

# Employee advocacy in Africa

Aminu Mamman  
Christopher J. Rees  
Rhoda Bakuwa  
Mohamed Branine

This is the Author Accepted Manuscript. The final published version is available at Emerald via <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-12-2017-0296>



ISSN 0942-5455  
Volume 00 Number 00 2018

**Employee Relations**

The International Journal



**Employee Advocacy in Africa: The Role of HR Practitioners  
in Malawi**

Journal:	<i>Employee Relations</i>
Manuscript ID	ER-12-2017-0296.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Employee Advocacy, Africa, Trade Unions, HR Practitioners, Malawi

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Employee Advocacy in Africa: The Role of HR Practitioners in Malawi

### Abstract

#### **Purpose**

In recognizing the weakness of trade unions and the lack of an institutional framework designed to enforce employee rights in an African context, this study examines the extent to which HR practitioners are perceived to play the role of employee advocate.

#### **Design/methodology/approach**

The quantitative data set is derived from a sample of 305 respondents (95 HR practitioners, 121 line managers, and 89 employees) from Malawi.

#### **Findings**

Despite the challenges of the context, HR practitioners are perceived by key stakeholders (line managers and employees) to be playing the role of employee advocate. Standard multiple regression results indicate that the main factor contributing to the perception that HR practitioners are playing this role is their contribution to 'motivating employees'.

#### **Research limitations/implications**

The study was conducted in Malawi. Further research is necessary to explore the generalizability of the findings to other contexts.

#### **Originality/value**

The findings provide an empirical base for future studies which explore perceptions of the employee advocacy role undertaken by HR practitioners in Africa

## Employee Advocacy in Africa: The Role of HR Practitioners in Malawi

### Introduction

The last few decades have seen significant research into the role that Human Resource (HR) practitioners and HR departments play in organizations (e.g. Conner and Ulrich, 1996; Foote and Robinson, 1999; Gooderham and Nordhaug, 1997; Heffernan et al., 2016; Kochan, 1997; Lemmergaard, 2009; Mamman and Al Khulaibi, 2014; Mamman and Somantri, 2014; Ulrich, Losey and Lake 1997). This research activity has culminated in the development of a number of theories and models aimed at understanding the role that HR does or should play (Storey, 1992; Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005; Ulrich, Losey and Lake 1997). Yet, in spite of these developments, there is still a dearth of systematic research into how HR practitioners tackle the conflicting roles they are expected to play. In particular, there is a need for more research in order to understand how HR practitioners satisfy the needs of the multiple stakeholders they are supposed to serve within their employing organisations (Graham and Tarbell, 2006).

The advent of strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) has led to an emphasis on the need for HR practitioners to play a strategic role in order to be of institutional relevance (Lawler and Mohrman, 2003; Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005; Ulrich, Losey and Lake 1997). An appreciable number of studies have been designed to investigate the extent to which HR practitioners are indeed playing their new roles as strategic partners and change agents (Caldwell, 2008; Conner and Ulrich, 1996; Hailey et al., 2005; Lemmergaard, 2009; Ulrich, 1998; Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005; Whittaker and Marchington, 2003; Wright et al., 2001). Even in developing countries and transitional economies, there have been investigations of the strategic roles that HR practitioners can play (Antila, 2006; Antila and Kakkonen, 2008; Bowen et al., 2002; Mamman and Al Khulaibi, 2014; Mamman and Somantri, 2014; Sumelius, et.al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2005; Zuzeviciute and Maragarita, 2010). Other authors call for the roles of HR practitioners to be expanded beyond organizational boundaries, in order to fill the gaps left by weak institutions in developing countries (Mamman, Bakuwa and Kamoche, 2012). However, it has been argued that the emphasis on the strategic role for HR practitioners has pushed the pendulum too far towards the interests of organizations and managers, to the detriment of the employees' own interests (Graham and Tarbell, 2006). As Stark and Poppler (2017:2): "... *it is increasingly difficult to*

1  
2  
3 *reconcile the HRM professional's endeavor to represent the interests of investors and*  
4 *management, all the while claiming to advocate for employee interests”.*  
5

6  
7 Ulrich and Brockbank (2005) and Ulrich, Losey and Lake (1997) have pointed out  
8 that, within the context of their new-found roles, there is need for HR practitioners to revisit  
9 their traditional roles as employee champions or employee advocates. In essence, the demise  
10 of traditional workforce-centered personnel functions has created the need for advocacy  
11 within organizations to ensure that employees' voices are heard amid the drive for more  
12 strategic types of activities. As Ellig (1997: 91) states so succinctly; with the advent of HR  
13 and its emphasis on being a business partner, “*Many have gone too far, however, and are in*  
14 *danger of contaminating the HR identifier by excluding the role of employee advocate.* The  
15 traditional employee advocate plays a vital role, because the achievement of organization's  
16 strategic objectives is now widely accepted to be contingent on the effective management of  
17 employees (Barney and Wright, 1998; Kochan, 1997; Jackson and Schuler, 1995). Further,  
18 aside from this instrumental reason, there are also normative reasons why employee advocacy  
19 is a vital role for HR practitioners. Both national employment policies and international  
20 labour conventions demand fairness and equity in the treatment of employees as key  
21 stakeholders of the organization (Graham and Tarbell, 2006).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 From the HR practitioners' perspectives, it is vital that stakeholders have a positive  
33 view of the way they deliver their roles while, at the same time, acknowledging the potential  
34 ethical conflicts and compromises that may arise when simultaneously undertaking business  
35 partner and employee advocate roles (McCracken, O'Kane, Brown and McCrory, 2017). In  
36 the context of developing countries, the absence of strong institutions to regulate employment  
37 relations has made the employee advocacy role of HR practitioners critical to ensuring  
38 fairness and equity in the workplace. In fact, many countries in Africa, perhaps  
39 understandably, do not have equal employment legislation or minimum wage legislation; and  
40 where such laws exist, they are rarely enforced. Given that HR practitioners and HR  
41 departments are supposed to play a significant role in integrating organizational strategy with  
42 HR policy and practice in order to achieve organizational objectives (Kochan and Dyer,  
43 1993), and in so doing achieve economic development, the neglect of this important area of  
44 research requires urgent remedy. Introducing a special edition of this journal on the subject of  
45 employee relations in Africa, Wood (2008: 329) states that: “*A major limitation in the*  
46 *literature on employment relations is the very limited coverage of the African continent...*”.  
47 Similarly, one of the main conclusions drawn by Horowitz (2015: 2802) from his  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 comprehensive literature review of HRM in multinational companies in Africa, is that:  
4 “...there is a paucity of empirical work beyond firm-level case study or small-scale  
5 quantitative research often by organization psychology researchers on specific HRM  
6 practices such as performance management, remuneration, career development and  
7 organizational commitment”. At a more specific level, there is a scarcity of research,  
8 especially focusing on developing countries, about the extent to which HR practitioners have  
9 adopted employee advocate roles (Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

