Neighborhood Planning for Communi Revitalization

A CONSORTIUM PROJECT OF: Augsburg College; College of St. Catherine; Hamline University; Higher Education Consortium for Urban Affairs; Macalester College; Metropolitan State University; Minneapolis Community College; Minneapolis Neighborhood Revitalization Program; University of Minnesota (Center for Urban and Regional Affairs; Children, Youth and Family Consortium; Minnesota Extension Service); University of St. Thomas; and Minneapolis community and neighborhood representatives.

CURA RESOURCE COLLECTION

Center for Urban and Regional Affairs University of Minnesota 330 Humphrey Center

Whittier Homeownership Center Targetting Project

by Elizabath Malaby and Tom Brady-Leighton

September, 1994

Neighborhood Planning for Community Revitalization (NPCR) supported the work of the author of this report but has not reviewed it for publication. The content is solely the responsibility of the author and is not necessarily endorsed by NPCR.

NPCR is coordinated by the Center for Urban and Regional Affairs at the University of Minnesota and is funded in part by an Urban Community Service Program grant administered by the U.S. Department of Education.

NPCR 330 HH Center 301 19th Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55455

phone: 612/626-1020

e-mail: nelso193@maroon.tc.umn.edu

WHITTIER HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER TARGETING PROJECT

September 15, 1994

Research Leads

Elizabeth Malaby Tom Brady-Leighton

CURA RESOURCE COLLECTION

Center for Urban and Regional Affairs University of Minnesota 330 Humphrey Center

Additional Thanks To

Darlene Walser, Jordan neighborhood
Mike Rumppe, CCP/ SAFE
Nadine Knibb, Southside Neighborhood Housing Services
Sandy Green and Barry Cavalancia, realtors

WHITTIER HOMEOWNERSHIP CENTER

TARGETING PROJECT REPORT

The aim of this research project has been to provide the Whittier Homeownership Center the information it needs to administer its loan program for maximum effectiveness and impact. Specifically, the project has focused on locating the properties which Whittier should target with rehab, purchase/rehab, and refinance/rehab loans; and making recommendations regarding any steps the Center should consider to ensure the success of its program.

Thus, this report consists of an outline of the Center's goals, along with specific recommendations regarding the facilitation of each; discussion of the use of those goals as criteria for selecting target properties; and a list of properties to be targeted by the Center's program.

The goals of the Homeownership Center have been developed and clarified during the course of the project. The Center's goals are apparently multiple and potentially mutually reinforcing; they include:

- * Improving Whittier's appearance by facilitating rehab.
- * Increasing stability in Whittier.
- * Increasing access to mortgage and rehab financing in Whittier. The Homeownership Center was founded on the premise that expanding homeownership increases stability; thus, loans are available only to owner-occupants. The work of the Center is not only to attract new homeowners to the neighborhood, but to retain current homeowners as well.

The Center's goals led us to consider the following types of properties as priority candidates for loans: properties which are currently in a fair or poor state of repair; properties that generate repeated calls to the police and/or Housing Complaints; properties that are marketable—that are or could feasibly be desirable places to live. The architectural and historic quality of the structures was also discussed as a targeting factor, but as it happens, this quality coincides with desirability; what realtors call "charm" is one of the most marketable factors of Whittier's housing stock. Affordability is another important factor in any homeownership effort but Tuckily much of Whittier's housing stock is estimated at below \$80,000, and many units are in the \$40,000 range.

The Homeownership Center's strategy is to make rehab loans available to owner-occupants, and mortgage and rehab loans available to individuals wishing to purchase and live in properties that are currently absentee owned. Target properties will be priority candidates for these loans. It should be noted that since 90% of Whittier's dwellings are rental units, the availability of rental housing is not going to be jeopardized by moderate amounts of conversion to owner-occupancy.

The study also developed specific recommendations regarding steps the Homeownership Center could take to further its goals. Included are discussions of the marketing of Whittier, the accessibility of financing for rehab and mortgage loans, and the defusing of problem properties, among other issues.

Improving Whittier's appearance by facilitating rehab.

<> Research Methodology

ct

th

re

es

to

;ed

Le

ne

to 1s

ee-

izeo

s.

ıe

cing

ant

Buildings which are allowed to fall into disrepair clearly have a negative impact on neighborhood image. Unfortunately, simply setting up a rehab loan program does not guarantee that properties in the greatest need of work will be improved. Part of this study's property targeting process has included a visual survey of the neighborhood to locate properties in need of work, so that the Homeownership Center can approach owners directly about the availability of solutions. Only buildings with 1-4 units were included in the survey-- larger buildings being less likely candidates for owner-occupancy.

The visual survey has tried to answer the questions: What properties clearly could benefit from exterior improvements? Which properties, if improved, would most drastically improve the neighborhood's image? In the selection of priority properties, where do current residents' sentiments lie?

Residents volunteered to do drive-by assessments of entire blocks. Each 1-4 unit building's current exterior condition, any specific areas needing work, and the building's architectural quality were noted. A list of priority properties was developed; information was gathered on ownership, homestead status, the number of units in the building, and the city's building condition rating.

Target blocks were selected based on the density of buildings on the block needing work. Blocks which were largely in fine shape were not targeted because private citizens might well invest in these areas without requiring any public incentives. Likewise, blocks at the other end of the spectrum were not targeted if the structural problems were so great as to make housing unviable. The two examples are: blocks between Lake Street and the railroad tracks, which are hemmed in by business and industrial uses and are nearly unmarketable at this point; and blocks along First Ave, which is a narrow high-traffic street with many vacant and problem properties. Both of these areas could be added to the target area list if public investments make them more viable; under discussion are plans to route traffic off First Ave onto Nicollet, and to convert the railway corridor into a linear park.

