

## Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter Title	Empowerment of Intergroup Harmony and Equity	
Copyright Year	2019	
Copyright Holder	The Author(s)	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	<b>Bigazzi</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Sara</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization/University	Institute of Psychology, University of Pécs
	Address	Pécs, Hungary
Author	Family Name	<b>Serdült</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Sára</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization/University	Institute of Psychology, University of Pécs
	Address	Pécs, Hungary
Author	Family Name	<b>Bokrétás</b>
	Particle	
	Given Name	<b>Ildikó</b>
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization/University	Institute of Psychology, University of Pécs
	Address	Pécs, Hungary

---

Abstract

The impact of empowerment interventions is often short-lived because they are not anchored in changes in the wider social and structural context. This chapter draws its inspiration from social representation theory and social identity theory. Several theoretical propositions are derived from these theories that bear on the effectiveness of empowerment interventions. Drawing on field experiences with Roma communities and young unemployed people in Hungary and Italy, we demonstrate how a focus on intergroup interactions, between minority and majority group members, is central to the empowerment process. In addition, we address the role of power and the means by which power can be dissembled and more equitably shared. Finally, we discuss the importance of placing contextual factors at the center of our analysis and enacting changes in context in order to arrive at empowerment interventions that produce sustainable changes in intergroup harmony and equity.

---

Keywords (separated  
by “ - ”)

Empowerment - Social representation theory - Social identity theory

---

# Chapter 8

## Empowerment of Intergroup Harmony and Equity

1  
2  
3

Sara Bigazzi, Sára Serdült, and Ildikó Bokrétás

4

*Think it over my Brother,  
they tell that you are dirty and leprous  
but only few of them know  
that my people scattered all over the world  
has never made a war.*

Poem by Romeo Cizmic, 5 yrs, a Roma child living in a camp in Rome (Documentary on the housing situation of Roma people in Rome. 2003. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tx-k7E40JrI>).

### 8.1 Introduction

5

Actions aimed at empowering minority groups often focus on the specific target group without taking into consideration the broader social context within which these actions are implemented (Rappaport, 1981). While empowerment approaches can facilitate agency of minority group members and result in them fighting for rights and participating in governance (Batliwala, 1994; Deveaux, 1996; Kabeer, 1994; Parpart, 2004; Rowlands, 1997; Sen, 1990; Sen & Grown, 1988), these results are often short-lived because they are not anchored in the wider structural and social context (Marquand, 1997; Parpart, Rai, & Staudt, 2002). Social and psychological change involves diverse interests, negotiation, and struggles over meaning. These

---

Study 4 was funded by the Trust for Civil Society in Central and Eastern Europe initiated by the Pro Cserehát Association in cooperation with the Center for Policy Studies in the Central European University. Study 5 was funded by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office – NKFIH [K 119793 *The influence of social identity on intergroup prejudice and collective action*]. The first author acknowledges grant support from the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy Sciences.

---

S. Bigazzi (✉) · S. Serdült · I. Bokrétás  
Institute of Psychology, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

© The Author(s) 2019  
N. Balvin, D. J. Christie (eds.), *Children and Peace*, Peace Psychology Book Series, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22176-8\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22176-8_8)

15 processes are deeply influenced by existing power relations rooted in structural  
16 inequalities, histories of oppression, and intergroup conflicts. This chapter argues  
17 that it is more effective to work not only with target groups, but to use a systemic  
18 approach, extending interventions to majority group members with the aim of  
19 reframing intergroup relations (Christie & Louis, 2012; Gayer, Landman, Halperin,  
20 & Bar-Tal, 2009; Snow & Benford, 1988).

21 In particular, the aim of the following chapter is to emphasize psychological  
22 dynamics embedded in social context, which define minority and majority relations.  
23 To illustrate our theoretical arguments, we employ examples from our field experi-  
24 ences working with Roma communities and young unemployed people in Hungary  
25 and Italy. These examples will demonstrate how an identification of the dynamics  
26 behind psychological and social change enable the implementation of more context-  
27 specific tools of empowerment in which context defines the starting points for con-  
28 ceiving implementations.

29 The theoretical frame for our work is social representation theory and social  
30 identity theory, which together demonstrate how ideologies and representations in a  
31 context define group members' interpretation of reality and social identity  
32 (Breakwell, 2010; Duveen, 2001; Andreouli, 2010). From our perspective, social AUT  
33 context can be viewed as shared psychological realities and normative frames, not  
34 only delimiting individuals and groups but also giving them possibilities of agency  
35 and change (Fraser, 1989; Hartsock, 1990; Jovchelovitch, 1996). Finally, we empha-  
36 size the role of power in representational and identity processes (Foucault, 1979,  
37 1991; Howarth et al., 2013; Jovchelovitch, 1996, 2007).

38 Clearly, embeddedness in social context determines both the majority and minor-  
39 ity identification and representational processes and how varying possessions of  
40 power define different possibilities to act on and change dominant realities and self-  
41 definitions. Therefore, social change is a question of power, meaning that powerful  
42 majorities have more possibilities to create change (Howarth, Andreouli, & Kessi,  
43 2014; Jovchelovitch, 1996). Therefore, empowerment should not be considered  
44 one-sided, in line with Rappaport's (1981) criticisms of implementing one-sided  
45 solutions for societal problems; rather, there should be a twin-track approach involv-  
46 ing both the majority and the minority, emphasizing deconstruction of the former  
47 and power construction of the latter. Majorities should be "good enough communi-  
48 ties" – to use Winnicott's term (1953) – to ensure a social context that enables not  
49 only their members but also minorities to change their positions and declare their  
50 interpretation of reality. Building up "good enough communities" requires majority  
51 members to recognize their dominant power positions and acknowledge minorities'  
52 subordinated statuses and a readiness to change this situation into a more equal  
53 dynamic for the benefit of both. At the same time, minorities should be empowered  
54 to articulate their own version of reality and act accordingly.

55 Our aim in this chapter is to highlight the psychological dynamics behind these  
56 processes and contribute to the efficacy of empowerment interventions. The  
57 theoretical propositions we advance will be supported with concrete examples  
58 drawn five studies with marginalized populations, Roma groups in particular.

Study 1 is an example of an unpublished study using participatory action research in a community development project in Rome born from a need expressed by the community of a Roma camp in Vicolo Savini. This bottom-up project is unique in that it features aspects of interculturality from its onset; Roma and non-Roma people worked together on a daily basis for two years. 59  
60  
61  
62  
63

Study 2 documents the Student Association of Roma at the University of Pécs (WHSZ) which empowers the small number of Roma students at the university with various strategies and means of achievement through, for example, grants, learning skills courses, language instruction, strengthening social networks, identity reinforcement, and conflict resolution training (Bigazzi, 2015a, 2015b; Bigazzi & Serdült, 2015). Studies 3 and 4 are both interview studies. 64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69

AU2

Study 3 analyzes the psychological effects of segregated ethnic education of Roma youth (Bokretas & Bigazzi, 2013). While Roma students from the WHSZ in Study 2 received support, the Roma youth in Study 3 have no affiliation with or assistance through community development programs (hereinafter referred to as NACD). 70  
71  
72  
73

Study 4 examines how governmental regulation of unemployment affected intergroup relations and vulnerable youth populations in 2014 (Bigazzi & Bokretas, 2013, 2014). 74  
75

