

Genotype-isolate interaction for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in sunflower

ROBAB DAVAR^{1*}, REZA DARVISHZADEH^{2,3*} and AHMAD MAJD⁴

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Payam Nour University, P.O. Box, 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

³Institute of Biotechnology, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Tarbiat Moallem University, Tehran, Iran

Summary. The sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the most important crops grown for edible oil. *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary is a common and widespread pathogen of sunflower. In the present study the reaction of 35 genotypes, including recombinant inbred lines and their parents, M7 mutant lines developed by gamma irradiation, and some genotypes from different geographical origins, were evaluated against eight *S. sclerotiorum* isolates in controlled conditions. The proportion of the subsequent basal stem lesions was measured 3 days after inoculation. Highly significant differences were observed among sunflower genotypes and *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, with the isolates interacting differentially with sunflower genotypes. Two genotypes had high partial resistance to all *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, whereas others were susceptible to all isolates. Isolates of *S. sclerotiorum* differed in virulence to host genotypes. Some genotypes showed specific interactions with *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, being resistant to some isolates but susceptible to others. Recombinant inbred lines used in this study showed different reactions to eight isolates of *S. sclerotiorum* when compared with their parental lines. The isolate-specific and isolate-nonspecific partial resistant genotypes identified in present experiments should be used in crossing programmes for breeding of durable resistance to *Sclerotinia* basal stem disease.

Key words: basal stem rot, *Helianthus annuus* L., isolate specific and non-specific partial resistance, interaction effect slicing.

Introduction

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary, which causes white rot and wilt, is a widespread pathogen infecting over 400 species of plants, including many important crop species. The majority of these hosts are dicotyledons, although a number of agriculturally significant monocotyledon plants are also host of this pathogen (Boland and Hall, 1994). Among hosts, sunflower is an important plant that is susceptible to *S. sclerotiorum* infections during almost its entire life cycle. Sunflower cotyledons,

apical buds, stem bases, leaves and head are susceptible to infection by fungi and show white rot (Gulya *et al.*, 1997).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a homothallic fungus producing sexual ascospores, but no conidia. The fungus produces sclerotia that are the asexual resting propagules that germinate to produce either hyphae or apothecia (Mitchell and Wheeler, 1990). White rot, caused by *S. sclerotiorum*, is a major yield-limiting factor in sunflower in temperate regions of the world. Rapid drying of the leaves and development of lesions on the tap roots and basal portions of stems cause plants to die within a few days after the onset of wilting (Dorrell and Huang, 1978). Yield losses can reach 100% when the climatic conditions are favorable for the fungus (Sackston, 1992). In most cases, fungus penetration of host plants is directly through the cuticle

Corresponding author: R. Darvishzadeh
E-mail: r.darvishzadeh@mail.urmia.ac.ir
Fax: + 98 441 2779558

*These two authors have equally contributed to this work.

and not through stomata (Boyle, 1921), and enzymatic digestion of the cuticle plays a role in the penetration process (Tariq and Jeffries, 1986). Infection of healthy tissue by myceliogenic infection depends on the formation of appressoria (Tariq and Jeffries, 1984). Soil and climatic conditions in production areas influence the plant tissues most attacked, but economic losses following more than one form of attack may occur in the same region (Tourvieille de Labrouhe *et al.*, 1992). In Iran, attacks by the pathogen on basal stems are considered a potential danger for the sunflower crop.

Chemical control of *Sclerotinia* disease on sunflower either does not exist or it is difficult to apply on a large scale (Peres and Regnault, 1985). Control using host resistance is therefore of considerable importance, and the aim must be to select genotypes with high levels of resistance to all forms of *S. sclerotiorum* attack found in the regions in which sunflower crops may be cultivated. Utilization of sunflower cultivars with improved partial resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* in combination with appropriate crop management practices is an effective way to control the disease. Genetic variability for susceptibility to white rot in sunflower has been reported both in field and controlled conditions, but no complete resistance has been identified in the cultivated sunflower (Tourvieille *et al.*, 1996; Degener *et al.*, 1998, 1999; Rönicker *et al.*, 2004). Quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with partial resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* in sunflowers have been identified in several studies (Mestries *et al.*, 1998; Bert *et al.*, 2002; Hahn, 2002; Micic *et al.*, 2004; Davar *et al.*, 2010). Using parental genotypes and their recombinant inbred lines, Davar *et al.* (2010) identified several QTLs for partial resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* under controlled conditions.

