



Acosta-Herrera, M. et al. (2019) Genome-wide meta-analysis reveals shared new loci in systemic seropositive rheumatic diseases. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 78(3), pp. 311-319.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/197306/>

Deposited on: 23 September 2019

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow  
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 **Genome-wide meta-analysis reveals shared new *loci* in**  
2 **systemic seropositive rheumatic diseases**

3  
4  
5 Marialbert Acosta-Herrera,<sup>1†\*</sup> Martin Kerick,<sup>1†</sup> David González-Serna,<sup>1</sup>  
6 Myositis Genetics Consortium,<sup>‡</sup> Scleroderma Genetics Consortium,<sup>‡</sup> Cisca  
7 Wijmenga,<sup>2</sup> Andre Franke,<sup>3</sup> Peter K. Gregersen,<sup>4</sup> Leonid Padyukov,<sup>5</sup> Jane  
8 Worthington,<sup>6</sup> Timothy Vyse,<sup>7-8</sup> Marta E Alarcón-Riquelme,<sup>9</sup> Maureen D.  
9 Mayes,<sup>10</sup> Javier Martin.<sup>1\*</sup>

10  
11  
12 <sup>1</sup> Institute of Parasitology and Biomedicine López-Neyra, IPBLN-CSIC, PTS Granada, Granada, Spain;  
13 <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics, University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen,  
14 The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel,  
15 Germany; <sup>4</sup>Robert S. Boas Center for Genomics and Human Genetics, Feinstein Institute for Medical  
16 Research, Manhasset, NY, USA; <sup>5</sup>Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet and  
17 Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>6</sup>Manchester NIHR Biomedical Research Centre,  
18 The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK; <sup>7</sup>Division  
19 of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>8</sup>Division of Immunology,  
20 Infection and Inflammatory Disease, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>9</sup>Centre for Genomics and  
21 Oncological Research (GENYO), Pfizer-University of Granada-Andalusian Regional Government,  
22 Granada, Spain; <sup>10</sup>The University of Texas Health Science Center–Houston, Houston, Texas, USA;  
23 <sup>‡</sup>Members of the Myositis Genetics Consortium (MYOGEN) and Scleroderma Genetics Consortium are  
24 listed in the supplemental note.

25  
26  
27 <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

28  
29  
30  
31 **\*Corresponding authors**

32  
33 Marialbert Acosta-Herrera: Institute of Parasitology and Biomedicine López-Neyra,  
34 CSIC, Av. del Conocimiento 17. Armilla (Granada), Spain 18016. Phone: (+34)  
35 958181621; Fax: (+34) 958181632; email: [m.acostaherrera@ipb.csic.es](mailto:m.acostaherrera@ipb.csic.es)

36  
37 Javier Martín: Institute of Parasitology and Biomedicine López-Neyra, CSIC, Av. del  
38 Conocimiento 17. Armilla (Granada), Spain 18016. Phone: (+34) 958181669; Fax:  
39 (+34) 958181632; email: [javiermartin@ipb.csic.es](mailto:javiermartin@ipb.csic.es)

43 **Abstract**

44 **Objective:** Immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) are heterogeneous and  
45 complex conditions with overlapping clinical symptoms and elevated familial  
46 aggregation, which suggests the existence of a shared genetic component. In order to  
47 identify this genetic background in a systematic fashion, we performed the first cross-  
48 disease genome-wide meta-analysis in systemic seropositive rheumatic diseases,  
49 namely: systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis and  
50 idiopathic inflammatory myopathies.

51 **Methods:** We meta-analyzed ~6.5 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in  
52 11,678 cases and 19,704 non-affected controls of European descent populations. The  
53 functional roles of the associated variants were interrogated using publicly available  
54 databases.

55 **Results:** Our analysis revealed five shared genome-wide significant independent *loci*  
56 that had not been previously associated with these diseases: *NABI*, *KPNA4-ARL14*,  
57 *DGQK*, *LIMK1*, and *PRR12*. All of these *loci* are related with immune processes such as  
58 interferon and epidermal growth factor signaling, response to methotrexate,  
59 cytoskeleton dynamics, and coagulation cascade. Remarkably, several of the associated  
60 *loci* are known key players in autoimmunity, which supports the validity of our results.  
61 All the associated variants showed significant functional enrichment in DNase  
62 hypersensitivity sites, chromatin states and histone marks in relevant immune cells,  
63 including shared expression quantitative trait *loci*. Additionally, our results were  
64 significantly enriched in drugs that are being tested for the treatment of the diseases  
65 under study.

66 **Conclusions:** We have identified shared new risk *loci* with functional value across  
67 diseases and pinpoint new potential candidate *loci* that could be further investigated.  
68 Our results highlight the potential of drug repositioning among related systemic  
69 seropositive rheumatic IMIDs.

