

FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ)
SER. MATH. INFORM. Vol. 33, No 3 (2018), 389-399
<https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI1803389Y>

PROJECTIVE CHANGE BETWEEN RANDERS METRIC AND EXPONENTIAL (α, β) -METRIC

Ganga Prasad Yadav and Paras Nath Pandey

Abstract. In this paper, we find conditions to characterize the projective change between two (α, β) -metrics, such as exponential (α, β) -metric, $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ and Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ on a manifold with $\dim n > 2$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero 1-forms. We also discuss special curvature properties of two classes of (α, β) -metrics.

Keywords: Finsler space, (α, β) -metric, projective change, Randers metric, Berwald, Riemannian metric.

1. Introduction

M. Matsumoto [10] introduced the concept of (α, β) -metric on a differentiable manifold with local coordinates x^i , where $\alpha^2 = a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form on M^n . M. Hashiguchi and Y. Ichijyo [6] studied some special (α, β) -metrics and obtained interesting results. In the projective Finsler geometry, there is a remarkable theorem called Rapcsak [14] theorem, which plays an important role in the projective geometry of Finsler spaces. In fact, this theorem gives the necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler space to be projective to another Finsler space.

The projective change between two Finsler spaces has been studied by many authors ([2], [5], [8], [11], [12], [16]). In 1994, S. Bacso and M. Matsumoto [2] studied the projective change between Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric. In 2008, H. S. Park and Y. Lee [11] studied the projective changes between a Finsler space with (α, β) -metric and the associated Riemannian metric. The authors Z. Shen and Civi Yildirim [16] studied a class of projectively flat metrics with a constant flag curvature. In 2009, Ningwei Cui and Yi-Bing Shen [5] studied projective change between two classes of (α, β) -metrics. Also the author N. Cui [4] studied the S -curvature of some (α, β) -metrics. In this paper, we find conditions to characterize

Received November 17, 2017; accepted April 19, 2018
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53B40; Secondary 53C60

the projective change between two (α, β) -metrics, such as the exponential (α, β) -metric, $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ and Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ on a manifold with $\dim n > 2$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero 1-forms. In addition, we discuss special curvature properties of two classes of (α, β) -metrics.

2. Preliminaries

The terminology and notation are referred to ([15], [9], [1]). Let M^n be a real smooth manifold of dimension n and let $F^n = (M^n, L)$ be a Finsler space on the differentiable manifold M^n endowed with the fundamental function $L(x, y)$. We use the following notation:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j L^2, \\ C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}, \\ h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j, \\ \gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} g^{ir} (\partial_j g_{rk} + \partial_k g_{rj} - \partial_r g_{jk}), \\ G^i = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{jk}^i y^j y^k, \quad G_j^i = \dot{\partial}_j G^i, \\ G_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}_k G_j^i, \quad G_{jkl}^i = \dot{\partial}_l G_{jk}^i, \end{cases}$$

where $\dot{\partial}_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$.

Definition 2.1. A change $L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ of a Finsler metric on the same underlying manifold M is called projective change if any geodesic in (M, L) remains to be geodesic in (M, \bar{L}) and vice versa.

A Finsler metric is projectively related to another metric if they have the same geodesic as point sets. In Riemannian geometry, two Riemannian metrics α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are projectively related if and only if their spray coefficients have the relation [5]

$$(2.2) \quad G_\alpha^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \lambda_{x^k} y^k y^i,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(x)$ is a scalar function on the based manifold.

Two Finsler metric F and \bar{F} are projectively related if and only if their spray coefficients have the relation [5]

$$(2.3) \quad G^i = \bar{G}^i + P(y) y^i,$$

where $P(y)$ is a scalar function and homogeneous of degree one in y^i .

Definition 2.2. A Finsler metric is called a projectively flat metric if it is projectively related to a locally Minkowskian metric.

For a given Finsler metric $L = L(x, y)$, the geodesic of L is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i(x, \frac{dx}{dt}) = 0,$$

where $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are called geodesic coefficients, which are given by

$$(2.5) \quad G^i = \frac{g^{il}}{4} \left\{ [L^2]_{x^m y^l} y^m - [L^2]_{x^l} \right\}.$$

Let $\phi = \phi(s)$, $|s| < b_0$, be a positive C^∞ satisfying the following

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad (|s| \leq b < b_0).$$

Let $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j}$ be a Riemannian metric, $\beta = b_i y^i$ is a 1-form satisfying $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < b_0$ for all $x \in M$, then $L = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, is called an (regular) (α, β) -metric. In this case, the fundamental form of the metric tensor induced by L is positive definite. Let $\nabla\beta = b_{i|j} dx^i \otimes dx^j$ be the covariant derivative of β with respect to α .

