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#### Abstract

Necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of lower cut sets are given for the insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions on topological spaces on which the kernel of sets is open. Keywords: Insertion, Strong binary relation, $C$-open set, Semi-preopen set, $\alpha$-open set, Contra-continuous function, Lower cut set.


## 1. Introduction

The concept of a $C$-open set in a topological space was introduced by E. Hatir, T. Noiri and S. Yksel in [12]. The authors define a set $S$ to be a $C$-open set if $S=U \cap A$, where $U$ is open and $A$ is semi-preclosed. A set $S$ is a $C$-closed set if its complement (denoted by $S^{c}$ ) is a $C$-open set or equivalently if $S=U \cup A$, where $U$ is closed and $A$ is semi-preopen. The authors show that a subset of a topological space is open if and only if it is an $\alpha$-open set and a $C$-open set or equivalently a subset of a topological space is closed if and only if it is an $\alpha$-closed set and a $C$-closed set. This enables them to provide the following decomposition of continuity: a function is continuous if and only if it is $\alpha$-continuous and $C$-continuous or equivalently a function is contra-continuous if and only if it is contra- $\alpha$-continuous and contra- $C$-continuous.
Recall that a subset $A$ of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called $\alpha$-open if $A$ is the difference of an open and a nowhere dense subset of $X$. A set $A$ is called $\alpha$-closed if its complement is $\alpha$-open or equivalently if $A$ is the union of a closed and a nowhere dense set. Sets which are dense in some regular closed subspace are called semi-preopen or $\beta$-open. A set is semi-preclosed or $\beta$-closed if its complement is

[^0]semi-preopen or $\beta$-open.
In [7] it was shown that a set $A$ is $\beta$-open if and only if $A \subseteq C l(\operatorname{Int}(C l(A)))$. A generalized class of closed sets was considered by Maki in [19]. He investigated the sets that can be represented as union of closed sets and called them $V$-sets. Complements of $V$-sets, i.e., sets that are intersection of open sets are called $\Lambda$-sets [19].
Recall that a real-valued function $f$ defined on a topological space $X$ is called $A$-continuous [23] if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ belongs to $A$, where $A$ is a collection of subsets of $X$. Most of the definitions of function used throughout this paper are consequences of the definition of $A$-continuity. However, for unknown concepts the reader may refer to [4, 11]. In the recent literature many topologists have focused their research in the direction of investigating different types of generalized continuity.
J. Dontchev in [5] introduced a new class of mappings called contra-continuity.S. Jafari and T. Noiri in $[13,14]$ exhibited and studied among others a new weaker form of this class of mappings called contra- $\alpha$-continuous. A good number of researchers have also initiated different types of contra-continuous like mappings in the papers $[1,3,8,9,10,22]$.
Hence, a real-valued function $f$ defined on a topological space $X$ is called contracontinuous (resp. contra- $C$-continuous, contra- $\alpha$-continuous) if the preimage of every open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is closed (resp. $C$-closed, $\alpha$-closed) in $X[5]$.
Results of Katětov $[15,16]$ concerning binary relations and the concept of an indefinite lower cut set for a real-valued function, which is due to Brooks [2], are used in order to give a necessary and sufficient conditions for the insertion of a contra-continuous function between two comparable real-valued functions on such topological spaces that $\Lambda$-sets or kernel of sets are open [19].
If $g$ and $f$ are real-valued functions defined on a space $X$, we write $g \leq f$ (resp. $g<f$ ) in case $g(x) \leq f(x)$ (resp. $g(x)<f(x)$ ) for all $x$ in $X$.
The following definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [17].
A property $P$, defined relative to a real-valued function on a topological space, is a $c c-$ property provided that any constant function has property $P$ and provided that the sum of a function with property $P$ and any contra-continuous function also has property $P$. If $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are $c c-$ properties, the following terminology is used:(i) A space $X$ has the weak cc-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.(ii) A space $X$ has the $c c$-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g<f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $g<h<f$.(iii) A space $X$ has the strong cc-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g \leq f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$ and if $g(x)<f(x)$ for any x in X, then $g(x)<h(x)<f(x)$.(iv) A space $X$ has the weakly cc-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if for any functions $g$ and $f$ on $X$ such that $g<f, g$ has property $P_{1}, f$ has property $P_{2}$ and $f-g$ has property $P_{2}$, then there exists a
contra-continuous function $h$ such that $g<h<f$.
In this paper, for a topological space whose $\Lambda$-sets or kernel of sets are open, is given a sufficient condition for the weak $c c$-insertion property. Also for a space with the weak $c c$-insertion property, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the space to have the $c c$-insertion property. Several insertion theorems are obtained as corollaries of these results.

