

Silla Nirosha * et al. (IJITR) INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY AND RESEARCH Volume No.5, Issue No.4, June – July 2017, 7030-7035.

Captcha As Graphical Passwords—A New Security Primitive Based On Hard AI Problems

SILLA NIROSHA M.Tech Student, Dept.of Computer Science Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College Of Engineering and Technology. Mr. URLAM SRIDHAR

Assistant professor, Dept.of Computer Science Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College Of Engineering and Technology.

Abstract: Many security primitives are based on hard mathematical problems. Using hard AI problems for security is emerging as an exciting new paradigm, but has been under-explored. In this paper, we present a new security primitive based on hard AI problems, namely, a novel family of graphical password systems built on top of Captcha technology, which we call Captcha as graphical passwords (CaRP). CaRP is both a Captcha and a graphical password scheme. CaRP addresses a number of security problems altogether, such as online guessing attacks, relay attacks, and, if combined with dual-view technologies, shoulder-surfing attacks. Notably, a CaRP password can be found only probabilistically by automatic online guessing attacks even if the password is in the search set. CaRP also offers a novel approach to address the well-known image hotspot problem in popular graphical password systems, such as PassPoints, that often leads to weak password choices. CaRP is not a panacea, but it offers reasonable security and usability and appears to fit well with some practical applications for improving online security.

I. INTRODUCTION

What is Secure Computing?

Computer security (Also known as cyber security or IT Security) is information security as applied to computers and networks. The field covers all the processes and mechanisms by which computerbased equipment, information and services are protected from unintended or unauthorized access, change or destruction. Computer security also includes protection from unplanned events and natural disasters. Otherwise, in the computer industry, the term security -- or the phrase computer security -- refers to techniques for ensuring that data stored in a computer cannot be read or compromised by any individuals without authorization. Most computer security measures involve data encryption and passwords. Data encryption is the translation of data into a form that is unintelligible without a deciphering mechanism. A password is a secret word or phrase that gives a user access to a particular program or system .Diagram clearly explain the about the secure computing Working conditions and basic needs in the secure computing: If you don't take basic steps to protect your work computer, you put it and all the information on it at risk. You can potentially compromise the operation of other computers on your organization's network, or even the functioning of the network as a whole

1.Physical security:Technical measures like login passwords, anti-virus are essential. (More about those below) However, a secure physical space is the first and more important line of defense.Is the place you keep your workplace computer secure enough to prevent theft or access to it while you are away? While the Security Department provides coverage across the Medical center, it only takes seconds to steal a computer, particularly a portable device like a laptop or a PDA. A computer should be secured like any other valuable possession when you are not present.Human threats are not the only concern. Computers can be compromised by environmental mishaps (e.g., water, coffee) or physical trauma. Make sure the physical location of your computer takes account of those risks as well.

2.Access passwords:The University's networks and shared information systems are protected in part by login credentials (user-IDs and passwords). Access passwords are also an essential protection for personal computers in most circumstances. Offices are usually open and shared spaces, so physical access to computers cannot be completely controlled.To protect your computer, you should consider setting passwords for particularly sensitive applications resident on the computer (e.g., data analysis software), if the software provides that capability.

3.Prying eye protection:Because we deal with all facets of clinical, research, educational and administrative data here on the medical campus, it is important to do everything possible to minimize exposure of data to unauthorized individuals.

4.Anti-virus software:Up-to-date, properly configured anti-virus software is essential. While we have server-side anti-virus software on our network computers, you still need it on the client side (your computer).

5.Firewalls:Anti-virus products inspect files on your computer and in email. Firewall software and hardware monitor communications between your computer and the outside world. That is essential for any networked computer.

6.Software updates: It is critical to keep software up to date, especially the operating system, anti-virus and anti-spyware, email and browser software. The newest versions will contain fixes for discovered vulnerabilities.

Almost all anti-virus have automatic update features (including SAV). Keeping the "signatures" (digital patterns) of malicious software detectors up-to-date is essential for these products to be effective.

7.Keep secure backups:Even if you take all these security steps, bad things can still happen. Be prepared for the worst by making backup copies of critical data, and keeping those backup copies in a separate, secure location. For example, use supplemental hard drives, CDs/DVDs, or flash drives to store critical, hard-to-replace data.