Study 5 explores the views of the non-Roma society on the Roma minority in Hungary (Bigazzi, Fulop, Serdult, Kovago, & Polya, 2014). 76  
77

Although the research methods and social contexts are diverse, taken together, these studies demonstrate how representational and identity processes influence intrapersonal well-being and intergroup harmony. 78  
79  
80

## 8.2 Theoretical Framework: Social Representation Theory and Social Identity Theory 81 82

Two classic social psychological theories provide the foundation for understanding humans as cultural beings. One is social representation theory (SRT), which focuses on the product of culture, the *cultural object*, whether abstract or concrete, real or imagined, living or inanimate. The key issue in this theory is how people of the same social group acknowledge, understand, feel, and behave in relation to an object. The second theory, social identity theory (SIT), highlights the perspective of those, the *cultural subjects*, in relation to the object. 83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89

In regard to *cultural objects*, SRT (Farr, 1993; Jovchelovitch, 1996; Moscovici, 1961, 1988; Wagner, 1998) rewrites the universal and generalized essence of psychological processes (e.g., identification, motivation, mental health, memory, learning, information processing, decision-making), proposing that these processes are social products that emerge, live, spread, and die through communicative interactions. These products are actively constructed and include the stimuli, the others, and ourselves (Moscovici, 1972; Bauer & Gaskell, 1999; Marková, 2003). Individuals act and react according to their interpretations of the stimuli, which are 90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97

AU3

98 more or less shared as social representations (Duveen, 1998; Harré, 1984) in the  
 99 communities to which the individuals belong. Differences between interpretations  
 100 of reality emerge and collide between individuals of different cultures or even  
 101 within individuals; this is what the SRT refers to as cognitive polyphasia  
 102 (Jovchelovitch, 2002). For example, in Study 3, Roma youngsters studying in sec-  
 103 ondary school or university cope with difficulties concerning the different interpre-  
 104 tations of schooling and learning, setting up a conflict between their family  
 105 socialization environment and the expectations of the school context:

106 Because there were no examples in the family. My mother is still asking: when do you finish  
 107 school? And yes, I'm 23 now, and I'm still studying. They don't understand what university  
 108 is. At least my parents don't understand. (23-year-old Roma student, NACD)

109 In regard to *cultural subjects*, SIT provides insights into both conscious and inter-  
 110 nalized memberships and associated emotional resources and values (Tajfel, 1981).  
 111 Memberships that are (or become) salient act as motivators of behavior (Haslam,  
 112 2004; Reicher & Haslam, 2006; Tajfel, 1981). Thus, fellow group members, as  
 113 opposed to members of different groups, are more likely to have similar worldviews,  
 114 to experience more trust with in-group members, and to cooperate with each other  
 115 (Branscombe, Ellemers, Spears, & Doosje, 1999; Reicher & Haslam, 2006). [AU4]

116 To achieve positive evaluations and distinguish themselves from others, indi-  
 117 viduals engage in social comparison. Through this process they fulfil their belong-  
 118 ing and meaning needs, identifying those who are the relevant others, evaluating  
 119 and enhancing the group with which they identify, and reinforcing the self through  
 120 membership. Most importantly, social comparison processes make it possible for  
 121 the individual to satisfy needs of positivity (i.e., being evaluated positively) and  
 122 distinctiveness. In Study 5, we asked 600 Hungarians whether they agreed with the  
 123 statement *One of the biggest social conflicts in Hungary is that of the Roma people*.  
 124 Their answers were coded regarding the kind of distinction they used; 50% of the  
 125 whole sample evaluated Roma people according to their own normative system,  
 126 thereby “comparing” minority members unfavorably:

127 “I agree. The reasons concern the different culture and associated lifestyle, and inherited  
 128 genes, which makes it impossible for them to change their socially unacceptable lifestyle.  
 129 They are not able (or don't want) to socialize. Meanwhile they commit crimes in order to  
 130 survive and receive social support for children.” (44-year-old female with a university  
 131 degree, Budapest)

132 “I agree, totally. Unfortunately I work with Gypsies, I see their behaviour, their philosophy,  
 133 I see how they relate to things. It is disappointing, but things are going worse. The problem  
 134 is becoming unsolvable. They don't want to go to school, to work, just to be. They are para-  
 135 sites on the workers, and they are comfortable with it.” (28-year-old male with a university  
 136 degree, town)

137 At times, one's membership in an identity group does not confer a positive and  
 138 distinctive identity for the individual. For instance, Roma youth often face negative  
 139 judgments from non-Roma majority members. The following example from Study  
 140 3 illustrates how non-Roma individuals are the main reference frame even for Roma  
 141 people:

I have a lot of inhibition, internal conflicts. Recently I was on a bus and a guy started to stare at me, as if he wanted me to feel my Gypsiness. And I became paranoid that I might smell like sweat. But then I thought hey, I took a shower an hour ago, but I sniffed at myself just to make sure. (24-year-old Roma student, NACD)

According to SIT, individuals can leave the group. Mobility is an individual strategy that can be used if (a) group membership is not a core element of the identity, (b) there is no sign of visible stigma for leaving, and (c) the individual perceives group boundaries as permeable. If these conditions are not satisfied, individuals will remain in the group. For those remaining, there are two types of strategies to ameliorate a negative social identity. The chosen strategy activated depends on the perceived stability and legitimacy of the social system (Tajfel, 1981). Those groups that perceive society as unstable and/or illegitimate will act for societal change. Groups that are unable to imagine a social order change are motivated to act in ways that ameliorate the image of the group so that it will be evaluated in a more positive way.

And what about unrecognized positive memberships that exist but are not identified by the individuals? SIT does not provide an answer to this question, as it focuses on the subjective perspective of acknowledged memberships as delimited in self-categorization theory (Turner, 1985). However, we think that recognition of common interests by various individuals in a local community can be an additional direction of social change. Thus, this is a question of working with identities and creating new belongings in local contexts. This work is a political act since it implicitly includes a desired social order.

Our various possible identifications and belongings are also cultural objects. When we speak about identity, it is important to note that humans do not behave singularly and autonomously in public spaces, but they act according to their interpretations of reality. This includes self-interpretations and related possibilities that are tied to the memberships in social categories available in their respective contexts. These in turn are offered and limited by and negotiated with others in their environment (Mead, 1934; Reicher, 2004; Stryker, 1968, 1987; Tajfel, 1981; Tajfel & Turner, 1979; Vygotsky, 1978, 1979).