## 2. The Main Result

Before giving a sufficient condition for the insertability of a contra-continuous function, the necessary definitions and terminology are stated.
Definition 2.1. Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$. We define the subsets $A^{\Lambda}$ and $A^{V}$ as follows:
$A^{\Lambda}=\cap\{O: O \supseteq A, O \in(X, \tau)\}$ and $A^{V}=\cup\left\{F: F \subseteq A, F^{c} \in(X, \tau)\right\}$.
In $[6,18,21], A^{\Lambda}$ is called the kernel of $A$.
The family of all $\alpha$-open, $\alpha$-closed, $C$-open and $C$-closed will be denoted by $\alpha O(X, \tau), \alpha C(X, \tau), C O(X, \tau)$ and $C C(X, \tau)$, respectively.
We define the subsets $\alpha\left(A^{\Lambda}\right), \alpha\left(A^{V}\right), C\left(A^{\Lambda}\right)$ and $C\left(A^{V}\right)$ as follows:
$\alpha\left(A^{\Lambda}\right)=\cap\{O: O \supseteq A, O \in \alpha O(X, \tau)\}$,
$\alpha\left(A^{V}\right)=\cup\{F: F \subseteq A, F \in \alpha C(X, \tau)\}$,
$C\left(A^{\Lambda}\right)=\cap\{O: O \supseteq A, O \in C O(X, \tau)\}$ and
$C\left(A^{V}\right)=\cup\{F: F \subseteq A, F \in C C(X, \tau)\}$.
$\alpha\left(A^{\Lambda}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C\left(A^{\Lambda}\right)\right)$ is called the $\alpha-$ kernel (resp. $C-$ kernel) of $A$.
The following first two definitions are modifications of conditions considered in [15, 16].
Definition 2.2. If $\rho$ is a binary relation in a set $S$ then $\bar{\rho}$ is defined as follows: $x \bar{\rho} y$ if and only if $y \rho v$ implies $x \rho v$ and $u \rho x$ implies $u \rho y$ for any $u$ and $v$ in $S$. Definition 2.3. A binary relation $\rho$ in the power set $P(X)$ of a topological space $X$ is called a strong binary relation in $P(X)$ in case $\rho$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

1) If $A_{i} \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and for any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then there exists a set $C$ in $P(X)$ such that $A_{i} \rho C$ and $C \rho B_{j}$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and any $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
2) If $A \subseteq B$, then $A \bar{\rho} B$.
3) If $A \bar{\rho} B$, then $A^{\Lambda} \subseteq B$ and $A \subseteq B^{V}$.