Denote

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}) \quad s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}).$$

β is closed if and only if $s_{ij} = 0$ [17]. Let $s_j = b^i s_{ij}$, $s_j^i = a^{il} s_{lj}$, $s_0 = s_i y^i$, $s_0^i = s^i_j y^j$ and $r_{00} = r_{ij} y^i y^j$.

The relation between the geodesic coefficient G^i of L and the geodesic coefficient G^i_α of α is given by

$$(2.7) \quad G^i = G^i_\alpha + \alpha Q s_0^i + \{r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0\} \{\psi b^i + \Theta \alpha^{-1} y^i\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= \frac{\phi\phi' - s(\phi\phi'' + \phi'\phi')}{2\phi((\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi'')}, \\ Q &= \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \\ \psi &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\phi''}{(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. [5] Let

$$(2.8) \quad D^i_{jkl} = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right),$$

where G^i is the spray coefficient of L . The tensor $D = D^i_{jkl} \partial_i \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$ is called the Douglas tensor. A Finsler metric is called a Douglas metric if the Douglas tensor vanishes.

We know that the Douglas tensor is a projective invariant [13]. Note that the spray coefficients of a Riemannian metric are quadratic forms and one can see that the Douglas tensor vanishes (2.8). This shows that the Douglas tensor is a non-Riemannian quantity. In what follows, we use quantities with a bar to denote the

corresponding quantities of metric \bar{L} . We compute the Douglas tensor of a general (α, β) -metric. Let

$$(2.9) \quad \widehat{G}^i = G_\alpha^i + \alpha Q s_0^i + \psi \{r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0\} b^i.$$

Using (2.9) in (2.7), we have

$$(2.10) \quad G^i = \widehat{G}^i + \Theta \{r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0\} \alpha^{-1} y^i.$$

Clearly, G^i and \widehat{G}^i are projective equivalents according to (2.3). They have the same Douglas tensor. Let

$$(2.11) \quad T^i = \alpha Q s_0^i + \psi \{r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0\} b^i.$$

Then $\widehat{G}^i = G_\alpha^i + T^i$, thus

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{jkl}^i &= \widehat{D}_{jkl}^i \\ &= \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(G_\alpha^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G_\alpha^m}{\partial y^m} y^i + T^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial T^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(T^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial T^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify (2.12), we use the following identities

$$\alpha_{y^k} = \alpha^{-1} y_k, \quad s_{y^k} = \alpha^{-2} (b_k \alpha - s y_k),$$

where $y_i = a_{il} y^l$, $\alpha_{y^k} = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y^k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha Q s_0^m]_{y^m} &= \alpha^{-1} y_m Q s_0^m + \alpha^{-2} Q' [b_m \alpha^2 - \beta y_m] s_0^m \\ &= Q' s_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi (r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0) b^m]_{y^m} &= \psi' \alpha^{-1} (b^2 - s^2) [r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0] \\ &\quad + 2\psi [r_0 - Q'(b^2 - s^2) s_0 - Q s s_0], \end{aligned}$$

where $r_j = b^i r_{ij}$ and $r_0 = r_i y^i$. Thus from (2.11), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{y^m}^m &= Q' s_0 + \psi' \alpha^{-1} (b^2 - s^2) [r_{00} - 2Q\alpha s_0] \\ &\quad + 2\psi [r_0 - Q'(b^2 - s^2) s_0 - Q s s_0]. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the (α, β) -metrics L and \bar{L} have the same Douglas tensor, i.e., $D_{jkl}^i = \widehat{D}_{jkl}^i$. Thus from (2.8) and (2.12), we get

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \left(T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} (T_{y^m}^m - \bar{T}_{y^m}^m) y^i \right) = 0.$$

Then there exists a class of scalar functions $H_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i(x)$, such that

$$(2.14) \quad H_{00}^i = T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1}(T_{y^m}^m - \bar{T}_{y^m}^m)y^i,$$

where $H_{00}^i = H_{jk}^i y^j y^k$.