Identity regulation processes can be understood as coping with threat. Tajfel (1981) described efforts for achieving a positive and distinctive identity; Breakwell elaborated on this concept in her description of identity regulation processes in Western cultures. She claimed that Western cultures aim to achieve self-esteem, distinctivity, continuity, and efficacy and that failure to achieve these characteristics results in identity threat (Breakwell, 1993; Vignoles, Chrysochoou, & Breakwell, 2002). The concept of identity threat applies mostly to the experience of stigmatized minorities, as stigmatization prevents maintaining a positively evaluated identity (Breakwell, 1986, 1993, 2010). For minority members, stigmatized identity elements are salient and form the core of identity, defining one's possibilities of existence. In study 3 we find examples of how these dynamics are rooted in the identity construction processes of Roma students. Stigmatization cannot be neglected or ignored as it is always present and identity constructions are embedded in continuous dialect with it:

186 It has a deep impact on your whole life how others think about you. You start to think that  
187 you are stupid, smelly or a freak in some way (25-year-old Roma student, NACD)

188 ...because they try to socialize you not to cry and to be proud to be a Gypsy, especially  
189 when you are mistreated. But how can you be proud if you are Gypsy and you can hear all  
190 the time how shitty Gypsies are? How can you be proud when the word Gypsy is so nega-  
191 tive? (24-year-old Roma student, NACD)

192 Identity threat can be experienced without stigmatization from the outside. In  
193 these cases, threat is rooted in unprocessed past traumas and transmitted through  
194 socialization processes resulting in the subjective perception of being targeted. In  
195 this case, the core element of the identity is the subjective experience of being a  
196 victim. Identity construction can include the need to be recognized and acknowl-  
197 edged as a victim, which is a vulnerable, dependent position. Although collective  
198 victimhood (Bar-Tal, Chernyak-Hai, Schori, & Gundar, 2009; Vollhardt, 2012) is AUS  
199 often a characteristic of majorities, its psychological dynamics are similar to the  
200 threatened identity of stigmatized minorities.

201 What threatened identities have in common with each other are the passive and  
202 subordinated positions, implicating complex consequences. One of the conse-  
203 quences influencing both psychological processes and intergroup relations is that of  
204 the self/other construction, which is based on a hierarchical relationship. The activa-  
205 tion of this hierarchical relationship system implies superior and inferior positions.  
206 Groups differing in status are associated with different rights and duties (Andreouli,  
207 2010) and these differences not only define the group's choices of action but also  
208 have a bearing on intergroup relations. These dynamics maintain existing social  
209 orders and are strictly related to power positions.

## 210 **8.3 Empowerment Interventions**

### 211 **8.3.1 *The Role of Social Interactions***

212 Working with others in social contexts requires a focus on ongoing interactions  
213 embedded in the larger social environment rather than focusing solely on the indi-  
214 viduals or specificities of their groups. Without considering the broader social con-  
215 text, "intergroup problems" might appear to be due to isolated individuals or groups,  
216 rather than consequences of existing intergroup relations, structural inequalities,  
217 and histories of oppression that fuel intergroup conflict and dominant narratives.

218 Focusing merely on target groups can also lead to incorrect and/or problematic  
219 conclusions as it can contribute to the "blaming the victim" phenomenon (Ryan,  
220 1976). Blaming the victim phenomena at an intergroup level occurs in five steps: (1)  
221 a societal problem is identified, (2) the problem is attributed to a target group, (3) dif-  
222 ferences between that group and other groups are observed, (4) causes of differences  
223 are identified, (5) and, finally, social-political interventions are implemented with the  
224 aim to change the target group without considering systemic changes (Arató, 2012).



It is paramount to prioritize the focus on interactions because through them social change can emerge. We continuously negotiate with others our conceptions of knowledge, norms, values, and who we are. Identity (*who I am*) and knowledge (*how I think about the world around me*) are formed in a continuous process of meaning construction. Others not only present their own positions, but react to and explicitly and implicitly judge ours. In Study 3, we observe examples of representation and identity negotiation from interviews with Roma high school and university students. They often report how others judge them:

At home we do not live with Gypsies, but at the center of the village. Gypsies attack us saying that we have become Gadjos, and so on. They point at us, but it is not so funny. (23-year-old Roma student, NACD)

They (the ethnic group in her village) insult me saying I am a slut and how I live well here because I get money. And how I dress differently from them. They still attack me like this. One of them shouted behind me on the street “[you] slut of Pécs” or “slut of Germany” because I visited Germany. (24-year-old Roma student, NACD)

The mistress in the nursery all time put my bed in a different part, and it was viewed by all of the children (22-year-old Roma student, NACD)

Interactions in the context of economic and social relations create and recreate a cultural, political, and ideological texture of meanings. These meanings allow individuals to live in a more or less consensual world regarding visions of reality, interpretations of the past, norms and deviance, possible identification dimensions, methods of interactions, approved coping strategies, and plans for the future. New interactions, new in their structure for the participants or the role assessed, can create social change. To demonstrate this process, we draw an example from Study 1. This study documents how long-term community development processes can lead to psychological change in representations and identity constructions through new interactions.

Shishiri, an NGO in Rome active between 2002 and 2004, was created in response to the request of S, a member of a Roma camp. S was living in the most populated camp of Europe in Rome with her husband and her nine children. S had worked with me previously in a focus group on collective memory of Roma a year before and asked that Roma and Gadjo (non-Roma) people collaborate together “to create something that will have weight, that will remain with us, with others, something new that can be pulled out only from a magic hat, a *shishiri*.” Picnics were then organized in various parks all over Rome where our friends and families discussed plans for collaborations. In September 2002, 20 participants (10 Roma and 10 Gadjo) voted on our roles and on rules within our new organization and made closer acquaintances with each other through meetings. The group gradually succeeded in negotiating the boundaries of ethnic belonging and in resolving unavoidable conflicts necessary for dialogue. When conflicts arose, the continuously exposed common goals and the adopted problem resolution strategies requiring long hours of negotiation enhanced members involvement and investment. Over time, people began to trust each other, showed curiosity, and told about their stories and how they really felt, despite fears of public opinion. Members of this small community worked to create a shared vision of reality and Shishiri became an important part of our everyday lives. Each person changed through this process although the change was not always visible, nor under direct negotiation.

271 An example of this effect of invisible change was an explanation made by S in front of the  
 272 students of the Loyola Chicago University campus in Rome. The campus invited Shishiri to  
 273 speak about Roma people and their problems in Italy. S prepared a video about housing  
 274 problems and camps for the occasion. At the end of the video students asked her why Roma  
 275 people had so many children if they were so poor. S explained that “dead and aborted  
 276 infants will return and take revenge, biting and poisoning the mothers.” In the next year  
 277 Shishiri was re-invited to the campus to speak to a new student group. This time, in response  
 278 to the same question, S answered differently, explaining that “Romas think about families  
 279 as small economic units, an interdependent system in which elders help the youngsters for  
 280 the survival of all.” We listened with surprise, as we had never talked about this issue, nor  
 281 did we comment on her first answer 10 months before.

282 There is continuous tension between stability and change in social systems –  
 283 between maintaining the status quo and changing the structure. In addition, when  
 284 the need for change is expressed, barriers and resistance arise as the provision of  
 285 change causes psychological and social anxiety. The negotiation about good and  
 286 bad directions of change among the different parties, between participants and  
 287 stakeholders involved, becomes a priority. These dynamics of change often prevent  
 288 the recognition of diversity either of interests or identities, which is the first step to  
 289 initiate negotiation and reconciliation of values, representations, and interests, and  
 290 it is also a prerequisite for active participation and involvement.

291 After a while, Shishiri (study 1) decided to create a dialogue with the stakeholders in Rome  
 292 in addition to everyday rehearsal and assemblies. We asked for meetings with all the exist-  
 293 ing NGOs in the field, proposing cooperation of any kind. Our project was unique as both  
 294 Roma and non-Roma were participating in these meetings; afterward we interpreted and  
 295 discussed what happened as a group. Very soon, all these institutions refused to cooperate  
 296 either implicitly or explicitly. The head of a historical NGO, which was responsible for a  
 297 major integration project with Roma youth in Rome, asked me in front of Roma members  
 298 if I *really thought I could work with these animals*. The head of another NGO operating  
 299 primarily in political representation tried to discredit me in front of all the Roma camp,  
 300 shouting loudly that *I could not enter the camp anymore, because I was a mole from the*  
 301 *police*. Such attacks had the opposite effect and members concluded that it was happening  
 302 because we were creating something new and disturbing the old mechanisms. In this way,  
 303 attacks and refusals from the outside reinforced the community and new people joined our  
 304 organization. After a year, we were made up of 70 people (nearly half of them Roma) and  
 305 we created a theater piece without a place and without any money. However, we were very  
 306 motivated to meet and work on our project every day.

