



Image Transmission Based Power Comparison Analysis of MC-CDMA Systems

PRIYANKA YALAVARTHI
 M.Tech Student
 CMR Technical Campus
 Kandlakoya (V), Medchal (M)
 Hyderabad-501401.

Prof.G.SRIKANTH M.Tech, Ph.D
 Head of the ECE Department
 CMR Technical Campus
 Kandlakoya (V), Medchal (M)
 Hyderabad-501401.

Abstract: In many applications retransmission of lost packets are not permitted. In an OFDM system, due to channel fading, only a subset of carriers are usable for successful data transmission. If the channel state information is available at the transmitter, it is possible to take a proactive decision of mapping the descriptions optimally onto the good subcarriers and discard at the transmitter itself the remaining descriptions, which would have been otherwise dropped at the receiver due to unacceptably high channel errors.

In this paper we present an energy saving approach to transmission of discrete wavelet transformation based compressed image frames over the OFDM channels. Based on one-bit channel state information at the transmitter, the descriptions in order of descending priority are assigned to the currently good channels. In order to reduce the system power consumption, the mapped descriptions onto the bad sub channels are dropped at the transmitter. Via analysis, supported by MATLAB simulations, we demonstrate the usefulness of our proposed scheme in terms of system energy saving without compromising the received quality in terms of peak signal-noise ratio.

Keywords: DWT-OFDM system; fading broadcast channel; channel state feedback; energy saving.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is always desired to increase the data rate over wireless channels. But high rate data communication is significantly limited by Inter Symbol Interference (ISI) and frequency selective fading nature of the channel. Rayleigh fading channel is an example of frequency selective and time varying channel. Multi-carrier modulation is used for such channels to mitigate the effect of ISI. OFDM is a multi-carrier modulation scheme having excellent performance which allows overlapping in frequency domain. In OFDM, individual sub channels are affected by flat fading. So for a period of time, condition of the sub channels may be good, or they might be deeply faded. The packets which are transmitted through these faded sub channels are highly prone to be lost at the receiver due to non-acceptable errors. OFDM system provides an opportunity to exploit the diversity in frequency domain by providing a number of subcarriers, which can work as multiple channels for applications having multiple bit streams.

There are three types of source coding techniques: on progressive coding, which is designed purely for compression efficiency but it requires retransmissions; progressive coding, which also requires retransmissions but it offers scalability; and multiple description coding (MDC), where no retransmission is required but it sacrifices some compression efficiency.

For still image transmission, most common way is progressive (or layered) encoding technique. State-of-the-art image or video compression techniques,

such as JPEG2000 (which uses Discrete Wavelet Transform DWT), layered coding is performed. In this technique, layers should reach in a predefined order for processing the data and reconstructing the image at the receiver. Lost layers are retransmitted to complete the processing at the receiver. This process introduces unpredictable latency, thereby restricting the performance of the system. Layered coding produces data of unequal importance and hence one has to put a higher protection for more important data. Scalability property of the layered coding approach allows that a fewer layers can be transmitted to reconstruct the image frame of an acceptable quality. However those layers should be received perfectly, which leads to the need for retransmissions.

Thus, although progressive coding works well in loss-less transmission system, in the event of errors reconstruction of image can be stalled due to retransmission of lost coefficients, which is not acceptable in real time content delivery applications.

MDC is used for the applications which do not allow latency in the reception. In MDC, source contents, such as DWT coefficients, are divided into multiple bit streams (called descriptions) which are transmitted through different channels. DC receiver is able to decode with a low but acceptable quality even if a fewer descriptions are received. In comparison with the layered coding with no error protection in both, MDC always outperforms in delay sensitive applications. This is because, MDC gives an opportunity to estimate the lost descriptions from the correctly received descriptions without the

need for retransmissions. However, if some channel state information (CSI) (e.g., binary indication, like ‘good’ or ‘bad’) is available at the transmitter, then MDC performance in the delay sensitive applications is no more superior with respect to the layered coding. Since MDC distributes the importance equally among all the coefficients, it works against its recovery quality when CSI is known. It can be explained by the fact that, for a limited correlation among the descriptions produced by MDC, the distortion for even one description loss is more than the minimum variance of the input data streams. So, rather than unnecessarily increasing complexity by using MDC, the DWT compressed data could be directly transmitted over the error-prone sub channels, with the coefficients having lower variances (i.e., with lower importance levels, high pass coefficients) mapped onto ‘bad’ sub channels. Thus, the more important coefficients are protected from likely losses in the transmission process. The lost coefficients in DWT image would still introduce lesser distortion than what it would have been in the MDC scheme.