The concept of a lower indefinite cut set for a real-valued function was defined by Brooks [2] as follows:
Definition 2.4. If $f$ is a real-valued function defined on a space $X$ and if $\{x \in X$ : $f(x)<\ell\} \subseteq A(f, \ell) \subseteq\{x \in X: f(x) \leq \ell\}$ for a real number $\ell$, then $A(f, \ell)$ is called a lower indefinite cut set in the domain of $f$ at the level $\ell$.
We now give the following main result:
Theorem 2.1. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions on the topological space $X$, in which kernel sets are open, with $g \leq f$. If there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on
the power set of $X$ and if there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$, then there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ defined on $X$ such that $g \leq h \leq f$.
Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$ such that $g \leq f$. By hypothesis there exists a strong binary relation $\rho$ on the power set of $X$ and there exist lower indefinite cut sets $A(f, t)$ and $A(g, t)$ in the domain of $f$ and $g$ at the level $t$ for each rational number $t$ such that if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ then $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$.
Define functions $F$ and $G$ mapping the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ by $F(t)=A(f, t)$ and $G(t)=A(g, t)$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{\rho} F\left(t_{2}\right), G\left(t_{1}\right) \bar{\rho} G\left(t_{2}\right)$, and $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$. By Lemmas 1 and 2 of [16] it follows that there exists a function $H$ mapping $\mathbb{Q}$ into the power set of $X$ such that if $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any rational numbers with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $F\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right), H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho H\left(t_{2}\right)$ and $H\left(t_{1}\right) \rho G\left(t_{2}\right)$.
For any $x$ in $X$, let $h(x)=\inf \{t \in \mathbb{Q}: x \in H(t)\}$.
We first verify that $g \leq h \leq f$ : If $x$ is in $H(t)$ then $x$ is in $G\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t^{\prime}>t$; since $x$ is in $G\left(t^{\prime}\right)=A\left(g, t^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $g(x) \leq t^{\prime}$, it follows that $g(x) \leq t$. Hence $g \leq h$. If $x$ is not in $H(t)$, then $x$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t^{\prime}<t$; since $x$ is not in $F\left(t^{\prime}\right)=A\left(f, t^{\prime}\right)$ implies that $f(x)>t^{\prime}$, it follows that $f(x) \geq t$. Hence $h \leq f$.
Also, for any rational numbers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, we have $h^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=$ $H\left(t_{2}\right)^{V} \backslash H\left(t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}$. Hence $h^{-1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is closed in $X$, i.e., $h$ is a contra-continuous function on $X$.
The above proof used the technique of theorem 1 in [15].
Theorem 2.2. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be $c c-$ property and $X$ be a space that satisfies the weak $c c$-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$. Also assume that $g$ and $f$ are functions on $X$ such that $g<f, g$ has property $P_{1}$ and $f$ has property $P_{2}$. The space $X$ has the $c c$-insertion property for $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ if and only if there exist lower cut sets $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ and there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ with empty intersection and such that for each $n, X \backslash D_{n}$ and $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ are completely separated by contra-continuous functions.
Proof. Theorem 2.1 of [20].

## 3. Applications

The abbreviations $c \alpha c$ and $c C c$ are used for contra- $\alpha$-continuous and contra-$C$-continuous, respectively.
Before stating the consequences of theorems 2.1, 2.2, we suppose that $X$ is a topological space whose kernel sets are open.
Corollary 3.1. If for each pair of disjoint $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) sets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $X$ , there exist closed sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of $X$ such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing$ then $X$ has the weak $c c$-insertion property for $(c \alpha c, c \alpha c)$ (resp. $(c C c, c C c)$ ).
Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on $X$, such that $f$ and $g$ are $c \alpha c$ (resp. $c C c$ ), and $g \leq f$. If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case
$\alpha\left(A^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(B^{V}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C\left(A^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq C\left(B^{V}\right)\right)$, then by hypothesis $\rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then

$$
A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{2}\right\} \subseteq A\left(g, t_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\}$ is an $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) set and since $\{x \in X$ : $\left.g(x)<t_{2}\right\}$ is an $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) set, it follows that $\alpha\left(A\left(f, t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq$ $\alpha\left(A\left(g, t_{2}\right)^{V}\right)$ (resp. $\left.C\left(A\left(f, t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq C\left(A\left(g, t_{2}\right)^{V}\right)\right)$. Hence $t_{1}<t_{2}$ implies that $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 3.2. If for each pair of disjoint $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) sets $G_{1}, G_{2}$, there exist closed sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing$ then every contra- $\alpha$-continuous (resp. contra- $C$-continuous) function is contracontinuous.
Proof. Let $f$ be a real-valued contra- $\alpha-$ continuous (resp. contra- $C$-continuous) function defined on $X$. Set $g=f$, then by Corollary 3.1, there exists a contracontinuous function $h$ such that $g=h=f$.
Corollary 3.3. If for each pair of disjoint $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) sets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $X$, there exist closed sets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of $X$ such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing$ then $X$ has the strong $c c$-insertion property for ( $c \alpha c, c \alpha c$ ) (resp. $(c C c, c C c))$.
Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on the $X$, such that $f$ and $g$ are $c \alpha c$ (resp. $c C c$ ), and $g \leq f$. Set $h=(f+g) / 2$, thus $g \leq h \leq f$ and if $g(x)<f(x)$ for any x in X, then $g(x)<h(x)<f(x)$. Also, by Corollary 3.2, since $g$ and $f$ are contra-continuous functions hence $h$ is a contra-continuous function. Corollary 3.4. If for each pair of disjoint subsets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $X$, such that $G_{1}$ is $\alpha$-open and $G_{2}$ is $C$-open, there exist closed subsets $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of $X$ such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing$ then $X$ have the weak $c c$-insertion property for $(c \alpha c, c C c)$ and ( $c C c, c \alpha c$ ).
Proof. Let $g$ and $f$ be real-valued functions defined on $X$, such that $g$ is $c \alpha c$ (resp. $c C c$ ) and $f$ is $c C c$ (resp. $c \alpha c$ ), with $g \leq f$.If a binary relation $\rho$ is defined by $A \rho B$ in case $C\left(A^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq \alpha\left(B^{V}\right)$ (resp. $\alpha\left(A^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq C\left(B^{V}\right)$ ), then by hypothesis $\rho$ is a strong binary relation in the power set of $X$. If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are any elements of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then