307 Although individuals should be free to express their own views, a few exert influ-  
 308 ence on others according to their positions of power. Often these others do not even  
 309 know they should be concerned, and not being involved, they do not actively partici-  
 310 pate in the negotiation. Moreover, as Howarth and her colleagues (2014) point out,  
 311 participation is not only the expression of worldviews, acceptable values, and  
 312 norms, but “participation can be conceptualised as the power to construct and con-  
 313 vey particular representations over others. In other words, it refers to the symbolic  
 314 power to construct legitimate social knowledge, norms and identities, and to disre-  
 315 gard, marginalize or silence alternative ways of knowing and being” (Howarth et al.,  
 316 2014, p. 2). Beyond the recognition of diversity, involvement in societal life, and  
 317 deconstruction and reconstruction of meanings, values, and norms, the issue of  
 318 power remains an important consideration.

### 8.3.2 *The Role of Power*

319

While social change is embedded in interaction – broadening, confining, and establishing the borders of the cognitive world in social dialogue – change requires more than the process of interaction and the achievement of consensus among individuals or groups. Power differences matter. Powerful groups have the ability and opportunity to define the other as well as the whole social reality through their access to the construction and dissemination of social representations (Sarrica, Mazzara, & Brondi, 2016). Clearly, the process of representation is rooted in asymmetric social relations and embedded in contexts (Moscovici, 1988). Hence, some worldviews are overrepresented in society, while the views of minority groups lack the symbolic power of ensuring respect for their version of the world (Howarth et al., 2014; Jovchelovitch, 1996). These overrepresented or hegemonic representations of the world, including that of the social order, naturalize the existing social structure and become institutionalized through regulations and laws that support the social order. Study 4 provides an example of how different layers of communication maintain the status quo and regulate the social order.

The Hungarian legislation passed a regulation in 2012 that reduced the monthly long-term unemployment allowance from 90 to 73 euros with the eligibility criterion to take part at least for a month per year in voluntary work (in organizations validated by the local governments, while local governments are not more obligated to provide public work opportunities). The task of finding employment becomes the responsibility of people on the periphery of society. The related political discourse sent systematic messages to everyone, the unemployed, the working poor, and the middle class, thereby redefining social relations for the whole society. Even the young unemployed could sense the different layers of the message of such a regulation.

This is how a 24-year-old young unemployed Roma woman explains these layers:

It sends a message that Gypsies are just waiting for the allowance that the workers produce. They don't really want to work. I'm sure that people who have jobs think like this. The average person, the majority thinks like this....

I think they want to frighten people into getting a job. I would work, but there is no work. Do you think that if they reduced salaries more, there would be work for people?

...Is this money to be even poorer? Or to perish? Because it is quite a lot of money to die, but it is not very much to live on.

More important than the redefined unemployment allowance and its conditions is its effects; the unemployed became the agents of their own destiny, reframing their status in society. This had clear consequences for the social order and attitudes toward them changed toward greater negativity. As Howarth (2006) said “the reproduction of power relations depends on the continuous and creative (ab)use of representations that mystify, naturalise and legitimate access to power.” (Howarth, 2006: 79). Such institutionalized, hegemonic representations demark identities and trans-

360 mit messages about the self, about we and the others. Who are we? Who are they?  
 361 Is there any connection or just differences between us? If *us* at all exists?

362 These ideologically sustained social realities have significant effects from the  
 363 very beginning of life. They frame the primary environment, identity development,  
 364 possible coping mechanisms and later autonomy, and resilience. The environment  
 365 of socialization reflects this framing of society and adapts to this constructed reality  
 366 (Leman & Duveen, 1999; Sarrica, Roseti, Brondi, Cervelli, & Leone, 2016). In  
 367 study 3, we asked Roma high school and university students to reflect on their child-  
 368 hood (under 12 years of age) experiences about being a member of a minority:

369 Because my mother always told me... Nay, she didn't told me. I just know from her body  
 370 language that I have to behave in a different way with Hungarians than with Roma people.  
 371 (16-year-old Roma student, NCAD)

372 They taught me at home that I was different. And they told me that if a white person made  
 373 one step, I had to make two. And I always tried. Always more and more and more and more.  
 374 (17-year-old Roma student, NCAD)

375 These examples present the psychological states of being in a lower status posi-  
 376 tion. The psychological states become alive through the dialectic of these contents  
 377 and other environmental factors, such as the coping ability of the community, the  
 378 primary and surrounding environment, and characteristics of the individual. It is  
 379 evident that developing identity through socialization in these cases involves a sense  
 380 of being a member of a minority. Moreover, minority members' constant exposure  
 381 to disparaging views conditions them to continuously compare and differentiate  
 382 themselves in ways that elicit reinforcement (Clark & Clark, 1950); they develop a  
 383 need for constant approval, a dynamic similar to the victim role. Here is a good  
 384 example of the self-reflection expressed by a Roma high school student (study 3) on  
 385 how minority membership affects identity construction:

386 You have to be careful when to say this happened because I am Gypsy. Because we cause  
 387 pain to ourselves if in every situation where I feel discomfort I say that's because of my  
 388 origins. I try to separate these two. (22-year-old Roma university student, NCAD)

389 In the following section, we underscore how a well-functioning community can  
 390 provide a foundation of support, giving feedback about how to rectify unfavorable  
 391 situations and cope with the failures an individual encounters.

### 392 **8.3.3 The Role of Communities**

393 If power relations and dominant representations are questioned, then minorities can  
 394 become *empowered*. This means that the subordinated status of minorities is recog-  
 395 nized and minority members raise the need for change. The following example pres-  
 396 ents the actions of an empowered minority, initiated through a bottom-up process of  
 397 change.

The Wislocki Henrik Student College (WHSZ) is a program supporting underprivileged and/or Roma students (mostly first generational intellectuals) since 2013 at the University of Pécs, Hungary. The access of Roma and Gypsy youth to higher education is currently as low as 1%. Reasons for such low enrollment are rooted in the inadequate functioning of society, including problems such as institutionalized segregation and racism. The WHSZ is focused on the empowerment of Roma intellectuals through a complex approach: although implemented in an academic milieu, its main objective is not only to support students' educational progress but to create a strong community to ground future social capital, facilitating their participation in public life and initiating dialogues between Roma, Gypsy, and non-Roma/Gypsy intellectuals, a key element for long-term success. To realize these ambitions, the multielement program aims to ensure a supportive environment which enables the students to process their failures in a constructive way and build an academic career instead of quitting the system altogether. Therefore, the WHSZ implements research projects, community weekends, foreign language courses, tutorial and mentoring systems, and volunteering initiatives to mediate a set of values and alternative representations for redefining self-interpretation and positioning processes (Varga, 2015). Although the WHSZ was organized in a top-down way, through constant interaction a strong connection developed between the members; the community started to break out beyond the formal frames of the project, and minority actions emerged in a bottom-up way. The following story is a good illustration: in December of 2014, graffiti appeared on a wall in front of the University: *Sallow skinned Gypsies, even with a diploma you won't be real Hungarians! You will still be parade-Gypsies!* This openly racist message reflects the dominant representation of Roma people, according to which Roma people do not graduate from university because the diploma is a privilege of (white) Hungarians. Students of the WHSZ gave a quick response to the graffiti: within a single day, they organized a public event through social media inviting Roma high school students, representatives from academia, and the press to participate and give voice to their alternative representation. Students used the letters of the original message to create new words like *dialogue, magic, voice, and love*; they wrote these alternative words on paper and covered the racist graffiti with them. The aim of the peaceful action was not only to negate the negative and restrictive representation of the Roma people but also to express their own views and versions of how they would like to be seen. These students realized that although they worked for their personal goals, they faced the same difficulties and barriers posed by stigmatization, which they could face together as members of a community.