70

## 71 **Introduction**

72           Autoimmunity occurs when the mechanisms related to immune self-tolerance  
73 fail, leading to an inappropriate destruction of normal tissue by the immune system.  
74 Genetic factors play an important role in the development of more than 80 immune-  
75 mediated inflammatory diseases (IMIDs) identified so far.[1] Comorbidity of these  
76 diseases, increased familial clustering, and shared risk variants have been widely  
77 documented.[2] However, to date, these shared *loci* have been identified by simple  
78 comparison between studies, and just recently they have been determined by rigorous  
79 and systematic analysis.[3] In this sense, combining genome-wide association studies  
80 (GWAS) across several diseases has proven to be a very useful tool for the  
81 identification of new genetic risk variants simultaneously associated with several  
82 IMIDs, and to expose shared pathways involved in the pathophysiology of these  
83 conditions.[4-7] To date, two large studies combining several diseases were recently  
84 published following this strategy. One of them was a meta-GWAS across 10 pediatric  
85 autoimmune diseases with shared population-based controls that revealed new candidate  
86 *loci* with immunoregulatory functions.[8] In the other study, the authors identified new  
87 shared associations by combining immuno-chip data across five chronic inflammatory  
88 diseases.[9]

89           Systemic seropositive rheumatologic IMIDs, such as systemic sclerosis (SSc),  
90 systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and idiopathic  
91 inflammatory myopathies (IIM), are heterogeneous diseases of the connective tissue  
92 that share clinical and epidemiological manifestations, as well as life-threatening  
93 complications.[10] The common genetic component of these conditions has not been  
94 previously assessed systematically, although the overlap of associated genes is elevated  
95 when performing a pairwise comparison.[8] Autoantibody production is the main

96 feature of these diseases, comprising additionally a broad deregulation of the innate and  
97 adaptive immune response. However, the low prevalence of most of these diseases  
98 hinders the collection of large datasets that makes possible to attain sufficient statistical  
99 power. Therefore, our study aimed to combine previously published GWAS datasets –  
100 all from European descent populations– to identify shared genetic etiologies among  
101 systemic seropositive rheumatologic IMIDs in a systematic fashion.

102

## 103 **Subjects and Methods**

### 104 **Study population**

105 A total of 12,132 affected subjects with four systemic seropositive rheumatic  
106 IMIDs (SSc, SLE, IIM, and RA) and 23,260 controls were included in this study from  
107 previously published GWAS [11-16] (Table S1).

108

### 109 **Data quality control and imputation**

110 Unified quality control (QC) of the 18 case-control collections was conducted  
111 separately, based on stringent criteria using PLINK v.1.07.[17] Given that related and/or  
112 duplicated subjects may have been recruited for different studies, genome-wide  
113 relatedness was assessed and one individual from each pair was removed. Samples with  
114 <95% of successfully called genotypes were removed.

115 Further, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with genotyping call rate  
116 <98%, minor allele frequencies (MAF) <1% and deviating from Hardy-Weinberg  
117 equilibrium (HWE) with a  $p$ -value <0.001 in the control group were removed. To  
118 control for possible population stratification, we performed principal component (PC)  
119 analysis using GCTA64 and R-base software under GNU Public license v.2.

120 Imputation of autosomal SNPs was conducted in the Michigan Imputation  
121 Server using Minimac3.[18] The software SHAPEIT[19] was used for haplotype  
122 reconstruction and the Haplotype Reference Consortium r1.1 was used as the reference  
123 population.[20]

124

## 125 **Statistical analyses**

126 *Disease-specific association testing:* Association testing for allele dosages was  
127 performed by logistic Wald test using EPACTS software,[21] adjusting by the first two  
128 or five PCs as appropriate to control for the genomic inflation factor in European  
129 population ( $\lambda < 1.05$ ) (Table S1). SNPs with a MAF  $\geq 1\%$  and squared correlation ( $R_{sq}$ )  
130  $\geq 0.3$  were maintained in the analyses as suggested by the imputation software.  
131 Additionally, we calculated a concordance rate by comparing imputed and true  
132 genotypes.

133 *Cross-phenotype meta-analysis:* to identify shared *loci*, the summary-level statistics  
134 were meta-analyzed using METASOFT.[22] A fixed-effects model was applied for  
135 those SNPs without evidence of heterogeneity (Cochran's Q test  $p$ -value  $Q > 0.05$ ), and  
136 random-effects model was applied for SNPs displaying heterogeneity of effects between  
137 studies ( $Q \leq 0.05$ ). Genome-wide significance was established at a  $p$ -value  $\leq 5 \times 10^{-08}$ .  
138 SNP independence was assessed with the software GCTA-COJO (Table S2).[23, 24] To  
139 annotate the independent signals SNPnexus[25] was used to the build37 genomic  
140 coordinates.

141 *Model search to identify the diseases contributing to the association:* to identify the  
142 diseases most likely contributing to the association signals, we performed an exhaustive  
143 disease-subtype model search with the R statistical package ASSET.[24] The

144 contribution of a disease was considered if at least two independent case-control  
145 collections from the same disease were grouped with consistent effects.

146 *Novelty of the variants:* Our independent SNP associations were classified into “known”  
147 or “new” associations based on the information retrieved from the NHGRI-EBI GWAS  
148 catalog and the Phenopedia and Genopedia from HuGE Navigator.[26]

149 *Functional enrichment analysis:* in order to systematically characterize the functional,  
150 cellular and regulatory contribution of the associated variants, a non-parametric  
151 enrichment analysis implemented in GARFIELD was performed.[27] Furthermore, the  
152 online tools HaploReg v.4.1[28] and the Genotype-Tissue Expression project  
153 (GTEx)[29] were queried to determine whether any of the lead associated variants was  
154 an expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL). The online tool Capture HiC plotter was  
155 used to assess physical interactions between restriction fragments containing the  
156 variants and the promoter of genes in the three-dimensional nuclear space.[30]

157 *Drug Target Enrichment Analysis:* the target genes of the eQTLs were used to model a  
158 protein-protein interaction (PPI) network using String v10.[31] These protein products  
159 were then used to query the OpenTargets Platform[32] for drug targets. Moreover, this  
160 platform was used to search for drugs indicated or in different phases of drug  
161 development for the treatment of SSc, SLE, IIM and RA. The Fisher’s exact test was  
162 used to calculate if the results of the meta-analysis were significantly enriched in  
163 pharmacologically active drug targets.