A key observation is that, the unequal importance level of the compressed image coefficients can be combined intelligently with the binary channel state feedback to achieve an improved transmission performance in delay-sensitive applications. This feedback can also be used further for energy saving in the transmission process with little or no trade-off in transmission performance.

we explore the possibility of transmitting JPEG2000 compressed (DWT) image frames through the block fading OFDM channels with binary channel state feedback, where, unlike in conventional layered coded frame transmission, retransmission of lost packets are not allowed.

Depending on the binary channel feedback and a predefined acceptable received power threshold, the ‘good’ and ‘bad’(deeply faded) channels are sorted, and the coefficients in order of their importance levels are mapped to the sub channels belonging to the good ones. As an energy saving measure, if a coefficient is mapped onto a ‘bad’ sub channel, we propose that, it is discarded at the transmitter itself. Since our mapping scheme ensures that the discarded coefficients are of rather lesser importance, in most cases the transmitted frame could be reconstructed at the receiver with some distortion, without needing retransmissions. An application scenario of our proposed scheme could be real-time image/video transmission in peer-to-peer broadband communication systems.

Prior work on DWT-OFDM system studied the transmission of DWT compressed still image over OFDM multipath channels. In that approach, the high pass coefficients were simply discarded before transmission. In contrast, in our approach, we

consider the possibility of transmitting the low pass as well as high pass coefficients. We also explore the possibility of energy saving in transmission process over fading channel environment by discarding the coefficients of lower importance level through an informed decision process.

Note that, as an alternative approach, adaptive modulation and coding (AMC) may prove to be a good solution for the OFDM system with full channel feedback. But it has a higher complexity in terms of optimization, and full channel feedback information is also less reliable in fast-changing environment due to channel estimation error. On the contrary, under such fast fading channel conditions, the binary channel state information at the transmitter could be available more reliably and at a much lower overhead. This is because, in our approach, binary feedback corresponds to the comparison of the received signal strength with the threshold without resorting to any channel estimation technique.

In our proof of concept study, we generate four coefficients, after the first level DWT. Each coefficient in the form of a data vector is mapped on to a sub channel. We compare the energy saving and reception quality performance, by sending all coefficients over the mapped sub channels versus discarding the ones that are mapped on to the bad channels. Our results show that, up to 60% energy saving is possible at the low fading margins with a considerably high gain in the quality (PSNR) of the received image.

II. SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

The system is implemented by compressing an image frame using DWT and the compressed data is arranged in data vectors, each with equal number of coefficients. These vectors are quantized and binary coded to get the bit streams, which are then packetized and intelligently mapped to the OFDM system, such that poorer sub channels can only affect the lesser important data vectors. We consider only one-bit channel state information available at the transmitter, informing only about the sub channels to be ‘good’ or ‘bad’. For a good sub channel, instantaneous received power should be greater than a threshold P_{th} . Otherwise, the sub channel is in fading state and considered ‘bad’ for that batch of coefficients. Note that the data transmitted through deeply faded sub channels are highly prone to error and are likely to be discarded at the receiver. Thus, the binary channel state information gives an opportunity to map the bit streams intelligently and to save a reasonable amount of power. Below, we described the DWT-OFDM system model in details.

DWT-OFDM system

The proposed model is for transmission of DWT compressed data over OFDM channels in fading environment and illustrated in Fig 1.

The steps involved are as follows:

- 1) DWT is applied on an image frame of original size $S1 \times S2$ pixels, producing four sub-images: HL, LH, HH, and LL, each of the size $S1/2 \times S2/2$ pixels.
- 2) From these sub-images four coefficient vectors are generated, each of length $S1 \cdot S2/2$.
- 3) The coefficient vectors are uniformly quantized and binary coded with L bits/coefficient to form four bit streams.
- 4) The bit streams are packetized and mapped on the OFDM system.