A basic condition for bottom-up processes is that community members realize they have common goals, interests, and shared realities. These goals may change and transform once dialogical processes start concerning how to achieve them. Through dialogue, members articulate their interests and take account of others' perspectives. Discussing common goals enables people to feel involved; this is also where differences of opinion, tensions, and coalitions may emerge.

Two essential aspects of this bottom-up process are the decentralized or horizontal partnership and the ability to engage in constructive debate. The subject of criticism is not the speaker but the contents of their proposed position. Content-focused criticism is constructive since it considers arguments for and against the communicated position. A proposal requires an underlying personal view (self-statements) and content-based arguments (embedded in perspective) in order for participants to become involved, not feel threatened, and persist in the knowledge construction process. When individuals are empowered, they are open to consider alternative positions: *this is what I think according to my knowledge; it can be questioned, refuted, and reviewed together. This is my contribution, which can be*

448 *approved or contested to articulate a new position.* Horizontal partnership means  
449 there are no “misconceptions” in this process of common knowledge construction,  
450 but each party’s contribution is an essential and constructive part of the outcome.  
451 Individual responsibility is replaced by responsibility taken by all participants in the  
452 dialogue. Participants’ approach to conflicts and debates and their mutual trust  
453 improve. Starting a discussion is threatening for participants. The first contribution  
454 reveals the otherwise invisible individual’s perspective, the way they think about  
455 themselves. This process reactivates the issues of responsibility, threat, anxiety, and  
456 self-esteem (Bigazzi, 2015a, 2015b).

457 If community members are able to keep focused on common goals and rely on  
458 interdependence to achieve them, then the community starts to *pulsate*, generating  
459 and progressively resolving conflicts constructively, which are required to create  
460 new levels of consensual states. These dialectics provide conflicted dualism, mutual  
461 tension, creative negation, participation in a never completely resolved dispute, and  
462 partial and open conclusions. Thus, new consensual knowledge is forged through  
463 (dialectic and contrasting) dialogue. New knowledge and involvement in the pro-  
464 cess of its construction change participants’ positions.

465 It is an objective that empowerments become independent from authorities.  
466 Activity and practice creates new identity possibilities, and alternative representa-  
467 tions can be experienced. Intergroup conflict and intergroup harmony are rooted in  
468 the texture of context, power relations, and existing representational fields. To move  
469 conflictual relations toward harmony, stakeholders’ awareness of the role of the  
470 context is fundamental. Only in this way, through deconstructing our own power  
471 positions, can we invite the other to inclusive spaces, enabling the emergence of  
472 new alternative representations, partnerships based on dialogue, and the recognition  
473 of existing diversity to foster societal development.

474 Developmental psychology can deepen our understanding of how members of a  
475 society learn to cope with distress and to regulate emotions that emerge from inter-  
476 group relations and how they can remain open for societal change. From the very  
477 beginning of our existence, we face overwhelming experiences and an environment  
478 that helps its members restore a sense of continuity. As Winnicott (1953) pointed out  
479 in relation to the concept of mothering and the mother’s almost complete adaptation  
480 to the infant’s needs in the earliest stage of development, adaptational failures  
481 emerge gradually as the infant’s ability to deal with these failures increases. These  
482 failures are as important as the moments of perfect care; failures provide opportuni-  
483 ties for the infant to experience the unknown and the unusual, giving them opportuni-  
484 ties to practice, change, and develop. Environmental responses of acceptance are  
485 needed for the infant to experience reparation. The functionality of these failures are  
486 possibilities to experience the difference between the self and the others as well as  
487 reparation through the other’s active participation. Reparation reinforces the experi-  
488 ence of autonomy and restores the continuity of the self. In this way, confidence and  
489 trust in the world arises and self-confidence increases. This process can be seen as  
490 primary empowerment, a process that influences resilience or mentalization  
491 (Fonagy, Steele, Steele, Higgitt, & Target, 1994).

Ideally, the community later takes the role of a holding environment, fostering a sense of cohesiveness. This helps individuals face failures and remain open to new changing interpersonal, social, or societal relations. Thus, empowerment is deeply dependent on community, not single individuals. Societal change is the question of empowerment and of “good enough communities,” holding environments that support adaptation to failures as the individual becomes ready to deal with them.

The development of holding or “good enough” communities needs to be facilitated by processes aimed at creating inclusive spaces for empowerment by the “elite,” stakeholders, social workers, community developers, and actors of social interventions. Inclusive spaces are inclusive in both a material and a psychological sense. Achieving equality requires the elite to be aware of their superior power positions and make constant efforts to deconstruct them. Deconstruction is a prerequisite for changing the dominant forms of communication, creating inclusive space – an incubator – where new social realities and cognitive alternatives may emerge. In this space, minority groups or disadvantaged groups can elaborate their own perspectives, test the validity of possibilities, and later construct and disseminate their own versions of reality. Through this process, their positions of power also change as they reposition themselves in relation to others.

### 8.3.4 *The Primacy of Context*

It is also important to point out that fragmented societies with structural inequalities and oppressed communities are not functional. Unrecognized inequalities cause intergroup conflicts and over time these conflicts pose a threat to majorities. An example of this is ghettos and no-go zones where entering is impossible for outsiders; gaps in society mean the limitation of freedom for everyone. Action plans and interventions that do not address inequalities on a systemic level are dysfunctional because maintaining the existing social order reproduces the same errors. Only by accepting, understanding, and working with self-other differences can communities and societies evolve. Thus, inclusion and empowerment affect both minority groups and majority society.

The existence of diversity as a given condition is not subject to debate; the question is how society and individuals deal with it. Empowerment is also important for majorities, enabling them to develop self-confidence, perspective taking, mentalization, communication, and (create) innovation. A twin-track approach is required for intergroup harmony, one which includes empowerment for both minority *and* majority groups, albeit with different objectives.

The objective of empowering majorities is to enable them to give up dominant positions and take into account the possibility of systematic changes in order to consider minority perspectives. This can be implemented through identity reinforcement, which improves self-esteem, conditions for perspective taking, openness toward the other, and abilities of mentalization while decreasing psychological distance and depersonalization. In this way, majority members become more reflective

533 and aware of their power and its consequences, and they are enabled to surrender  
 534 their dominant positions in negotiation processes without experiencing identity  
 535 threat.

