Numerical predictions of U-notched sample failure based on a discrete energy argument

S. A. Zahedi^{a,*}, C. Kodsi^b, F. Berto^c

^aSchool of Engineering and Sustainable Development, De Montfort University, Gateway House, Leicester LE1 9BH, U.K. ^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark ^cDepartment of Engineering Design and Materials, NTNU, Richard Birkelands vei 2b, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

Abstract

Kodsi (2016) proposed a criterion for isotropic, linear elastic media in a brittle state applicable to both sharp and blunt notches. Fracture toughness and material strength are the only parameters required to operate the criterion. This paper provides a formulation of the criterion specific to blunt notches and further examines the capability of the criterion for samples weakened by U-notches. Predictions are compared to significant experimental results from U-notched samples that were subject to mixed-mode fracture. Good agreement is demonstrated, justifying and substantiating the theory.

Keywords: Brittle fracture, Crack onset, Fracture criterion, Failure predictions, U-notched samples

1. Introduction

Extensive experimental data related to the static failure of vertical and tilted U-notched polymethylmetacrylate (PMMA) samples was supplied by Gómez et al. in [1] and [2]. The tests were designed to induce mixed-mode (I-II) fracture in the samples with cracks emanating from the U-notches. In [1], the average strain energy density of a small crescent-shaped control area local to the notch was computed and used to provide critical (fracture) load predictions. But first, however, the control area had to be centred at the point of maximum principal stress present on the notch edge. This proved to be a decent indicator of crack onset location. Predictions made by the average strain energy density criterion compared well with experimental results. Still, there were two steps involved in forming a prediction for the critical load. Furthermore, the criterion itself is not part of a physical theory or mathematical description justifying the computation of the strain energy density mean value over a given area. Application of the established cohesive process zone concept [3, 4] to predict the critical load for crack propagation from the U-notches yielded excellent results [2, 5]. Again, the maximum principal stress was taken as the location of crack onset. Implementation of such models is not so straightforward. Firstly, the form of the softening function must be inferred. Secondly, and probably the most difficult to contend with, is the numerical realisation. Propagation direction of a crack must be known a priori and the geometrical discretisation, for example in the finite element method, has to be carefully designed so that cohesive elements lie along the path.

Novozhilov made an astute observation in [6] that fracture in solids is a discrete process, that is, considering the atomic make-up of matter. A non-local stress criterion was put forward by Novozhilov, in which fracture occurs when the mean value of de-cohesive stress taken over an elementary increment reaches a critical value. This elementary increment or fracture quantum [7] represented the diameter of the atom and the stress was acting in the normal direction to an atomic layer. Seweryn and Mróz [8] generalised the criterion to predict crack propagation from notches subject to mixed-mode deformation. The increment was still treated as a material characteristic but now based on linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM). The

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: abolfazl.zahedi@dmu.ac.uk (S. A. Zahedi)

Nomenclature

$egin{array}{c} a_c \\ b \\ E \\ g \\ G_c \\ h \\ K_{Ic} \\ l \\ m \\ P \\ Q \\ w \\ Y \\ arkappa \end{array}$	increment length thickness of U-notched samples Young's modulus distance of applied load from centre critical energy release rate U-notch height (mode-I) fracture toughness length of U-notched samples distance of support from centre applied load change in energy width of U-notched samples non-dimensional constant Poisson's ratio
ρ	U-notch root radius
σ_{c}	(material) tensile strength
φ	crack onset angle
ξ_c	virtual (small) circle domain radius
Acronyms LEFM PMMA	linear elastic fracture mechanics polymethyl-metacrylate

capability of the formulation was demonstrated on V-notched samples that were subjected to multi-axial loading [9, 10]. An energy-based equivalent to the non-local stress criterion was developed by Pugno and Ruoff [7] as part of their quantised fracture mechanics.

Based on Novozhilov's and successive theories, Kodsi [11, 12] took the viewpoint that crack nucleation at the macroscopic scale in isotropic, linear elastic and brittle media is a sudden and discrete phenomenon. Accordingly, he cast Griffith's criterion [13] into a finite difference form, as in [7]. Crack nucleation, thus, only results if the change in total potential energy is equal to the energy spent on creating the finite-length crack, which is given by the critical energy release rate together with the increment length. The increment length was obtained from Pugno and Ruoff's energy-based equivalent to the non-local stress criterion by bounding the stress for a vanishing (edge) crack in an infinite plate subject to tensile loading. An asymptotic analysis was employed to derive a closed-form expression for the change in total potential energy due to the introduction of a small circular hole at a point (being the hole centre) in the unperturbed, i.e., virgin domain. To determine the size of the hole, a calibration scenario of mode-I fracture was studied. A distinguishing feature of this approach is generality of application; crack propagation can be predicted from both sharp and blunt notches. Moreover, the criterion is readily automated and can be easily integrated into the postprocessing framework of a finite element analysis software for example. Only the fracture toughness and material strength are required for criterion operation.