Theorem 2.1. [4] For the special form of (α, β) -metric, $L = \alpha + \epsilon\beta + k\left(\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}\right)$, where ϵ, k are non-zero constant, the following are equivalent:

- L has an isotropic S -curvature, i.e., $S = (n+1)c(x)L$ for some scalar function $c(x)$ on M .
- L has an isotropic mean Berwald curvature.
- β is a killing one form of constant length with respect to α . This is equivalent to $r_{00} = s_0 = 0$.
- L has a vanished S -curvature, i.e., $S = 0$.
- L is a weak Berwald metric, i.e., $E = 0$.

3. Projective Change between Randers Metric and Exponential (α, β) -metric

In this section, we find the projective relation between two (α, β) -metrics on the same underlying manifold M of dimension $n > 2$. For (α, β) -metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$, one can prove by (2.6) that L is a regular Finsler metric if and only if 1-form β satisfies the condition $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < 1$ for any $x \in M$. The geodesic coefficient are given by (2.7) with

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Theta = \frac{1-2s}{2(1+b^2-s-s^2)}, \\ Q = \frac{1}{1-s}, \\ \psi = \frac{1}{2(1+b^2-s-s^2)}. \end{cases}$$

Using (3.1) in (2.7), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G^i &= G_\alpha^i + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta} s_0^i + \frac{1}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + \alpha^2 b^2 - \alpha\beta)} \left[r_{00} - \frac{2\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta} s_0 \right] \\ &\times [\alpha^2 b^i + (\alpha - 2\beta)y^i]. \end{aligned}$$

For the Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$, one can also prove by (2.6) that \bar{L} is a regular Finsler metric if and only if $\|\beta_x\|_\alpha < 1$ for any $x \in M$. The geodesic coefficients are given by (2.7) with

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2(1+s)}, \quad \bar{Q} = 1, \quad \bar{\psi} = 0.$$

First, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. Let $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ and $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ be two (α, β) -metrics on a manifold M with dimension $n > 2$. Then they have the same Douglas tensor if and only if both metrics are Douglas metrics.

Proof. First, we prove the sufficient condition. Let L and \bar{L} be Douglas metrics and the corresponding Douglas tensor D_{jkl}^i and \widehat{D}_{jkl}^i . Then by the definition of Douglas metric, we have $D_{jkl}^i = 0$ and $\widehat{D}_{jkl}^i = 0$, that is, both metrics have the same Douglas tensor. Next, we prove the necessary condition. If L and \bar{L} have the same Douglas tensor, then (2.14) holds.

Using (2.13), (3.1) and (3.3) in (2.14), we have

$$(3.4) \quad H_{00}^i = \frac{A^i \alpha^7 + B^i \alpha^6 + C^i \alpha^5 + D^i \alpha^4 + E^i \alpha^3 + F^i \alpha^2}{K \alpha^6 + U \alpha^5 + M \alpha^4 + N \alpha^3 + V \alpha^2 + R} - \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^i &= (1 + b^2)[2s_0^i(1 + b^2) - 2s_0], \\ B^i &= (1 + b^2)[r_{00}b^i - 2\beta(3 + b^2)s_0^i + 2\beta s_0 b^i \\ &\quad - 2\lambda s s_0(1 + s)y^i - 2\lambda r_0 y^i] - 2\lambda s_0 y^i, \\ C^i &= \beta(3 + 2b^2)(2\lambda r_0 y^i - r_{00}b^i) - 2\lambda \beta s s_0(2 + b^2)y^i \\ &\quad + 4\lambda \beta s_0(1 + b^2)y^i + 2\beta^2(1 - 2b^2)s_0^i - \lambda b^2 r_{00} y^i, \\ D^i &= 2\beta^3(3 + 2b^2)s_0^i + r_{00}\beta^2(2 + b^2)b^i \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \beta(\beta s_0 + 2\beta s^2 s_0 - \beta b^2 r_0 - 2\beta r_0 - s^2 r_{00})y^i, \\ E^i &= \beta^2 r_{00}[\beta b^i + \lambda(3b^2 - 4s^2 - 2\beta b^2)y^i] \\ &\quad + 2\lambda \beta^3(ss_0 - r_0 - 2s_0)y^i - 2\beta^4 s_0^i, \\ F^i &= 2\lambda \beta^3(\beta r_0 - \beta s_0 + s^2 r_{00})y^i \\ &\quad - 2\beta^5 s_0^i - \beta^4 r_{00} b^i, \\ \lambda &= \frac{1}{n + 1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K &= 2(1 + b^2)^2, \quad U = 4\beta(b^4 - 3b^2 - 2), \quad M = 2\beta^2(b^2 + 2)^2, \\ N &= 4\beta^3(1 + b^2), \quad V = -4\beta^4(2 + b^2), \quad R = 2\beta^6. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &A^i \alpha^7 + B^i \alpha^6 + C^i \alpha^5 + D^i \alpha^4 + E^i \alpha^3 + F^i \alpha^2 \\ &= (K \alpha^6 + U \alpha^5 + M \alpha^4 + N \alpha^3 + V \alpha^2 + R)(H_{00}^i + \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing y^i in (3.5) by $-y^i$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &- A^i \alpha^7 + B^i \alpha^6 - C^i \alpha^5 + D^i \alpha^4 - E^i \alpha^3 + F^i \alpha^2 \\ &= (K \alpha^6 - U \alpha^5 + M \alpha^4 - N \alpha^3 + V \alpha^2 + R)(H_{00}^i - \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (3.6) from (3.5), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A^i \alpha^7 + C^i \alpha^5 + E^i \alpha^3 &= (U \alpha^5 + N \alpha^3) H_{00}^i \\ &+ (K \alpha^6 + M \alpha^4 + V \alpha^2 + R) \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha^2 [A^i \alpha^5 + C^i \alpha^3 + E^i \alpha - (U \alpha^3 + N \alpha) H_{00}^i \\ - \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i (K \alpha^4 + M \alpha^2 + V)] = R \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.8), $R \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 , i.e., the term $R \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i = 2\beta^6 \bar{\alpha} \bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 . We can study two cases for Riemannian metric.

