48.3	38.5	37.1	31.5
SEMI-ARID	33.2	26.5	23.1	45.5
SUB-HUMID	20.3	16.2	14.2	32.8
HUMID	11.6	9.3	8.2	8.8
HIGHLANDS	11.9	9.5	21.4	29.0

TABLE 1.RUMINANT LIVESTOCK POPULATION IN AFRICA
BY ECOLOGICAL ZONE (Jahnke, 1982)

TABLE 2. RUMINANT LIVESTOCK POPULATION IN AFRICA (Oau/Ibar, 1989)

DRGTON	GOATS				
REGION	(10 ⁶)	% OF TOTAL	(10 ⁶)	(10 ⁶)	
			~~~~~~~~~~		
EASTERN	72.5	45.1	68.6	87.2	
WESTERN	53.1	33.0	38.9	41.2	
NORTHERN	12.6	7.8	43.7	7.0	
SOUTHERN	11.3	7.0	35.2	28.1	
CENTRAL	11.3	7.0	5.4	23.1	

SYSTEM	PREDOMINANT ECOZONE	SYSTEM MAIN OBJECTIVE	MAJOR FEED SOURCE
Extensive/pastoral	Arid/Sahel	Rangeland and pastures Exploitation	Rangeland/ pastures
Subsistent village based/agro-pastoral	Humid, sub-humid, semi-arid	Maintenance plus minimum growth	Fallow and road side vegetation kitchen wastes, crop residues
Tree-crop-small ruminants Integration/Agricultural	Humid Sub-humid	Medium level Productivity	Pastures, crop residues
Semi-intensive/pastoral	Sub-humid, humid	Medium level Productivity	Pastures, crop residues By-products
Intensive small-holder/ Agropastoral/periurban	Humid, sub-humid, semi-arid	High level productivity	Cut and carry pastures crop residues, concentrates

GOAT PRODUCTION BYSTEMS IN AFRICA (Adapted from Devendra, 1986) TABLE 3.

| | | | YIELD AND PROTEIN CONTENT OF SELECTION PASTURES (Munthali and Dzowela, 1985; Onifade and Agishi, 1990) TABLE 4.

NATURAL GRASSLAND -Dryland pasture -"Dambo"grass -"Dambo"grass -"Dambo"grass -"Dambo"grass -"Dambo"grass -"Dryland pasture -"Dryland pasture -"Dryland pasture -"Drylass - 14.0 ~ - 4.0 - - 4.0 - - 12.0 ~ - 12.0 ~ - 12.0 ~ - 13.6 - - 13.6 -	TYPE OF FORAGE	DRY MATTER PRODUCTION (T/Ha/Annum)	CRUDE PROTEIN CONTENT (\$)
IMPROVED CULTIVATED PASTURES       8.0 - 14.0       4.0 -         -Guinea grass       -0.0       4.0         -Rodes grass       7.0 - 12.0       4.2         -Napier grass       21.9       13.6         -Stylosantes       -       9.7       13.6         -Ieucaena       -       9.7       13.6	NATURAL GRASSLAND -Dryland pasture -"Dambo"grass	1.1 3.2	2.0 - 11.0 3.2 - 6.0
-Napier grass -Stylosantes -Siratro -Leucaena	IMPROVED CULTIVATED PASTURES -Guinea grass -Rodes grass	8.0 - 14.0 7.0 - 12.0	4.0 - 14.0 4.2 - 13.8
-Siratro - 9.7 / 12.1 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 - 13.6 -	-Napier grass -stylosantes	21.9 3.6	13.6 - 19.4
	-Siratro -Leucaena	9.7	12.1 - 19.4 13.6 - 19.4

X relice > an which is the form the form of the form o - with the start

CROP	RESIDUE	BY-PRODUCT
Maize	Stover Cob	Bran
Rice	Straw	Bran Husks Ric <b>e m</b> ill <b>feed</b>
Sorghum	Stover	Bran
Wheat	Straw	Bran, offals