Two lists of priority properties on target blocks were generated: a list of absentee-owned properties, whose owners could be contacted regarding sale to an interested, prospective owner-occupant; and a list of owner-occupied properties, whose owners could be contacted regarding the availability of rehab loans at favorable interest rates. Please note that these lists are not an inventory of all Whittier's housing-- only the housing on target blocks.

CURA has supported the work of the author(s) of this report but has not reviewed it for final publication. Its content is solely the responsibility of the author(s) and is not necessarily endorsed by CURA.

<> Comments & Recommendations

A large number of Whittier's residential buildings are owner-occupied or are candidates for owner-occupancy. Even though 90% of Whittier's dwelling units are rental, many of those rental units are included in duplexes or quads which can be owner-occupied, and many others are consolidated into a few large buildings. Thus, an image improvement program targeting owner-occupied structures could have a significant impact. However, to be most effective, it should be augmented by a program to improve the looks of strictly rental buildings-- particularly in a neighborhood which is so overwhelmingly rental.

There are a number of avenues through which Whittier could encourage good housekeeping at apartment buildings:

- * Tenant organizing, to put the pressure on rental property owners who have the means to improve their properties but who have fallen behind for whatever reason.
- * Rehab loans for rental property owners who have a sincere interest in maintaining the property but do not have the means. Anecdotes suggest that some Whittier residents have sought to improve their blocks by buying out the poorly managed rental buildings adjacent to them. Though a landlord necessarily does not live in the building itself, if s/he lives nearby, s/he has as much stake in the building's upkeep and tenancy as an occupant. Whitties may want to provide incentives and assistance for in-neighborhood rental property ownership.
- * Programs to educate rental property owners about appropriate and legal tenant screening.
- * Programs to encourage rental property owners to retain responsible on-site property managers.

As far as image improvements go, the buildings in Whittier may not be the only problem. Litter is an on-going concern of many current residents, and of course is not attractive to prospective buyers. The Northside (Mpls) ACORN neighborhood group has organized to lobby the city for installation & maintenance of sidewalk tratsh bins. At the least, Whittier should keep an eye on the results of this effort.

Measures targeting rental properties or streetscape improvements may be beyond the scope of the Homeownership Center as it is currently constituted. However, they would certainly add to the desirability of the neighborhood— for current residents as well as for prospective homebuyers.

Increasing stability in Whittier.

(a) Defusing problem properties.

<> Research Methodology

E

гe

е

ıge

3

ot

ch

ier

te

ot.

ent

obby

may

"Problem properties" were identified in cooperation with Mike Rumppe of CCP/SAFE and Debbie Nelson of the Minneapolis Housing Complaints department.

From CCP/SAFE we received a catalogue of the 1994 police calls at the addresses targeted by the visual survey. Ignored were calls which in no way incriminated the residents at that address, such as burglaries or notification of the residents regarding an emergency situation. It should be noted that some calls are unfounded or are the result of an overanxious neighbor, so quantity of police calls is not a perfect gauge. It should, however, bring out the extreme cases.

Ms. Nelson briefly discussed with us a number of properties about which she has received repeated complaints, and/or to which she has made repeated visits. These include abandoned as well as occupied structures, since calls may be made by neighbors as well as occupants.

Information on police calls and housing complaints is noted in the list of target properties.

<> Comments & Recommendations

The Homeownership Center's strategy regarding problem rental properties is to approach the current owner regarding possible sale of the property to a new owner-occupant-- who would then be eligible for mortgage and rehab assistance.

Owner-occupied properties may also become problems, particularly when the owner is renting out some units of the building but does not have a great deal of experience in tenant management. Currently, Southside Neighborhood Housing Services provides rental property ownership counseling for new buyers of homesteaded duplexes and quads. With cooperation from SSNHS, Whittier could require such counseling (perhaps in seminar groups) for multi-unit homeowners who wish to receive rehab loans.

The Homeownership Center should maintain contact with and request updates from Mike Rumppe and Debbie Nelson. Whittier's Community Safety Committee also keeps an eye on problem properties, and could be asked to review and and periodically make updates on the target blocks/ priority properties list.

(b) Attracting & retaining long-term owner-occupants.

<> Research Methodology

We used focus groups to investigate Whittier's strong and weak points in terms of the homebuying market. We also wanted to get a sense of the kinds of people who buy homes and stay in Whittier, and why.

The focus groups consisted of realtors who market homes in Whittier, persons who live in Whittier now but are currently renting, and persons who have recently bought homes in Whittier. Unfortunately, the persons who volunteered their views at the focus groups were not particularly representative of the variety of ethnic groups residing in Whittier. They did, however, represent a range of age, income, education, and sexual preference groups. The focus groups served to inform this study about the desirable aspects of Whittier that the Center should capitalize on, the issues Whittier and the Center could work to overcome, and the types of people to whom Whittier should market itself.

The criterion of marketability was also used as a screen in the selection of priority properties for the loan program. Properties which are currently absentee-owned must be bought by a new owneroccupant in order to qualify for the Homeownership Center's rehab Thus, the relative attractiveness or unattractiveness assistance. of these properties was an issue. Once it became clear that architectural charm is what draws many residents to Whittier, properties which had been targeted through the visual survey were given an architectural charm rating, based on the following characteristics: level of architectural detail, clarity of the building massing, faithfulness of additions and porch enclosures to the original spirit of the building. The marketability of an individual house is also affected by a property's location within a few blocks, so notable features -- such as location on a corner, alley adjacency, or a larger lot -- were recorded when they were present.

