SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 8 August 2018 Accepted: 26 September 2018 Published online: 10 October 2018

Lying down frequency as a discomfort index in heat stressed Holstein bull calves

Levente Kovács^{1,2}, Fruzsina L. Kézér^{1,2}, Mikolt Bakony³, Viktor Jurkovich³ & Ottó Szenci¹

Changes in lying behaviour in response to extreme ambient temperatures have not been examined in dairy calves so far. In this study, lying time, and frequency of lying down were investigated in shaded (n = 8) and non-shaded (n = 8) Holstein bull calves during a 5-d period [temperature, average/max (°C); Day 1 (control, all calves shaded): 22.9/29.4, Day 2 (heat stress day): 28.3/38.8, Day 3: 26.2/33.5, Day 4: 23.7/28.7, and Day 5: 21.2/24.7]. The thermal environment around the calves was characterized by the temperature-humidity index (THI). A three-dimension accelerometer was used to record posture of the calves and lying time and lying down frequency were analysed with 4-h sampling intervals. On Day 1 no differences were found in THI between the shaded and non-shaded environments. On Days 2, 3 and 4 maximal and average THI were higher in the shaded than those recorded for the non-shaded environment. On Day5 no significant differences in THI were observed between calf environments. A similar diurnal pattern of lying time and lying down frequency was observed in both groups. Lying times were shorter during the afternoon (P = 0.003); however, no group differences were found in lying time (P = 0.551). During the daytime (between 8:00 and 20:00), the frequency of lying down was 50, 33, and 41% higher, respectively, than during the nighttime on Days 2, 3 and 4 (P < 0.001, P = 0.011, and P < 0.001). On the heat stress day, non-shaded calves changed posture 88.4 and 76.6% more often than shaded ones between 8:00 and 12:00 and 12:00 and 16:00, respectively (P < 0.001 for both intervals). Similar group differences were observed for Day 3 between 8:00 and 12:00 (71.2%) and Day 4 between 12:00 and 16:00 (76.6%), respectively (P = 0.003, and P = 0.001). On Day 5, there was no difference between groups (P = 0.732). As indicated by our results, heat stress causes changes in lying down frequency and lying time in dairy calves. Supplemental shading reduces discomfort as indicated by lying down frequency, but not by lying time.

Global warming and related weather patterns are associated with unprecedentedly high ambient temperatures, which can increase morbidity and mortality in livestock animals, including dairy cattle¹. Hutch-reared dairy calves are vulnerable to warm episodes during summer because their natural heat-dissipating behaviour (e.g. finding shade) is hindered. As these are all parts of complex comfort behaviour, total time spent lying down, the number of lying bouts, and the frequency of lying down are often studied in response to environmental factors in adult cattle^{2,3}. Although the effect of in utero heat stress exposure on calf activity patterns⁴ and on overall well-being^{5,6} have been recently evaluated during the neonatal period, there is a paucity of information regarding biobehavioral responses to acute heat stress experienced by calves during the pre-weaning period. Commercial automatic data loggers overcome the limitation of time-consuming video-based behavioural observations in calves;⁷ however, no research has evaluated lying behaviour in dairy calves during extreme heat stress events.

Due to the common 'row-feeding' method of hutch-reared calves, hutches are often positioned in a face-off-arrangement ('bull row' vs. 'heifer row'). This way, hutches of bull calves are often exposed to direct sunlight as farmers would like to protect heifer calves from heat load, therefore, bull calves are often at risk of heat stress. The aim of the present study was to assess lying behaviour of shaded (S) and non-shaded (NS) bull calves to determine the effect of heat stress on calf comfort. We hypothesized changes in lying behaviour in response to

¹MTA–SZIE Large Animal Clinical Research Group, Dóra major H-2225, Üllő, Hungary. ²Institute of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Science, Szent István University, Páter Károly utca 1, Gödöllő, H-2100, Hungary. ³Department of Animal Hygiene, Herd Health and Veterinary Ethology, University of Veterinary Medicine, István utca 2, Budapest, H-1078, Hungary. Mikolt Bakony and Viktor Jurkovich contributed equally. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.K. (email: Kovacs.Levente@mkk.szie.hu)



Figure 1. Changes in lying time (**a**) and lying down frequency (**b**) of shaded (\triangle , n = 8) and non-shaded (\bigcirc , n = 8) dairy calves during the 5-d experiment. Data are presented as means ± SEM. During Day 1 (control), all calves were shaded. The grey area between the first and the second dashed vertical lines represents the "heat stress day".

heat stress, and that calves in shaded hutches would show less discomfort (increased lying times and lower lying down frequencies) than calves housed in hutches without supplemental shade.