Recently, in separate experiments, Ekins *et al.* (2007) in Australia and Davar *et al.* (2010) in Iran evaluated the aggressiveness of several *S. sclerotiorum* isolates on sunflower in controlled conditions. They showed that *S. sclerotiorum* isolates differed in their aggressiveness on sunflower plants. Screening of the aggressiveness of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates would be best conducted before their use in resistance screening, to ensure that hypovirulent isolates are not used in resistance screening. The use of multiple isolates would also be beneficial for resistance screening programs,

not only to ensure aggressive isolates are tested, but also to screen against other traits of the pathogen (Ekins *et al.*, 2007).

Differences in aggressiveness of *S. sclerotiorum* isolates suggests the existence of genotype × isolate interactions in the sunflower/*S. sclerotiorum* pathosystem. Existence of any interactions between sunflower genotypes and fungal isolates can influence the efficiency of breeding programs. Understanding differential responses of sunflower genotypes to different *S. sclerotiorum* isolates is useful for development of durable resistance and for plant breeding, provided that breeders know which types of *S. sclerotiorum* exist in the geographic areas they are breeding for. The high genetic variability for pathogenicity in *S. sclerotiorum* requires simultaneous incorporation of several genes for resistance into host cultivars if they are to remain effective for use over a large area. The lack of information on the interactions between resistance genes and pathogen populations places limitations on the effective deployment of resistance. The present study was aimed to determine the amount of variation in *S. sclerotiorum*, and whether any interactions occur in the response of sunflower genotypes to a range of isolates. The information presented here will assist sunflower breeders to choose parents of crosses for breeding of durable resistance to basal stem rot of sunflower.

Materials and methods

Sunflower genotypes and fungal isolates

Thirty five sunflower genotypes were selected on the basis of their agricultural characteristics and levels of susceptibility to *S. sclerotiorum*. Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between PAC2 and RHA266 were selected for their partial resistance to isolate SSU107 of *S. sclerotiorum* (Davar *et al.*, 2010). Several mutants were identified that consistently showed altered resistance to black stem disease caused by *Phoma macdonaldii* (Darvishzadeh *et al.*, 2010), and four of them were selected for this investigation. Mutant lines were developed by irradiation of the AS613 genotype with gamma rays and advanced by modified single-seed descent (SSD) with no prior selection for resistance to the disease (Sarraf *et al.*, 2000). Other genotypes used in this study were Iranian inbred lines (provided by Mehdi Ghaffari,

Table 1. Analysis of variance for disease severity in sunflower genotypes infected by eight *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolates in controlled conditions. Coefficient of variation = 16.72.

Source of variation	df ^a	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value ^b
Genotype	34	16.02	0.472	37.37**
Isolate	7	5.99	0.861	68.14**
Genotype × isolate	238	14.42	0.061	4.79**

Genotype × isolate effect sliced by isolate				
Isolate ^c	df ^a	Sum of squares	Mean square	F value ^b
SSKH2	34	2.85	0.083	6.63**
SSKH26	34	2.85	0.084	6.62**
SSS45	34	6.04	0.178	14.06**
SSU35	34	3.88	0.114	9.02**
SSU53	34	4.12	0.121	9.59**
SSU55	34	2.62	0.077	6.11**
SSU73	34	6.10	0.078	6.19**
SSU87	34	5.44	0.160	12.66**

^adf = degrees of freedom.

^b** = Significant at $P=0.01$.

^cFor each isolate the first two letters refer to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* Lib. de Bary. The third and fourth letters show the abbreviated name of the locations where the isolates were collected. S, Salmas; KH, Khoy; U, Urmia. The locations were ~200 km apart.

The Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Khoy, Iran) and lines introduced from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Yugoslavian, Hungarian, and French seed companies.

These isolates (SSU53, SSU55, SSU73, SSU87, SSKH2, SSKH26, SSU35, SSS45) are intermediate to the most aggressive and were derived from samples collected from northwest regions of Iran where sunflower is cultivated. The selected isolates were SSU53, SSU55, SSU73, SSU87, SSKH2, SSKH26, SSU35, SSS45.

Experimental design

The responses of the 35 sunflower genotypes were evaluated with eight *S. sclerotiorum* isolates under controlled conditions. A factorial experiment was arranged in completely randomized design with six replications. Seeds were sterilized for 5 min in sodium hypochlorite solution (6 chlorometric degrees) and then sown in 10×12 cm pots filled with sterilized soil. The soil was silty clay with a pH of 7.6 and an EC of 0.6 dSm⁻¹. Plants

were grown in a controlled environment with a 12 h day, 65% relative humidity and a day/night temperature of 24±1/18±1°C with a daylight intensity of 200 mEm⁻²s⁻¹ for 4 weeks, until they reached growth stage V6–V8 (Schneider and Miller, 1981). *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolates were separately grown on PDA medium in the dark at room temperature (25±2°C). Mycelial plugs (3 mm diam.) of each isolate were cut from the growing edges of colonies (3 days old on PDA) and were placed against the basal stems of the sunflower plants at V6–V8 growth stage. The stem of each inoculated plant and mycelial plug were wrapped with parafilm for 48 h to preserve humidity, following the method of Price and Colhoun (1975). For each plant, the percentage of necrotic area on 1 cm of the stem base and all around it was assessed visually 3 days after inoculation.