## 17 **Research Objectives and the Context of Malawi**

18  
19  
20 The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the extent to which HR practitioners are  
21 perceived to be playing an employee advocate role within an African context where  
22 institutions and trade unions are weak. Specifically, the study seeks to accomplish the  
23 following: to examine line managers’, employees’ and HR managers’ perceptions of the  
24 extent to which the employee advocate role is being played; to test the utility of Ulrich’s  
25 model of the employee advocate role in an African context; examine the significance of each  
26 element that together constitute the employee advocate role within an African context; and to  
27 draw out the research and practical implications of the findings both for employment practice  
28 and for future research.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 In order to address these objectives, this study draws on primary data which were  
36 gathered in the sub-Saharan country of Malawi. According to the World Bank (2018)  
37 country profile, Malawi has a population of approximately 18,000,000 and is classed as a low  
38 income country with life expectancy at birth reported as 56.6 years for females and 53.7 years  
39 for males (Government of Malawi, 2018). The Constitution of Malawi does make certain  
40 provisions which relate directly to labour relations. For example, section 31 of the  
41 Constitution affords citizens the right to: “*fair and and safe labour practices and to fair*  
42 *remuneration*” and “*to form and join trade unions or not to form or join trade unions*” (see  
43 WIPO, 2018). Nevertheless, the *Danish Trade Union Council for International Development*  
44 *Cooperation’s* profile of the labour market profile of Malawi (Ulandssekretariatet LO/FTF  
45 Council, 2016: 1) highlights the role of the ‘vast dominating’ informal sector in Malawi with  
46 the Council’s research indicating that just 2.5% of the estimated labour force of 7.9 million  
47 workers are members of trade unions. These statistics may help to explain the dearth of  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 research that has focused on employee relations in Malawi and provide further justification  
4 for a study of this nature.  
5

6  
7 Subsequent sections of the paper will review literature to explore the nature and  
8 importance of the employee advocate role from an HR perspective. Having stated the  
9 hypotheses of the study, the main findings of the study will then be presented along with  
10 conclusions and possible directions for future research.  
11  
12  
13

### 14 15 16 **Employee Perspectives on HR roles** 17

18  
19 Ever since the popularization of strategic human resource management, there have  
20 been growing calls for the need to avoid the neglect of employee perspectives on HR roles in  
21 organizations (Blyton and Turnbull, 1998; Järlström, Saru and Vanhala, 2016; Renwick,  
22 2003; Turnbull and Wass, 1998). Even advocates of a strategic role for HR practitioners  
23 caution against the marginalization of the employee perspective within HR roles (Kochan,  
24 1997; Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005). Indeed, it has been argued that although the  
25 conceptualizations of HR role and strategic HR research have widely acknowledged  
26 employee perspectives within HR roles, most attention has been paid to the managerial and  
27 organizational dimensions of HR roles (Clark, Mabey, and Skinner, 1998; Graham and  
28 Tarbell, 2006; Guest and Conway, 1999; Legge, 1995; Storey, 1995)  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 In providing broad conceptualizations of HR roles, several experts have identified the  
37 employee dimension of HR as one of its key elements. For example, Ulrich and his  
38 colleagues conducted an important study looking at HR professionals' roles involving a  
39 sample of 256 HR professionals. The research was used to identify four roles: *change agent*,  
40 *strategic partner*, *employee champion*, and *administrative expert* (Conner and Ulrich, 1996).  
41 The study found that the employee champion and administrative expert roles scored the  
42 highest, while the strategic partner and change agent roles had the two lowest scores. A later  
43 conceptualization of HR roles by Ulrich and Brockbank (2005) separated the employee  
44 champion role into *employee advocate* and *human resource developer*. According to Ulrich  
45 and Brockbank (2005) HR practitioners should focus on establishing a reciprocal relationship  
46 between employer and employee. They argue that HR practitioners should empathize with  
47 employees and act as the employees' representative, in addition to performing their other  
48 roles towards other stakeholders of the organization which employs them. However, it has  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 been argued that conceptualizing the HR role as placing emphasis on the employee  
4 perspective (e.g. Storey, 1992) contains an inherent conflict, because it is inevitable that HR  
5 practitioners will have to strike trade-offs between the employees' and the organization's  
6 interests (Caldwell, 2003; Graham and Tarbell, 2006). In fact, recent research by Heizmann  
7 and Fox (2017: 14) provides evidence to indicate that some HR practitioners are so concerned  
8 with being seen to have adopted the role of business partner that they have: '*strongly*  
9 *distanced themselves from the 'soft' employee advocate position*'. In a developing country  
10 context this issue of trade-offs is more likely to be at the detriment of employees, in part  
11 because the institutional arrangements to protect employees' interests are very weak and  
12 sometimes non-existent (Bakuwa and Mamman, 2012; Mamman, Kamoche, and Bakuwa,  
13 2012). Although there has been a call for HR practitioners to take on a more holistic role,  
14 requiring the serious incorporation of employee perspectives (Renwick, 2003), there is little  
15 empirical evidence about whether this advice is being heeded in the developing countries of  
16 Africa. Hence the focus of this research is on determining the extent to which the employee  
17 advocate role is in fact taken on in the African context. We argue that because of the weak  
18 and limited institutional support for employee rights in Africa, the employee advocate role is  
19 less likely to be performed by HR practitioners there.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 There are many reasons why the employee advocate role is critical to HR roles: the  
33 broad reasons are strategic/instrumental and normative (Graham and Tarbell, 2006). As  
34 regards the strategic/instrumental reason, it has long been argued that organizations should  
35 take a strategic approach to the management of human resources as a means of achieving  
36 operational and strategic objectives (Barney and Wright 1998; Schuler, and Jackson, 1987;  
37 Wright et al., 2001). In other words, the employees' motivation to achieve organizational  
38 objectives is tied to the extent to which their concerns and needs are addressed. Therefore,  
39 experts argue that HR practitioners should play a significant role to ensure that organizations  
40 and line managers respect employees' interests as key to securing their commitment in the  
41 achievement of organizational objectives (Barney and Wright, 1998; Kochan, 1997; Wright  
42 et. al., 2001). The specific HR role, which is crucial for achieving this, is the employee  
43 advocate role (Kochan, 1997). Therefore, it can be argued that the degree to which HR  
44 practitioners play an employee advocate role will depend on the extent to which the  
45 organization views employees as a strategic asset. Given that the concept of strategic HR  
46 management is yet to take a significant hold in African organizations (Kamoche et al., 2004),  
47 it will not be surprising if HR practitioners are found not to be playing an employee advocate  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 role for strategic and instrumental reasons. Conversely, it can also be argued that, given that  
4 private sector organizations are more likely to face significant competition in both the  
5 product and labor market, they are more likely to adopt the strategic approach to HR  
6 management (Bakuwa and Mamman, 2012) and therefore that their HR practitioners would  
7 be more likely to be allowed to play an employee advocate role for strategic and instrumental  
8 reasons.  
9  
10  
11