Results and Discussion

On Day 1 (control) no group differences were found in maximal (74.2 \pm 0.1 vs. 74.5 \pm 0.1; *P*=0.930) and daily average values for THI (69.8 \pm 0.1 vs. 69.5 \pm 0.1; *P*=0.945). The THI recordings indicated an extreme heat load on Day 2 (heat stress day) and Day 3. On Day 2, maximal THI was observed at 16:00 in the NS hutch environment that was higher than those recorded for the S one (86.4 \pm 0.1 vs. 78.2 \pm 0.1; *P* < 0.001). Reduced heat load in the S hutch environment compared to the NS one was reflected by daily averages of THI as well on the heat stress day (78.1 \pm 0.1 vs. 71.3 \pm 0.1; *P* = 0.011). Similar reductions were found in ambient temperature^{8,9} and THI¹⁰ in shaded commercial calf hutches during short-term warm episodes in summer. Like on Day 2, maximal (82.3 \pm 0.1 vs. 74.5 \pm 0.1; *P* = 0.002) and average THI (74.7 \pm 0.1 vs. 68.3 \pm 0.1; *P* = 0.011) were higher in the NS than the S environment for Day 3 (*P*=0.003 and *P*=0.014). Similar, but moderate differences were found on Day 4 for maximal (78.5 \pm 0.1 vs. 73.7 \pm 0.1; *P* = 0.015) and average THI (71.4 \pm 0.1 vs. 69.5 \pm 0.1; *P*=0.026). On Day 5 maximal and average THI for the NS and S hutch environments were 71.0 \pm 0.1 vs. 69.5 \pm 0.1 and 64.2 \pm 0.1 vs. 63.7 \pm 0.1 respectively, without significant differences (*P*=0.526 and *P*=0.545).

Diurnal patterns of lying time and lying down frequency were observed in both groups throughout the experimental period (Fig. 1a,b). Calves changed posture more frequently during the afternoon that resulted in shorter lying times. Lying time tended to decrease during daytime in both groups and increased during the afternoon and evening periods to reach its higher values between 0:00 and 4:00 at night (Fig. 1a). On Days 2 and 3 lying time decreased rapidly for the period between 4:00 and 8:00 (P < 0.001 for both days and groups). On Days 4 and 5 similar lengths of lying time were observed to Days 1 and 2. The frequency of lying down showed similar patterns between groups during Day 1 (control), with 32% higher values for daytime compared to nighttime (P=0.003). During the daytime (between 8:00 and 20:00), the frequency of lying down was 50, 33, and 41% higher, respectively, than during the nighttime on Days 2, 3 and 4 (P < 0.001, P=0.011, and P < 0.001, respectively). Besides discomfort caused by heat stress, diurnal changes in ambient temperature and anticipation around the time of afternoon feeding¹¹ are possibly reflected in the observed activity patterns.

It was hypothesized that calves in a S environment would show less discomfort than calves exposed to a NS environment. Our hypothesis was supported by significant increases in the frequency of lying down in NS calves during the daytime periods on Days 2, 3, and 4. On Day 1 no effect of shading was observed on the frequency of lying down (P = 0.551). On the heat stress day, NS calves changed posture 88.4 and 76.6% more often than S ones between 8:00 and 12:00 and 16:00, respectively (P < 0.001 for both intervals). Similar group differences were observed also for Day 3 between 8:00 and 12:00 (71.2%) and Day 4 between 12:00 and 16:00 (76.6%), respectively (P = 0.003, and P = 0.001). Increased daytime lying down frequency in NS calves during the most heat straining periods illustrates short-term acclimatory responses¹² initiated to compensate for increased heat stress. On Day 5, calves changed posture 44% more often during the daytime compared to nighttime (P < 0.001); however, we found no group difference in lying down frequency (P = 0.732). No study evaluated lying behaviour of calves exposed to heat stress; however, in accordance to the present findings, it was observed that in case of thermal discomfort adult dairy cattle change posture more often to maximize heat dissipation from the body surface^{13,14}.

Opposite to the finding for lying down frequency, no differences were found between S and NS calves for lying time throughout the 5-d period. Though lying down frequency was higher in NS calves, the durations of standing bouts were relatively short that did not significantly reduce overall lying time. Due to the larger surface area/mass ratio in calves compared to adult cattle, heat dissipation of young animals might be not considerably larger in a standing posture. On the other hand, NS calves preferred hutches to pens in sunny hours and were usually in a lying posture due to limited space. Based on these observations lying time as behavioural measure of heat stress has limitations when used in calves housed in individual hutches.

Our study provided novel data on heat stress-induced changes in lying behaviour of dairy calves. In conclusion, lying time and lying down frequency show a diurnal pattern in hutch-reared dairy calves that was possibly affected by acute heat stress. Supplemental shading reduced the frequency of lying down on days with maximal THI above 78 but did not affect lying time in this study. As the first response of animals to stress is the behavioural one, which is the most efficient way to try to cope with the situation¹⁵, automatic recording of lying down frequency may be a promising approach for early detection of acute heat stress in dairy calves. Further research on behavioural patterns during acute heat stress events would aid in the development of housing for young calves in warm climate regions.