Case (i): If $\bar{\alpha} \neq \mu(x)\alpha$, then $R \bar{s}_0^i = 2\beta^6 \bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 . Note that β^2 has no factor α^2 . Then the only possibility is that $\beta \bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 . Then for each i there exists a scalar function $\eta^i = \eta^i(x)$ such that $\beta \bar{s}_0^i = \eta^i \alpha^2$ which is equivalent to $b_j \bar{s}_k^i + b_k \bar{s}_j^i = 2\eta^i \alpha_{jk}$. When $n > 2$ and we assume that $\eta^i \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\geq \text{rank}(b_j \bar{s}_k^i) + \text{rank}(b_k \bar{s}_j^i) \\ &> \text{rank}(b_j \bar{s}_k^i + b_k \bar{s}_j^i) \\ &= \text{rank}(2\eta^i \alpha_{jk}) > 2, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible unless $\eta^i = 0$. Then $\beta \bar{s}_0^i = 0$. Since $\beta \neq 0$, we have $\bar{s}_0^i = 0$, which says that $\bar{\beta}$ is closed.

Case (ii): If $\bar{\alpha} = \mu(x)\alpha$, then (3.7), becomes

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} R\mu(x)\bar{s}_0^i &= \alpha^2 [A^i \alpha^4 + C^i \alpha^2 + E^i - (U \alpha^2 + N) H_{00}^i \\ &- \mu(x) \bar{s}_0^i (K \alpha^4 + M \alpha^2 + V)]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9), we can see that $\mu(x)R\bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 . i.e., $\mu(x)R\bar{s}_0^i = 2\mu(x)\bar{s}_0^i\beta^6$ has the factor α^2 . Note that $\mu(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$ and β^2 has no factor α^2 . The only possibility is that $\beta \bar{s}_0^i$ has the factor α^2 . As the similar reason in case (i), we have $\bar{s}_0^i = 0$, when $n > 2$, which says that $\bar{\beta}$ is closed.

M. Hashiguchi [7] proved that the Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ is a Douglas metric if and only if $\bar{\beta}$ is closed. Thus \bar{L} is a Douglas metric. Since L is projectively related to \bar{L} , then both L and \bar{L} are Douglas metrics. \square

Theorem 3.1. *The Finsler metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ is projectively related to $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} G_\alpha^i = G_\alpha^i + \theta y^i - \tau \xi \alpha^2 b^i, \\ b_{i|j} = \tau [(1 + 2b^2) a_{ij} - 3b_i b_j], \\ d\bar{\beta} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $b^i = a^{ij} b_j$, $b = \|\beta\|_\alpha$, $b_{i|j}$ denotes the coefficient of the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α , $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function and $\theta = \theta_i y^i$ is a 1-form on a manifold M with dimension $n > 2$.