536 By contrast, merely aiming to reduce prejudice or one-sidedly strengthening the  
 537 social identity of the minority in a decontextualized way (e.g., teaching of Roma  
 538 culture, language teaching in ethnic schools) does not produce inclusion or a sense  
 539 of agency to create social change, nor does it create alternative/contesting represen-  
 540 tations. These faint attempts simply smooth over differences and conflicts through  
 541 assimilation/integration to the extent that diversity is allowed as defined by the  
 542 majority. There is no negotiation or creation of opportunity through representational  
 543 change. These unfounded endeavors lead to identity crises, long-term transitional  
 544 states, and feelings of abandonment. In Study 3, Roma university students who  
 545 studied in a separated ethnic high school reported feelings of isolation, of belonging  
 546 nowhere:

547 It's very hard because my family doesn't accept me. My family tells me that I am different.  
 548 Other prejudiced people and peers also tell me that I am different. Ok, I'm different. But  
 549 that's because I have more opportunities and I can succeed better. But now, I don't belong  
 550 anywhere (23-year-old Roma student, NACD)

551 By contrast, contextual empowerment guarantees and expands space for experi-  
 552 encing the interdependence between *ability*, *activity*, and *responsibility*. Contextual  
 553 empowerment means that the instruments given to people enable them to change  
 554 their knowledge concerning cultural objects and subjects rooted in their context.

555 As reported by Bigazzi (study 1): S asked to create something together after an evening that  
 556 I invited her to sing in my music band. That evening she arrived dressed up, chic, and ele-  
 557 gant. A few days later she called me with her proposal and asked to meet together the offi-  
 558 cers of the City Hall of Rome who worked on Roma integration and whom she knew well.  
 559 When we met there, I was surprised to see that she was dressed in a dirty yellow miniskirt  
 560 and tights with obvious holes in them. She spoke with a strong Gypsy accent that she had  
 561 never used with me. I did not realize in that moment that she was using a kind of role and  
 562 relating, worked out over time as a subject of *assistentialist* politics. Two years later we  
 563 had an appointment with the city counselor of social policies and I noted that S was dressed  
 564 quite elegantly, wearing a white blouse with a black jacket. She conversed ably without an  
 565 accent; took notes in her small notepad; appeared self-confident, active, and assertive; and  
 566 enjoyed the situation. She had shed the expected "Roma woman who needs help" role,  
 567 repositioning herself in her political relationships over time through close and equal contact  
 568 with some gadjos. This contact made her able to reposition herself, to construct and gener-  
 569 alize alternative social representations of majority-minority relations.

570 The recognition and acknowledgment of abilities reinforce the identity of com-  
 571 munity members, increase their self-confidence, and enhance their activities and  
 572 contributions to the community. Abilities and activities reinforce each other; as the  
 573 awareness of abilities results in action, so that action, in turn, is reinforced resulting  
 574 in improved abilities. In this process of empowerment people can feel their own  
 575 development, and the flexibility of the boundaries of their competences, and they  
 576 can recognize their shortcomings and potentials. Practice, continuous monitoring of  
 577 abilities, and self-reflection and feedback from others, including both successes and  
 578 failures, condition and cause the stability and complexities of our identity.



Responsibility for actions and the repeatedly renewed attainment of skills depend 579  
on the perceived freedom of carrying out an action and on the increased stability of 580  
identity. Acknowledgment of a new (power) position and repositioning enables rec- 581  
ognized membership in the community, the identification of common interests, and 582  
the establishment of organizations to represent these interests. 583

## 8.4 Conclusions 584

In this chapter, we outlined two main theoretical approaches of social psychology 585  
that offer the frame to understand how identity construction and representations/ 586  
worldviews, subject and object, are strictly interconnected. From our perspective, 587  
working on identity and/or on worldviews is the foundation of empowerment 588  
processes. 589

Hence, this theoretical contribution aims to shift some of the emphasis and ana- 590  
lytic focus of interventions regarding empowerment of minorities. Rather than focus 591  
interventions on the success of lone individuals or minorities as a target group, we 592  
discuss the importance of the interactions in the interventions or empowerment 593  
projects, rather than the success of lone individuals or demarcated minorities as 594  
target groups of the intervention. Interactions contain opportunities for social 595  
change where new interpretations of the self and reality can emerge and be shared. 596  
The possibility of active participation of individuals and their influence in these 597  
interactions depends on the acknowledgment of their diverse positions and respec- 598  
tive forms of power in the specific context of a given interaction. 599

Communities also matter. Community responses to failures and needs are funda- 600  
mental to a well-working empowerment strategy. We suggested that interventions 601  
can be more effective and more rooted in communities if the target group is broad- 602  
ened for the host community to include those who hold powerful positions. The 603  
deconstruction of power positions of the majority or its representatives (in our case 604  
primarily social workers, teachers, community developers) in contact with the 605  
minorities can give space to creating alternative social representations. To practice 606  
in a safe inclusive space ensures opportunities for expression, debate, and the cre- 607  
ation of alternatives. In these interactions, failures – which are often delegated by 608  
the majority to the minority – need to be considered a natural part of every process 609  
and detached from the membership status. 610

The harder part of this process is that both the deconstruction of power positions 611  
and rise of alternative social representations can be threatening for members of the 612  
majority. Sensitization of power positions and developing self-confidence for this 613  
deconstruction may be considered basic elements in the education of professionals 614  
engaged in social service; this process might be considered as empowering the 615  
majority to be primed for inclusion. 616

We suggest that empowering minorities must be more context-specific, reflecting 617  
the concrete problems and needs of the community itself. This may be problematic 618  
for projects requiring hard data in order to maintain funding; traditional objective 619

620 indicators are unable to detect the efficacy of context-specific initiatives despite the  
 621 fact that these empowerment strategies may be more adequate in addressing sys-  
 622 temic issues.