$$
A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \subseteq\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\} \subseteq\left\{x \in X: g(x)<t_{2}\right\} \subseteq A\left(g, t_{2}\right)
$$

since $\left\{x \in X: f(x) \leq t_{1}\right\}$ is a $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) set and since $\{x \in X$ : $\left.g(x)<t_{2}\right\}$ is an $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) set, it follows that $C\left(A\left(f, t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq$ $\alpha\left(A\left(g, t_{2}\right)^{V}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\alpha\left(A\left(f, t_{1}\right)^{\Lambda}\right) \subseteq C\left(A\left(g, t_{2}\right)^{V}\right)\right)$. Hence $t_{1}<t_{2}$ implies that $A\left(f, t_{1}\right) \rho A\left(g, t_{2}\right)$. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.
Before stating consequences of Theorem 2.2, we state and prove the necessary lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. The following conditions on the space $X$ are equivalent:
(i) For each pair of disjoint subsets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $X$, such that $G_{1}$ is $\alpha$-open and $G_{2}$ is $C$-open, there exist closed subsets $F_{1}, F_{2}$ of $X$ such that $G_{1} \subseteq F_{1}, G_{2} \subseteq F_{2}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing$.
(ii) If $G$ is a $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) subset of $X$ which is contained in an $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subset $F$ of $X$, then there exists a closed subset $H$ of $X$ such that $G \subseteq H \subseteq H^{\Lambda} \subseteq F$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that $G \subseteq F$, where $G$ and $F$ are $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) and $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$, respectively. Hence, $F^{c}$ is an $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) and $G \cap F^{c}=\varnothing$.
By (i) there exists two disjoint closed subsets $F_{1}, F_{2}$ such that $G \subseteq F_{1}$ and $F^{c} \subseteq F_{2}$. But

$$
F^{c} \subseteq F_{2} \Rightarrow F_{2}^{c} \subseteq F,
$$

and

$$
F_{1} \cap F_{2}=\varnothing \Rightarrow F_{1} \subseteq F_{2}^{c}
$$

hence

$$
G \subseteq F_{1} \subseteq F_{2}^{c} \subseteq F
$$

and since $F_{2}^{c}$ is an open subset containing $F_{1}$, we conclude that $F_{1}^{\Lambda} \subseteq F_{2}^{c}$, i.e.,

$$
G \subseteq F_{1} \subseteq F_{1}^{\Lambda} \subseteq F
$$

By setting $H=F_{1}$, condition (ii) holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are two disjoint subsets of $X$, such that $G_{1}$ is $\alpha$-open and $G_{2}$ is $C$-open.
This implies that $G_{2} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}$ and $G_{1}^{c}$ is an $\alpha$-closed subset of $X$. Hence by (ii) there exists a closed set $H$ such that $G_{2} \subseteq H \subseteq H^{\Lambda} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}$.
But

$$
H \subseteq H^{\Lambda} \Rightarrow H \cap\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}=\varnothing
$$

and

$$
H^{\Lambda} \subseteq G_{1}^{c} \Rightarrow G_{1} \subseteq\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}
$$

Furthermore, $\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}$ is a closed subset of $X$. Hence $G_{2} \subseteq H, G_{1} \subseteq\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}$ and $H \cap\left(H^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}=\varnothing$. This means that condition (i) holds.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $X$ is a topological space. If each pair of disjoint subsets $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of $X$, where $G_{1}$ is $\alpha$-open and $G_{2}$ is $C$-open, can be separated by closed subsets of $X$ then there exists a contra-continuous function $h: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $h\left(G_{2}\right)=\{0\}$ and $h\left(G_{1}\right)=\{1\}$.
Proof. Suppose $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two disjoint subsets of $X$, where $G_{1}$ is $\alpha$-open and $G_{2}$ is $C$-open. Since $G_{1} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing$, hence $G_{2} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}$. In particular, since $G_{1}^{c}$ is an $\alpha$-closed subset of $X$ containing the $C$-open subset $G_{2}$ of $X$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a closed subset $H_{1 / 2}$ such that

$$
G_{2} \subseteq H_{1 / 2} \subseteq H_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}
$$