<> Comments & Recommendations

The focus group discussions provided the following insights into Whittier's effort to attract new homeowners and retain current ones;

* What can people who buy homes in Whittier afford? The prices of Whittier's housing stock are not rock-bottom. While single-family homes in Philips and Near North average around \$30,000- \$40,000, comparable homes in Whittier are often in the \$40,000 - \$80,000 range. Thus, much of Whittier's stock cannot compete in the cheaper-than-rent market. Whittier is in the price range -- and therfore in competition with -- newly constructed attached housing, such as Rottlund Townhome developments in the suburbs, and older, smaller free-standing homes, such as the bungalows and ramblers of the Hiawatha neighborhood, Richfield, and

Roseville. The people who are likely to buy in Whittier are those for whom the advantages of locating here outweigh the disadvantages. Whittier's greatest advantages, in comparison to Richfield or Rottlund, are unquestionably: (a) being near "the center of the universe"— biking distance to Uptown, Downtown, Calhoun and Lake of the Isles, cultural and arts attractions; and (b) the size and historic character of the houses. Whittier's greatest drawback is unquestionably the perception of a monolithic crime problem. Thus, persons whose anxiety about living in a mixed neighborhood is very strong are more likely to move to Roseville.

- * What kinds of people are likely to buy homes in Whittier?
 People who do not have small children. People who do not intend to have children, are not thinking about having children yet, or whose children are mostly grown.
- Young adults. Stereotypically, "Generation X" buyers tend to value quality of life more than money. Thus, they tend to choose lower paying but more satisfying jobs, and so can afford little higher than the Whittier price range. Simultaneously, they are looking for beautiful homes.
- People with extended families. Parents with grown children, adults with dependent parents. Whittier's larger homes are the attraction.
- Older persons who do not want to have to drive long distances for their routine trips.
- People who are likely to have a home business, such as graduates from business school, professionals in real estate, financial services, architecture and other design fields, computer software services. It may be worthwhile to market to graduate students in such fields.
- Persons in the arts community.
- Persons in the gay community.

a and

erı

y, not

ing

е

s

to

n a

nes:

and

- Persons in the black and Latino communities.
- Persons who have recently moved to the Twin Cities from out-state or out-of-state. Many in-migrants arrive in Whittier because of its central location and reasonable rental rates. Ultimately they may be looking for somewhere to settle.
- Persons interested in a "project" home. In other words, they want something they can rehab.
 - * Suggestions for ways to market to them:
- Provide flyers at graduate schools and professional colleges.
- Advertise in or volunteer to write informative articles for publications hitting your target market-- such as local arts journals, gay and ethnic newsletters, church community newsletters, or publications for rehab enthusiasts.
- Contact rental property owners in Whittier and Stevens Square about renters looking for homes.
- Contact the Minnesota chapter of AARP about the advantages of retiring to the metro.
- Market Whittier to realty companies. Work to eliminate the occurrence of realtors steering customers away from this area.

- * According to the focus groups, what attributes should Whittier capitalize on?
- Charm/ historic architectural qualities.
- Large dwelling size. Lots of building space for your money.
- Proximity to Uptown and Downtown attractions.
- Diversity.
- Street vitality. Coffee shops, small stores, ethnic restaurants.
- Walking distance to Art Institute.
- View of downtown.
- Frequently run bus service going all directions.
- Real estate taxes high in Twin Cities, but often assessed low in this neighborhood.
- Zoning that allows small in-home businesses. Tolerance of residential neighbors for in-home businesses.
- The proposed neighborhood elementary school. Neighborhood schools are a desirable commodity.
 - * What attributes must Whittier downplay and ultimately work to overcome?
- Crime and fear of crime.
- Fear of harrassment on the street.
- Noise pollution. Cars with huge stereos.
- Litter.
- Congestion.
- Maintenance costs associated with older housing stock. The effort of having to learn to be a handyperson. Rehab costs.
- The oppressiveness of being located right next to the interstate and the highway's noise barriers.
- Large apartment buildings right next to single family homes.
- * Regarding Whittier's advantages and drawbacks, a number of suggestions were made at the focus group discussions:
- In talking about the crime problem, one person commented, "If something happens, and you're an owner, you're stuck." Demonstrate that owners are not helpless with respect to crime problems. Promote the crime prevention movement: the Whittier Walkers, the Community Safety Committe which meets regularly with a CCP/Safe officer and a Whittier beat officer. Help to organize block clubs and expand participation in crime prevention programs. Advertise Whittier's successes: contact the Star Tribune, local TV news stations, realtors. Make sure prospective homebuyers are aware of an active and successful anti-crime group.
- Crime may be perceived as a monolithic problem throughout the neighborhood, when in actuality crime tends to occur in pockets. Raise awareness about incidence patterns. Spend as much time discussing the stable areas as the unstable.
- Back landlords who use fair practices to screen their tenants. Offer tenant management workshops. Improve student renters' experience, if possible; later they may be willing to buy in the neighborhood.

- Help to organize the community of in-home business owners, so that they become aware of each other and others are more of them.
- To help reduce crowding, back standards for a maximum number of related persons in an apartment. Currently, only the number of unrelated persons in an apartment is regulated.
- Anti-litter and anti-noise pollution campaigns.

,15

ite

- In projects which are undertaken or financed by Whittier, architecturally unique buildings and elements shold be preserved at all costs. They are not replaceable.
- Offer workshops on property maintenance and do-it-yourself home improvement for current homeowners as well as incoming buyers.
- Make sure current homeowners and prospective homebuyers are aware of each other and of the improvements going on in the neighborhood. If individuals are to be expected to invest in a borderline area, they need to be aware of others pouring their time, energy and dollars in as well. Whittier needs critical mass of neighborhood revitalization. "Community-building" can even be a marketing tool.