## 623 References

- 624 Andreouli, E. (2010). Identity, positioning and self-other relations. *Papers of Social Representation*,  
 625 19(1), 14.1–14.13. [http://psych1.lse.ac.uk/psr/PSR2010/19\\_14Andreouli.pdf](http://psych1.lse.ac.uk/psr/PSR2010/19_14Andreouli.pdf).
- 626 Arató, F. (2012). Rejtett sztereotípiák az egyetemi hallgatók körében. In A. Orsós & F. Trendl  
 627 (Eds.), *Útjelzők* (pp. 24–32). Pécs, Hungary: PTE-BTK.
- 628 Batliwala, S. (1994). The meaning of women's empowerment: New concepts from action. In  
 629 G. Sen, A. Germain, & L. C. Chen (Eds.), *Population policies reconsidered: Health, empower-  
 630 ment and rights* (pp. 127–138). Boston, MA: Harvard University Press.
- 631 Bauer, M. W., & Gaskell, G. (1999). Towards a paradigm for research on social represen-  
 632 tations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 29(2), 163–186. [https://doi.  
 633 org/10.1111/1468-5914.00096](https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5914.00096)
- 634 Bigazzi, S. (2015a). Önismeret – személyes és társas kompetenciák fejlesztése. In A. Varga (Ed.),  
 635 *Próbatétel... a pécsi roma szakkollégiumi program értékelése* (pp. 277–287). Pécs, Hungary:  
 636 PTE-BTK NTI. [http://wlislocki.pte.hu/sites/wlislocki.pte.hu/files/oldal\\_mo/00\\_whsz\\_hun\\_  
 637 beliv\\_print.pdf](http://wlislocki.pte.hu/sites/wlislocki.pte.hu/files/oldal_mo/00_whsz_hun_beliv_print.pdf)
- 638 Bigazzi, S. (2015b). Sing-les: Diversity, dialogue, knowledge. In A. Varga (Ed.), *Inclusive uni-  
 639 versity how to increase academic excellence focusing on the aspects of inclusion* (pp. 27–43).  
 640 Pécs, Hungary: Autonomy and Responsibility Study Volumes IV. [http://www.kompetenspeda-  
 641 gogus.hu/sites/default/files/arato-f-varga-a-inclusive-university-univ-pecs-2015.pdf](http://www.kompetenspedagogus.hu/sites/default/files/arato-f-varga-a-inclusive-university-univ-pecs-2015.pdf)
- 642 Bigazzi, S., & Bokretas, I. (2013). “As I see the world it seems pitch black...” A social psycho-  
 643 logical study. In K. Nagy (Ed.), *The effect of reducing the social allowance in rural Hungary*  
 644 (pp. 34–49). Budapest, Hungary: Procserehát Egyesület, [http://www.pillangokutatas.bffd.hu/  
 645 findings/studies](http://www.pillangokutatas.bffd.hu/findings/studies)
- 646 Bigazzi, S., & Bokretas, I. (2014). Helyzetbe zárt mentális folyamatok és viszonyrendszerek ide-  
 647 ológiai tükrre: Elméleti és társadalmi reflexiók egy kutatás során. *Kriminológiai Közlemények*,  
 648 74, 63–76. <https://www.kriminologia.hu/publikaciok/kriminologiai-kozlemenyek-74>
- 649 Bigazzi, S., Fulop, E., Serdült, S., Kovago, P., & Polya, T. (2014). Collective victimhood and preju-  
 650 dice against Gypsies in Hungary. Paper presented in EASP Small Group Meeting on Collective  
 651 victimhood. Verona. 25–27 Jun
- 652 Bigazzi, S., & Serdült, S. (2015). Nemzetközi kapcsolatok. In A. Varga (Ed.), *Próbatétel... a pécsi  
 653 roma szakkollégiumi program értékelése* (pp. 157–169). Pécs, Hungary: PTE-BTK NTI. [http://  
 654 wlislocki.pte.hu/sites/wlislocki.pte.hu/files/oldal\\_mo/00\\_whsz\\_hun\\_beliv\\_print.pdf](http://wlislocki.pte.hu/sites/wlislocki.pte.hu/files/oldal_mo/00_whsz_hun_beliv_print.pdf)
- 655 Bokretas, I., & Bigazzi, S. (2013). A felsőoktatásban tanuló roma fiatalok pszichológiája.  
 656 *Romológia*, 1(1), 86–103. <https://doi.org/10.15170/ROM.2014.01.01.09>
- 657 Branscombe, N. R., Ellemers, N., Spears, R., & Doosje, B. (1999). The context and content of  
 658 social identity threat. In N. Ellemers, R. Spears, & B. Doosje (Eds.), *Social identity: Context,  
 659 commitment, content* (pp. 35–58). Oxford, England: Blackwell Science.
- 660 Breakwell, G. M. (1986). *Coping with threatened identity*. London, UK: Methuen.
- 661 Breakwell, G. M. (1993). Social representations and social identity. *Papers on Social  
 662 Representations*, 2(3), 1–20. [http://www.psych.lse.ac.uk/Psr/PSR1993/2\\_1993Brea2.pdf](http://www.psych.lse.ac.uk/Psr/PSR1993/2_1993Brea2.pdf)
- 663 Breakwell, G. M. (2010). Resisting representations and identity processes. *Papers on Social  
 664 Representations*, 19, 1–11. [http://www.psych.lse.ac.uk/psr/PSR2010/19\\_06Breakwell.pdf](http://www.psych.lse.ac.uk/psr/PSR2010/19_06Breakwell.pdf)
- 665 Christie, D. J., & Louis, W. R. (2012). Peace interventions tailored to phases within a cycle of inter-  
 666 group violence. In L. Tropp (Ed.), *The Oxford handbook of intergroup conflict* (pp. 252–272).  
 667 New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Clark, K., & Clark, M. (1950). The Negro child in the American social order. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 19(3), 341–350. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2966491> 668
- Deveaux, M. (1996). Feminism and empowerment: A critical reading of Foucault. In S. Hekman (Ed.), *Feminist interpretations of Michel Foucault*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press. 670
- Duveen, G. (1998). The psychosocial production of ideas: Social representations and psychological. *Culture & Psychology*, 4(4), 455–472. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X9800400402> 672
- Duveen, G. (2001). Representations, identities, resistance. In K. Deaux & G. Philogène (Eds.), *Representations of the social* (pp. 257–270). Oxford, UK: Blackwell. 674
- Farr, R. M. (1993). Common sense, science and social representations. *Public Understanding of Science*, 2(3), 189–204. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0963-6625/2/3/001> 675
- Fonagy, P., Steele, M., Steele, H., Higgitt, A., & Target, M. (1994). The Emanuel Miller Memorial Lecture 1992: The theory and practice of resilience. *Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines*, 35(2), 231–257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1994.tb01160.x> 676
- Foucault, M. (1979). *Discipline and Punish: The birth of the prison*. Harmondsworth, England: Penguin. 681
- Foucault, M. (1991). In P. Rabinow (Ed.), *The Foucault reader: An introduction to Foucault's thought*. Harmondsworth, England: Penguin. 682
- Fraser, N. (1989). *Unruly practices: Power, discourse and gender in contemporary social theory*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press. 683
- Gayer, C. C., Landman, S., Halperin, E., & Bar-Tal, D. (2009). Overcoming psychological barriers to peaceful conflict resolution: The role of arguments about losses. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 53(6), 951–975. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022002709346257> 684
- Harré, R. (1984). Some reflections on the concept of “social representation”. *Social Research*, 51(4), 927–938. 685
- Hartsock, N. (1990). Foucault on power: A theory for women? In L. Nicholson (Ed.), *Feminism/Postmodernism*. New York, NY: Routledge. 686
- Haslam, S. A. (2004). *Psychology in organizations*. London, UK: SAGE. 687
- Howarth, C. (2006). A social representation is not a quiet thing: Exploring the critical potential of social representations theory. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 45(1), 65–86. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466605X43777> 688
- Howarth, C., Andreouli, E., & Kessi, S. (2014). Social representations and the politics of participation. In K. Kinnvall, T. Capelos, H. Dekker, & P. Nesbitt-Larking (Eds.), *The Palgrave handbook of global political psychology* (pp. 19–38). London, UK: Palgrave-McMillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-29118-9\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-29118-9_2) 689
- Howarth, C., Campbell, C., Cornish, F., Franks, B., Garcia-Lorenzo, L., Gillespie, A., ... Tennant, C. (2013). Insights from societal psychology: A contextual politics of societal change. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 1(1), 364–384. <https://doi.org/10.5964/jspp.v1i1.64> 690
- Jovchelovitch, S. (1996). In defence of representations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 26(2), 121–135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5914.1996.tb00525.x> 691
- Jovchelovitch, S. (2002). *Re-thinking the diversity of knowledge: Cognitive polyphasia, belief and representation [online]*. London, UK: LSE Research Online. <https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/2628/1/Rethinkingthediversity.pdf> 692
- Jovchelovitch, S. (2007). Reflections on the diversity of knowledge: Power and dialogue in representational fields. In T. Sugiman, K. J. Gergern, W. Wagner, & Y. Yamada (Eds.), *Meaning in action: Constructions, narratives, and representations* (pp. 23–37). Tokyo, Japan: Springer. 693
- Kabeer, N. (1994). *Reversed realities: Gender hierarchies in development thought*. London, UK: Verso. 694
- Leman, P., & Duveen, G. (1999). Representations of authority and children's moral reasoning. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 29(5–6), 557–575. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1099-0992\(199908/09\)29:5/6<557::AID-EJSP946>3.0.CO;2-T](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0992(199908/09)29:5/6<557::AID-EJSP946>3.0.CO;2-T) 695
- Marková, I. (2003). *Dialogicality and social representations: The dynamics of mind*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press. 696