Proof. First, we prove the necessary condition. Since the Douglas tensor is invariant under projective changes between two Finsler metrics, if L is projectively related to \bar{L} , then they have the same Douglas tensor. According to Lemma 3.1, we obtain that both L and \bar{L} are Douglas metrics.

We know that the Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ is a Douglas metric if and only if $\bar{\beta}$ is closed, i.e., $d\bar{\beta} = 0$.

The Finsler metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$(3.11) \quad b_{i|j} = \tau[(1 + 2b^2) - 3b_i b_j],$$

for some scalar function $\tau = \tau(x)$ [3], where $b_{i|j}$ denotes the coefficient of the covariant derivatives of $\beta = b_i y^i$ with respect to α . In this case, β is closed. Since β is closed, $s_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow b_{i|j} = b_{j|i}$. Thus $s_0^i = 0$ and $s_0 = 0$.

By using (3.11), we have $r_{00} = \tau[(1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2]$. Substituting all these in (3.2), we get

$$(3.12) \quad G^i = G_\alpha^i + \tau \frac{[(1 + 2b^2)(\alpha^3 - 2\alpha^2\beta) - 3\alpha\beta^2 + 6\beta^3]}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + b^2\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta)} y^i + \tau\xi\alpha^2 b^i,$$

where $\xi = \frac{\tau[(1+2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2]b^i}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + b^2\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta)}$.

Since L is projectively related to \bar{L} , this is a Randers change between L and $\bar{\alpha}$. Noticing that $\bar{\beta}$ is closed, then L is projectively related to $\bar{\alpha}$. Thus, there is a scalar function $P = P(y)$ on $TM - \{0\}$ such that

$$(3.13) \quad G^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + P y^i.$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \left[P + \frac{3\alpha\beta^2 - 6\beta^3 - (1 + 2b^2)(\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2\beta)}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + b^2\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta)} \right] y^i = G_\alpha^i - G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \tau\xi\alpha^2 b^i.$$

Note that the RHS of the above equation is a quadratic form. Then there must be one form $\theta = \theta_i y^i$ on M , such that

$$P + \frac{3\alpha\beta^2 - 6\beta^3 - (1 + 2b^2)(\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2\beta)}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + b^2\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta)} = \theta.$$

Thus (3.14) becomes

$$(3.15) \quad G_\alpha^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \theta y^i - \tau\xi\alpha^2 b^i.$$

Equations (3.11) and (3.12) together with (3.15) complete the proof of the necessity. Since $\bar{\beta}$ is closed, it suffices to prove that L is projectively related to $\bar{\alpha}$. From (3.12) and (3.15), we have

$$G^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \left[\theta + \frac{\tau[(1 + 2b^2)(\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2\beta) - 3\alpha\beta^2 + 6\beta^3]}{2(\alpha^2 - \beta^2 + b^2\alpha^2 - \alpha\beta)} \right] y^i,$$

that is, L is projectively related to $\bar{\alpha}$ \square

From the above theorem, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.1. *The Finsler metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ is projectively related to $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the spray coefficients of α and $\bar{\alpha}$ have the following relation*

$$G_{\alpha}^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i + \theta y^i - \tau \xi \alpha^2 b^i,$$

where $b^i = a^{ij} b_j$, $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function and $\theta = \theta_i y^i$ is one form on a manifold M with dimension $n \geq 2$.

Further, we assume that the Randers metric $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ is locally Minkowskian, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a Euclidean metric and $\bar{\beta} = \bar{b}_i y^i$ is one form with $\bar{b}_i = \text{constant}$. Then (3.10) can be written as

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} G_{\alpha}^i = \theta y^i - \tau \xi \alpha^2 b^i, \\ b_{i|j} = \tau[(1 + 2b^2)a_{ij} - 3b_i b_j]. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we state

Corollary 3.2. *The Finsler metric $\alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ is projectively related to $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ if and only if L is projectively flat, that is, L is projectively flat if and only if (3.16) holds.*

4. Special Curvature Properties of two (α, β) -metrics

We know that the Berwald curvature tensor of a Finsler metric L is defined by [9]

$$(4.1) \quad G = G_{ijkl} dx^j \otimes \partial_i \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l,$$

where $G_{ijkl} = [G^i]_{y^j y^k y^l}$ and G^i are the spray coefficients of L . The mean Berwald curvature tensor is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad E = E_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j,$$

where $E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} G_{mij}^m$.