Increase access to mortgage and rehab financing in Whittier.

(a) Increasing access to rehab loans.

<> Research Methodology

The focus group discussions revealed that Whittier owner-occupants currently may have difficulty obtaining rehab loans because of the income limits of other programs combined with the reservations of some private lenders regarding investments in this geographical area. They may also find themselves in catch-22 regulatory situations, requiring special financing. One prospective homebuyer could not get insurance for the boarded house she wanted, but could not take the boards off the house until she owned. She did not qualify for any of the MCDA programs. Her solution was to buy the house with a second mortgage attached to a home improvement loan; contractors were paid directly out of the bank's account.

Whittier's rehab loan program will be most useful when it fills in the gaps left by other programs and private lenders: * The MHFA provides loans to owner occupants with incomes under \$38,000, for general remodeling of 1-4 unit buildings. The maximum loan amount is \$15,000, even for buildings with multiple units. Landscape improvements and fences do not typically qualify. * The MCDA provides loans of up to \$40,000 for residential building containing rental units. Half of the loan principal must be provided by a private lender, and only corrections of code deficiencies, structural problems, lead paint, Section 8 housing deficiencies, and handicap accessibility qualify. * The MCDA and MHFA also provide deferred loans and grants in emergency situations -- code violations, energy improvements, and lead paint abatement. The income limits on these programs range from \$8500 to \$27,000. * Some private lenders consider not only an applicant's income and

<> Comments & Recommendations

The Homeownership Center will not be able to foresee all of the problematic situations created by homeowners' financing needs and aging housing stock. For the moment, the Center should be creative and flexible-- particularly where other programs have limits, such as on loan size and term, maximum income, and eligible improvements After the program has been operating for a year, it will be clear what types of loans are most needed; then the program can be reassessed in terms of the neighborhood's goals.

debt but also the stability of housing values in an area.

One condition the Center <u>should</u> place on its loans is that some portion of the monies be spent on exterior improvements. In this way, the neighborhood receives an obvious return on its investment'

An important aspect of a loan program's accessibility is public awareness of the program. The Center could consider contacting and

spreading information through committees of the Alliance, block clubs and other neighborhood organizations, church groups, and Franklin Bank's customers, for example. Homeowners on the priority list might respond to direct mail invitations to avail themselves of the program.

(b) Increase opportunity for renters to become homeowners.

<> Research Methodology

s e

er

10

e

ıul

i ng

nd

d å i√e

 c^{n}

nt'

 an^{l}

The 1990 Census indicates that less than 15% of Whittier residents live in owner-occupied dwellings. One way to justify spending the neighborhood's dollars on a program to improve owner-occupied properties is for that program to open ownership opportunities to some of Whittier's renters.

The question is, can Whittier's renters afford to buy homes here? Both Census research and discussion with Whittier realtors suggested that, north of 28th Street, sale prices do not often drop below \$60,000. The Census, in fact, reports prices predominantly in the \$60,000-\$124,000 range north of 28th Street, while south of 28th the bulk of prices range \$40,000-\$74,000. Affordable homeownership opportunities would then seem to be fairly limited.

However, we came to a different conclusion after cross-referencing the list of target properties has been cross-referenced with estimated market value (available from the Minneapolis assessor). As it turns out, price varies greatly from block to block, in spite of Census generalizations. Affordable homeownership opportunities will be limited less by purchase price than by the amount of rehab work required on some of the properties.

Estimated market value has been noted on the target property list, for properties which are currently absentee-owned.

<> Comments & Recommendations

Persons seeking to buy lower cost homes often have more difficulties in the purchase process and require additional assistance. Some of this assistance is already being provided by Southside Neighborhood Housing Services— such as credit counseling, and access to mortgage insurance. (In fact, many SSNHS loans do not require mortgage insurance.) However, entry costs — closing costs and downpayment costs — continue to be a barrier for many prospective homeowners.

SSNHS would like to be able to offer up to \$5000 in entry cost assistance. Currently, Franklin Bank provides up to \$3000. The MCDA also has a program for up to \$3500, but this is restricted to closing costs only, and the recipient must have a dependent child, be buying a single-family home, and have a maximum income of \$38,400. This may be another area where Whittier's loan program could make a significant difference.

KEY TO TARGET PROPERTIES LIST

du Number of dwelling units

emv Estimated Market Value (according to city assessor) in thousands of dollars

EXTERIOR CONDITION

X Boarded or very poor condition

XX-X Fair condition

XX-X-XX Good condition; only some minor work needed

POLICE CALLS

NUIS Music, Disturbance, Neighbor Trouble, Tenant Trouble, Kid Trouble, Drunk, Firecrackers

HAZRD Fight, Person with Weapon, Person with Gun, Assault, Criminal Sexual Conduct-Rape, Shots, Suspicious Person, Suspicious Vehicle, Narcotics, Receiving (Stolen) Vehicle or Property

DOMES Domestic fight, Domestic fight with weapon, Unwanted person, Criminal Sexual Conduct-Molestation, Retrieve Property (from victim's former residence)

2

2

5.

1:

2

25

1:

35

26

Note: Police calls indicating that residents of a property had contact with the police only because they had need of medical assistance or information or because they themselves had been victimized by outsiders are not recorded in this table.

CSfty Neighbors of the property attended a Community Safety meeting $^{ au \ell}$ express complaints and fears about this property.