- 721 Marquand, D. (1997). *The new reckoning: Capitalism, states and citizens*. Cambridge, MA:  
722 Cambridge University Press.
- 723 Mead, G. H. (1934). In C. W. Morris (Ed.), *Mind self and society from the standpoint of a social*  
724 *behaviorist*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago.
- 725 Moscovici, S. (1961). *La psychanalyse, son image, son public*. Paris, France: Presses Universitaires  
726 de France.
- 727 Moscovici, S. (1972). Society and theory in social psychology. In J. Israel & H. Tajfel (Eds.), *The*  
728 *context of social psychology: A critical assessment*. Oxford, England: Academic Press.
- 729 Moscovici, S. (1988). Notes towards a description of social representations. *European Journal of*  
730 *Social Psychology*, 18(3), 211–250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420180303>
- 731 Parpart, J. (2004). *Gender, power and governance in a globalizing world*. Aalborg, Denmark:  
732 Institut for Historie, Internationale Studier og Samfundsforhold, Aalborg Universitet.
- 733 Parpart, J. L., Rai, S., Staudt, K. A., & University of Warwick. (2002). *Rethinking empowerment:*  
734 *Gender and development in a global/local world*. London, UK: Routledge.
- 735 Rappaport, J. (1981). In praise of paradox: A social policy of empowerment over prevention.  
736 *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 9(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00896357>
- 737 Reicher, S. (2004). The context of social identity: Domination, resistance, and change. *Political*  
738 *Psychology*, 25(6), 921–945. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9221.2004.00403.x>
- 739 Reicher, S., & Haslam, S. A. (2006). Tyranny revisited. *Psychologist*, 19(3), 146–150.  
740 [https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-19/edition-3/tyranny-revisited-groups-](https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-19/edition-3/tyranny-revisited-groups-psychological-well-being-and-health-societies)  
741 [psychological-well-being-and-health-societies](https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-19/edition-3/tyranny-revisited-groups-psychological-well-being-and-health-societies)
- 742 Rowlands, J. (1997). *Questioning empowerment: Working with women in Honduras*. Oxford, UK:  
743 Oxfam Publications.
- 744 Ryan, W. (1976). *Blaming the victim*. New York, NY: Vintage Books.
- 745 Sarrica, M., Mazzara, B., & Brondi, S. (2016). Social representations theory and critical construc-  
746 tionism: Insights from Caillaud’s article. *Papers on Social Representations*, 25(1), 6.31–6.42.  
747 <http://psr.iscte-iul.pt/index.php/PSR/article/view/62>
- 748 Sarrica, M., Roseti, A., Brondi, S., Cervelli, P., & Leone, G. (2016). Flooded by a wall of water:  
749 Parent-child reminiscing about local environment and unwanted changes. *Qualitative Research*  
750 *in Psychology*, 13(3), 209–230. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2016.1169340>
- 751 Sen, A. (1990). Gender and cooperative conflicts. In I. Tinker (Ed.), *Persistent inequalities*.  
752 New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 753 Sen, G., & Grown, C. (1988). *Development, crises and alternative visions: Third world women’s*  
754 *perspectives*. New York, NY: Monthly Review Press.
- 755 Snow, D. A., & Benford, R. D. (1988). Ideology, frame resonance, and participant mobilization.  
756 *International Social Movement Research*, 1, 197–217.
- 757 Stryker, S. (1968). Identity salience and role performance: The relevance of symbolic interaction  
758 theory for family research. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 30(4), 558–564.
- 759 Stryker, S. (1987). Identity theory: Developments and extensions. In K. Yardley & T. Honess  
760 (Eds.), *Self and identity: Psychosocial perspectives* (pp. 89–103). Oxford, England: John Wiley  
761 & Sons.
- 762 Tajfel, H. (1981). *Human groups and social categories: Studies in social psychology*. Cambridge,  
763 MA: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2420120209>
- 764 Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An Integrative Theory of Intergroup Conflict. In W. G. Austin &  
765 S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33–47). Monterey, CA:  
766 Brooks/Cole.
- 767 Turner, J. C. (1985). Social categorization and the self-concept: A social cognitive theory of group  
768 behaviour. In E. J. Lawler (Ed.), *Advances in group processes* (pp. 77–122). Greenwich, CT:  
769 JAI Press.
- 770 Varga, A. (2015). *Challenge – Evaluation of the Roma Student College Project in Pécs*. Pécs,  
771 Hungary: PTE-BTK-NTI.
- 772 Vignoles, V. L., Chrysochoou, X., & Breakwell, G. M. (2002). Evaluating models of identity  
773 motivation: Self-esteem is not the whole story. *Self and Identity*, 1(3), 201–218. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1529886029006373)  
774 [org/10.1080/1529886029006373](https://doi.org/10.1080/1529886029006373)

Vollhardt, J. R. (2012). Collective victimization. In L. R. Tropp (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of intergroup conflict* (pp. 136–157). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199747672.013.0009> 775  
776  
777

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. In M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman (Eds.). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. 778  
779  
780

Vygotsky, L. S. (1979). Consciousness as a problem in the psychology of behavior. *Soviet Psychology*, 17(4), 3–35. <https://doi.org/10.2753/RPO1061-040517043> 781  
782

Wagner, W. (1998). Social representations and beyond: Brute facts, symbolic coping and domesticated worlds. *Culture & Psychology*, 4, 297–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354067X9800400302> 783  
784  
785

Winnicott, D. (1953). Transitional objects and transitional phenomena. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 34, 89–97. 786  
787  
788

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.



# Author Queries

Chapter No.: 8 460239\_1\_En\_8\_Chapter

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AU1	The citation "Duveen (2000)" has been changed to "Duveen (2001)" to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check if the change is fine.	
AU2	Bigazzi (2015) has been changed to Bigazzi (2015a, 2015b) here and other instances. Please fix 2015a or 2015b.	
AU3	The citation "Markova, 2003" has been changed to "Marková, 2003" to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check if the change is fine in this occurrence and modify the subsequent occurrences, if necessary.	
AU4	The citation "Ellemers, Spears, & Doosje, 1999" has been changed to "Branscombe, Ellemers, Spears, and Doosje, 1999" to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check if the change is fine.	
AU5	Bar-Tal, Chernyak-Hai, Schori, & Gundar, (2009) is cited in text, but not given in the reference list. Please check.	
AU6	The citation "Fonagy, Steele, Steele, Higgitt, & Target, 1992" has been changed to "Fonagy, Steele, Steele, Higgitt, and Target, 1994" to match the author name/date in the reference list. Please check if the change is fine in this occurrence and modify if necessary.	