12  
13 An important dimension of the instrumental reason as to why HR practitioners should  
14 play an employee advocate role is the need to demonstrate credibility to key stakeholders,  
15 namely employees, trade unions and relevant institutions. Indeed, several experts have  
16 reported on the HR practitioners' struggle to gain credibility. The reasons range from  
17 balkanization to the *deprofessionalisation* of the HR function (Purcell and Ahlstrand, 1994);  
18 their impact and influence usually derives from multiple experts rather than from a clearly  
19 distinct function (Purcell and Ahlstrand, 1994). Other credibility challenges faced by HR  
20 practitioners relate to maintaining autonomy and influence, to powerlessness,  
21 marginalisation, porous occupational boundaries, and tension pertaining to balancing the  
22 interests of multiple stakeholders (Kochan 1997; Ulrich, 1997; 1998). Therefore, in order to  
23 demonstrate their relevance and enhance their credibility to stakeholders, the employee  
24 advocate role (amongst others) is one of the key roles expected of HR practitioners (Kochan;  
25 1997; Ulrich, 1997; 1998; Ulrich et al., 1995; Ulrich and Brockbank, 2005). Already there is  
26 some evidence to suggest that such roles are being played by HR practitioners in both  
27 developed and developing countries (Bowen et al., 2002; Conner and Ulrich, 1996; Mamman  
28 and Al Khulaibi, 2014; Mamman and Somantri, 2014; Sumelius, Smale and Bjorkman,  
29 2009).  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Another important reason why the employee advocate role is important  
42 concerns the organization's and its managers' obligation to look after the interests of  
43 employees regardless of the strategic imperative. This is what is referred to as the *normative*  
44 reason. In fact, it has been argued that HR practitioners have an ethical responsibility to  
45 protect the rights of employees as a norm of the profession (Graham and Tarbell, 2006). This  
46 normative role has been buttressed by international labour standards and conventions  
47 (International Labour Organization, 2005). Similarly, the professional code of HR practice  
48 also underscores the need for HR practitioners to ensure the ethical treatment of employees in  
49 the workplace (Graham and Tarbell, 2006). In essence, in the absence of highly developed  
50 employee relations systems and policies in many African contexts, aspects of the employee  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 advocate role may be seen to complement what Horowitz (2015: 2796) describes as: "... *the*  
4 *collective solidarity [which] is seen in the network of interrelationships, extended family and*  
5 *mutual obligations which is not unlike the Confucian influence on East Asian MNC's*  
6 *culture*".  
7  
8  
9

10 In spite of the instrumental/strategic and normative value of the employee advocate  
11 role, due to the inherent conflict surrounding the performance of HR roles, the employee  
12 advocate role sometimes does not attract adequate attention, especially where institutional  
13 structures or trade unions are weak. Indeed, even when HR practitioners perform their roles  
14 adequately, other stakeholders might not perceive the performance of such roles in the same  
15 light or to the same degree. In fact, the multiple constituency approach as well as research  
16 evidence suggests that stakeholders vary in their perception of HR departments'  
17 performances (Mamman and Somantri, 2013; Mitsuhashi et al., 1999; Wright et al., 2001).  
18 Therefore, in addition to the key research objectives outlined earlier, the study tests the  
19 following hypotheses:  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 ***Hypothesis 1:*** HR practitioners in Africa are unlikely to play a significant employee  
30 advocate role. This is because of the presence of weak trade unions and other  
31 institutions to enforce employee rights in the workplace.  
32  
33  
34

35 ***Hypothesis 2:*** There will be a significant difference between line managers,  
36 employees and HR managers in the perception of the extent to which the employee  
37 advocate role is being performed. This is because multiple constituency theory  
38 suggests that the perception of HR practitioner effectiveness will vary across  
39 stakeholders.  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 ***Hypothesis 3:*** Given the instrumental reason to demonstrate their credibility, HR  
45 managers are more likely to see themselves performing an employee advocate role  
46 than line managers and employees are to view them as performing such a role.  
47  
48  
49

50 ***Hypothesis 4:*** Motivating employees as an element of the HR practitioners' role will  
51 have a significant influence on the perception of HR practitioners' performance of the  
52 employee advocate role. This is because, if done effectively, motivating employees  
53 will include all the facets of the employee advocacy role performed by HR  
54 practitioners.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Method

This study is based on a survey of of line managers (N=121), HR practitioners (N=95) and employees (N=89). Thus a total of N=305 respondents, employed within N= 162 private sector companies operating in Malawi companies, completed and returned the questionnaires which they had been sent. The sample of 162 companies was drawn from the Malawi Confederation of Chambers of Commerce and Industry (MCCCI) directory. All the companies are categorized as medium-sized companies that have HR functional areas.