Figure 1: DWT-OFDM system

The two types of noises we are using are Additive white Gaussian noise and Rayleigh fading channel noise.

III. PACKETIZING AND MAPPING ONTO THE OFDM SYSTEM

As described in Fig 1, bit streams are packetized by chopping them into bit vectors of size $N_$ bits. Four such vectors are contained in a packet. Training bits are added at the front of each bit vector to estimate the SNR of the sub channels at the receiver. We illustrate the system by taking an example of OFDM system with IFFT size 128. For this system 32 packets are arranged in parallel to get 128 bit streams. Each bit vector in a packet is m-array modulated, and 32 packets are simultaneously transmitted through different sub channels set. Here we use the feedback to decide the sub channel condition ('good' or 'bad'), and accordingly re-arrange the data vectors to map them to the IFFT module.

We propose a mapping scheme, which is proved to be efficient in terms of quality reception as well as energy savings. Packets are sent through frequency selective, slowly varying fading channel. The reverse process is done at the receiver with suitable treatments due to the discarded or lost data vectors.

The main title (on the first page) should begin 1-3/8 inches (3.49 cm) from the top edge of the page,

centered, and in Times 14-point, boldface type. Capitalize the first letter of nouns, pronouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs; do not capitalize articles, coordinate conjunctions, or prepositions (unless the title begins with such a word). Leave two blank lines after the title.

A. Proposed mapping scheme

For intelligent mapping of the data vectors, sub channel states are fed back to the transmitter in binary form (i.e., one-bit per subcarrier: 'good' (1) or 'bad' (0)). This simple feedback approach also has very less complexity, as it involves only comparison of received signal power with a predefined threshold P_{th} . In a slow fading scenario, a 'bad' channel feedback implies the data sent through that sub channel would have been below an acceptable quality. Accordingly, in our energy saving transmission policy, those data mapped on to the bad sub channels are discarded at the transmitter. Additionally, at the receiver, to discard a data vector, the receiver checks if the received power of a data vector is below an acceptable threshold. Retransmission of discarded coefficients are avoided. Instead, the discarded coefficients at the receiver are replaced by the average coefficient values of their respective sub-images, which introduces some distortion.

To reduce the distortion due to discarding some data, we propose a mapping scheme which takes care of the importance level of the mapped data such that the less important data (i.e., in general for DWT image, low pass filtered components are more important: the ones with lower variance levels) are mapped to the bad sub channels. We arrange the bit vectors from all 32 packets such that they are spaced as apart as possible in frequency domain.




Figure 2: Packet mapping based on channel state DWT-OFDM system

The average distortion per coefficient in a packet produced by this scheme is denoted by D for the analysis purpose. The chosen threshold value P_{th} affects the selection of data vectors that are to be discarded at the transmitter. Thus, the quality of reception and the amount of power saved are also changed. It may be mentioned here that the chosen P_{th} corresponds to a particular fading margin.

B. Formulation And Analysis

We now formulate the average distortion and energy savings in our proposed transmission scheme. We measure the system performance by probabilistic analysis of the average distortion in a block fading environment.

a. Distortion involved for various loss events:

We arrange the data vectors and sub channels in such a way that only the specific loss events can take place. For example, it is unlikely to happen that the data vector with higher importance is transmitted through a bad sub channel, resulting in a loss, while the lesser important data is mapped to a good sub channel and received correctly.

Thus, the mapping scheme gives an opportunity to reduce the distortion as much as possible for a given channel condition. Observe that, only a few loss events can take place.

Let $x_1, x_2, x_3,$ and x_4 are the data vectors corresponding to the four sub-images obtained from original frame using DWT compression. Also, let $\sigma_{x_1}^2, \sigma_{x_2}^2, \sigma_{x_3}^2,$ and $\sigma_{x_4}^2$ are the respective variances.