Statistical analysis

The normality of disease severity data were assessed with the Shapiro-Wilks test (Proc Univari-

ate; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Analysis of variance was performed using the general linear model (GLM) procedure in the SAS software. The main effects of genotypes and isolates as well as their interactions were determined. Host line \times isolate interaction effects were sliced by isolate in the SAS software in order to identify the specificity of sunflower lines to particular isolates. When significant treatment effects were found in the analysis of variance, mean comparisons were performed with the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test.

Results

The infection tests on basal stems showed that there were statistically significant differences ($P \leq 0.01$) in isolate aggressivities on the 35 sunflower genotypes tested (Table 1). The variation in the mean responses of host genotypes to infection by *S. sclerotiorum* was also identified. On the other hand, the genotype \times isolate interaction was significant (Table 1), indicating that the sunflower genotypes differentially responded to *S. sclerotiorum* isolates. Isolate SSKH26 was the most aggressive on the genotypes tested, whereas isolate SSU35 was the least aggressive (Table 2). Host genotype LR67 and our mutant line 'M7-575-1' were the most resistant genotypes across all isolates tested, while the lines M7-2861, HA337B and SDB3 were susceptible to the all isolates tested. Some of genotypes, such as LR57 and C94, showed intermediate responses across *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, while others had different responses against different isolates. Specific interactions between host lines and isolates were identified using 'interaction effect slicing'. Line \times isolate effects sliced by isolate identified specifically resistant or susceptible lines to particular isolates.

Line \times isolate slicing revealed that line RHA265 had specific interactions with isolate SSU73, whereas it was more susceptible to most other isolates. Line PAC2 had specific resistance to isolate SSU35 but was susceptible to other studied isolates. Line H229 showed resistance to isolates SSU35 and SSU53. Genotype NSATB5 showed moderate resistance to isolate SSU35, but was susceptible to all other isolates. Line ENSAT-B4 was especially susceptible to isolates SSKH2 and SSKH26. Line \times isolate slicing revealed that line HC59 had specific susceptibility to isolates SSKH2

and SSKH26, but had specific resistance to isolate SSS45. The RILs showed different reactions to the *S. sclerotiorum* isolates when compared with their parental lines (PAC2 and RHA266) (Table 2). For instance, line LR19 was more resistant to isolate SSU55 in comparison to its parents PAC2 and RHA266.

Discussion

Scoring the damage caused by a pathogen in naturally infected plants under field conditions can be reliable, but it is not always possible to evenly expose plants to the pathogen and so achieve uniform infection. Homogeneous infection of each genotype is essential for the precise identification of the level of susceptibility in each inbred line (Hahn, 2000). For this reason, the artificial inoculation method developed by Price and Colhoun (1975) was used in the present study to apply standardized infection. The basal stem test allowed the inoculum load per plant to be controlled, minimizing plants escaping infection and consequently reducing potential for false negatives in responses to the pathogen. No fully resistant genotypes have been identified in sunflower for resistance to *Sclerotinia* (Hahn, 2002); all plantlets with no visible lesions on the basal stems were defined as not infected, and excluded from the present experiment.

Genotypes used in this study differed considerably in resistance to *Sclerotinia* wilt (Table 2, and b). Among the 35 sunflower genotypes used, three lines, M7-2861, HA337B and SDB3, were susceptible to all isolates of *S. sclerotiorum*, whereas LR67 and our mutant line M7-575-1 showed partial resistance to all *S. sclerotiorum* isolates. Lines HC133, H229, NSATB5, ENSAT-54 and HC59 showed different susceptibility levels to studied isolates (Table 2). Results confirm the genetic variability for partial resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* on the basal stems of sunflower observed in previous studies under field and controlled conditions (Micic *et al.*, 2005a, 2005b; Davar *et al.*, 2010). These results indicate the potential for enhancing resistance to mycelial extension of the pathogen in sunflower. Variation in susceptibility of genotypes can be attributed predominantly to genetic causes, because the infection of plants at the same developmental stage and grown under similar

Table 2. Mean percent necrotic area on 1 cm of the stem bases of 35 sunflower genotypes inoculated with eight *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolates (SSU53, SSU55, SSU73, SSU87; SSKH2, SSKH26, SSU35, SSS45) under controlled conditions. Percentage of necrotic area was measured visually 3 days after inoculation.