164 Additional details of the Methods section are available in the online supplementary  
165 methods.

166

## 167 **Results**

168 *Cross-phenotype meta-analysis and disease contribution*

169           Following sample QC and imputation, a total of 11,678 cases and 19,704 non-  
170 overlapping controls were included in the genome-wide meta-analysis of 6,450,125  
171 SNPs across the four diseases. The mean concordance rate among imputed and true  
172 genotypes was  $0.999\pm 0.0003$ . The final  $\lambda$  showed minimal evidence of population  
173 stratification in the meta-analysis ( $\lambda=1.025$ ). Moreover, we calculated  $\lambda_{1,000}$  with  
174 consistent results ( $\lambda_{1,000}=1.025$ ). Summary of sample/variant QC and QQ plots are  
175 shown in Table S1 and Figure S1, respectively.

176           The global meta-analysis revealed 42 non-hla significantly associated *loci*.  
177 Subsequent conditional analyses showed that 27 SNPs were independent (Figure 1 and  
178 Figure S2). Sixteen variants were meta-analyzed under a fixed effects model, whereas  
179 eleven with random effects based on study heterogeneity.

180           To comprehensively explore the combinations of diseases contributing to the  
181 associations we applied a subset-based meta-analysis implemented in ASSET.[24] Our  
182 model search yielded 26 SNPs associated with at least two IMIDs (Table 1). All of  
183 these variants were imputed in at least one dataset.

184

185 Among these 26 associations we found several key players in autoimmunity;  
186 interestingly ten of these associations (38%) have never been reported before for SSc,  
187 eight (31%) for SLE and RA, respectively, and 20 (77%) for IIM. Remarkably, five  
188 SNPs have not been reported previously for any of the diseases under study and thus  
189 constitute new shared risk *loci* in systemic seropositive rheumatic IMIDs (Table 1).

190 Amongst these five new associations we found the SNP rs744600 in the 3' region of the  
191 NGFI-A binding protein 1 (*NABI*) (Odds ratio [OR] for the T allele 0.88, Confidence  
192 Interval [CI]=0.85-0.92),  $p$ -value= $7.07\times 10^{-11}$ ), and the intronic SNP rs13101828  
193 mapping in the gene Diacylglycerol kinase theta (*DGKQ*) (OR for the G allele 1.11,



194 95%CI: 1.07–1.16,  $p$ -value= $1.32 \times 10^{-08}$ ). Of note, both genes have been previously  
195 associated with a chronic autoimmune liver disease.[33, 34] The intergenic SNP  
196 rs112846137, maps between the genes Karyopherin subunit alpha 4 (*KPNA4*) and the  
197 ADP ribosylation factor like GTPase 14 (*ARL14*) (OR for the T allele 1.29, 95%CI:  
198 1.07–1.56,  $p$ -value= $1.42 \times 10^{-08}$ ). Interestingly, the gene *ARL14* showed a suggestive  
199 association in a pharmacogenomic GWAS of response to methotrexate in RA  
200 patients.[35] In addition, we observe the associated SNP rs193107685 located in the 3'  
201 region of the LIM domain kinase 1 (*LIMK1*) gene (OR for the C allele 1.52, 95%CI:  
202 1.27–1.83,  $p$ -value= $3.81 \times 10^{-09}$ ). The protein encoded by this gene regulates actin  
203 polymerization, a critical process in the activation of T cells.[36] Finally, the SNP  
204 rs76246107 is located in an intron of the gene Proline rich 12 (*PRR12*) (OR for the G  
205 allele 1.28, 95%CI: 1.14–1.43,  $p$ -value= $3.36 \times 10^{-08}$ ), which was associated with  
206 fibrinogen concentration,[37] and is an active regulator of the inflammatory  
207 response.[38]

Table 1. Twenty-six independent variants associated at a genome-wide significance level ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) in the meta-analysis.

