

In a world where technological advances can create an undesirable future, there is an ever stronger call for more ethical research. Responsible research and innovation are a must. Margherita Nulli, Bernd Stahl, Carolyn Ten Holter and Martin de Heaver report.

Information and communication technologies (ICTs) have raised ethical concerns for almost as long as they have existed. Professional bodies like the BCS, ACM or IEEE have, therefore, developed and implemented ways of addressing these concerns, such as codes of ethics or professional responsibilities.

Despite these activities, the ethical issues arising from ICTs are now more prominent than ever. If you open a newspaper you will almost invariably find articles about ethical issues directly linked to technology. Fake news, election meddling, and concerns about robots and AI are among the high-profile issues. This is caused by the continuing advance of ICT in most aspects of our social, organisational and personal lives.

Human beings rely on technology for numerous aspects of daily life such as education, communication, entertainment, travelling or storing personal data. The pervasiveness of these technologies continues to increase and, at the same time, the pace of technological development is becoming increasingly fast. While you may find it exciting to think that one day technologies will be able to replace humans in many tasks considered mundane, this also poses the question of what would happen if robots will be able to do everything better than humans.

It is also worth pointing out that often, once a technological product leaves the lab, it is used in a way that was not originally predicted by the designers. Let's take the example of affective devices - robots that can interpret signals such as human heartbeat, posture or tone of voice, to interpret a human's mood and respond accordingly.

Such devices are mainly developed with a beneficial purpose in mind, such as helping people to fight loneliness (in particular elderly people). Even though the original idea is good, concerns arise about how such devices will be used: what happens to all the data about its owner that the robot stores? Who has access to it and how can it be used? Also, what would

	Process	Product	Purpose	People
	(speed or innovation & diffusion)	(Ubiquity & Pervasiveness)	(Logic malleability)	(Problem of many hands)
Anticipate (Opportunity)	Is the planned research methodology acceptable?	Will the products be socially desirable? How sustainable are the outcomes?	Why should this research be undertaken?	Have we included the right stakeholders?
Reflect (Considerations)	Which mechanisms are used to reflect on process? How could you do it differently?	How do you know what the consequences might be? What might be the potential use? What don't we know about? How can we ensure societal desirability? How could you do it differently?	Is the research controversial? How could you do it differently?	Who is affected? How could you do it differently?
Engage (Alternatives)	How to engage a wide group of stakeholders?	What are viewpoints of a wide group of stakeholders?	Is the research agenda acceptable?	Who prioritises research? For whom is the research done?
Act (Capabilities)	How can your research structure become flexible? What training is required? What infrastructure is required?	What needs to be done to ensure social desirability? What training is required? What infrastructure is required?	How do we ensure that the implied future is desirable? What training is required? What infrastructure is required?	Who matters? What training is required? What infrastructure is required?

happen if people start treating the robot as a real human being?

If we want to build a better IT future we need to ask ourselves a key question: how can we maximise the benefits of a technology while minimising its risks? There are several answers to this question and one of the most prominent approaches is responsible research and innovation (RRI).

What is responsible research and innovation?

Responsible research and innovation is a process that aims to ensure that the outcomes and processes of research are socially desirable, acceptable and sustainable. This highlights important questions such as what are the benefits of the research, what are the risks of it, what are the alternatives and who is responsible for the outcomes of the research. RRI states that the role of the researchers is to take a long-term perspective and consider what will happen to their products once they leave the laboratories.

RRI can help researchers to obtain better outputs and minimise the side effects of their research by helping them anticipate the effect of their products, reflect on the purposes of the research, engage with the stakeholders and act to influence the direction of their research. These aspects form the AREA 4P framework, which is the core of RRI in the UK.

The AREA 4P framework

The AREA 4P framework defines a structure and a process for embedding RRI into research and innovation projects. The framework consists of a set of questions that help the researcher to consider all aspects of their research and to evaluate if it is being done responsibly (see the further reading box for references).

The AREA framework help scientists harness their creativity by asking them to anticipate the impact of their work, reflect on purpose and motivation, engage with stakeholders and act accordingly.

In order to consider these aspects comprehensively, you need to take into

account the process of doing research and development, the products and outcomes (including unintended ones), the purpose and the people involved.

The table (on facing page) contains the AREA-4P framework in the form of a matrix that allows researchers to work through key questions to determine whether their work is done responsibly.

It has to be emphasised that RRI is not a panacea and cannot perform miracles. RRI cannot predict the future or avoid all side effects arising from a technology, nor does it alleviate problems related to ethics in research. It can, however, help to reflect on the strengths and weaknesses of a project (or a tool) and stimulate a better-informed conversation about possible remedies.

Putting the RRI into practice: the ORBIT project

RRI is a concept embraced by a range of research funders including the EU and EPSRC, which is now requiring scientists to demonstrate that researchers have implemented RRI in their projects. ORBIT, the Observatory for Responsible Research and Innovation in ICT, was created with the support of the UK's biggest funder of ICT research, the Engineering and Physical Research Council (EPSRC). ORBIT aims to help put RRI into practice. To do so, ORBIT offers a series of services that aim to integrate all the aspects of RRI in the research practice.

To go back to the example of an affective device, there are many things that could be done to ensure it is developed responsibly. ORBIT could help you, for example, find resources, cases, technology descriptions and other documents to learn from good practice. A self-assessment tool can help you identify the strengths and weaknesses of your work in many respects, from foresight and engagement to gender balance and research ethics. During the work of developing an affective device all of these can be relevant. For example, how do you decide whether the artefact could or should appear to be female or male? How can you envisage the consequences of a broad adoption of affective robots in home

care settings? ORBIT can help researchers find ways to answer such questions and gain competences through training and proposal development. A key aim is to develop a community which is supported by an online open access journal where you can see other researchers' views and publish your own.

Ethical and social issues of ICT are now so obvious and pressing that we need to find ways of addressing them. RRI offers a way to take a broad look at these issues and it encompasses an array of ways of dealing with them. As an ICT professional, it is your responsibility to make sure that the technology you develop benefits society. RRI can help you achieve this.

Further reading

Jirotka, M., Grimpe, B., Stahl, B. C., Hartswood, M., Eden, G. (2017). Responsible Research and Innovation in the Digital Age. Communications of the ACM, 60(5), 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1145/3064940

Owen, R., & Goldberg, N. (2010). Responsible Innovation: A Pilot Study with the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council. Risk Analysis, 30(11), 1699–1707. doi:10.1111/j.1539-6924.2010.01517.x

Stahl, B. C., Obach, M., Yaghmaei, E., Ikonen, V., Chatfield, K., & Brem, A. (2017). The Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) Maturity Model: Linking Theory and Practice. Sustainability, 9(6), 1036. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9061036

Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2013). *Developing a framework for responsible innovation*. Research Policy, 42(9), 1568–1580. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESPOL.2013.05.008