Without any loss of generality, assume that the variances $\sigma_{x_1}^2$ to $\sigma_{x_4}^2$ are in descending order of magnitude. Thus, the corresponding importance levels are also in descending order. These data vectors are mapped over different sub channels in such a way that only a few specific loss events are possible. The corresponding likelihood of loss events would be: only x_4 is lost; x_3 and x_4 are lost; $x_2, x_3,$ and x_4 are lost; and all $x_1, x_2, x_3,$ and x_4 are lost. Thus, according to our mapping strategy only four combinations of the loss events are possible. The respective distortion associated would be as follows.

The distortion when no data coefficients are lost or discarded is given by:

$$D_{1111} \equiv D_4 = \frac{4\Delta^2}{12},$$

Where Δ is the step size of the quantizer and $4\Delta^2/12$ is the total quantization noise.

The distortion when only x_4 is lost or discarded is given by:

$$D_{1110} \equiv D_3 = \sigma_{x_4}^2 + \frac{3\Delta^2}{12}.$$

Similarly, the distortion when $x_3,$ and x_4 are lost or discarded is given by:

$$D_{1100} \equiv D_2 = \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \sigma_{x_4}^2 + \frac{2\Delta^2}{12},$$

The distortion when $x_2, x_3,$ and x_4 are lost or discarded is given by:

$$D_{1000} \equiv D_1 = \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \sigma_{x_4}^2 + \frac{\Delta^2}{12},$$

And, the distortion when $x_1, x_2, x_3,$ and x_4 are lost or discarded is given by:

$$D_{0000} \equiv D_0 = \sigma_{x_1}^2 + \sigma_{x_2}^2 + \sigma_{x_3}^2 + \sigma_{x_4}^2,$$

Where D_i = distortion when only i number of data vectors out of the four are received in a packet ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$). In general, we can write:

$$D_i = \begin{cases} \frac{i\Delta^2}{12}, & \text{if } i = 4, \\ \sum_{i+1}^4 \sigma_{x_i}^2 + \frac{i\Delta^2}{12}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{---- (1)}$$

b. Block fading channel behaviour

The performance of the proposed scheme depends on probability of the loss events. In this section, the probabilities of loss events are determined with respect to the channel fading parameter. The packets are mapped in such a way that the channel fading can be considered independent for all the four data vectors in any packet. For Rayleigh fading channel, the received power P is exponentially distributed with probability density function given by:

$$f_P(a) = \frac{1}{\bar{P}} \exp\left(-\frac{a}{\bar{P}}\right), \quad \text{---- (2)}$$

where \bar{P} be the average received power.

If F is the fading margin, it is related to the receiver threshold sensitivity P_{th} as:

$$F = \frac{\bar{P}}{P_{th}}. \quad \text{----- (3)}$$

Let p be the probability that a sub-band is in deep fade. Using (2), p can be expressed as:

$$p = \int_0^{P_{th}} f_P(a) da = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{F}\right). \quad \text{---(4)}$$

In our interleaved coefficient mapping scheme, all the four sub channels per group of coefficients are from different sub-bands. Thus, p will also be the probability of a sub channel to be bad. Let P_i = probability associated with the loss event i, for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, which produces distortion D_i . Thus, for an arbitrary received packet we can write:

$$P_i = \binom{4}{i} p^{4-i} (1-p)^i. \quad \text{-----(5)}$$

Then, the average distortion of the proposed scheme can be written as:

$$\bar{D} = \sum_{i=0}^4 D_i P_i, \quad \text{-----(6)}$$

where D_i and P_i can be obtained from (1) and (5), respectively.

C. Energy saving measure

In our scheme the less important data vectors are discarded at the transmitter to save power if corresponding sub channel is in fading state. Denoting the percentage of data not transmitted in a packet as a measure of the percentage of energy saving, using (5) we can write energy saving expression as:

$$\% \text{ energy saved} = 100 \times \sum_{i=0}^4 i P_i / 4. \quad \text{-----(7)}$$

D. Additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN)

It is a basic noise model used.

- **Additive** because it is added to any noise that might be intrinsic to the information system.
- **White** refers to the idea that it has uniform power across the frequency band for the information system. It is an analogy to the color white which has uniform emissions at all frequencies in the visible spectrum.
- **Gaussian** because it has a normal distribution in the time domain with an average time domain value of zero.

AWGN is often used as a channel model in which the only impairment to communication is a linear addition of wideband or white noise with a constant spectral density (expressed as watts per hertz of bandwidth) and a Gaussian distribution of amplitude.