Respondents were asked to respond to 13 items on a 5-point Likert-type scale which incorporated strongly agree to strongly disagree response categories. This instrument was developed from the work of Corner & Ulrich (1996) and Ulrich and Brockbank (2015). Specifically, the items explored the perceptions of the respondents towards the employee advocate role played by HR practitioners in their organizations (*Strongly disagree* to *Strongly agree*). For example, the items sought to gauge the respondents' perceptions of the extent to which HR: listens to employees; cares for the financial needs of employees; is the first to defend employees' rights; and shares in the happiness and sadness of the staff (see table 1). The scale of 13 items was assessed for reliability using the Cronbach Alpha coefficient. To produce reliable results a scale should have as high an alpha coefficient as possible, and certainly at least 0.7 (de Vaus, 2002: 127). The Cronbach Alpha for the scale of 13 items was 0.916; hence the instrument used in this study can be considered to be reliable.

## Results

### *Perception of Employee Advocate Role*

One of the main objectives of this study is to investigate the respondents' perceptions as to whether African HR practitioners do play an employee advocate role. By extension, this would also test the utility of Ulrich's model for the HR role in an African context. Examining the HR employee advocate role will also enable us to test our first hypothesis, which states that due to weak trade unions and the weakness of the institutional environment designed to enforce employee rights, HR practitioners are less likely to play such role. As can be seen from Table 1, HR practitioners are indeed perceived to be playing an employee advocate role

1  
2  
3 by all the three categories of the respondents. In fact, apart from two items (*Cares for*  
4 *employee's family needs; Organizes trips for the staff members*), the mean score is above  
5 3.00, which we consider as the cut-off point for determining whether HR practitioners play  
6 such a role. Therefore, **hypothesis 1 is rejected**. This also confirms the utility of using  
7 Ulrich's model in an African context. The three most significant elements are *Shares the*  
8 *happiness and sadness of the staff; Organizes regular meetings with staff for speaking and*  
9 *listening to them; Cares for employee's health needs*. This finding is encouraging for HR  
10 practitioners on the continent of Africa. It demonstrates that despite the weak and sometimes  
11 absence of institutional mechanisms to regulate employment relations, HR practitioners have  
12 the opportunity to play a vital role generally and, in particular, an employee advocate role.  
13 Since their credibility is tied to the role they play in their organizations, the more HR  
14 professionals are in a position to identify "niche roles" and play them effectively, the more  
15 their credibility will be enhanced. In fact it has been argued that, in order to have access to  
16 higher decision making table, HR practitioners must first demonstrate their utility to the  
17 organization through providing solutions to the problems facing the organization rather than  
18 merely assuming that they will have easy access to such decision making structures by virtue  
19 of their formal position (Conner, & Ulrich, 1996; Mamman, & Al Kulaiby, 2014; Sang Long,  
20 2011).

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 [Take in Table 1 about here]  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Based on the argument concerning the conflicting roles of HR practitioners, as well as  
39 multiple constituency theory which predicts that perceptions of the effectiveness their roles  
40 will vary across stakeholders within the organization (Mamman and Somantri, 2014;  
41 Mitsuhashi et al., 1999; Wright et al., 2001), the study tests hypotheses 2 that there will be a  
42 significant difference between line managers, employees and HR managers in the perception  
43 of the extent to which an employee advocate role is being performed. Based on the overall  
44 mean presented in Table 1 above, **hypothesis 2 is supported**. Specifically, overall, HR  
45 practitioners appear to rank themselves higher than other stakeholders rank them. This is not  
46 unexpected, and corroborates previous studies (Mamman and Kulaiby, 2014; Mamman and  
47 Somantri, 2013; Wright et al., 2001). This finding supports an earlier study of the perception  
48 of HR roles (Bhatnagar and Sharma, 2005: 1711) in which it was found that "*Discriminant*  
49 *functional analysis reflected that line and HR managers differed significantly in their*  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *perception of both variables*". Arguably, because of their desire for credibility among  
4 stakeholders and fellow professionals, HR practitioners are more likely, in comparison to  
5 other employees, to see themselves performing all their roles. Therefore **hypothesis 3 is**  
6 **supported.**  
7  
8

### 11 *Significance of the elements that constitute the Employee Advocate Role*

12  
13  
14 Amongst the objectives of this study is an examination of the significance of each element  
15 that constitutes an employee advocate role within an African context, and an exploration of  
16 the extent to which the elements relate to employee motivation. To address these objectives,  
17 regression analyses were conducted. The regression was first used to establish how well the  
18 set of variables is able to predict respondents' perceptions that HR practitioners play an  
19 employee advocate role, and second to determine which variable among the variables is the  
20 major predictor of the respondents' perception that HR practitioners play an employee  
21 advocate role. The results of the first regression analysis revealed that there is at least some  
22 relationship between all the independent variables and the dependent variable. However, the  
23 two variables *HR practitioners care for employees health needs* and *HR practitioners care*  
24 *for family needs* had the lowest correlations (.245 and .212 respectively), along with *HR*  
25 *practitioners as employees' advocate*, while the rest of the variables had correlations above  
26 .4. Therefore, *HR practitioners care for employees health needs* and *HR practitioners care*  
27 *for family needs* were not included in the further regression analysis. In addition, the bivariate  
28 correlation between *HR practitioners listen to employees* and *HR practitioners implement*  
29 *employees suggestions* was high (.751) indicating the existence of multicollinearity.  
30 Tabachnick and Fidell (1996: 86) suggest that one should 'think carefully before including  
31 two variables with a bivariate correlation of say, .7 or above in the same analysis'. Therefore,  
32 *HR implement employees suggestions* has been dropped, while *HR listen to employees* has  
33 been retained because the latter has a higher correlation with *HR practitioners as employees'*  
34 *advocate*. Likewise, the bivariate correlation between *HR practitioners organize regular*  
35 *meetings with staff* and *HR practitioners contribute in motivating employees* was high (.733),  
36 therefore *HR practitioners contribute in motivating employees* has been retained and *HR*  
37 *practitioners organize meetings with staff* has been dropped, since the latter has a lower  
38 correlation with HR practitioners as employees' advocate. Therefore, eight factors were used  
39 for the second regression analysis and the results are presented in table 2 and 3.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