HsgComp Minneapolis housing inspector Debbie Nelson has received repeated complaints about this property (this may include calls regarding break-ins or vandalism at abandoned buildings, as well as unsafe conditions at occupied buildings) and/or has issued repeated work orders on this property, which may or may not have been promptly attended to. For more information on specific properties, contact Nelson at 673-5883.

MARKETABILITY

* Low charm

*** Moderate charm potential

***** Potentially very charming

ABSENTEE - OWNED

SINGLE FAMILY HOMES

	du	emv	EXTER. CONDT.	Nuis	-POLICE Hazrd		92+93		Location
pr	iori	ty pro	perties	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	
* Proper			ecent shap oblems.	pe and/	or quite	e charmi	ing that	have o	n-going
* Renta			s with mod	derate d	charm in	n quite	good co	ndition	•
301 W27	1	\$45	XX-X-XX	NBRTRB	ATTPU ATTPU ATTPU	DOMES UNWANT	14	***	Corner lot but small
139 E27	1	\$51	xx-x-xx	DIST	FIGHT FIGHT PERGUN ATTPU PERGUN	UNWANT RETPRP	<u>25</u>	***	
² 16 W29	1	\$32	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	***	
2604 Sec	1	\$46	xx-x	NBRTRB	ASLT		14	***	
•••••	• • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
515 W27	1	\$61	xx-x				0	***	Alley
2545/7 Har	1	\$70	xx-x				0/4	***	
² 28 W27	1	\$57	XX-X				3	***	Corner lot
2709 Pleas	1	\$77	XX-X				8	***	
112 W26	1,	\$40	XX-X				2	***	Alley
2619 Pills	1	\$54	XX-X				6	***	
	1	\$55	XX-X				1	***	
115 W28	1	\$38	XX	?	?	?	?	***	Alley Small lot
²⁸ 12 Blais	1	\$38	xx-x	?	?	?	?	***	Hi traffic Hi traffic
2638 Third	1	\$46	XX-X				11	***	

t

rs

t0

1s ell

lav^e

ABSENTEE - OWNED

DUPLEXES, TRIPLEXES, & ROOMING HOUSES

	du	emv	EXTER. CONDT.	 Nuis		CALLS Domes			ABILITY Location
pr	iori	ty pro	perties						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
-			-			•			
	rtie	s in d	ties that ecent sha oblems.						n-going
2726 Gra	2	\$30	xx-x		SUSPP RECVEH DAMPRP ASLTP		22	***	
2801/3 Pleas	2	\$45	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	*****	
2718 Pills	9	\$90	xx-x	TENTRB		UNWANT RETPRP	<u>36</u>	***	
2505/7 Blais	2	\$120	xx-x				0/0	****	
108/10 W27	2	\$78	XX-X	?	?	?	? HsgCom	**** <u>q</u>	Small 10t
2715 Stev	?	\$58	xx-x	NBRTRB NBRTRB PARTY MISC	SUSPP CSCR DAMPRP	DOMES DOMES DOMESW RETPRP	44 CSfty	***	
				MUSIC PARTY	SUSPP NARC SUSPV SUSPV	RETPRP DOMES DOMES			
					SUSPP				
109 E27	4	\$42	xx-x	?	RECPRP ?	?	? <u>HsgCom</u>	*** <u>q</u>	Adj to boarded ^{ps}
2815 Stev	2	\$51	xx-x	?	?	?	?	*****	Adj to post boarded post
2600 Third	7	\$80	XX-X				11	****	Corner 10th Prominent
2716 Sec	2	\$63	xx-x-xx				<u>43</u>	***	.1
	8	\$130	X			r	46/?	***	F/S.Empty?

.....priority but less marketable.....

* Charming properties in less charming locations.
* Properties that have on-going tenant problems and are less charming.

TTOPET	CICL	Cirac	nave on s	joing co	snanc pi	. ODIEMS	and are	Tess Ci	ial ming.
2509 Gra	3	\$45	xx-x		FIGHT SUSPP		24	*	
404 W28 /2742 Gra		\$77	xx-x	MUSIC PARTY		DABUSE	22 HsgComp	* <u>2</u>	Hi traffic
416 W28	2	\$40	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	? <u>HsgCom</u> r	**** <u>)</u>	F/S Alley Small lot Hi traffic
2219 Pleas	10	\$85	XX-X	DIST DIST DIST	SUSPP ASLT DAMPRP		<u>69</u>	*	
221/3 W28	2	\$52	xx-x	?	?	?	? <u>HsgCom</u> r	**** <u>)</u>	Small lot Hi traffic
2732/4 Stev	2	\$115	XX-X	KIDTRB DIST DIST DIST DIST DIST MUSIC		DOMES DOMES UNWANT UNWANT DOMES DOMES DABUSE RETPRP	<u>27/1</u>	*	
²⁷³⁶ /8 Stev	2	\$105	xx-x	DIST DIST MUSIC DIST MUSIC MUSIC DIST PARTY DIST MUSIC KIDTRB FC	SHOTS SUSPP FIGHT ASLT SUSPP	UNWANT DABUSE DABUSE	1/14	*	
²⁷⁴⁰ Stev	2	\$53	xx-x		PERGUN CSCR DAMPRP DAMPRP		14	* .	

.ot

d ns

10^t

ent

pty?