Validation of the criterion involved the prediction of pure and mixed-mode fracture in notched PMMA samples; numerous circular [14] notched, U-notched [1] and V-notched [9] samples were scrutinised. The results were very supportive of the theory. This work (i) provides a version of the criterion specific to blunt notches, (ii) presents the theory behind the criterion in a manner befitting an engineering audience, and (iii) rigorously examines the predictive capability of the criterion focusing on samples weakened by U-notches.

To that end, experimental tests in [1] and [2] are modelled numerically and predictions by the criterion are solicited. This is an expanded set of tests compared to [11, 12] and serves the purpose of not only corroborating Kodsi's findings but also for furthering confidence in the criterion.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, the criterion proposed by Kodsi is concisely reviewed. Then the experimental tests in [1] and [2] are summarised in Section 3. Crack onset location and critical load predictions are compared with experimental results in Section 4. Section 5 concludes this work.

2. Discrete energy approach

Crack propagation according to the proposed criterion is assumed to occur when the energy, Q, associated with the creation of a small and finite-length crack satisfies a critical value:

$$Q = a_c G_c, \tag{2.1}$$

in which a_c is a (material) incremental length and G_c is the critical energy release rate. While the latter is experimentally derived, the former is defined (refer to [11, 12] for the derivation) as

$$a_c = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{K_{Ic}}{Y\sigma_c}\right)^2,\tag{2.2}$$

where K_{Ic} is the (mode-I) fracture toughness, Y = 1.1215 and σ_c is the tensile strength.

The change in energy due to finite-length crack propagation is assessed at <u>points</u> that are a distance ξ_c in the inward normal direction to the body domain boundary, see Figure 1a, by

$$Q = \frac{\pi\xi_c^2}{2\bar{E}} \left(3\sigma_{xx}^2 + 3\sigma_{yy}^2 - 2\sigma_{xx}\sigma_{yy} + 8\sigma_{xy}^2 \right)$$
(2.3)

where $\bar{E} = E$ for plane stress and $\bar{E} = E/(1-\nu^2)$ for plane strain. Here, σ_{ij} represents components of the Cauchy stress tensor in the standard Cartesian coordinate system. This expression, in fact, provides the approximate energy change associated with the perforation of a domain, shown in Figure 1b, by a small circular hole. Equivalence of the energy change to a discrete crack extension event is achieved through the hole radius ξ_c .



Figure 1. Energy change, (a) evaluated at points a distance ξ_c in the inward normal direction to the boundary, associated with a (b) small circular domain perforation.

In order to surmise the form of ξ_c , mode-I fracture of a double-edge semi-circular notched sample is considered. Crack onset location is known, so is the propagation direction, and an assumption of (relatively) uniform stress ahead of the notch root bounded by the tensile strength can be made. Subsequently, $\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_c$ and $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{xy} = 0$, leading to

$$\xi_c = \sqrt{\frac{2a_c G_c \bar{E}}{3\pi\sigma_c^2}}.$$
(2.4)

If $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_c$ and $\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{xy} = 0$, the result is consistent. For plane strain, this simplifies to

$$\xi_c = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\pi Y} \left(\frac{K_{Ic}}{\sigma_c}\right)^2. \tag{2.5}$$

It is worth emphasising that the radius is taken as constant for any mode mixity prediction, i.e., the size of the small circular hole is fixed. There is no reason why ξ_c could not be based on an alternate mixed-mode fracture scenario. However, calibration would be a more involved process and certainly not as simple as the employed strategy. To date, there has been no research conducted in this area. Even though the criterion itself is just as valid for sharp notches, the parameter ξ_c must be set accordingly. The form of ξ_c given here is only appropriate for blunt notches.

Once a linear elasticity boundary value problem solution is available, e.g. from a finite element analysis, the change in energy can be interrogated at points a distance ξ_c from the boundary. Then the location of crack onset and critical load can be determined if the criterion is satisfied at one of the queried points.