Note that $H_{1 / 2}$ is also an $\alpha$-closed subset of $X$ and contains $G_{2}$, and $G_{1}^{c}$ is an $\alpha$-closed subset of $X$ and contains the $C$-open subset $H_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda}$ of $X$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists closed subsets $H_{1 / 4}$ and $H_{3 / 4}$ such that

$$
G_{2} \subseteq H_{1 / 4} \subseteq H_{1 / 4}^{\Lambda} \subseteq H_{1 / 2} \subseteq H_{1 / 2}^{\Lambda} \subseteq H_{3 / 4} \subseteq H_{3 / 4}^{\Lambda} \subseteq G_{1}^{c}
$$

By continuing this method for every $t \in D$, where $D \subseteq[0,1]$ is the set of rational numbers that their denominators are exponents of 2 , we obtain closed subsets $H_{t}$ with the property that if $t_{1}, t_{2} \in D$ and $t_{1}<t_{2}$, then $H_{t_{1}} \subseteq H_{t_{2}}$. We define the function $h$ on $X$ by $h(x)=\inf \left\{t: x \in H_{t}\right\}$ for $x \notin G_{1}$ and $h(x)=1$ for $x \in G_{1}$.
Note that for every $x \in X, 0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$, i.e., $h$ maps $X$ into [ 0,1$]$. Also, we note that for any $t \in D, G_{2} \subseteq H_{t}$; hence $h\left(G_{2}\right)=\{0\}$. Furthermore, by definition, $h\left(G_{1}\right)=\{1\}$. It remains only to prove that $h$ is a contra-continuous function on $X$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have if $\alpha \leq 0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\varnothing$ and if $0<\alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)<\alpha\}=\cup\left\{H_{t}: t<\alpha\right\}$, hence, they are closed subsets of $X$. Similarly, if $\alpha<0$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)>\alpha\}=X$ and if $0 \leq \alpha$ then $\{x \in X: h(x)>\alpha\}=\cup\left\{\left(H_{t}^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}: t>\alpha\right\}$ hence, every of them is a closed subset. Consequently $h$ is a contra-continuous function.
Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $X$ is a topological space such that every two disjoint $C$-open and $\alpha$-open subsets of $X$ can be separated by closed subsets of $X$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) Every countable convering of $C$-closed (resp. $\alpha$-closed) subsets of $X$ has a refinement consisting of $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$ such that for every $x \in X$, there exists a closed subset of $X$ containing $x$ such that it intersects only finitely many members of the refinement.
(ii) Corresponding to every decreasing sequence $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ of $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) subsets of $X$ with empty intersection there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ of $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}=\varnothing$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$.
Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) subsets of $X$ with empty intersection. Then $\left\{G_{n}^{c}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable covering of $C$-closed (resp. $\alpha$-closed) subsets of $X$. By hypothesis (i) and Lemma 3.1, this covering has a refinement $\left\{V_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that every $V_{n}$ is a closed subset of $X$ and $V_{n}^{\Lambda} \subseteq G_{n}^{c}$. By setting $F_{n}=\left(V_{n}^{\Lambda}\right)^{c}$, we obtain a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of $X$ with the required properties.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Now if $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable covering of $C$-closed (resp. $\alpha$-closed) subsets of $X$, we set for $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_{n}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}\right)^{c}$. Then $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) subsets of $X$ with empty intersection. By (ii) there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ consisting of $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}=\varnothing$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, G_{n} \subseteq F_{n}$. Now we define the subsets $W_{n}$ of $X$ in the following manner:
$W_{1}$ is a closed subset of $X$ such that $F_{1}^{c} \subseteq W_{1}$ and $W_{1}^{\Lambda} \cap G_{1}=\varnothing$.
$W_{2}$ is a closed subset of $X$ such that $W_{1}^{\Lambda} \cup F_{2}^{c} \subseteq W_{2}$ and $W_{2}^{\Lambda} \cap G_{2}=\varnothing$, and so on. (By Lemma 3.1, $W_{n}$ exists).
Then since $\left\{F_{n}^{c}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a covering for $X$, hence $\left\{W_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a covering for $X$ consisting of closed sets. Moreover, we have
(i) $W_{n}^{\Lambda} \subseteq W_{n+1}$
(ii) $F_{n}^{c} \subseteq W_{n}$
(iii) $W_{n} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$.