Chr	Position <sup>a</sup>	SNP	Gene <sup>b</sup>	Functionality <sup>c</sup>	Effect Allele	OR (CI 95%)	Meta-Analysis $p$ -value <sup>d</sup>	Cochran's $p$ -value	Contributing Disease <sup>e</sup>
1	67802371	rs6659932	<i>IL12RB2</i>	Intronic	C	0.85 (0.79-0.91)	$6.08 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-02}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
1	114303808	rs6679677	<i>PHTF1-RSBN1</i>	Intergenic	A	1.34 (1.21-1.49)	$2.30 \times 10^{-28}$	$2.14 \times 10^{-04}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE</b>
1	114377568	rs2476601	<i>PTPN22</i>	Coding (missense)	G	0.75 (0.67-0.83)	$1.74 \times 10^{-28}$	$1.06 \times 10^{-4}$	IIM, RA, SLE
1	114433946	rs1217393	<i>AP4B1</i>	Intronic	A	0.89 (0.85-0.92)	$5.21 \times 10^{-09}$	$4.91 \times 10^{-1}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
1	173337747	rs2422345	<i>TNFSF4-LOC100506023</i>	Intronic	A	1.11 (1.05-1.18)	$2.55 \times 10^{-08}$	$6.00 \times 10^{-03}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
1	183532580	rs17849502	<i>NCF2</i>	Coding (missense)	T	1.36 (1.16-1.59)	$3.93 \times 10^{-15}$	$2.84 \times 10^{-04}$	<b>IIM, SLE</b>
2	191564757	rs744600	<i>NAB1*</i>	3'Downstream	T	0.88 (0.85-0.92)	$7.07 \times 10^{-11}$	$7.60 \times 10^{-1}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
2	191933283	rs13389408	<i>STAT4</i>	Intronic	C	1.27 (1.20-1.34)	$3.10 \times 10^{-17}$	$3.99 \times 10^{-1}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
2	191973034	rs10174238	<i>STAT4</i>	Intronic	A	0.73 (0.67-0.80)	$2.76 \times 10^{-42}$	$4.31 \times 10^{-07}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
3	58183636	rs35677470	<i>DNASE1L3</i>	Coding (missense)	A	1.22 (1.14-1.30)	$4.96 \times 10^{-09}$	$6.78 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
3	160312921	rs112846137	<i>KPNA4-ARL14*</i>	Intergenic	T	1.27 (1.17-1.37)	$1.42 \times 10^{-08}$	$9.55 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
4	965720	rs13101828	<i>DGKQ*</i>	Intronic	G	1.11 (1.07-1.16)	$1.32 \times 10^{-08}$	$2.29 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
5	150438477	rs4958880	<i>TNIP1</i>	Intronic	A	1.16 (1.10-1.22)	$1.45 \times 10^{-11}$	$2.61 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
5	159887336	rs2431098	<i>PTTG1-MIR3142HG</i>	Intergenic	G	1.12 (1.05-1.20)	$4.91 \times 10^{-12}$	$1.42 \times 10^{-01}$	SLE, <b>SSc</b>
6	106569270	rs802791	<i>PRDM1-ATG5</i>	Intergenic	C	0.87 (0.83-0.92)	$3.65 \times 10^{-12}$	$1.13 \times 10^{-01}$	SLE, <b>SSc</b>
6	138243739	rs58721818	<i>TNFAIP3</i>	3'Downstream	T	1.64 (1.46-1.84)	$4.64 \times 10^{-23}$	$1.65 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
7	73537902	rs193107685	<i>LIMK1*</i>	3'Downstream	C	1.52 (1.27-1.83)	$3.21 \times 10^{-09}$	$1.18 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>RA, SLE, SSc</b>
7	128589633	rs10954214	<i>IRF5</i>	3UTR	T	1.18 (1.13-1.23)	$6.63 \times 10^{-17}$	$3.64 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
7	128647942	rs13238352	<i>TNPO3</i>	Intronic	T	1.44 (1.30-1.60)	$1.47 \times 10^{-38}$	$2.12 \times 10^{-01}$	SLE, <b>SSc</b>
8	11341880	rs2736337	<i>FAM167A-BLK</i>	Intergenic	C	1.23 (1.17-1.30)	$4.86 \times 10^{-22}$	$1.29 \times 10^{-01}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>
11	633689	rs7929541	<i>SCT-DRD4</i>	Intergenic	G	0.89 (0.83-0.95)	$2.14 \times 10^{-10}$	$4.98 \times 10^{-04}$	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>

12	112871372	rs11066301	<i>PTPN11</i>	Intronic	T	1.11 (1.07-1.15)	4.20x10 <sup>-08</sup>	5.86x10 <sup>-01</sup>	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
16	85994484	rs35929052	<i>IRF8</i>	Intergenic	T	0.83 (0.78-0.88)	1.71x10 <sup>-09</sup>	4.69x10 <sup>-01</sup>	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
19	10462513	rs11085725	<i>TYK2</i>	Intronic	A	0.88 (0.83-0.92)	2.65x10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.86x10 <sup>-01</sup>	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
19	50121274	rs76246107	<i>PRR12*</i>	Intronic	G	1.28 (1.14-1.43)	3.36x10 <sup>-08</sup>	1.50x10 <sup>-02</sup>	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>
22	21985094	rs5754467	<i>YDJC</i>	5'Upstream	G	1.20 (1.13-1.27)	1.24x10 <sup>-13</sup>	8.59x10 <sup>-02</sup>	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>

<sup>a</sup>According to NCBI build GRCh37/hg19.

<sup>b</sup>Variant localization based on the nearest gene.

<sup>c</sup>Functionality obtained from SNPnexus.<sup>23</sup>

<sup>d</sup>Results of meta-analysis either under a fixed effect if no heterogeneity was found based on Cochran's Q test ( $p$ -value $\geq$ 0.05) or under a random effect if heterogeneity was found among studies.

<sup>e</sup>Disease contributing to the association observed by the subset meta-analysis method with ASSET.<sup>25</sup> The diseases for which this locus has never been reported before at genome-wide significance level are shown in boldface.

\*Denotes novel *loci* in the study.