Genotype	¹ Type	Origin	² Isolate								\bar{X} genotype ⁴
			SSU53	SSU55	SSU73	SSU87	SSKH2	SSKH26	SSU35	SSS45	
AS5304	BL	France	63 ^{bcdefghi}	50 ^{def}	73 ^{abcde}	63 ^{cdefghij}	70 ^{abcde}	73 ^{abcdefg}	65 ^{abcdef}	49 ^{ijkl}	63.25
AS613	BL	France	78 ^{abcdefg}	66 ^{bcdef}	85 ^{abc}	83 ^{abcdef}	100 ^a	68 ^{bcdefg}	60 ^{abcdefg}	88 ^{abcdef}	78.50
C94	RIL	France	46 ^{hij}	55 ^{cdef}	64 ^{cdef}	47 ^{hij}	56 ^{de}	75 ^{abcdefg}	43 ^{fghi}	45 ^{ijkl}	53.88
ENSAT-B4	BL	France	51 ^{fghij}	58 ^{bcdef}	61 ^{cdef}	54 ^{fghij}	80 ^{abcde}	90 ^{abcd}	53 ^{cdefghi}	46 ^{ijkl}	61.63
LC1064-C	BL	France	36 ^{ij}	78 ^{abcd}	83 ^{abc}	51 ^{ghij}	60 ^{bede}	84 ^{abcdef}	51 ^{cdefghi}	56 ^{ghijkl}	62.38
LR19	RIL	France	85 ^{abcd}	42 ^f	45 ^{ef}	99 ^a	54 ^e	54 ^{fg}	75 ^{abcde}	53 ^{hijkl}	63.38
LR57	RIL	France	48 ^{ghij}	51 ^{def}	56 ^{cdef}	54 ^{fghij}	55 ^{de}	50 ^g	43 ^{fghi}	33 ^l	48.75
LR67	RIL	France	29 ^j	45 ^{ef}	70 ^{abcdef}	35 ^j	71 ^{abcde}	66 ^{bcdefg}	26 ⁱ	38 ^{jkl}	47.50
M7-2861	M	France	92 ^{ab}	71 ^{abcdef}	68 ^{bcdef}	90 ^{abcd}	88 ^{abc}	100 ^a	85 ^{ab}	99 ^a	86.63
M7-381-1-1	M	France	68 ^{abcdefgh}	56 ^{cdef}	73 ^{abcde}	66 ^{bcdefghij}	74 ^{abcde}	58 ^{efg}	48 ^{defghi}	64 ^{defghijkl}	63.38
M7-54-1	M	France	55 ^{defghij}	54 ^{cdef}	59 ^{cdef}	78 ^{abcdefgh}	70 ^{abcde}	64 ^{bcdefg}	45 ^{efghi}	34 ^{kl}	57.38
M7-575-1	M	France	35 ^{ij}	45 ^{ef}	55 ^{cdef}	48 ^{hij}	58 ^{cde}	64 ^{bcdefg}	31 ^{ghi}	36 ^{jkl}	46.50
NSATB5	BL	France	62 ^{bcdefghi}	78 ^{abcd}	75 ^{abcde}	80 ^{abcdefg}	99 ^a	100 ^a	45 ^{defghi}	75 ^{abcdefghi}	76.75
NSATR5	BL	France	71 ^{abcdefgh}	59 ^{bcdef}	98 ^{ab}	90 ^{abcd}	80 ^{abcde}	84 ^{abcdef}	80 ^{abc}	65 ^{cdefghijk}	78.38
PAC2	BL	France	50 ^{fghij}	61 ^{bcdef}	61 ^{cdef}	63 ^{cdefghij}	71 ^{abcde}	74 ^{abcdefg}	40 ^{fghi}	52 ^{hijkl}	59.00
RT931	BL	France	73 ^{abcdefgh}	79 ^{abcd}	61 ^{cdef}	100 ^a	85 ^{abcde}	68 ^{bcdefg}	87 ^a	67 ^{bcdefghij}	77.50
B454/03	BL	Hungary	66 ^{abcdefghi}	75 ^{abcde}	78 ^{abcd}	73 ^{abcdefghi}	84 ^{abcde}	85 ^{abcdef}	51 ^{cdefghi}	96 ^{abc}	76.00