• • • • • •	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2625 Gar	2	\$75	XX				0	***	
2809 Gar	2	\$59	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	***	
2812/4 Gar	2	\$60	xx-x	?	?	?	?	**	·
2813/5 Gar	3	\$50	XX-X	?	?	?	?	**	
2816 Gar	2	\$60	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	***	
2824 Gar	2	\$40	XX-X-X	?	?	?	?	*	
2541 Har	2	\$73	XX-X-XX	MUSIC PARTY DIST			1	***	
420 W28	2	\$50	XX-X	?	?	?	? <u>HsgCom</u>	₽ **	Small lot Hi traff ^{i©}
510/2 W28	2	\$59	xx-x	?	?	?	?	**	Small lot Hi traff ^{ic}
2712 Gra	2	\$55	XX-X				5	***	
2720 Gra	2	\$56	XX-X-XX				6	***	
412 W28	2	\$48	XX-X	?	?	?	? <u>HsgCom</u>	* ਧ	Alley Small lo ^t Hi traff ^{i©}
2805/7 Gra	3	\$68	XX-X	?	?	?	?	*	Markt adj
2813 Gra	2	\$105	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	***	
2223 Pleas	2	\$98	XX-X-XX	PARTY PARTY MUSIC			3	****	
2315/7 Pleas	2	\$95	xx-x	MISC		DOMES DABUSE	6/6	***	F/S
2321 Pleas	2	\$95	xx-x					***	311 ov. F/5
216 W27	2	\$61	XX-X-XX	PARTY PARTY	SUSPP		2	***	Alley;
2710 Pleas	2	\$45	xx-x	MUSIC MUSIC		DABUSE	11	***	
2714 Pleas	2	\$52	xx-x				3	***	
2727/9 Pleas	2	\$73	xx-x	PARTY MUSIC TENTRB MUSIC			4/1	***	
	*.								1

2730/2 Pleas	2	\$68	xx-x	DK	SUSPP		2/3	***	
309/11 W28	2	\$49	XX-X	?	?	?	?	***	F/S Alley Small lot Hi traffic
2616 Pills		\$81	XX-X-XX	NBRTRB DAMPRP	ASLT		4	***	F/S
2641 Pills	2	\$39	xx-x		SUSPP SUSPP		8	***	F/S
206 W27	2	\$57	xx-x				6	***	
2723/5 Pills	2	\$45	XX-X-XX		FIGHT SUSPP RISK		8/0	***	
2727 Pills		\$50	xx-x	MUSIC MUSIC			3	***	
122 W28	2	\$43	XX-X	?	?	?	?	***	Small lot Hi traffic
2825/7 Pills	2	\$50	XX-X-X	?	?	?	?	*	
2620 Blais	2	\$94	XX-X				5	***	F/S
100 W27	2	\$75	XX-X				6	***	F/S
2721/3 Blais	2	\$93	xx-x				11/5	****	
106/8 W29	2	\$27	XX-X	?	?	?	?	***	
²⁸ 12 Stev	2	\$47	XX-X	?	?	?	?	**	
^{2822/4} Stev	2	\$61	xx-x-xx	?	?	?	?	****	
201 E Fra	6	\$85	xx-x					*	
²⁰⁰⁶ Sec	3	\$80	xx-x	DOWN	•		11	***	
²⁰¹¹ Sec	6	\$60	xx-x-x				3	****	
²⁶¹³ /5 Sec	2	\$58	xx-x				0/4	*	
^{2617/9} Sec	2	\$60	xx-x	MUSIC			3/1	*	
² 720 Sec	2	\$42	xx-x				4	***	
² 206 Clin	2	\$53	xx-x-xx				7	***	F/S
²⁴ 12/4 Four	2	\$63	XX-X-X	FC MUSIC			3/11	***	

Lot Efi^c

lot Efi^c

10^t ff^{i©}

adj

F/⁵

ABSENTEE - OWNED

QUADS & 4+ UNIT SMALL BUILDINGS

	du	emv	EXTER. CONDT.	Nuis		CALLS Domes			ABILITY Location
pr:	iori	ty pro	perties	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		
	rtīe	s in d	ties that lecent sha oblems.						n-going
2735/7 Gar	4	\$70	xx-x	DIST			9/0	*****	
2500 Har	4	\$80	xx-x				6	*****	Corner 10t
2621/3 Pleas	9	\$190	xx-x-xx				<u>43</u>	****	
2740/2 Har	4	\$125	xx-x				4/2	****	
2714/6 Stev	5	\$55	xx	DIST DIST	SHOTS SUSPV NARC SUSPP	UNWANT DOMES	11/12 CSfty HsgCom	*** D	
2103 Sec	8	\$100	xx-x	DIST DK UNKTRB	SUSPV		16	****	
2608 Sec	4	\$70	xx-x	KIDTRB DIST DIST UNKTRB DK MUSIC	FIGHT DAMPRP NARC	DOMES DOMES DABUSE DABUSE UNWANT	28	***	
2612/4 Sec	4	\$72	XX-X	PARTY	ASLT DAMPRP	UNWANT DOMES UNWANT	6/2	****	
2609/11 Third		\$160	xx-x	MISC		UNWANT UNWANT DABUSE	26/?	***	
2620 / Third	5	\$130	xx-x	NBRTRB MISC PARTY	DAMPRP SHOTS PERWEA	DOMES	13	****	

RECVEH

2625/7 Third	5	\$80	XX-X	DK MISC DK	RECPRP	UNWANT DABUSE DOMES DOMES CSCM DOMES	12/?	***	
2220 Clin	5	\$95	xx-x	DIST MUSIC	ASLT	UNWANT DABUSE DOMES DABUSE RETPRP	<u>59</u>	***	Adj to bldg to be demo'd

on

.....priority but less marketable.....