Chr: chromosome; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; IIM: idiopathic inflammatory myopathy; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic sclerosis.

All the variants in the table were imputed in at least one of the 18 case-control collections.

209 *Associated loci and their functional enrichment on regulatory elements*

210 To assess whether the associated variants lie in coding and non-coding  
211 regulatory and cell-type-specific elements of the genome, we performed an enrichment  
212 analysis with GARFIELD.[39] The results obtained showed marked enrichment  
213 patterns mainly in blood cells and skin cells, with 247 significant enrichments  
214 ( $p \leq 5 \times 10^{-05}$ ) (Figure S3 and Table S3). Table 2 summarizes the main enrichment results.  
215 We found that the majority of associated variants were enriched in DNase I  
216 hypersensitivity site (DHS) hotspots in blood, as depicted in Figure 2. This functional  
217 category included a repertoire of cells from the immune system, such as B-lymphocytes  
218 (Fold enrichment (FE)=11.68, empirical  $p$  ( $p_{emp}$ ) $<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), T-lymphocytes (FE=10.42,  
219  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), including T helper cells (FE=7.81,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), T CD8+ (FE=7.61,  
220  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), natural killer cells (FE=11.36,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), and monocytes  
221 (FE=8.99,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ). In line with this enrichment, disease-associated SNPs were  
222 enriched in enhancers (FE=14.99,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), within TSS (FE=14.87,  
223  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), and on transcription factor binding sites (FE=12.20,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ) in  
224 the B-lymphocyte cell line GM12878. Additionally, the highest enrichment was  
225 observed in the histone modification H3K9ac (FE=14.02,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ), and  
226 H3K27ac (FE=10.81,  $p_{emp}<1 \times 10^{-05}$ ) in the B-lymphocyte cell line, which are  
227 positively associated with gene activation. Although these modifications are increased  
228 in the promoters of active genes, the latter has been shown to be associated with active  
229 enhancers.[40] Moreover, enrichment was observed in H3K4me1,2,3 sites, which  
230 usually surround TSS and are also positively correlated with gene expression.[40]

Table 2. Summary of the most enriched functional annotations for the SNPs associated in the meta-analysis at a genome-wide significance threshold ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ).

Category <sup>a</sup>	Tissue	Cell types	Type	NAnnotThesh <sup>b</sup>	NAnnot <sup>c</sup>	NThresh <sup>d</sup>	N (LD-pruned variants) <sup>e</sup>	Fold Enrichment	Empirical $p$ -value
Chromatin_States	Blood	GM12878	Enhancer	13	10,944	33	416,420	14.99	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	TSS	12	10,182	33	416,420	14.87	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
Footprints	Blood	GM06990	Footprints	8	3,153	33	416,420	32.02	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H3K9ac	21	18,903	33	416,420	14.02	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H3K27ac	22	25,674	33	416,420	10.81	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H2AFZ	22	25,824	33	416,420	10.75	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H3K4me3	17	25,365	33	416,420	8.46	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
Histone modifications	Blood	GM12878	H3K4me2	23	34,807	33	416,420	8.34	$5 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H3K4me1	25	39,871	33	416,420	7.91	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM12878	H3K79me2	16	25,683	33	416,420	7.86	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM06990	Hotspots	23	24,839	33	416,420	11.68	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
Hotspots	Skin	NHEK	Hotspots	25	54,667	33	416,420	5.77	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
		GM06990	Peaks	13	6,433	33	416,420	25.50	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
Peaks	Blood	GM06990	Peaks	13	6,433	33	416,420	25.50	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$
TFBS	Blood	GM12878	TFBS	19	19,650	33	416,420	12.20	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$

<sup>a</sup>Functional categories from the Encode<sup>28</sup> and Roadmap Epigenomics.<sup>29</sup>

<sup>b</sup>Number of LD-pruned annotated variants passing the meta-analysis threshold.

<sup>c</sup>Number of LD-pruned annotated variants in the reference dataset UK10K project.

<sup>d</sup>Number of LD-pruned variants passing the meta-analysis threshold.

<sup>e</sup>Number of LD-pruned variants in the reference dataset UK10K project.

GM12878: B-Lymphocyte; GM06990: B-lymphocyte, lymphoblastoid; NHEK: Normal Human Epidermal Keratinocytes; LD: Linkage disequilibrium; TSS: Transcription Start Site; TFBS: Transcription Factor Binding Sites.

231 *Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) and associated variants*

232 *In silico* analysis of eQTLs revealed the role of 16 of the lead SNPs as eQTLs in  
233 whole blood, lymphoblastoid cell lines, transformed lymphocytes, skeletal muscle and  
234 transformed fibroblasts derived from European individuals from HaploReg v.4.1[28]  
235 (Table 3 and Table S4). Focusing on new associated variants, the SNP rs744600  
236 modifies *NABI* gene expression in lymphoblastoid cell lines ( $p=1.30 \times 10^{-34}$ ), whereas  
237 the T allele increases *HIBCH* expression in skeletal muscles ( $p=8.09 \times 10^{-07}$ ). The G  
238 allele of rs13101828 increases *DGKQ* expression in whole blood ( $p=3.29 \times 10^{-45}$ ),  
239 lymphocytes ( $p=5.23 \times 10^{-19}$ ), fibroblasts ( $p=4.44 \times 10^{-06}$ ), lung cells ( $p=8.42 \times 10^{-28}$ ) and  
240 several other tissues. The A allele of rs76246107 can reduce *ALDH16A1* expression in  
241 lung cells ( $p=6.45 \times 10^{-06}$ ), and the protein encoded by this gene is involved in  
242 oxidoreductase activity. Reassuringly, 14 of the 16 (87%) reported eQTLs showed a  
243 physical interaction between the SNP and the promoter of 15 of the genes affected by  
244 the eQTLs (Table 3), as suggested by Capture HiC (C-HiC) data (Table S5). These  
245 independent evidences propose a mechanistic approach to understand the modulation of  
246 gene expression.