F1250/03	BL	Hungary	65 ^{abcdefghi}	66 ^{bcdef}	78 ^{abcd}	96 ^{ab}	73 ^{abcde}	91 ^{abc}	77 ^{abcd}	100 ^a	80.75
H227	BL	Iran	65 ^{abcdefghi}	76 ^{abcde}	83 ^{abc}	93 ^{abc}	100 ^a	100 ^a	76 ^{abcde}	95 ^{abcd}	86.00
H228	BL	Iran	48 ^{ghij}	49 ^{def}	55 ^{cdef}	78 ^{abcdefgh}	100 ^a	81 ^{abcdefg}	58 ^{abcdefgh}	39 ^{jkl}	63.50
H229	BL	Iran	36 ^{ij}	64 ^{bcdef}	83 ^{abc}	50 ^{ij}	74 ^{abcde}	60 ^{defg}	28 ^{hi}	63 ^{efghijkl}	57.25
HC113	BL	Iran	45 ^{hij}	64 ^{bcdef}	75 ^{abcde}	55 ^{efghij}	78 ^{abcde}	73 ^{abcdefg}	54 ^{bcdefghi}	50 ^{ijkl}	61.75
HC133	BL	Iran	85 ^{abcd}	88 ^{ab}	77 ^{abcd}	99 ^a	100 ^a	93 ^{ab}	75 ^{abcde}	53 ^{hijkl}	83.75
HC59	BL	Iran	52 ^{efghij}	63 ^{bcdef}	51 ^{def}	61 ^{defghij}	90 ^{ab}	76 ^{abcdefg}	61 ^{abcdefg}	35 ^{jkl}	61.13
RHA265	BL	USA	74 ^{abcdefgh}	85 ^{abc}	35 ^f	95 ^{ab}	78 ^{abcde}	93 ^{ab}	60 ^{abcdefgh}	98 ^{ab}	77.25
RHA266	BL	USA	51 ^{fghij}	100 ^a	50 ^{def}	94 ^{abc}	100 ^a	76 ^{abcdefg}	69 ^{abcdef}	94 ^{abcde}	79.25
RHA340	BL	USA	49 ^{fghij}	48 ^{def}	63 ^{cdef}	55 ^{efghij}	64 ^{bede}	84 ^{abcdef}	54 ^{bcdefghi}	48 ^{ijkl}	58.13
SB1	BL	USA	60 ^{cdefghij}	53 ^{def}	79 ^{abcd}	98 ^a	65 ^{bede}	100 ^a	75 ^{abcde}	82 ^{abcdefgh}	76.50
SDB3	BL	USA	95 ^a	73 ^{abcdef}	100 ^a	93 ^{abc}	79 ^{abcde}	70 ^{bcdefg}	70 ^{abcdef}	86 ^{abcdefg}	83.25
SDR19	BL	USA	88 ^{abc}	75 ^{abcde}	98 ^{ab}	84 ^{abcdef}	83 ^{abcde}	94 ^{ab}	86 ^a	58 ^{fghijkl}	83.25
803-1	BL	Yugoslav	80 ^{abcdef}	53 ^{def}	64 ^{cdef}	92 ^{abcd}	85 ^{abcde}	100 ^a	71 ^{abcdef}	58 ^{fghijkl}	75.38
HA337B	BL	Yugoslav	83 ^{abcde}	85 ^{abc}	75 ^{abcde}	100 ^a	100 ^a	88 ^{abcde}	78 ^{abcd}	70 ^{cdefghij}	84.88
HAR4	BL	Yugoslav	52 ^{efghij}	54 ^{cdef}	68 ^{bcdef}	86 ^{abcde}	86 ^{abcd}	79 ^{abcdefg}	71 ^{abcdef}	48 ^{ijkl}	68.00
PM1-3	BL	Yugoslav	63 ^{bcdefghi}	68 ^{bcdef}	75 ^{abcde}	99 ^a	78 ^{abcde}	92 ^{ab}	75 ^{abcde}	62 ^{fghijkl}	76.50
QHP-1	BL	Yugoslav	43 ^{hij}	52 ^{def}	65 ^{cdef}	48 ^{hij}	57 ^{cde}	60 ^{cdefg}	41 ^{fghi}	38 ^{jkl}	50.50
\bar{X} isolate ⁵			60.20	62.09	69.77	63.97	78.43	79.06	60.20	62.09	