Now setting $S_{1}=W_{1}$ and for $n \geq 2$, we set $S_{n}=W_{n+1} \backslash W_{n-1}^{\Lambda}$.
Then since $W_{n-1}^{\Lambda} \subseteq W_{n}$ and $S_{n} \supseteq W_{n+1} \backslash W_{n}$, it follows that $\left\{S_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ consists
of closed sets and covers $X$. Furthermore, $S_{i} \cap S_{j} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $|i-j| \leq 1$. Finally, consider the following sets:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
S_{1} \cap H_{1}, & S_{1} \cap H_{2} & & \\
S_{2} \cap H_{1}, & S_{2} \cap H_{2}, & S_{2} \cap H_{3} & \\
S_{3} \cap H_{1}, & S_{3} \cap H_{2}, & S_{3} \cap H_{3}, & S_{3} \cap H_{4} \\
\vdots & & & \\
S_{i} \cap H_{1}, & S_{i} \cap H_{2}, & S_{i} \cap H_{3}, & S_{i} \cap H_{4}, \\
\cdots, & S_{i} \cap H_{i+1}
\end{array}
$$

These sets are closed sets, cover $X$ and refine $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. In addition, $S_{i} \cap H_{j}$ can intersect at most the sets in its row, immediately above, or immediately below row.
Hence if $x \in X$ and $x \in S_{n} \cap H_{m}$, then $S_{n} \cap H_{m}$ is a closed set containing $x$ that intersects at most finitely many of sets $S_{i} \cap H_{j}$. Consequently, $\left\{S_{i} \cap H_{j}: i \in \mathbb{N}, j=\right.$ $1, \ldots, i+1\}$ refines $\left\{H_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that its elements are closed sets, and for every point in $X$ we can find a closed set containing the point that intersects only finitely many elements of that refinement.
Corollary 3.5. If every two disjoint $C$-open and $\alpha$-open subsets of $X$ can be separated by closed subsets of $X$ and, in addition, every countable covering of $C$-closed (resp. $\alpha$-closed) subsets of $X$ has a refinement that consists of $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$ such that for every point of $X$ we can find a closed subset containing that point such that it intersects only a finite number of refining members then $X$ has the weakly $c c$-insertion property for ( $c \alpha c, c C c$ ) (resp. ( $c C c, c \alpha c$ )). Proof. Since every two disjoint $C$-open and $\alpha$-open sets can be separated by closed subsets of $X$, therefore by Corollary $3.4, X$ has the weak $c c$-insertion property for $(c \alpha c, c C c)$ and $(c C c, c \alpha c)$. Now suppose that $f$ and $g$ are real-valued functions on $X$ with $g<f$, such that $g$ is $c \alpha c$ (resp. $c C c$ ), $f$ is $c C c$ (resp. cac) and $f-g$ is $c C c$ (resp. $c \alpha c$ ). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$
A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)=\left\{x \in X:(f-g)(x) \leq 3^{-n+1}\right\}
$$

Since $f-g$ is $c C c$ (resp. $c \alpha c$ ), hence $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right.$ ) is a $C$-open (resp. $\alpha-$ open) subset of $X$. Consequently, $\left\{A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) subsets of $X$ and furthermore since $0<f-g$, it follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)=\varnothing$. Now by Lemma 3.3, there exists a decreasing sequence $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ of $\alpha$-closed (resp. $C$-closed) subsets of $X$ such that $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right) \subseteq D_{n}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}=\varnothing$. But by Lemma 3.2, the pair $A\left(f-g, 3^{-n+1}\right)$ and $X \backslash D_{n}$ of $C$-open (resp. $\alpha$-open) and $\alpha$-open (resp. $C$-open) subsets of $X$ can be completely separated by contra-continuous functions. Hence by Theorem 2.2, there exists a contra-continuous function $h$ defined on $X$ such that $g<h<f$, i.e., $X$ has the weakly $c c$-insertion property for $(c \alpha c, c C c)$ (resp. $(c C c, c \alpha c)$ ).
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