Table 3. Summary of the eQTL results in European samples for the SNPs independently associated in the meta-analysis.

SNP	Allele	Source	Gene	Tissue	<i>p</i> -value
rs6659932*	C	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>IL12RB2</i>	Whole blood	3.72x10 <sup>-11</sup>
rs6679677*	A	Westra 2013	<i>PTPN22</i>	Whole blood	4.84x10 <sup>-10</sup>
rs2476601*	G	Westra2013	<i>PTPN22</i>	Whole blood	3.36x10 <sup>-10</sup>
		GTEEx2015_v6	<i>AP4B1</i>	Skeletal muscle	5.45x10 <sup>-07</sup>
		GTEEx2015_v6	<i>HIPK1</i>	Whole blood	7.71x10 <sup>-09</sup>
rs1217393*	A	Westra 2013	<i>PHTF1</i>	Whole blood	9.56x10 <sup>-05</sup>
		Westra 2013	<i>PTPN22</i>	Whole blood	2.67x10 <sup>-10</sup>
		Westra 2013	<i>RSBN1</i>	Whole blood	1.41x10 <sup>-10</sup>
<b>rs744600*</b>	T	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>HIBCH</i>	Skeletal muscle	8.09x10 <sup>-07</sup>
		Lappalainen2013	<i>NAB1</i>	Lymphoblastoid cell line	1.30x10 <sup>-34</sup>
		GTEEx2015_v6		Skeletal muscle	3.42x10 <sup>-09</sup>
rs13389408	C	Westra 2013	<i>GLS</i>	Whole blood	2.98x10 <sup>-07</sup>
rs35677470*	A	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>PXK</i>	Skeletal muscle	7.08x10 <sup>-06</sup>
				Whole blood	9.28x10 <sup>-45</sup>
				Transformed lymphocytes	1.21x10 <sup>-23</sup>
<b>rs13101828</b>	G	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>DGKQ</i>	Transformed fibroblasts	9.78x10 <sup>-07</sup>
				Lung	8.42x10 <sup>-28</sup>
rs4958880*	A	Westra 2013	<i>TNIP1</i>	Whole blood	1.09x10 <sup>-03</sup>
		GTEEx2015_v6		Whole blood	2.56x10 <sup>-16</sup>
rs10954214*	T	Lappalainen2013	<i>IRF5</i>	Lymphoblastoid cell line	7.54x10 <sup>-31</sup>
rs13238352*	T	Lappalainen2013	<i>IRF5</i>	Lymphoblastoid cell line	2.88x10 <sup>-13</sup>
			<i>FAM167A</i>	Whole blood	2.90x10 <sup>-26</sup>
			<i>FAM167A</i>	Transformed fibroblasts	1.90x10 <sup>-18</sup>
rs2736337*	C	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>FAM167A</i>	Transformed lymphocytes	2.10x10 <sup>-15</sup>
			<i>BLK</i>	Whole blood	5.30x10 <sup>-13</sup>

rs2736337*	C	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>BLK</i>	Transformed fibroblasts	1.30x10 <sup>-11</sup>
			<i>BLK</i>	Transformed lymphocytes	3.30x10 <sup>-06</sup>
rs7929541*	C	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>TMEM80</i>	Transformed fibroblasts	1.22x10 <sup>-11</sup>
rs11085725*	T	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>TYK2</i>	Whole blood	2.30x10 <sup>-06</sup>
			<i>TMED1</i>	Whole blood	8.80x10 <sup>-06</sup>
<b>rs76246107*</b>	A	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>ALDH16A1</i>	Lung	6.45x10 <sup>-06</sup>
rs5754467*	G	GTEEx2015_v6	<i>UBE2L3</i>	Whole blood	4.68x10 <sup>-06</sup>

New associated SNPs found in our meta-analysis are shown in boldface: rs744600 and rs13101828 associated with Systemic Sclerosis, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, Rheumatoid Arthritis and idiopathic inflammatory myopathy; rs76246107 associated with Systemic Sclerosis, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and idiopathic inflammatory myopathy. \*Designates those SNPs where a physical interaction has been observed in Promoter Capture HiC data in relevant immune cells.