3. Experiments

3.1. Sample material properties

PMMA, an amorphous glassy polymer, exhibits brittle characteristics and behaves in a near-linear elastic manner at -60° C [4]. Therefore, it is a popular choice in experiments for validating criteria based on the principles of linear elastic fracture mechanics. Mechanical properties of the PMMA samples tested in [1] and [2] are:

- Young's modulus, $E = 5.05 \pm 0.04 \,\text{GPa}$
- Poisson's ratio, $\nu = 0.40 \pm 0.01$
- Tensile strength, $\sigma_c = 128.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ MPa}$
- Fracture toughness, $K_{Ic} = 1.7 \pm 0.1 \,\mathrm{MPa}\sqrt{\mathrm{m}}$

3.2. Sample geometry and loading

Experimental data in [1] and [2] was reported for rectangular parallelepiped samples with vertical and (45°) tilted U-notches subjected to three point bend tests. All samples share the same length l = 126 mm, width w = 28 mm, thickness b = 14 mm and notch height h = 14 mm. The support as well as loading positions for the vertical and tilted U-notched PMMA samples are depicted in Figure 2.

Eccentric loading of the vertical U-notched samples with fixed supports was used to induce mixed-mode fracture. Six loading positions were studied at distances g = -3, 3, 9, 18, 27 and 36 mm from the centre. The influence of the U-notch root radius at every loading position was also investigated: $\rho = 0, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ and 4.0 mm. For g = -3 and 3 mm, the radius corresponding to 0.2 mm was not considered.

Mixed mode fracture in the tilted samples was facilitated by varying the distance, m, of a support from the centre. Three values were analysed: m = 3,9 and 15 mm. As in the vertical U-notched case, the influence of the following U-notch root radii $\rho = 0.3, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ and 4.0 mm was explored for every value of m. Every test, whether vertical or tilted, was repeated three times.

3.3. Testing procedure

Testing took place on a servo-controlled INSTRON machine. The experimental set-up was located inside an INSTRON environmental chamber. First, the sample was cooled to -60° C through the use of liquid nitrogen over a thirty minute period and a small pre-load was applied (under load control). Once the sample temperature was stabilised (remaining under load control), displacement control testing was performed at constant temperature at a rate of 0.05 mm/min for the vertical U-notched samples with loading positions g = 9, 18, 27 and 36 mm and 0.03 mm/min for the others. Results of the vertical ($\rho = 0$ and 0.2 mm omitted) and tilted U-notched PMMA experiments are included in Appendix A for completeness. Location of crack onset on the notch is given by the angle φ measured from the vertical axis, see Figure 3.



Figure 2. (a) Vertical and (b) tilted U-notched sample test configurations; Thickness = 14 mm.



Figure 3. Crack onset location, angle φ .

4. Numerical predictions

4.1. Model

Stress in the tested samples was obtained numerically by means of the open source Code_Aster [15] finite element package. All the analyses were carried out under the hypothesis of linear elasticity and an assumption of plane strain. Code_Aster has the ability to handle point loads and supports. This is advantageous since the imposed boundary conditions are all of this variety. A highly refined mesh composed of quadratic quadrilateral finite elements was employed in the vicinity of the U-notch tip region. In order to gauge the level of refinement, a mesh-sensitivity study was undertaken. Over one hundred thousand elements was the standard. Unfortunately, the analysis of tilted U-notched samples corresponding to $\rho = 0.3$ and 0.5 mm proved to be too computationally onerous and were abandoned. In order to evaluate the criterion in question, a subroutine was developed for interpolating the stress around the U-notch tip involving nodal value projections. Also, due to the computing power, the angle representing crack onset location was only examined at one degree intervals. For the assessment of fracture, $a_c = 8.873 \times 10^{-5}$ m and $\xi_c = 5.745 \times 10^{-5}$ m.

4.2. Vertical U-notched samples

Location of crack onset and critical load predictions are plotted along with experimental results against the notch root radii for g = -3, 3, 9, 18, 27 and 36 mm in Figures 4–9. Even though the predictive angle for crack onset location is impacted (most pronounced in Figures 8 and 9) by the aforementioned restriction, the trends are captured exceptionally well in all cases if $\rho = 0.3$ mm with respect to g = 3, 18, 27 and 36 mm is discounted or ignored. This is wholly justified given the rather capricious nature of these results, as can be more clearly observed in [11, 12]. It can be thus concluded that there is very good agreement between the predictions and experimental results. Predictions of the critical loads are sound and apart from $\rho = 2$ mm at g = -3, 3 mm as well as $\rho = 4$ mm at g = 3, 18 mm are consistently a little conservative. Trends are, however, captured quite well. The predictions for g = 9, 18, 27 and 36 mm are in line with those reported in [11, 12].



Figure 4. Experimental results and numerical predictions for g = -3 mm.



Figure 5. Experimental results and numerical predictions for g = 3 mm.



Figure 7. Experimental results and numerical predictions for g = 18 mm.