^a BL, breeder's line; RIL, recombinant inbred line; M, gamma-irradiation induced mutant line.

^b For each isolate the first two letters refer to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* Lib. de Bary. The third and fourth letters show the abbreviated name of the locations where the isolates were collected. S, Salmas; KH, Khoy; U, Urmia. The locations were ~200 km apart.

^c Mean percent necrotic area of each genotype challenged by each *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolate, 3 days after basal stem inoculation. Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different ($P=0.05$) according to the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test.

^d Main effect of genotype.

^e Main effect of isolate.

conditions helped to minimize the influence of environmental factors.

This study showed significant differences in aggressiveness among *S. sclerotiorum* isolates obtained from basal stem lesions in sunflower in the main regions of Iran where this crop is grown. The least and most aggressive isolates were SSU35 and SSKH26, respectively (Table 2). Similar variation in aggressiveness was demonstrated among isolates of *S. sclerotiorum* originating from different geographical locations based on pathogenicity tests on basal stem (Davar *et al.*, 2010; Ekins *et al.*, 2007).

The results provide strong indications of the existence of specificity between *S. sclerotiorum* isolates and sunflower genotypes for partial resistance. The line \times isolate interaction effect sliced by isolate allowed individual interactions to be clearly identified. Genotype RHA265 had specific resistance to isolate SSU73 (Table 2). Also lines HC133, H229, NSATB5, ENSAT-54 and HC59 had specific resistance or susceptibility to some isolates. These results are in agreement with those of Darvishzadeh *et al.* (2007), who also found large differences between French *P. macdonaldii* isolates and those of other countries in aggressiveness on sunflower genotypes. In their study, the two host genotypes AS613 and PAC2 showed specific resistance to isolate MP8 of *P. macdonaldii*. In the present study, specific resistance was also detected in genotype PAC2 against isolate SSU35 of *S. sclerotiorum*. If a line was resistant to all isolates no interaction could be detected, and, likewise, if one isolate had low aggressiveness on all lines tested no interaction could be detected. Host lines LR67 and M7-575-1, the most resistant lines, had high partial resistance to all isolates (Table 2).

Genotype \times isolate interactions for partial resistance have been observed in other pathosystems, such as sunflower/*Phomopsis* (Viguié *et al.*, 1999), maize/*Fusarium* (Reid *et al.* (1993) and rice/*Magnaporthe* (Zenbayashi-Sawata *et al.*, 2002). All reports on genotype \times isolate interactions draw the same conclusion concerning inoculum to be used when breeding for durable resistance. In studies of strawberry resistance to *Colletotrichum acutatum*, Denoyes-Rothan and Guerin (1996) observed fluctuations in disease response and warned breeders of the necessity of using strains representative of the population to screen for stable resistant culti-

vars. Baergen *et al.* (1993), working on *Verticillium dahliae* on tomato, suggested that several isolates should be used to improve resistance to race 2 of the pathogen.

Specific interactions can be used to postulate the presence of resistance genes that operate partially in compatible interactions, as the percentage of basal stem area exhibiting disease symptoms in partially resistant genotypes corresponds to very localized necrosis and not to a hypersensitive response, as basal stem necrosis spreads slightly with time. Gene-for-gene relationships were reported between a partial resistance gene in rice and a gene for aggressiveness in *Magnaporthe grisea* (Zenbayashi-Sawata *et al.*, 2005). Zenbayashi-Sawata *et al.* (2005) concluded that the gene-for-gene relationship between host and pathogen might operate both for complete resistance, as expressed in incompatible combinations, and also for partial resistance in compatible interactions.

In the present study, mutant host line M7-575-1 showed strongly enhanced partial resistance towards all *S. sclerotiorum* isolates compared to the original line AS613 (Table 2). This might have been caused by the lack of some susceptibility factors in the mutant line. Plant resistance towards a pathogen is often correlated to receptor-mediated perception of the pathogen, which triggers fast and efficient defense responses in the host (Montesano *et al.*, 2003). A possible hypothesis to explain the phenotype of mutant M7-575-1 is that a mutation modified a putative receptor involved in resistance towards *S. sclerotiorum* isolates and changed ligand specificity. Enhanced partial resistance has previously been reported by Darvishzadeh *et al.* (2007, 2008) in the sunflower mutant line M6-54-1 for partial resistance towards three *P. macdonaldii* isolates (MA6, MP10 and MP6) compared to the original line AS613.