[Take in Table 2 about here]

The results presented in table 2 reveal that the regression F is significant ( $F = 39.884$ ;  $df = 8, 287$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) and the variance accounted for is substantial ( $R^2 = 53.4\%$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 52.0\%$ ). However, when all the eight variables are taken together, *HR contribute in motivating employees* makes the strongest unique and statistically significant contribution ( $\beta = .561$ ) towards explaining the respondents' perception that HR practitioners in Malawi play the employee advocate role. These results seem to suggest that the respondents perceive HR practitioners as playing an employee advocate role when HR practitioners contribute to motivating employees. Perhaps this is because motivating employees includes most of the facets of the employee advocate role. Therefore **Hypotheses 4 is supported**.

To further explore the relationship between perceived motivating role of HR practitioners and the employee advocate role, a further regression was conducted. The same elements under the HR employees advocate construct were used. The only variable with the lowest correlation with *HR practitioners contribute in motivating employees* was *HR practitioners care for family needs* (.238), therefore this variable was dropped from further analysis. Also, the bivariate correlation between *HR practitioners implement employees' suggestions* and *HR practitioners listen to employees* was (.751), therefore *HR practitioners listen to employees* has been retained because it has a higher correlation with *HR contribute in motivating employees*. Therefore, further regression analysis was performed using the nine variables and the results are presented in table 3.

[Take in Table 3 about here]

The results presented in table 3 reveal that the regression F is significant ( $F = 68.885$ ;  $df = 9, 289$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) and the variance accounted for is substantial ( $R^2 = 68.9\%$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 67.9\%$ ). However, when all the 9 variables are taken together, *HR practitioners organize regular meetings with staff* makes the strongest unique and statistically significant contribution ( $\beta = .387$ ) towards explaining the respondents' perception that HR



1  
2  
3 practitioners contribute in motivating employees. Therefore, based on the results of this  
4 study, the perception that HR practitioners perform an employee advocate role is mainly  
5 associated with the perception that HR practitioners contribute in motivating employees  
6 through organizing regular staff meetings. Perhaps, by organizing regular meetings with staff  
7 HR practitioners are able to listen to the views and concerns of the employees. In fact,  
8 literature on employee motivation found that the presence of enabling structures which  
9 provide employees with opportunities to air their concerns in the workplace has a direct and  
10 positive impact on employee motivation (Salin, 2003). Our findings are consistent with this  
11 research as they indicate that the presence of a structure to enable regular meetings with  
12 employees is associated with employees' perceptions that HR professionals are fulfilling an  
13 advocacy role. Similarly, research by Ulrich, Brockbank, Yeung, & Lake, (1995) indicates  
14 that, when HR professionals demonstrate competencies in delivering HR practices, they are  
15 perceived as more effective. Arguably, this is further indication that employees believe that  
16 the regular meetings offered by HR professionals are positively seen as advocacy-type  
17 activity.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 **Conclusion**

30  
31 The main aim of this study was to examine perceptions of the employee advocate role in an  
32 African context where trade unions and other institutional structures designed to enforce  
33 employee interests are weak. The study found that despite the challenges of the context, HR  
34 practitioners are perceived by key stakeholders (line managers and employees) to be playing  
35 an employee advocate role. This is very encouraging from the point of view of the strategic  
36 and normative role of HR practitioners in Africa in general and Malawi in particular. The  
37 study corroborates similar studies of HR roles in developing and transitional economy  
38 countries (Akuratiyagamage, 2005; Antila, 2006; Antila and Kakkonen, 2008; Bowen et al.,  
39 2002; Mamman and Somantri, 2014; Mamman and Al Khulaibi, 2014; Zuzeviciute and  
40 Maragarita, 2010). Therefore the study has contributed to the existing literature. It also lends  
41 support to the utility of Ulrich's model for the HR role.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 To date, there has been a scarcity of research which has investigated the relative  
51 significance of key elements used to measure the importance of the employee advocate role  
52 in a developing country where the institutional and socio-cultural context differs from the  
53 contexts of developed countries where the model originated. Our study of the relative  
54 significance of the elements in the HR construct has opened up potential avenues to examine  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 not only how HR practitioners perform the employee advocate role, but also to think about  
4 whether certain elements should be included in future HR models. For example, researchers  
5 could develop an instrument that measures the importance of each element in the construct  
6 before asking respondents to determine whether HR practitioners fill the roles or not. The  
7 instrument could also ask respondents to suggest which other role they would like HR  
8 practitioners to perform.  
9  
10  
11