4.3. Tilted U-notched samples

Once again, location of crack onset and critical load predictions are plotted along with experimental results against the notch root radii for m = 3,9 and 15 mm in Figures 10–12. As in the vertical U-notch case, the criterion offers critical load predictions that capture the trends but are just shy of the experimental results (exceptions being $\rho = 2$ mm at m = 3 mm and $\rho = 4$ mm at m = 15 mm). It is a bit more of a complicated matter with regards to the crack onset location. While the predicted angles are generally close to or within the scatter of experimental results, the trends (including results for $\rho = 0.3$ and 0.5 mm) are not so obvious or sufficiently clear to arrive at a firm conclusion one way or the other. A numerical investigation of the maximum principal stress on the notch edge that can be found in [16], however, supports the resolved angles.



Figure 9. Experimental results and numerical predictions for g = 36 mm.

5. Conclusions

Predictions made by the criterion proposed by Kodsi [11, 12] have been compared to extensive experimental results from U-notched PMMA samples that were subjected to three-point bend testing. Mixed-mode fracture, in the tests, was induced through a non-symmetric arrangement of supports and loading. It was comprehensively shown that the criterion provides very reliable predictions. There was very good agreement between the predicted and experimental results for crack onset location. Critical loads were very close to the experimental results but slightly conservative, though not by a sufficient amount to detract from the quality of the prediction. This work gives further credence to the criterion. Moreover, the presentation of the theory should, hopefully, be more amenable to the engineering community. Analysis of U-notched disc-type graphite samples [17] would be an interesting avenue of research to pursue next.



Acknowledgement

The second author is grateful for the financial support received from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 757360).

Appendix A. Experimental results

		· · ·	- \ /	3 () (-	(1)	φ_1	φ_2	φ_3	$\langle \varphi \rangle^{-}$
4	-3 (5903	6830	7277	7003	3.9	5.6	4.6	4.7
	3 4	1716	4643	4645	4668	19.3	21.3	20.4	20.3
	9 4	4219	4155	4171	4182	28.0	27.0	28.5	27.8

Table A.1. Vertical U-notched samples (reproduced from [2]).

Continued on next page

$ ho~(\mathrm{mm})$	$g~(\mathrm{mm})$	P_1 (N)	P_2 (N)	P_3 (N)	$\langle P \rangle$ (N)	φ_1^{o}	$arphi_2^{o}$	$arphi_3^{\circ}$	$\langle \varphi \rangle^{\circ}$
	18	4885	5304	5133	5107	36.0	36.4	36.0	36.1
	27	6114	6518	6659	6430	35.8	32.7	33.4	34.0
	36	9968	9889	9286	9714	35.4	35.8	35.6	35.6
2	-3	5954	6093	6033	6027	6.7	10.6	9.7	9.0
	3	3880	3740	3775	3798	25.2	27.8	29.3	27.4
	9	3692	3700	3374	3589	29.2	33.1	30.3	30.9
	18	4079	4102	4062	4081	36.8	34.8	37.1	36.2
	27	5258	5210	4689	5052	38.8	37.2	35.8	37.3
	36	7606	7640	8113	7786	30.0	34.8	35.3	33.4
1	-3	4634	4633	4549	4605	20.2	12.7	21.3	18.0
	3	2901	2876	2846	2874	26.3	24.5	25.2	25.3
	9	2742	2886	2559	2729	34.0	35.3	35.1	34.8
	18	3531	3739	3262	3511	37.5	39.1	37.6	38.1
	27	4302	4401	4469	4391	37.6	35.0	38.5	37.0
	36	6242	6115	5835	6064	40.4	37.3	38.1	38.6
0.5	-3	3687	3682	3505	3625	22.3	18.4	17.3	19.3
	3	2530	2514	2562	2535	30.0	26.7	27.3	28.0
	9	2131	2246	2186	2188	32.2	31.9	35.3	33.1
	18	2504	2503	2677	2561	37.7	35.7	37.5	37.0
	27	3412	3509	3452	3458	36.9	38.5	35.5	37.0
	36	4837	4791	4519	4716	38.5	37.7	38.5	38.2
0.3	-3	3462	2880	3161	3168	18.0	16.0	19.6	17.9
	3	2146	2235	2195	2192	33.2	31.6	36.2	33.7
	9	1797	1918	2131	1949	35.7	33.4	35.4	34.8
	18	2356	2346	2345	2349	46.9	46.3	45.6	46.3
	27	3305	2776	3435	3172	45.0	46.8	45.6	45.8
	36	4722	4700	4487	4636	44.0	45.0	45.0	44.7

Table A.1 – Continued from previous page

Table A.2. Tilted U-notched samples (reproduced from [2]).