## 247 *Drug target enrichment analysis*

248 Genetic associations have the potential to improve the rates of success in the  
249 development of new therapies.[41] We assessed if the protein-products from disease  
250 associated eQTLs and their direct protein-protein interaction (PPI) partners were  
251 enriched with pharmacologically active targets (Table S6 and Table S7). We identified  
252 as eQTLs and PPIs 608 proteins for SSc, 630 for SLE, 632 for IIM, and 413 for RA,  
253 based on data on drugs at any stage of development collected from the Open Targets  
254 Platform (Table S8).[32] Using this information, we found for SSc that 23 out of 73  
255 (32%) proteins are targeted by drugs being studied for the disease (OR=16.80,  $p$ -  
256 value= $1.41 \times 10^{-18}$ ). Similarly, 7 out of 25 (28%) proteins related to IIM and 13 out of  
257 146 (9%) proteins related to SLE are addressed by drugs in consideration for IIM and  
258 SLE (OR=13.40,  $p$ -value= $4.62 \times 10^{-06}$ , OR=3.38,  $p$ -value= $2.85 \times 10^{-04}$ , respectively)  
259 (Table S9).

260

## 261 **Discussion**

262 In the present study we identified five unreported shared *loci* associated with  
263 systemic seropositive rheumatic IMIDs. This is the first large-scale meta-analysis,  
264 including more than 11,000 cases and 19,000 non-overlapping controls aiming to  
265 improve our knowledge regarding the genetic resemblances among these conditions.

266 Our results show that 85% of the associated variants were shared by at least  
267 three diseases. Interestingly, for several known RA susceptibility *loci* the contribution  
268 of RA was limited. In this case, most of the associated variants were independent to the  
269 ones previously reported. Among the new associated SNPs, the signals mapping to  
270 *NAB1*, *DGKQ* and *KPNA4-ARL14* were associated to all of the diseases under study.  
271 NAB proteins are known to interact with early growth response (EGR) family members

272 and act as corepressors induced by type I interferons (IFN).[42] The ‘IFN signature’–  
273 has been previously described in these diseases.[43-46] Interestingly, two IFN  
274 regulatory factors –*IRF5* and *IRF8*– previously associated to the conditions under study,  
275 were associated in the meta-analysis. Additionally, the associated SNP is an eQTL in  
276 lymphoblastoid cell line, which evidences its role in disease pathogenesis. The DGKQ  
277 protein mediates cell signal transduction and can indirectly enhance the epidermal  
278 growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling activity.[47] This pathway regulates cell  
279 proliferation and migration, and its expression is augmented in the vasculature of SSc  
280 patients with pulmonary involvement.[48] Moreover, the risk allele was associated with  
281 an increased expression of the gene in lymphocytes, fibroblasts and lung. In the same  
282 line, this gene was associated with Sjögren's syndrome, a related connective tissue  
283 disease.[49] The protein encoded by the gene *ARL14* is a GTPase involved in the  
284 recruitment of MHC class II containing vesicles and control the movement of dendritic  
285 cells (DCs) along the actin cytoskeleton.[50] The protein LIMK1 regulates many actin-  
286 dependent processes, including the assembly of the immune synapse between T cells  
287 and antigen presenting cells, an expected biological process involved in seropositive  
288 IMIDs. Remarkably, rs193107685 and rs112846137 interact physically with the  
289 promoters of the genes *LIMK1* and *ARL14*, respectively, in DCs (Figure S4). The gene  
290 *PRR12* has been previously associated with fibrinogen concentrations.[37] Fibrinogen is  
291 considered a high-risk marker for vascular inflammatory diseases and is considered an  
292 accurate predictor of cardiovascular diseases.[38, 51] Moreover, this molecule is an  
293 active player in the coagulation cascade, responsible for the spontaneous formation of  
294 fibrin fibrils. Cardiovascular events and fibrosis are the most life-threatening  
295 complications described in SSc, IIM, and SLE.[52-54]

296 The associated SNPs are highly enriched in functional categories in B and T  
297 cells, natural killer and monocytes, highlighting the relevance of these cells in systemic  
298 seropositive rheumatic IMIDs. Beyond whole blood, the skin is the other tissue with  
299 significant functional categories, which is not surprising given the nature of these  
300 connective tissue diseases. Moreover, epithelial cells could transdifferentiate into  
301 mesenchymal cells and eventually contribute in fibrotic processes.[55] Moreover, SSc  
302 patients are usually stratified according to the extent of skin involvement.[43] On the  
303 other hand, the histone modifications observed are consistent with the ones reported in  
304 previous studies, where histone hyperacetylation have been described in synovial tissues  
305 in RA, in B cells in SSc, and in CD4+ T cells in SLE.[40] Finally, the independent  
306 associated SNPs have significant eQTLs in relevant tissues (Table 3) and *in silico* data  
307 from promoter capture HiC experiments showed the potential mechanisms in which  
308 most eQTLs modulate gene expression. Interestingly, all new associated SNPs interact  
309 with the promoters of surrounding genes, suggesting them as putative candidates with a  
310 role in the pathophysiology of these conditions (Figure S4 and Table S5).