			ties in l have on-					less	charming.
2808/10 Har	4	\$70	xx-x	?	?	?	? HsgCom	** p	
2612 Pills	8	\$100	xx-x	DIST			<u>27</u>	*	
2726 Stev	4	\$65	xx-x	MUSIC DIST DIST DIST NBRTRB	SHOTS SUSPP SUSPV	DOMES DOMES DOMES DOMES	60 CSfty	*	
²⁷ 28/30 Stev	4	\$76	xx-x	MUSIC UNKTRB DIST DIST MUSIC KIDTRB DIST INDEX?	SUSPP ASLT SUSPP ATTPU FIGHT	UNWANT DOMES	32/0 CSfty HsgCom	* <u>p</u>	
² 416/8 Four	4	\$56	xx-x	DIST MUSIC TENTRB PARTY PARTY DIST	ATTPU ASLTP	UNWANT DABUSE DOMES DOMESW RETPRP DABUSE DABUSE	44/8	**	Faces wall

2821 Gar	4	\$75	xx-x	?	?	?	?	***	
	4	\$38	XX-X				7/2	*	Faces park
215 W22 /2205 P	6 le	\$165	XX-X	DIST DIST			10	***	Corner 101
2717 Pleas	4	\$40	xx-x	MUSIC			9	***	
2609/11 Pills	4	\$105	XX-X-XX					*	F/S
2824 Pills	4	\$70	XX-X	?	?	?	?	***	
2533 Blais	4	\$65	xx-x-xx		DAMPRP	DABUSE	4	*	F/S
2828/30 Blais	4	\$60	xx-x	?	?	?	?	***	Hi traffi
2018/20 Sec	4	\$72	XX				2/5	***	
2601 Third	4	\$3	xx-x				7	***	Corner 101
2428/30 Four	8	\$130	xx-x	DIST	SHOTS SUSPV	UNWANT	5/13	*	

VACANT and BOARDED

	•				CALLS			ABILITY
	Unit	ts OWNER	Nuis	Hazrd	Domes	92+93	Charm	Location
2825 Gar	4	HUD	?	?	?	?	***	
2600 Har	1	МРНА				5	***	Prominent; Uncrowded; Faces park
2710 Har	1	МРНА				2	***	races park
424 W28	1	Van Eps	?	?	?	?	***	Corner Hi traffic Small lot
2734/6 Gra	4	H&H Hsg				2/2 HsgCom	***	Small 100
120 W28	1	MCDA	?	?	?	?	***	Small lot Hi traffic
2807 Pills	1	Brooks	?	?	?	?	**	Small hse
2625/7 Pleas	2	Appleman	MISC MISC			10/6	***	2 B Demo
²²⁰ W27	2	Bank America	?	?	?	?	**	Corner Small lot
2815 Pleas	8	ITT Financ. Services	?	?	?	?	***	OK block
2720 Blais	1	Xiong				6	***	
109 W28	1	HUD /Sgl Fam Prop Disp	?	?	?	?	***	Small lot Hi traffic
107 E27	2	Steve & Cyndi Schmitz	?	?	?	? HsgCom	*** <u>Q</u>	Small lot
²⁶²⁸ Third	2?	Olson	MUSIC MISC MISC		DABUSE	<u>49</u>	****	Nice brick
²²¹⁶ Clin	4	Battle	MISC			<u>21</u> CSfty	***	2 B Demo?
²⁷ 20 Stev	-					1		Vacnt lot
²⁸ 16/8 Stev	3	Brown	?	?	?	?	**	F/S
2819 Stev	2	HUD	?	?	?	?	***	

park

r 10^t

affi⁰

er 10t

OWNER-OCCUPIED PROPERTIES

REHAB LOAN CANDIDATES

				-POLTCE	CALLS			
	du	OWNER			Domes		EXTER.CO	NDIT.
2627 Garf	1	Earl Carlson				2	xx-x	
2632 Garf	1	Rich.& Kath. M	iles			1	xx-x	
2648 Garf	2	Jo.& Betty Quin	rk			3	xx-x	
2729 Garf	2	James Baker				3	xx-x	
2817 Gar	2	Larry Ludeman	?	?	?	?	xx-x	
2828 Gar	1	Wazanowski & McReynolds	?	?	? -	?	xx-x-xx	(Trim)
415 W25	1	Lawrence Osell	?	?	?	?	xx-x	
2520/2 Har	2	Ken.& John Perkins		SUSPV		4	xx-x-xx	(Trim)
2540/2	2	Solomon Cherne				1	xx-x	
Har 2640	1	Victor Dorn				0	xx-x	
Har 2644	3	Richard Calhou	n	SUSPV		5	xx-x	
Har 2721	1	Ron Beese				3	xx-x	
Har 2731	1	George Daeffler	r			1	xx-x-xx	(Trim)
Har 2801	1	Lyle Carlson	?	?	?	?	xx-x	
Har 2804	2	Theodora Larson	n ?	?	?	?	xx-x	
Har 2809/11	2	Anita Acosta	?	?	?	?	xx	
Har 2812/14	2	Almira Waulters	s ?	?	?	?	xx-x	
Har 2515/7	2	Michael Coulter	r			4/1	xx-x-xx	(Paint)
Gra 2524	1	Anth.& Lynn				1	xx-x	BWO?
Gra 2535	2	Ruggiero John Loveland	•	SUSPP	DABUSE	6	xx-x	
Gra 2538	1	Donald White	DIST			4	xx-x	
Gra 2542 Gra	2	Anth.Anderson		r		10	xx-x	