Methods

Animals and experimental design. All methods and the applied procedures on the animals were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the Pest County Government Office, Department of Animal Health (Permit Number: PE/EA/1973-6/2016) that approved the study. The experiment was carried out on a large-scale dairy farm in Hungary (N47°18′191″ E18°48′336″), which has a herd of over 1000 lactating Holstein cows. The farm was visited for a 6-day period in the month of August [temperature; average/min/max (°C): 28.9/22.6/38.8, measured in shadow]. Preweaned Holstein bull calves (n = 16) at one month of age with a mean body weight of 74 (\pm 3.2) kg were used for the study. Calves were housed in 1.65 × 1.20 m individual hutches with a 1.60 m² exercise pen in front of each hutch. Hutches and pens had concrete floors bedded with straw. Experimental hutches were aligned in one row, oriented north to south.

The experiment covered 6 days and was designed and timed based on the expected temperature patterns of the regional weather forecast. Day 0 (habituation to the study environment) was followed by Day 1 (control day, temperature; average/max (°C): 20.7/26.8), Day 2 ("heat stress day", temperature; average/max (°C): 27.8/38.8) and Days 3–5: ("post-stress period", temperature; average/max (°C); Day 3: 26.2/33.5, Day 4: 23.7/28.7, Day 5: 21.2/24.7). Between 8:00 and 14:00 on Day 0, a shading structure was prepared over 16 adjacent hutches and pens where experimental calves were kept. A sun shade net with shade rate of 85% was used as shading material and was located 1.9 m above the ground. The following 10 h served as a habituation period for the calves to get used to the novel environment. At 24:00 on Day 1, the shading net was removed from 8 adjacent hutches that remained exposed to direct sunlight throughout the experiment (NS, n = 8), while the other 8 adjacent hutches remained shaded (S, n = 8) for the reminder of the study period. Both S and NS calves were fed the same diet, which did not change throughout the experiment. Calves received one feeding of 2 L of milk replacer at 6:00 and 18:00 and had ad libitum access to chopped alfalfa hay and the starter grain diet (Purina calf starter, Cargill, USA), which met the requirements for preweaned Holstein calves¹⁶. Water from a plastic bucket (7.6 liters), filled twice a day, was provided throughout the study. The diet did not change throughout the experiment.

Recording of the thermal environment. Thermal environment around the animals was assessed by recording the ambient temperature and relative humidity at 10-min intervals. Data loggers were fitted inside the hutches (VOLTCRAFT DL-181THP, Conrad Electronic SE, Hirschau, Germany). Daily maxima and averages of the temperature-humidity index (THI) were used to characterize the microclimatic environment:¹⁷

$$THI = (0.35 \times Tdb + 0.65 \times Twb) \times 1.8 + 32$$

where $T_{db} = dry$ bulb temperature and $T_{wb} =$ wet bulb temperature.

This THI was recommended by Bohmanova et al.¹⁸ for "low-relative-humidity" (continental) climatic regions.

Assessment of lying behaviour. A three-dimension accelerometer (HOBO Pendant G data logger, Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA) was used to record body posture. The logger was attached to the right hind leg of the calves⁷. Data was downloaded using Onset HOBOware Software (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA) and exported to Microsoft Excel. The data logger recorded the g force on the *x*, *y*, and *z*-axes on a scale of -1 to 1. The cut-off values used to categorize logger readings as a specific behaviour (lying vs. standing) were determined based on preliminary observation from video recordings. Information from the *x*-axis was used to evaluate lying down (g < 0.75) and standing (g \ge 0.75) with a 30-s sampling interval, considering that lying down and standing bouts are short¹⁹. The time spent lying down (lying time) and the frequency of lying down were calculated for 4-h recording intervals and presented as means plus standard error of the mean (SEM).

Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were performed in the R–3.3.1 statistical environment and language²⁰. To evaluate the effect of shading on the thermal environment, daily maximal and average values of THI were calculated for all experimental days using all recorded meteorological data with 10-min sampling frequency. Comparisons between S and NS environments were made with the *t*-test at the significance level of 0.05. Daily variations in lying time were compared using linear mixed models, with group (S vs. NS) and 4-h sampling periods as fixed factors. Due to the Poisson distribution of data and the relatively low sample size, lying down frequency was compared between groups by fitting Poisson regression to GLM models. The model included group and time of day (daytime: 8:00–20:00; nighttime: 20:00–8:00) as fixed factors. All models analyzed behavioural data included calf within treatment as a random factor. Comparison of S and NS groups were made with the

Fisher-type *z*-transformation-based *z*-test in all models. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. All results are expressed as mean plus SEM values.