The model does not account for fading, frequency selectivity, interference, non-linearity or dispersion. However, it produces simple and tractable mathematical models which are useful for gaining insight into the underlying behaviour of a system before these other phenomena are considered.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

MATLAB displays graphs in a special window known as a figure. To create a graph, you need to define a coordinate system. Therefore every graph is placed within axes, which are contained by the figure. The actual visual representation of the data is achieved with graphics objects like lines and surfaces. These objects are drawn within the coordinate system defined by the axes, which MATLAB automatically creates specifically to accommodate the range of the data. The actual data is stored as properties of the graphics objects.



Figure 3: Simulation results basic graph page




Figure 4: Rayleigh method: Adding noise and setting SNR value




Figure 5: Simulation results obtained after demodulation




Figure 6: Conditional distortion




Figure 11: Demodulation




Figure 7: Graphical simulation output




Figure 12: Conditional Distortion

```

Command Window
>> mygui
ans =
-0.5/wrightOmega(log(0.5*p) - 3.1415926535897932384626433832795*i)
ans =
-0.5/wrightOmega(log(0.5*p) - 3.1415926535897932384626433832795*i)
PSNR =
28.5280
The BER=0.013699 SSIM=0.904333 UQI=0.559420 PSNR=28.527973
energy_saved =
112.0000
fx >> |
  
```

Figure 8: Total energy saved

```

ans =
-0.5/wrightOmega(log(0.5*p) - 3.1415926535897932384626433832795*i)
PSNR =
31.5931
The BER=0.006702 SSIM=0.949702 UQI=0.695165 PSNR=31.593056
PSNR =
31.5931
  
```

Figure 13: PSNR value obtained




Figure 9: AWGN method: Adding noise




Figure 14: After demodulation




Figure 10: Modulation done

```

Command Window
ans =
-0.5/wrightOmega(log(0.5*p) - 3.1415926535897932384626433832795*i)
PSNR =
20.8347
The BER=0.081092 SSIM=0.662136 UQI=0.284206 PSNR=20.834687
fx >> |
  
```

Figure 15: Simulation output values

```
ans =
-0.5/wrightOmega(log(0.5*p) - 3.1415926535897932384626433832795*);

PSNR =
16.3012

The BER=0.209740 SSIM=0.466053 UOI=0.165323 PSNR=16.301250
```

Figure 16: Final PSNR value obtained

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

To conclude, we present a case of DWT compressed image transmission over OFDM channels where binary channel state information is available at the transmitter, but retransmissions not allowed. We propose an energy saving approach, where the compressed coefficients are arranged in descending order of priority and mapped over the channels starting with the good ones. The coefficients with lower importance level, which are likely mapped over the bad channels are discarded at the transmitter to save power without significant loss of reception quality. Our analytic observations on reception quality and energy saving performance are validated by extensive MATLAB simulations.

As a future work, we plan to extend the current study with CSI adaptive channel rate as well as power control to find a more generalized trade-off between transmission rate and energy saving in image as well as video transmission applications.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] C. Christopoulos, A. Skodras, and T. Ebrahimi, "The JPEG2000 still image coding system: An overview," *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electron.*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1103–127, Nov. 2000.
- [2] V. K. Goyal, "Multiple description coding: Compression meets the network," *IEEE Sig. Proc. Mag.*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 74–93, Sept. 2001.
- [3] Yen-Chi Lee, Joohee Kim, Yucel Altunbasak, and Russel M. Mersereau, "Layered coded versus multiple description coded video over error-prone networks," in *Signal Processing Image Communication*, 2003, vol. 18, pp. 337–356.
- [4] V. K. Goyal and J. Kovacevic, "Optimal multiple description transform coding of Gaussian vectors," in *Proc. IEEE data Compression Conf.*, Snowbird, UT, Mar. 1998, pp. 388–397.
- [5] N. Al-Hinia, K. Neville, A. Z. Sadik, and Z. M. Hussain, "Compressed image transmission over FFT-OFDM: A comparative study," in *Proc. ATNAC*, Australasian. Christchurch, New Zealand, Dec. 2001, pp. 465–469.