Recombinant inbred lines used in the present study showed different reactions to eight isolates of *S. sclerotiorum* when compared with their parental lines (PAC2 and RHA266) (Table 2). This is in agreement with the work of Darvishzadeh *et al.* (2007), who observed that the susceptibility of sunflower RILs that were infected by seven isolates of *P. macdonaldii* varied in comparison to their parents. Bert *et al.* (2004) reported that susceptibility of sunflower genotypes in F3 families infected by

an isolate of *P. macdonaldii* and *S. sclerotiorum* varied in both directions when compared with their parents. Davar *et al.* (2010) observed that susceptibility of sunflower genotypes in F9 lines infected by an isolate of *S. sclerotiorum* varied significantly. Some RILs produced lower disease severity than their parents, but others produced greater severity than the parents. This phenomenon, considered as transgressive segregation, is the result of accumulation of alleles with positive or negative additive effects in the offspring (Zhang *et al.*, 2001). This was supported by QTL mapping in our previous study, since the sign of the gene effects showed that both parental lines contributed to positive alleles for resistance to basal stem rot (Davar *et al.*, 2010).

In conclusion, new sources of resistance to *Sclerotinia* basal stem disease were identified in the genotypes selected from the mutant sunflower population. The isolate-specific and isolate-nonspecific partial resistant genotypes identified in this experiment could be used in crossing programmes for breeding of durable resistance to *Sclerotinia* basal stem disease.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Y. Ghosta (Department of Plant Pathology, Urmia University, Iran) who helped to gather the collection of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* isolates, and Institute of Biotechnology, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran, for financial support.

Literature cited

Baergen K.D., J.D. Hewitt and D.A. Saint-Clair, 1993. Resistance of tomato genotypes to 4 isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* Race 2. *Horticultural Science* 28, 833–836.

Bert P.F., I. Jouan, D. Tourvieille de Labrouhe, F. Serre, P. Nicolas and F. Vear, 2002. Comparative genetic analysis of quantitative traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) 1. QTL involved in resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Diaporthe helianthi*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 105, 985–993.

Bert P.F., G. Dechamp-Guillaume, F. Serre, I. Jouan, D. Tourvieille de Labrouhe, P. Nicolas and F. Vear, 2004. Comparative genetic analysis of quantitative traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) 3. Characterisation of QTL involved in resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Phoma macdonaldii*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 109, 865–874.

Boland G.J. and R. Hall, 1994. Index of plant hosts of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 16, 93–108.

Boyle C., 1921. Studies in the physiology of parasitism. VI. Infection by *Sclerotinia libertiana*. *Annual Review of Botany* 35, 337–347.

Darvishzadeh R., A. Pirzad, Y. Rezaee Danesh and A. Sarrafi, 2010. The resistance response of sunflower genotypes to black stem disease under controlled conditions. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 49, 187–193.

Darvishzadeh R., G. Dechamp-Guillaume, T. Hewezi and A. Sarrafi, 2007. Genotype-isolate interaction for resistance to black stem in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Plant Pathology* 56, 654–660.

Darvishzadeh R., S. Poormohammad Kiani, T. Huguet and A. Sarrafi, 2008. Genetic variation and identification of molecular marker associated with partial resistance to *Phoma macdonaldii* in gamma-irradiation-induced mutants of sunflower. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 30, 106–114.

Davar R., R. Darvishzadeh, A. Majd, Y. Gousta and A. Sarrafi, 2010. QTL mapping of partial resistance to basal stem rot in sunflower using recombinant inbred lines. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 49, 330–341.

Degener J., A.E. Melchinger, R.K. Gumber, and V. Hahn, 1998. Breeding for *Sclerotinia* resistance in sunflower: A modified screening test and assessment of genetic variation in current germplasm. *Plant Breeding* 117, 367–372.

Degener J., A.E. Melchinger and V. Hahn, 1999. Optimal allocation of resources in evaluating current sunflower inbred lines for resistance to *Sclerotinia*. *Plant Breeding* 118, 157–160.

Denoyes-Rothan B. and G. Gu´erin 1996. Comparison of six inoculation techniques with *Colletotrichum acutatum* on cold stored strawberry plants and screening for resistance to this fungus in French strawberry collections. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 102, 615–621.

Dorrell D.G. and H.C. Huang, 1978. Influence of *Sclerotinia* wilt on seed yield and quality of sunflower wilted at different stages of development. *Crop Science* 18, 974–978.

Ekins M.G., E.A.B. Aitken and K.C. Goulter, 2007. Aggressiveness among isolates of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* from sunflower. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 36, 580–586.

Gulya T., K.Y. Rashid and S.M. Masireviæ, 1997. Sunflower diseases. In: *Sunflower Technology and Production*. (A.A. Schneiter, ed.), ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, USA, 263–379.

Hahn V., 2000. Resistance to *Sclerotinia* head rot in sunflower after artificial infection with inoculated millet seed. *Proceedings of the 15th International Sunflower Conference*. Toulouse, France, Tome II, K19–K22.