Availability of Materials and Data

All materials, data and associated protocols are available to readers any restrictions.

References

- Rojas-Downing, M. M., Nejadhashemi, A. P., Harrigan, T. & Woznicki, S. A. Climate change and livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Clim. Risk Manag.* 16, 145–163 (2017).
- Tucker, C. B., Weary, D. M. & Fraser, D. Free-stall dimensions: Effects on preference and stall usage. J. Dairy Sci. 87, 1208–1216 (2004).
- 3. Tucker, C. B. & Weary, D. M. Bedding on geotextile mattresses: How much is needed to improve cow comfort? *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 2889–2895 (2004).
- Laporta, J. et al. In utero exposure to heat stress during late gestation has prolonged effects on the activity patterns and growth of dairy calves. J. Dairy Sci. 100, 2976–2984 (2017).
- Strong, R. A., Silva, E. B., Cheng, H. W. & Eicher, S. D. Acute brief heat stress in late gestation alters neonatal calf innate immune functions. J. Dairy Sci. 98, 7771–7783 (2015).
- Skibiel, A. L. et al. Effects of feeding an immunomodulatory supplement to heat-stressed or actively cooled cows during late gestation on postnatal immunity, health, and growth of calves. J. Dairy Sci. 100, 7659–7668 (2017).
- Bonk, S., Burfeind, O., Suthar, V. S. & Heuwieser, W. Technical note: Evaluation of data loggers for measuring lying behavior in dairy calves. J. Dairy Sci. 96, 3265–3271 (2013).
- Coleman, D. A., Moss, B. R. & McCaskey, T. A. Supplemental shade for dairy calves reared in commercial calf hutches in a southern climate. J. Dairy Sci. 79, 2038–2043 (1996).
- 9. Spain, J. N. & Spiers, D. E. Effects of supplemental shade on thermoregulatory response of calves to eat challenge in a hutch environment. J. Dairy Sci. 79, 639–646 (1996).
- Kovács, L., Kézér, F. L., Ruff, F., Jurkovich, V. & Szenci, O. Assessment of heat stress in 7-week old dairy calves with non-invasive physiological parameters in different thermal environments. *Plos One.* 13, e0200622 (2018).
- DeVries, T. J., von Keyserlingk, M. A. G. & Beauchemin, K. A. Frequency of feed delivery affects the behaviour of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 88, 3553–3562 (2005).
- Gaughan, J. B. et al. Response of domestic animals to climate challenges in Biometeorology of adaptation to climate variability and change. (eds Ebi, K. L., Burton, I & McGregor, G. R.) 131–170 (Springer Science, 2009).
- Anderson, S. D. et al. Effects of adjustable and stationary fans with misters on core body temperature and lying behavior of lactating dairy cows in a semiarid climate. J. Dairy Sci. 96, 4738–4750 (2013).
- Allen, J. D., Hall, L. W., Collier, R. J. & Smith, J. F. Effect of core body temperature, time of day, and climate conditions on behavioral patterns of lactating dairy cows experiencing mild to moderate heat stress. J. Dairy Sci. 98, 1–10 (2015).
- Moberg, G. P. Biological response to stress in *The biology of animal stress: basic principles and implications for animal welfare* (eds Moberg, G. P. & Mench, J. A.) 171–198 (CABI Publishing, 2000).
- 16. NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 7th rev. ed. (Natl. Acad. Sci., 2001).
- 17. Bianca, W. Relative importance of dry and wet bulb temperature in causing heat stress in cattle. Nature 195, 252-252 (1962).
- Bohmanova, J., Misztal, I. & Cole, J. B. Temperature-humidity index as indicator of milk production losses due to heat stress. J. Dairy Sci. 90, 1947–1956 (2007).
- Ledgerwood, D. N., Winckler, C. & Tucker, C. B. Evaluation of data loggers, sampling intervals, and editing techniques for measuring the lying behavior of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 93, 5129–5139 (2010).
- 20. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, http://www.R-project.org/ (2016).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the 17896-4/2018/FEKUTSTRAT grant of the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities. Levente Kovács was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary [BO/00040/16/4]; the OTKA Postdoctoral Scholarship of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Budapest, Hungary [NKFIH-6493-1/2016], the New National Excellence Program 'Bolyai plus' Project of the Ministry of Human Capacities [ÚNKP-18-4-SZIE-3] and the Research Center of Excellence project of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Budapest, Hungary [1476-4/2016/FEKUT].

Author Contributions

Plan of the experiment: L.K. and V.J. Performing the experiment: L.K., F.L.K. and V.J. Manuscript writing: L.K. Statistical analysis: M.B. Supervision: O.Sz.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018