12  
13 Another contribution made by this study concerns its corroboration of previous  
14 studies and its support for multiple constituency theory regarding the argument that because  
15 of the conflicting roles of HR practitioners, the perception of the effectiveness of the roles  
16 they perform will vary across stakeholders. This inherent conflict in the HR role is unlikely to  
17 be resolved. However, consciously striking a balance between the normative and instrumental  
18 dimensions of the employee advocate role should enable HR practitioners to address the  
19 potential perception of bias when they perform their roles. This should also improve their  
20 credibility in the eyes of the stakeholders.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 A significant finding from this study is the revelation that the motivating role of HR  
28 practitioners can be perceived as a significant factor that influences stakeholders' perceptions  
29 of whether HR practitioners are performing an employee advocate role. We think this is a  
30 significant contribution of this study, because, as far as we are aware, experts appear to view  
31 all the elements that constitute the employee advocate role as of equal significance. Our  
32 finding suggests otherwise. Therefore the finding suggests that more research is needed to  
33 determine whether certain elements are more important than others not only regarding  
34 employee advocate role but in other roles such as strategic partner, change agent and HR  
35 leader roles. If certain elements in a particular role (that is, construct) are more important than  
36 others, it is essential to determine which element is important and to whom and why. This  
37 particular finding is instructive for HR practitioners if they want to enhance their credibility  
38 in the eyes of line managers and employees. We argue that because the motivating role will  
39 involve several elements in the construct, HR practitioners will do well if they consider the  
40 motivating role seriously.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 In conclusion, this research has limitations, yet the limitations also suggest fruitful  
51 potentials for further research on the topic of HR roles, especially in developing countries.  
52 We highlight that the study findings are, in part, based on the self-perceptions of a sample of  
53 HR professionals and note that self-perception has theoretical foundations that extend beyond  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 the pragmatic need for survival in an organizational setting. For example, researchers have  
4 reported that personality traits and defense mechanisms contribute to favorable self-  
5 perception. In this vein, Paulhus, and John, (1998: 1025) that: "... *sequences of values,*  
6 *motives, and biases form two personality constellations .... associated with an egoistic bias, a*  
7 *self-deceptive tendency to exaggerate one's social and intellectual status*". The findings of  
8 our study, when coupled with literature in fields of psychology such as personality theory,  
9 emphasize that more research is needed to appreciate the dynamics and complexity of both  
10 research and practice based on the self-perceptions of HR professionals. At a fundamental  
11 level, the caveat attached to our findings is that more research is needed to determine the  
12 accuracy of the self-perceptions of HR professionals in relation to their status, contribution  
13 and effectiveness in organizational settings.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 In relation to the geographical context of our research; we have emphasized above  
22 that the labour context of Malawi is characterized by an informal sector which dominates the  
23 national economy. Our findings, derived from respondents employed in the formal sector,  
24 highlight that HR practitioners are seen as employee advocates though these findings are not  
25 intended to shed light on any advocacy processes that may be taking place in the informal  
26 sector. Further research is needed to establish the extent to which the network of  
27 interrelationships to which Horowitz (2015) referred, operate in the informal sector Malawi  
28 in order to compensate for the absence of an HR presence. The limitations of the current  
29 study thus create opportunities for more research on the topic. For example, as pointed out  
30 earlier, it would be informative to establish how stakeholders would like HR practitioners to  
31 perform the employee advocate role in addition to asking the respondents about the  
32 effectiveness of the role performed. We hope future complementary research will address this  
33 issue. Within the context of the developing countries of Africa, a comparative study is needed  
34 since the context of HR roles as performed, as well as the institutional environment, differs  
35 across the continent. Without further study, therefore, our research cannot be generalized to  
36 other countries. Finally, other contextual variables such as size and industry could shed  
37 further light on how HR practitioners perform an employee advocate role. This is another line  
38 of inquiry that can be pursued by future researchers.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