A Finsler space is said to be of the isotropic mean Berwald curvature if

$$(4.3) \quad E_{ij} = \frac{n+1}{2} c(x) L_{y^i y^j},$$

where $c(x)$ is scalar function on M .

In this section, we assume that (α, β) -metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ has some special curvature properties.

Theorem 4.1. *The Finsler metric $L = \alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$ having an isotropic S -curvature or isotropic mean Berwald curvature is projectively related to $\bar{L} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- α is projectively related to $\bar{\alpha}$,
- β is parallel with respect to α , i.e., $b_{i|j} = 0$,
- $\bar{\beta}$ is closed, i.e., $d\bar{\beta} = 0$,

where $b_{i|j}$ denotes the coefficient of the covariant derivative of β with respect to α .

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious from Theorem (3.2). For the necessary condition, from Theorem 3.1, if L is projectively related to \bar{L} , then

$$b_{i|j} = \tau[(1 + 2b^2)a_{ij} - 3b_i b_j],$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is scalar function. Transvecting the above equation with y^i and y^j , we have

$$(4.4) \quad r_{00} = \tau[(1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2].$$

From Theorem 2.4, if L has an isotropic S -curvature or an equivalently isotropic mean Berwald curvature, then $r_{00} = 0$. If $\tau \neq 0$, then (4.4) gives

$$(4.5) \quad (1 + 2b^2)\alpha^2 - 3\beta^2 = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.6) \quad (1 + 2b^2)a_{ij} - 3b_i b_j = 0.$$

Transvecting (4.6) with a^{il} , we get

$$(4.7) \quad (1 + 2b^2)\delta_j^l - 3b^l b_j = 0.$$

Contracting l and j in (4.7), we have $n + (2n - 3)b^2 = 0$, which is impossible. Thus $\tau = 0$. Substituting in Theorem 3.2, we complete the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. L. ANTONELLI, R. S. INGARDEN and M. MATSUMOTO: *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with application in Physics and Biology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1985.
2. S. BACSO and M. MATSUMOTO: *Projective change between Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*. Tensor N. S., **55** (1994), 252–257.
3. S. BACSO, X. CHENG and Z. SHEN: *Curvature properties of (α, β) -metric*. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, **48** (2007), 73–110.
4. N. CUI: *On the S -curvature of some (α, β) -metrics*. Acta Math. Sci., **26A(7)** (2006), 1047–1056.

5. NINGWEI CUI and YI-BING SHEN: *Projective change between two classes of (α, β) -metrics*. Differential. Geom. Appl., **27** (2009), 566–573.
6. M. HASHIGUCHI and Y. ICHJIYO: *On some special (α, β) -metrics*. Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., **8** (1975), 39–46.
7. M. HASHIGUCHI and Y. ICHJIYO: *Randers spaces with rectilinear geodesics*. Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagasima Univ., **13** (1980), 33–40.
8. M. MATSUMOTO and X. WEI: *Projective change of Finsler spaces of constant curvature*. Publ. Math. Debrecen, **44** (1994), 175–181.
9. M. MATSUMOTO: *Foundation of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*. Kaiseisha Press, Otsu, Saikawa, 1986.
10. M. MATSUMOTO: *Projective flat Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*. Rep. Math. Phys., **30** (1991), 15–20.
11. H. S. PARK and Y. LEE: *Projective change between a Finsler space with (α, β) -metric and the associated Riemannian metric*. Canad. J. Math., **60** (2008), 443–456.
12. H. S. PARK and I. Y. LEE: *On projectively flat Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metric*. Commun. Korean Math. Soc., **14(2)** (1999), 373–383.
13. H. S. PARK and IL-YONG LEE: *The Randers changes of Finsler spaces with (α, β) -metrics of Douglas type*. J. Korean math. Soc., **38(3)** (2001), 503–521.
14. A. RAPSCAK: *Über die bahntreuen abbildungen metrischer*. Publ. Math. Debrecen, **8** (1961), 285–290.
15. H. RUND: *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959
16. Z. SHEN and G. C. YILDIRIM: *On a class of projectively flat metrics with constant flag curvature*. Canad. J. Math., **60(2)** (2008), 443–456.
17. Z. SHEN: *On Landsberg (α, β) -metrics*. (2006).

Ganga Prasad Yadav
 Faculty of Science
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Allahabad
 Allahabad-211002, India
 aumathganga@gmail.com

Paras Nath Pandey
 Faculty of Science
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Allahabad
 Allahabad-211002, India
 pnpiaps@gmail.com