Hahn V., 2002. Genetic variation for resistance to *Sclerotinia* head rot in sunflower inbred lines. *Field Crops Research* 77, 153–159.

Mestries E., L. Gentzbittel, D. Tourvieille de Labrouhe, P.

- Nicolas and F. Vear, 1998. Analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus* L.) using molecular markers. *Molecular Breeding* 4, 215–226.
- Micic Z., V. Hahn, E. Bauer, C.C. Schön, S.J. Knapp, S. Tang and A.E. Melchinger, 2004. QTL mapping of *Sclerotinia* mid-stalk rot resistance in sunflower. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 109, 1474–1484.
- Micic Z., V. Hahn, E. Bauer, C.C. Schon and A.E. Melchinger, 2005a. QTL mapping of resistance to *Sclerotinia* mid-stalk rot in RIL of sunflower population NDBLOSsel×CM625. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 110, 1490–1498.
- Micic Z., V. Hahn, E. Bauer, A.E. Melchinger, S.J. Knapp, S. Tang and C.C. Schön, 2005b. Identification and validation of QTL for *Sclerotinia* mid-stalk rot resistance in sunflower by selective genotyping. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 111, 233–242.
- Mitchell S.J. and B.E.J. Wheeler, 1990. Factors affecting the production of apothecia and longevity of sclerotia of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Pathology* 39, 70–74.
- Montesano M., G. Brader and E.T. Palva, 2003. Pathogen derived elicitors: searching for receptors in plants. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 4, 73–9.
- Peres J. and Y. Regnault, 1985. *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary: Recherche de moyens chimiques permettant de limiter la production d'inoculum par traitement du sol. In: *Proceedings, 11th International Sunflower Conference*, 10–13/03/82, Mar del Plata, Argentina, II, 363–368.
- Price K. and J. Colhoun, 1975. A study of variability of isolates of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary from different hosts. *Journal of Phytopathology* 83, 159–166.
- Reid L.M., D. Spaner, D.E. Mather, A.T. Bolton and R.I. Hamilton, 1993. Resistance of maize hybrids and inbreds following silk inoculation with three isolates of *Fusarium graminearum*. *Plant Disease* 77, 1248–1251.
- Rönicke S., V. Hahn, R. Horn, I. Gröne, L. Brahm, H. Schnabl and W. Friedt, 2004. Interspecific hybrids of sunflower as a source of *Sclerotinia* resistance. *Plant Breeding* 123, 152–157.
- Sackston W.E., 1992. On a treadmill: Breeding sunflowers for resistance to disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 30, 529–551.
- Sarrafi A., H. Kayyal, G. Rachid Al-Chaarani, F. Cantin, A.S. Chalaine and A.S. Durielle, 2000. Inheritance of organogenesis parameters in cotyledons of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Genetics and Breeding* 54, 227–31.
- Schneider A.A. and J.F. Miller, 1981. Description of sunflower growth stages. *Crop Science* 21, 901–903.
- Tariq V.N. and P. Jeffries, 1984. Appressorium formation by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*: scanning electron microscopy. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 82, 645–651.
- Tariq V.N. and P. Jeffries, 1986. Ultrastructure of penetration of *Phaseolus* spp. by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 64, 2909–2915.
- Tourvieille de Labrouhe D., F. Vear and E.H. Achbani, 1992. Attack of sunflower terminal buds by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* symptoms and resistance. In: *Proceedings of 13th International Sunflower Conference*, 8–10 September 1992, Pisa, Italy, 859–864.
- Tourvieille D., E. Mestries and F. Vear, 1996. Multilocal *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* resistance test. In: *ISA Symposium I: Diseases Tolerance in Sunflower*, Beijing, China, 71–76.
- Viguié A., F. Vear, D. Tourvieille de Labrouhe, 1999. Interactions between French isolates of *Phomopsis/Diaporthe helianthi* Munt.-Cvet. et al. and sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) genotypes. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 105, 693–702.
- Zenbayashi-Sawata K., T. Ashizawa and S. Koizumi, 2002. Mapping of QTL (quantitative trait locus) conferring partial resistance to leaf blast in rice cultivar Chubu 32. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 104, 547–52.
- Zhang Z.J., G.H. Yang, G.H. Li, S.L. Jin and X.B. Yang, 2001. Transgressive segregation, heritability, and number of genes controlling durable resistance to stripe rust in one Chinese and two Italian wheat cultivars. *Phytopathology* 91, 680–686.

Accepted for publication May 31, 2011