## 53 **References**

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Akuratiyagamage, V.M. (2005), 'Identification of management development needs: A  
4 comparison across companies of different ownership – foreign, joint venture and local  
5 in Sri Lanka', *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, **16** (8),  
6 1512–1528.  
7
- 8 Antila, M.E. (2006), 'The role of HR managers in international mergers and acquisition: a  
9 multiple case study', *The International Journal of Human Resources Management*, **17**  
10 (16), 999–1120.  
11
- 12 Antila, M. and Kakkonen, A. (2008), 'Factors affecting the role of HR managers in  
13 international mergers and acquisitions: A multiple case study', *Personnel Review*, **37**  
14 (3), 280–299.  
15
- 16 Bakuwa, R. and Mamman, A. (2012), 'Factors hindering the adoption of HIV/AIDS  
17 workplace policies: Evidence from private sector companies in Malawi', *International*  
18 *Journal of Human Resource Management*, **23**(14), 2917-2937  
19
- 20 Barney, J.B and Wright, P.M. (1998). 'On becoming a strategic partner: The role of  
21 human resources in gaining competitive advantage', *Human Resource*  
22 *Management*, **37** (1), 31-46.  
23
- 24 Bhatnagar, J., & Sharma, A. (2005), 'The Indian perspective of strategic HR roles and  
25 organizational learning capability' *The International Journal of Human Resource*  
26 *Management*, **16** (9), 1711-1739.  
27
- 28 Blyton, P. and Turnbull, P. (1998), *The Dynamics of Employee Relations*, 2nd ed.,  
29 Macmillan, Basingstoke.  
30
- 31 Bowen, D.E, Galang, C. and Pillai, R. (2002), 'The role of human resource management: An  
32 exploratory study of cross-country variance', *Human Resource Management*, **41** (1),  
33 103-122  
34
- 35 Caldwell, R. (2008), 'HR business partner competency models: re-contextualising  
36 effectiveness', *Human Resource Management Journal*, **18** (3), 275–294.  
37
- 38 Conner, J. and Ulrich, D, (1996), 'Human resource roles: Creating value, not rhetoric HR',  
39 *Human Resource Planning*, **19** (3), 38–49.  
40
- 41 Ellig, B.R. (1997), 'Is the human resource function neglecting the employees?' *Human*  
42 *Resource Management*, **36** (1), 91-95  
43
- 44 Foote, D. and Robinson, I. (1999), 'The role of the human resources manager: Strategist or  
45 conscience of the organisation?' *Business Ethics: A European Review*, **8** (2), 88–98.  
46
- 47 Gooderham, P.N. and Nordhaug, O. (1997), 'Flexibility in British and Norwegian firms: A  
48 comparative institutional study', *Employee Relations*. **19**, 568–580.  
49
- 50 Government of Malawi (2018), Malawi in Figures 2015, Available online at:  
51  
52 [http://www.nsomalawi.mw/images/stories/data\\_on\\_line/general/malawi\\_in\\_figures/Malawi%](http://www.nsomalawi.mw/images/stories/data_on_line/general/malawi_in_figures/Malawi%20in%20Figures%202015.pdf)  
53 [20in%20Figures%202015.pdf](http://www.nsomalawi.mw/images/stories/data_on_line/general/malawi_in_figures/Malawi%20in%20Figures%202015.pdf) [Accessed 13<sup>th</sup> March 2018]  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Guest, D. and Conway, N. (1999), 'Peering into the black hole: the downside of the new  
4 employment relations in the UK', *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, **37** (3), 367-  
5 89.  
6  
7 Graham, M.E and Tarbell, L.M (2006), 'The importance of the employee perspective in the  
8 competency development of human resource professionals', *Human Resource*  
9 *Management*, **45** (3), 337–355  
10  
11 Heffernan, H., Harney, B., Cafferkey, K. and Dundon, T. (2016), 'Exploring the HRM-  
12 performance relationship: The role of creativity climate and strategy', *Employee*  
13 *Relations*, **38** (3), 438-462  
14  
15 Hailey, V., Farndale, E. and Truss, C. (2005), 'The HR department's role in organizational  
16 performance', *Human Resource Management Journal*, **15** (3), 49–66.  
17  
18 Heizmann, H. and Fox, S. (2017), 'O partner, where are thou? A critical discursive analysis  
19 of HR managers' struggle for legitimacy', *International Journal of Human Resource*  
20 *Management*, Available on line at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1314974>  
21 [Accessed 31st October 2017]  
22  
23 Horowitz, F. (2015), 'Human resource management in multinational companies in Africa: a  
24 systematic literature review', *International Journal of Human Resource Management*,  
25 **26** (21), 2786-2809  
26  
27 Järllström, M., Saru, E. and Vanhala, S. (2016), 'Sustainable human resource management  
28 with salience of stakeholders: A top management perspective', *Journal of Business*  
29 *Ethics*, DOI: 10.1007/s10551-016-3310-8 [Accessed 31st October 2017]  
30  
31 Kochan, T.A. (1997), 'Rebalancing the role of human resources', in *Tomorrow's HR*  
32 *Management: 48 Thought Leaders Call for Change*, eds. D. Ulrich, M.R. Losey and G.  
33 Lake, New York: John Wiley, pp. 119–129.  
34  
35 Kochan, T. and Dyer, L. (1993), 'Managing transformational change: The role of human  
36 resource professionals', *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, **4** (3),  
37 569–590.  
38  
39 Lawler, E.E. and Mohrman, S.A. (2003), 'HR as a strategic partner: What does it take to  
40 make it happen?' *Human Resource Planning*, **26** (3), 15–29.  
41  
42 Lemmergaard, J. (2009), 'From administrative expert to strategic partner', *Employee*  
43 *Relations*, **31** (2), 182–196.  
44  
45 Mamman, A., Kamoche, K. and Bakuwa, R. (2012) 'The role of human resource practitioners  
46 within a context: Should there be a unique role for African HR Practitioners?'  
47 *International Journal of Academy of Organizational Behaviour Management*, **1** (1), 1-40  
48  
49 Mamman, A. and Al Khulaiby, K., (2014), 'Is Ulrich's model useful in understanding HR  
50 practitioners' roles in non-Western developing countries? An exploratory investigation  
51 across private and public sector organizations in the Sultanate Kingdom of Oman',  
52 *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, **25**(20), 2811-283  
53  
54 Mamman, A. and Somantri, Y. (2014), 'What role do HR practitioners play in developing  
55 countries? An exploratory study in an Indonesian organization undergoing major  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- transformation', *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(11), 1567-1591
- McCracken, M., O'Kane, P., Brown, T.C. and McCrory, M. (2017), 'Human resource business partner lifecycle model: Exploring how the relationship between HRBPs and their line managers evolves', *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27 (1), 58-74
- Mitsubishi, H., Park, H.J., Wright, P.M. and Chua, R.S. (1999), 'Line and HR executives perceptions of HR effectiveness in firms in the peoples republic of China', *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11, 197-216.
- Paulhus, D. L., and John, O. P. (1998). 'Egoistic and moralistic biases in self-perception: The interplay of self deceptive styles with basic traits and motives'. *Journal of Personality*, 66 (6), 1025-1060.
- Renwick, D. (2003), 'HR managers', *Personnel Review*, 32 (3), 341 – 359
- Salin, D. (2003), Ways of explaining workplace bullying: A review of enabling, motivating and precipitating structures and processes in the work environment. *Human relations*, 56(10), 1213-1232
- Sang Long, C., Khairuzzaman Wan Ismail, W., and Mohd Amin, S. (2011), 'Internal consultation skill and linkage with the critical strategic roles of HR practitioners in Malaysia'. *Journal of Management Development*, 30 (2), 160-174
- Sumelius, J., Smale, A. and Björkman, I. (2009), 'The strategic role of HR in MNC subsidiaries in China between 1999 and 2006', *Chinese Management Studies*, 3 (4), 295-312.
- Stark, E. and Poppler, P. (2017), 'Evolution of a strange pathology: HRM as a strategic business partner and employee advocate in the USA', *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*, 29 (1), 1-14
- Storey, J. (1992), *Developments in the Management of Human Resources*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Turnbull, P. and Wass, V. (1998), "Neither rhetoric nor reality – a rejoinder to Renwick", *Industrial Relations Journal*, 29 (4), 316-17
- Ulandssekretariatet LO/FTF Council (2016), *Labour market profile: Malawi*. Copenhagen: Danish Trade Council for International Development and Cooperation. Available online at: [http://www.ulandssekretariatet.dk/sites/default/files/uploads/public/PDF/LMP/lmp\\_malawi\\_2016\\_final\\_version1.pdf](http://www.ulandssekretariatet.dk/sites/default/files/uploads/public/PDF/LMP/lmp_malawi_2016_final_version1.pdf) [Accessed 13th March 2018]
- Ulrich, D. (1998), 'A new mandate for HR', *Harvard Business Review*, 76, Jan-Feb, 124-134.
- Ulrich, D. and Brockbank, W. (2005), *The HR Value Proposition*, Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Ulrich, D; Losey, M.R. and Lake, G. (1997), *Tomorrow's HR Management: 48 Thought Leaders Call for Change*, New York: John Wiley.