8.Report problems: If you believe that your computer or any data on it has been compromised, your should make a information security incident report. That is required by University policy for all data on our systems, and legally required for health, education, financial and any other kind of record containing identifiable personal information.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

1. On predictive models and user drawn graphical passwords

AUTHORS: P. C. van Oorschot and J. Thorpe

In commonplace text-based password schemes, users typically choose passwords that are easy to recall, exhibit patterns, and are thus vulnerable to brute-force dictionary attacks. This leads us to ask whether other types of passwords (e.g., graphical) are also vulnerable to dictionary attack because of users tending to choose memorable passwords. We suggest a method to predict and model a number of such classes for systems where passwords are created solely from a user's memory. We hypothesize that these classes define weak password subspaces suitable for an attack dictionary. For user-drawn graphical passwords, we apply this method with cognitive studies on visual recall. These cognitive studies motivate us to define a set of password complexity factors (e.g., reflective symmetry and stroke count), which define a set of classes. To better understand the size of these classes and, thus, how weak the password subspaces they define might be, we use the "Draw-A-Secret" (DAS) graphical password scheme of Jermyn et al. [1999] as an example. We analyze the size of these classes for DAS under convenient parameter choices and show that they can be combined to define apparently popular subspaces

that have bit sizes ranging from 31 to 41—a surprisingly small proportion of the full password space (58 bits). Our results quantitatively support suggestions that user-drawn graphical password systems employ measures, such as graphical password rules or guidelines and proactive password checking.

2. Modeling user choice in the PassPoints graphical password scheme

AUTHORS: A. E. Dirik, N. Memon, and J.-C. Birget

We develop a model to identify the most likely regions for users to click in order to create graphical passwords in the PassPoints system. A PassPoints password is a sequence of points, chosen by a user in an image that is displayed on the screen. Our model predicts probabilities of likely click points; this enables us to predict the entropy of a click point in a graphical password for a given image. The model allows us to evaluate automatically whether a given image is well suited for the PassPoints system, and to analyze possible dictionary attacks against the system. We compare the predictions provided by our model to results of experiments involving human users. At this stage, our model and the experiments are small and limited; but they show that user choice can be modeled and that expansions of the model and the experiments are a promising direction of research.

III. SYSTEM ANALYSIS

EXISTING SYSTEM:

The most notable primitive invented is Captcha, which distinguishes human users from computers by presenting a challenge, i.e., a puzzle, beyond the capability of computers but easy for humans. Captcha is now a standard Internet security technique to protect online email and other services from being abused by bots.

DISADVANTAGES OF EXISTING SYSTEM:

This existing paradigm has achieved just a limited success as compared with the cryptographic primitives based on hard math problems and their wide applications.

PROPOSED SYSTEM:

In this paper, we present a new security primitive based on hard AI problems, namely, a novel family of graphical password systems built on top of Captcha technology, which we call Captcha as graphical passwords (CaRP).

CaRP is both a Captcha and a graphical password scheme. CaRP addresses a number of security problems altogether, such as online guessing attacks, relay attacks, and, if combined with dualview technologies, shoulder-surfing attacks.



ADVANTAGES OF PROPOSED SYSTEM:

CaRP offers protection against online dictionary attacks on passwords, which have been for long time a major security threat for various online services.

CaRP also offers protection against relay attacks, an increasing threat to bypass Captchas protection.

IV. SYSTEM STUDY

FEASIBILITY STUDY

The feasibility of the project is analyzed in this phase and business proposal is put forth with a very general plan for the project and some cost estimates. During system analysis the feasibility study of the proposed system is to be carried out. This is to ensure that the proposed system is not a burden to the company. For feasibility analysis, some understanding of the major requirements for the system is essential.

Three key considerations involved in the feasibility analysis are

- ECONOMICAL FEASIBILITY
- TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY
- SOCIAL FEASIBILITY

ECONOMICAL FEASIBILITY

This study is carried out to check the economic impact that the system will have on the organization. The amount of fund that the company can pour into the research and development of the system is limited. The expenditures must be justified. Thus the developed system as well within the budget and this was achieved because most of the technologies used are freely available. Only the customized products had to be purchased.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

This study is carried out to check the technical feasibility, that is, the technical requirements of the system. Any system developed must not have a high demand on the available technical resources. This will lead to high demands on the available technical resources. This will lead to high demands being placed on the client. The developed system must have a modest requirement, as only minimal or null changes are required for implementing this system.