0-1-								
2545 Gra	2	Jake Smith				3	xx-x	
2546	1	E Foreman &				10	xx-x	
Gra 2809	4	K Gerner L Miller	?	?	?	?	xx-x-xx	(Misc)
Gra 2215	2	James Jacobson				9	xx-x	•
Ple	_					_		
2226 Ple	2	William & Mary Michels	DIST			8	xx-x-xx	(Porch)
2303 Ple	13	-	MUSIC			8	xx-x-xx	(Trim)
2618 Ple	2	Stuart Manning					xx-x-xx	(Trim)
2622 Ple	1	Melva Dunaway	DIST			8	xx-x	
2638	1	L S Doss &				0	xx-x-xx	(Paint)
Ple 2645	1	V L Guenther Hannah Piper				6	xx-x	
Ple 224	1	Philip Stevenso	on			2	xx-x	
W27						_		
225 W27	1	M R Lemmer & J M Oknich				5	XX-X	
309 W 27	1	Helen Crofoot	MUSIC MISC			13	xx-x-x	(Misc)
			MUSIC MUSIC DIST			`		
2713 Ple	1	Earling Salber			DOMES	5	xx-x	
2733	1	Norwest				10	XX-X	F/S
Ple 216	1					10		- / -
		Rodney Lynch	?	?	?	?	xx-x-xx	·
W28				?	?	?		(Paint)
W28 118 W26	1	Rodney Lynch Robin Winkelman		?	?			·
118 W26 2608	1 5	Robin Winkelman	nn	?	?	?		(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118		Robin Winkelman	nn / d Inc.	?	?	?	xx-x-xx	(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804	5	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath, Devils Island	nn / d Inc. ?	•		? 4	xx-x-xx xx-x	(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804 Pil 2808	5 1	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath, Devils Island Samuel Jenkins	nn / d Inc. ? on ?	?	?	? 4 6 ?	xx-x-xx xx-x	(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804 Pil	5 1 1	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath Devils Island Samuel Jenkins Claude Mikkels	nn / d Inc. ? on ?	?	?	? 4 6 ?	xx-x-xx xx-x xx-x	(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804 Pil 2808 Pil 7 W25 2624/6	5 1 1	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath Devils Island Samuel Jenkins Claude Mikkels Stephen Gifford Than Ke Dang Manley & Resa	nn / d Inc. ? on ?	?	?	? 4 6 ? ? ?	xx-x-xx xx-x xx-x xx-x	(Paint)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804 Pil 2808 Pil 7 W25 2624/6 Bla	5 1 1 1 2	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath Devils Island Samuel Jenkins Claude Mikkels Stephen Gifford Than Ke Dang Manley & Resa Johnson	nn / d Inc. ? on ? d ?	?	?	? 4 6 ? ? 0 0/5	xx-x-xx xx-x xx-x xx-x xx-x xx-x	(Paint) (Fence)
118 W26 2608 Pil 118 W28 2804 Pil 2808 Pil 7 W25 2624/6	5 1 1 1	Robin Winkelman Carol Gilreath Devils Island Samuel Jenkins Claude Mikkels Stephen Gifford Than Ke Dang Manley & Resa	nn / d Inc. ? on ? d ?	?	?	? 4 6 ? ? ? 0	xx-x-xx xx-x xx-x xx-x xx-x xx-x xx-x	(Paint)

Г. ---

im)

im)

im)

int)

7O?

2626 Stev	1	Brian Foster	MUSIC DIST MUSIC MUSIC NBRTRB	NARC		14	xx-x
112/4 E27	2	David Miller	?	?	?	?	XX-X Nice.BWO?
2717 Stev	1	Helen Borg		ASLT		6	XX-X
2810 Stev	1	Harriet&Kevin Garvin	?	?	?	?	XX
2606 Sec	1	Opal Beardsley					XX-X-XX (Paint)
2625 Sec	2	William Halsey				0	XX-X
2633/5 Sec	2	Ina Haugen				1/0	xx-x
2636 Sec	1	David Suchy				4	XX-X BMO
2637 Sec	1	Elaine Varner	•			0	XX-X-XX (Fence)
200 E27	1	Paul Krafthefe	r			4	xx
302 E26	1	Elizabeth Masie	ee			2	xx-x
306 E26	1	Louis Irving				1	XX-X-XX (Trim)
2613 Third	1	Shirley Cluke				5	xx-x
2631/3 Third	4	Koua Xiong				3/4	xx-x
2030 Clin	2	Michael Labrio	la			7	XX-X
2100/2 Clin	2	Marguerite Lee				3/2	XX-X

G1

Gr

P]

P]

W

W

B1

St

Se

REHAB LOAN + LANDLORD COUNSELING

wo?

ıt)

;e)

n)

	du	OWNER	Nuis		Domes	92+93	EXTER.CONDIT.	
521 W 27	1	Frank Weaver		FIGHT	UNWANT DOMES UNWANT DABUSE UNWANT	23	XX-X	
2529 Grand	2	Linda North	MUSIC	SUSPV SUSPV OD		0	xx-x	BWO?
2724 Grand	2	Murna Shockley	MISC		UNWANT UNWANT	9	xx-x	
2706/8 Pleas		Mark Weber, N&S Ehresman		SUSPP		2/0	XX-X	
2737/9 Pleas	2	Gerald Schram	DIST		DOMES	6/3	XX-X	
213 W 26	2	Carrie Maloney			UNWANT UNWANT	5	XX-X	
112 W 27	2	Thomas Johnson		SUSPP NARC		4	xx-x	BWO
2744 Blais	2	Bruce Young	MUSIC	•		28	xx-x	
2705 Stev	4	Joyce Bartel		PERGUN DAMPRP SHOTS DAMPRP DAMPRP		16	XX-X	
2616 Sec	4	Harry Gildemeister	DIST KIDTRB PARTY	DAMPRP	UNWANT	17	xx-x	