- 1  
2  
3 Ulrich, D., Brockbank, W., Yeung, A. K., & Lake, D. G. (1995), 'Human resource  
4 competencies: An empirical assessment'. *Human Resource Management*, **34**(4), 473-  
5 495
- 6  
7 Whittaker, S. and Marchington, M. (2003), 'Devolving HR responsibility to the line: Threat,  
8 opportunity or partnership?' *Employee Relations*; **25** (3), 245–261.
- 9  
10 WIPO (2018) *The Constitution of the Republic of Malawi*. Available online at:  
11 <http://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/mw/mw030en.pdf> [Accessed 13<sup>th</sup> March  
12 2018]
- 13  
14 Wright, P.M., McMahan, G.C., Snell, S.A. and Gerhart, B. (2001), 'Comparing line and HR  
15 executives' perceptions of HR effectiveness: Services, roles, and contributions', *Human*  
16 *Resource Management Journal*, **40** (2), 111–23.
- 17  
18 Wood, G. (2008), 'Introduction: employment relations in Africa', *Employee Relations*, **30**  
19 (4), 329-332
- 20  
21 World Bank (2018), Malawi: statistical capacity country profile. Available online at:  
22 <http://datatopics.worldbank.org/statisticalcapacity/CountryProfile.aspx> [Accessed 13th  
23 March 2018]
- 24  
25 Zhu, C.J., Cooper, B., de Cieri, H. and Dowling, P.J. (2005), 'A problematic transition to a  
26 strategic role: Human resource management in industrial enterprises in China',  
27 *International Human Resource Management*, **16** (4), 513–531.
- 28  
29 Zuzeviciute, V. and Margarita, T. (2010), 'The role of a human resource manager as a  
30 facilitator of learning: Some evidence from Lithuania', *Baltic Journal of Management*,  
31 **5** (1), 68–81.
- 32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** Perceptions of Employee Advocate Role

		<b>Overall Mean N=305</b>	<b>HR practitioners N=95</b>		<b>Line Managers N=121</b>		<b>Employees N=89</b>
<b>No.</b>	<b>Elements in the Construct</b>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>S.D</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>S.D</i>	<i>Mean</i>
1	Listens to employees	3.77	3.79	.5160	3.72	.4840	3.80
2	Tries to implement their valued suggestions or comments.	3.37	3.38	.6240	3.34	.5570	3.39
3	Cares for employees' financial needs.	3.41	3.45	.6840	3.39	.5540	3.39
4	Cares for employees' family needs.	2.67	2.72	1.107	2.61	.8010	2.67
5	Cares for employees' health needs.	<b>3.93</b>	4.01	.8490	3.90	.4740	3.87

6	Is the first to defend employees' rights.	3.17	3.26	.7060	3.13	.6600	3.11
7	Seeks to keep talent and encourage staff.	<b>3.82</b>	3.85	.8620	3.76	.7740	3.85
8	Strive to be fair to all employees without favoring members of staff.	3.09	4.22	.8440	4.05	.8120	3.99
9	Shares the happiness and sadness of the staff.	<b>3.99</b>	4.05	.7310	4.00	.7210	3.92
10	Organizes trips for the staff members.	2.76	2.80	.9810	2.75	.9180	2.72
11	Organizes regular meetings with staff for speaking and listening to them.	<b>4.09</b>	4.20	.7080	4.11	.6370	3.95
12	Contributes in motivating employees in achieving their work.	<b>3.82</b>	3.98	.8790	3.85	.9000	3.62
13	See themselves as the employees' advocate.	3.78	3.99	.8550	3.76	.7640	3.58

**Table 2:** Regression Analysis – Employee Advocate Role

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardised Coefficients	<i>t</i>	Sig.
	<i>B</i>	<i>Std.</i>	<i>Beta</i>		

			<i>Error</i>			
1 Constant		.598	.193		3.090	.002
HR listen to employees		.010	.053	.012	.192	.848
HR care for financial needs		.026	.054	.030	.473	.636
HR defend employees		.051	.063	.051	.803	.423
HR encourage employees		.086	.055	.092	1.582	.115
HR strive to be fair		-.019	.051	-.023	-.361	.719
HR share happiness and sadness		.059	.053	.058	1.113	.267
HR organize trips		.044	.039	.055	1.132	.259
HR motivate employees		.502	.058	.561	8.670	.000
<i>R Square</i>	53.4%					
<i>Adjusted R Square</i>	52.0%					
<i>Regression F (df = 8, 287)</i>	39.884					
<i>P</i>	.000					

a. Dependent variable: HR practitioners employees' advocate

**Table 3:** Regression Analysis – HR Practitioners Contribute in Motivating Employees

<b>Model</b>		<b>Unstandardized Coefficients</b>		<b>Standardized</b>	<b>t</b>	<b>Sig.</b>
		<b>B</b>	<b>Std. Error</b>	<b>Beta</b>		
1 Constant		-.471	.224		-2.103	.036
HR listen to employees		-.022	.049	-.023	-4.445	.657
HR care for financial needs		.066	.049	.070	1.339	.182
HR care for health needs		.135	.055	.095	2.464	.014
HR defend employees		.128	.057	.114	2.236	.026
HR encourage employees		.234	.048	.224	4.915	.000
HR strive to be fair		.101	.047	.112	2.175	.030
Share happiness and sadness		.034	.050	.030	.692	.489
HR organize trips		.068	.035	.076	1.930	.055
HR organize regular staff meetings		.353	.042	.387	8.334	.000
<i>R Square</i>	68.9%					
<i>Adjusted R Square</i>	67.9%					
<i>Regression F (df = 9,</i>	68.885					



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

289)						
P	.000					

Employee Relations