SOCIAL FEASIBILITY

The aspect of study is to check the level of acceptance of the system by the user. This includes the process of training the user to use the system efficiently. The user must not feel threatened by the system, instead must accept it as a necessity. The level of acceptance by the users solely depends on the methods that are employed to educate the user about the system and to make him familiar with it. His level of confidence must be raised so that he is also able to make some constructive criticism, which is welcomed, as he is the final user of the system.

V. IMPLEMENTATION

MODULES:-

Graphical Password

Captcha in Authentication

Overcoming Thwart Guessing Attacks

Security Of Underlying Captcha

MODULES DESCRIPTION:-

Graphical Password:

In this module, Users are having authentication and security to access the detail which is presented in the Image system. Before accessing or searching the details user should have the account in that otherwise they should register first.

Captcha in Authentication:

In this module we use both Captcha and password in a user authentication protocol, which we call Captcha-based Password Authentication (CbPA) protocol, to counter online dictionary attacks. The CbPA-protocol in requires solving a Captcha challenge after inputting a valid pair of user ID and password unless a valid browser cookie is received. For an invalid pair of user ID and password, the user has a certain probability to solve a Captcha challenge before being denied access.

Overcoming Thwart Guessing Attacks:

In a guessing attack, a password guess tested in an unsuccessful trial is determined wrong and excluded from subsequent trials. The number of undetermined password guesses decreases with more trials, leading to a better chance of finding the password. To counter guessing attacks, traditional approaches in designing graphical passwords aim at increasing the effective password space to make passwords harder to guess and thus require more trials. No matter how secure a graphical password scheme is, the password can always be found by a brute force attack. In this paper, we distinguish two types of guessing attacks: automatic guessing attacks apply an automatic trial and error process but S can be manually constructed whereas human guessing attacks apply a manual trial and error process.

Security of Underlying Captcha:

Computational intractability in recognizing objects in CaRP images is fundamental to CaRP. Existing analyses on Captcha security were mostly case by case or used an approximate process. No theoretic



security model has been established yet. Object segmentation is considered as a computationally expensive, combinatorially-hard problem, which modern text Captcha schemes rely on.

VI. OUTPUTS

USER REGISTRATION:



ADMIN LOGIN:



ADMIN ACTIVATING USER:



USER LOGIN(enter user name):



USER LOGIN (enter password & captcha code):



User upload(click image & upload):



User download:



USER DOWNLOAD(click image & download):



if you click invalid coordinates:

When you click correct coordinates:





File downloading:



Viewing Downloaded File:



When user login If he entered invalid captcha code means your account will be blocked and alert will come to your registered mail.

the second se		- 20	8
		101.1.3	¢.
Instanted Photos: Non-Instante in closed interge			-
Accurt (Hel)		Art	
-	185-994	811.	
i terminaria			
1 Teacharline			
and a second sec			
	Serverbald Models in the Animal Andre Animal Angle (18)	Second Children Tax Second House State (Sci Second House Ho	Serverd/Produce the birt build have 1 Start (10) Serverd (10)

Admin activating blocked user:



VII. CONCLUSION

We have proposed CaRP, a new security primitive relying on unsolved hard AI problems. CaRP is both a Captcha and a graphical password scheme. The notion of CaRP introduces a new family of graphical passwords, which adopts a new approach to counter online guessing attacks: a new CaRP image, which is also a Captcha challenge, is used for every login attempt to make trials of an online guessing attack computationally independent of each other. A password of CaRP can be found only probabilistically by automatic online guessing attacks including brute-force attacks, a desired security property that other graphical password schemes lack. Hotspots in CaRP images can no longer be exploited to mount automatic online guessing attacks, an inherent vulnerability in many graphical password systems. CaRP forces adversaries to resort to significantly less efficient and much more costly human-based attacks. In addition to offering protection from online guessing attacks, CaRP is also resistant to Captcha relay attacks, and, if combined with dual-view technologies, shoulder-surfing attacks. CaRP can also help reduce spam emails sent from a Web email service.