Barley	Straw	Spent grains
Groundnut	Haulms Husks	Groundnut cake
Cowpea	Vines	-
Cocoa	Pod	-
Coconut	Husk	Copra cake
Oil palm/date palm	Empty fruit bunch Palm oil mill effluent	Palm press fibre Palm kernel cake
Rubber	-	Rubber seed meal
Banana/Plantain	Leaves Pseudo stems Peels and rejects	-
Sugar cane	Tops	Molasses
Cassava	Leaves	-
Sweet potatoes Pineapple and citrus fruits	Vines and peels Rejects	- Pulps

### TABLE 5. SOME MAJOR CROP RESIDUES AND AGRO-INDUSTRIAL BY-PRODUCTS IN HUMID WEST AFRICA

RESIDUE	CRUDE PROTEIN	CELL WALL	CELL CONTENT
Maize	2 - 8	70 - 80	25
Sorghum stover	3 - 6	70 - 75	26
Rice straw	2- 9	60 - 80	20
Sugar cane tops	5 - 8	65 - 75	30
Cocoa pods	2 - 9	75 - 80	20

ł

TABLE 6. NUTRIENT CONTENT OF SELECTED CROP RESIDUES (% OF DM)

TABLE 7. NUTRITIONAL ROLE OF COMMON CROP RESIDUES IN HUMID WEST AFRICA

		CRITERIA OF CLASS	IFICATION	
CROP RESIDUE CLASSIFICATION	CRUDE FIBRE	& DM ACID-DETERGENT FIBRE	CRUDE PROTEIN	IN SITU DEGRADABILITY (% DM)
A. BASAL FEEDS				
Rice straw Cocoa pods Sugar cane tops	20 - 45 20 - 45 28 - 45	45 - 55 55 - 59 43	0.000 111 111	30 - 34 38 - 40 10 - 20
Sorghum cane tops Corn stover	31 - 35 28 - 46	45 - 50 46 - 50	999 11 199	25 - 30 50 - 65
B. SUPPLEMENTS				
Cassava peels Yam peels Sweet potato peels Cowpea husk Maize bran	10 - 22 5 - 8 40 - 45 - 45	15 - 18 10 - 12 15 - 18 38 - 40 8 - 10	3 - 7 5 - 8 6 - 10 15 - 20 15 - 20	75 - 75 75 - 80 80 - 90 75 - 80 75 - 80

TARGET BELT	SEASON
Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna	Wet Wet
Forest, Savanna	Wet
Forest, Savanna	Dry
Forest, Savanna	Dry
Forest, savanna	Dry
Forest, Savanna	Dry
Forest	Wet
Forest	Dry
Savanna	Dry
	TARGET BELT Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest, Savanna Forest Forest Savanna

,

.

#### TABLE 8. SUGGESTED FEEDING SYSTEMS FOR SMALL GOATS IN HUMID WEST FOR AFRICA

TABLE 9.	RESPONSE	TO BROWSE	<b>SUPPLEMENTATION</b>	40	FIBROUS	FORAGES
	AND CROP	REGIDUES				

BASAL FEED	BROWSE SUPPLEMEMENT	RESPONSE	REFERENCE
Guinea grasshay	Gliricidia (20g/kg mw)	- Doubling effect on digestible dry matter intake	Ademosun et al., 1988
	Leucaena (30g/kg mw)	- 66% increase in digestibale dry matter intake	
Guinea grass plus cassava peels	Gliricidia : leucaena (1 : 1)	<ul> <li>45% increase in growth rate of offspring of nourished dams from birth to weaning</li> </ul>	Reynolds and Adediran 1988
Guinea grass	Sesbania (100g/day)	26% increase in dry matter intake and 23% increase in nitrogen digestibility	Ash 1990
Maize stover	Leucaena (30g/day)	135% increase in dry matter intake, and 164% increase in digestible dry intake	Banda and Aysade 1985