$ ho~(\mathrm{mm})$	$m \ (\mathrm{mm})$	P_1 (N)	P_2 (N)	P_3 (N)	$\langle P \rangle$ (N)	φ_1^{o}	$arphi_2^{\circ}$	$arphi_3^{\circ}$	$\langle \varphi \rangle^{\circ}$
4	15	3433	3329	3466	3409	64.1	64.8	63.9	64.3
	9	4225	4209	4448	4294	67.2	66.6	68.7	67.5
	3	6613	6337	6353	6434	77.8	78.5	76.6	77.6
2	15	2842	2809	3112	2921	66.4	64.9	66.5	65.9
	9	3743	3854	3729	3775	67.5	68.5	76.7	70.9
	3	6316	6086	5914	6105	84.5	85.9	83.6	84.7
1	15	2266	2310	2460	2345	63.7	63.1	61.8	62.9
	9	3327	3164	3008	3166	69.3	65.9	69.2	68.1
	3	5435	4851	5316	5201	77.2	84.3	80.3	80.6
0.5	15	1792	1783	1776	1784	64.5	64.1	63.7	64.1
	9	2323	2494	2436	2418	68.4	70.3	67.9	68.9
	3	4503	4732	4334	4523	83.3	84.8	87.5	85.2
0.3	15	1736	1866	1669	1757	60.4	62.0	60.6	61.0
	9	2397	2420	2446	2421	72.6	68.1	74.2	71.6
	3	4394	4354	4173	4307	86.2	87.0	85.5	86.2



Figure 12. Experimental results and numerical predictions for m = 15 mm.

References

- F.J. Gómez, M. Elices, F. Berto, and P. Lazzarin. Local strain energy to assess the static failure of U-notches in plates under mixed mode loading. *International Journal of Fracture*, 145(1):29–45, 2007.
- [2] F.J. Gómez, M. Elices, F. Berto, and P. Lazzarin. Fracture of U-notched specimens under mixed mode: Experimental results and numerical predictions. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 76(2):236–249, 2009.
- M. Elices, G.V. Guinea, J. Gómez, and J. Planas. The cohesive zone model: advantages, limitations and challenges. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 69(2):137–163, 2002.
- F.J. Gómez, M. Elices, and J. Planas. The cohesive crack concept: application to PMMA at -60°C. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 72(8):1268-1285, 2005.
- [5] F. Berto, P. Lazzarin, F.J. Gómez, and M. Elices. Fracture assessment of U-notches under mixed mode loading: two procedures based on the 'equivalent local mode I' concept. International Journal of Fracture, 148(4):415–433, 2007.
- [6] V.V. Novozhilov. On a necessary and sufficient criterion for brittle strength: PMM Vol. 33, N[≜]2, 1969, pp. 212–222. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 33(2):201–210, 1969.
- [7] Nicola M. Pugno and Rodney S. Ruoff. Quantized fracture mechanics. *Philosophical Magazine*, 84(27):2829–2845, 2004.
- [8] Andrzej Seweryn and Zenon Mróz. A non-local stress failure condition for structural elements under multiaxial loading. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 51(6):955–973, 1995.
- [9] A. Seweryn, S. Poskrobko, and Z. Mróz. Brittle fracture in plane elements with sharp notches under mixed-mode loading. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 123(6):535–543, 1997.
- [10] A. Seweryn and A. Lukaszewicz. Verification of brittle fracture criteria for elements with V-shaped notches. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 69(13):1487–1510, 2002.
- [11] C. Kodsi. Crack initiation: A non-local energy approach. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 165:153–182, 2016.
- [12] C. Kodsi. Computational Framework for Fracture of Graphite Bricks in an AGR Core. PhD thesis, University of Glasgow, 2017.
- [13] Alan A. Griffith. The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, 221(582-593):163–198, 1921.
- [14] J. Li and X.B. Zhang. A criterion study for non-singular stress concentrations in brittle or quasi-brittle materials. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 73(4):505–523, 2006.
- [15] De Soza T. Code_Aster: guide de lecture de la documentation de référence. http://www.code-aster.org, 2013.
- [16] F. Berto, P. Lazzarin, M. Elices, and F.J. Gómez. Brittle failure and crack initiation angle in plates weakened by V- and U-notches under mixed mode (I+II) loading. In CP2009.
- [17] AR Torabi, M Fakoor, and E Pirhadi. Fracture analysis of U-notched disc-type graphite specimens under mixed mode loading. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 51(6):1287–1298, 2014.