Our usability study of two CaRP schemes we have implemented is encouraging. For example, more participants considered AnimalGrid and ClickText easier to use than PassPoints and a combination of text password and Captcha. Both AnimalGrid and ClickText had better password memorability than the conventional text passwords. On the other hand, the usability of CaRP can be further improved by using images of different levels of difficulty based on the login history of the user and the machine used to log in. The optimal tradeoff between security and usability remains an open question for CaRP, and further studies are needed to refine CaRP for actual deployments.

Like Captcha, CaRP utilizes unsolved AI problems. However, a password is much more valuable to attackers than a free email account that Captcha is typically used to protect. Therefore there are more incentives for attackers to hack CaRP than Captcha. That is, more efforts will be attracted to the following win-win game by CaRP than ordinary Captcha: If attackers succeed, they contribute to improving AI by providing solutions to open problems such as segmenting 2D texts. Otherwise, our system stays secure, contributing to practical security. As a framework, CaRP does not rely on any specific Captcha scheme. When one Captcha scheme is broken, a new and more secure one may appear and be converted to a CaRP scheme. Overall, our work is one step forward in the paradigm of using hard AI problems for security. Of reasonable security and usability and practical applications, CaRP has good potential for



refinements, which call for useful future work. More importantly, we expect CaRP to inspire new inventions of such AI based security primitives.

VIII. REFERENCES

- R. Biddle, S. Chiasson, and P. C. van Oorschot, "Graphical passwords: Learning from the first twelve years," ACM Comput. Surveys, vol. 44, no. 4, 2012.
- [2] (2012, Feb.). The Science Behind Passfaces [Online]. Available: http://www.realuser.com/published/Science BehindPassfaces.pdf
- [3] I. Jermyn, A. Mayer, F. Monrose, M. Reiter, and A. Rubin, "The design and analysis of graphical passwords," in Proc. 8th USENIX Security Symp., 1999, pp. 1–15.
- [4] H. Tao and C. Adams, "Pass-Go: A proposal to improve the usability of graphical passwords," Int. J. Netw. Security, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 273–292, 2008.
- [5] S. Wiedenbeck, J. Waters, J. C. Birget, A. Brodskiy, and N. Memon, "PassPoints: Design and longitudinal evaluation of a graphical password system," Int. J. HCI, vol. 63, pp. 102–127, Jul. 2005.
- [6] P. C. van Oorschot and J. Thorpe, "On predictive models and userdrawn graphical passwords," ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. Security, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–33, 2008.
- [7] K. Golofit, "Click passwords under investigation," in Proc. ESORICS, 2007, pp. 343–358.
- [8] A. E. Dirik, N. Memon, and J.-C. Birget, "Modeling user choice in the passpoints graphical password scheme," in Proc. Symp. Usable Privacy Security, 2007, pp. 20–28.
- [9] J. Thorpe and P. C. van Oorschot, "Humanseeded attacks and exploiting hot spots in graphical passwords," in Proc. USENIX Security, 2007, pp. 103–118.
- [10] P. C. van Oorschot, A. Salehi-Abari, and J. Thorpe, "Purely automated attacks on passpoints-style graphical passwords," IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 393–405, Sep. 2010.
- [11] P. C. van Oorschot and J. Thorpe, "Exploiting predictability in clickbased graphical passwords," J. Comput. Security, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 669–702, 2011.
- [12] T. Wolverton. (2002, Mar. 26). Hackers Attack eBay Accounts [Online]. Available: http://www.zdnet.co.uk/news/networking/20

02/03/26/hackers-attack-ebay-accounts-2107350/

- [13] HP TippingPoint DVLabs, Vienna, Austria.
 (2010). Top Cyber Security Risks Report, SANS Institute and Qualys Research Labs
 [Online]. Available: http://dvlabs.tippingpoint.com/toprisks2010
- [14] B. Pinkas and T. Sander, "Securing passwords against dictionary attacks," in Proc. ACM CCS, 2002, pp. 161–170.

AUTHOR's PROFILE



SILLA NIROSHA, M.Tech Student, Dept.of Computer Science Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College Of Engineering and Technology.



Mr. URLAM SRIDHAR, Assistant professor, Dept.of Computer Science Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College Of Engineering and Technology.