311 The prevalence of SSc, SLE, and IIM is low and there are no specific treatments  
312 for these diseases in comparison with RA; therefore, given our current knowledge on  
313 the use of genetic findings in drug target validation and drug repurposing, we evaluated  
314 if drugs currently indicated for RA had the potential to be used in any of the other  
315 IMIDs under study. Our meta-analysis revealed that ten *loci* overlap with known RA  
316 risk genes. For instance, the gene-product of *TYK2* is targeted directly by Tofacitinib,  
317 which inhibits janus kinases (<https://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB08895>) or indirectly  
318 through the interleukin 6 (IL-6) family signaling pathway by targeting the IL6 receptor  
319 with Tocilizumab (<https://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB06273>). Both drugs are currently  
320 indicated for moderate to severe RA patients who respond poorly to disease-modifying

321 anti-rheumatic drugs. As *TYK2* is associated with SSc, SLE and IIM, it is a good  
322 candidate for therapy repositioning in these diseases. As a proof of concept, Tofacitinib  
323 is currently on trial for SLE (clinical trial identifier NCT02535689), SSc  
324 (NCT03274076) and Dermatomyositis (NCT03002649). Overall, we found that five of  
325 the *loci* identified in our meta-analysis interact with 17 genes that are considered drug  
326 targets, six of which are used for the treatment of these diseases (Table 4). Another  
327 interesting candidate for drug repurposing is Imatinib, a kinase inhibitor that targets  
328 ABL1, which interacts with the gene product of BLK, a known locus associated with  
329 SSc and RA (Table 4). Imatinib is currently being tested for SSc (NCT00555581) and  
330 RA (NCT00154336).

Table 4. Summary of the plausible target gene products with drug indications in systemic IMIDs.

Associated SNP	Gene product	Association results <sup>a</sup>	Drugs <sup>b</sup>	Targets	Disease indication <sup>c</sup>
rs6659932	IL12RB2	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>	Canakinumab	IL1B	RA
			Anakinra	IL1R1	RA
			Tofacitinib	JAK kinases	RA
rs13389408	GLS	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>	Azathioprine	PPAT	RA, SLE
rs13101828	DGKQ	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>	Orlistat	LIPF	--
			Nintedanib	PDGFRB	SSc
			Dasatinib	BLK	--
rs2736337	FAM167A-BLK	<b>IIM, RA, SLE, SSc</b>	Imatinib	ABL1	--
			Osimertinib	EGFR	--
			Vandetanib	EPHA1	--
			Fingolimod	S1PR1	--
			Bosutinib	SRC	--
			Tofacitinib	JAK kinases	RA
			Tocilizumab	IL6R	RA
rs11085725	TYK2	<b>IIM, SLE, SSc</b>	Interferon Apha-2B	IFNAR1	--
			Idelalisib	PIK3CD	--
			Ruxolitinib	JAK1	--

<sup>a</sup>Based on our meta-analysis, diseases contributing to the observed association. The diseases where the association of this variant has never been reported before at genome-wide significance level are shown in boldface.

<sup>b</sup>Drugs from the OpenTarget platform with their corresponding target.

<sup>c</sup>Current indication of the reported drug. Non-immune mediated diseases were omitted.

SSc: Systemic sclerosis; IIM: Idiopathic inflammatory myopathy; SLE: Systemic lupus erythematosus; RA: Rheumatoid arthritis.

331 As compared to previous cross-phenotype studies of autoimmune diseases, our  
332 study has the strength of analyzing systemic seropositive rheumatic diseases, which is a  
333 consistent clinical phenotype than in the diseases investigated previously, where mixed  
334 seropositive and seronegative diseases were analyzed, and combining systemic and  
335 organ-specific diseases.[8, 9] The study of a more homogenous phenotype allowed us to  
336 determine that the type I IFN signaling pathway and its regulation play a more  
337 prominent role in these conditions than in others, based on the associations observed in  
338 *NAB1*, *TYK2*, *PTPN11*, *IRF5*, and *IRF8*. Additionally, we performed a genome-wide  
339 scan to identify shared genetic etiologies, as opposed to the study performed by  
340 Ellinghaus *et al.* whose analyses were limited to the 186 autoimmune disease-associated  
341 *loci* implemented in the ImmunoChip platform. The study performed by Li *et al.* –which  
342 was also a meta-analysis of GWAS data– was focused on pediatric autoimmune  
343 diseases, whereas our study was on a new combination of diseases in adult population.

344 In summary, this is the first study to investigate shared common genetic  
345 variation in four systemic seropositive rheumatic IMIDs in adults. We identified 26  
346 genome-wide significant independent *loci* associated with at least two diseases, of  
347 which five *loci* had not been reported before. The shared risk variants and their likely  
348 target genes are functionally enriched in relevant immune cells and significantly  
349 enriched in drug targets, indicating that it may assist drug repositioning among  
350 genetically related diseases based on genomics data.

351

352 **Competing interests**

353 The authors declare no competing interests.

354

355 **Contributorship**

356 Data providers: F.W.M., W.C., T.P.O, R.G.C., J.V., L.G.R., K.D., L.R.W., I.E.L., L.  
357 M.P., A.M.R., S.R.Y., A.S.O., T.R.R., D.A.I., H.C., W.E.R.O., P.S., B.P, A.L., J.A.L.,  
358 C.I.A., C.D., D.H.J., P.P., H.V., on behalf of the Myositis Genetics Consortium; O.G.,  
359 B.R., J.E.M., B.Z.A., R.P.M., M.J.C., M.C.V., A.E.V., A.J.S., J.C.B, P.L.C.M.R., R.S.,  
360 A.I., R.A.O., G.R., N.H., C.P.S., N.O.C., M.A.G.G, M.G.E., P.A., J.V.L., A.H., R.H.,  
361 V.S., F.D.K., F.H., M.M.C., R.M., P.S., R.W., A.K., H.K., E.D.B, T.W., L.K., L.B.,  
362 R.S., B.A.L., A.M.H.V., P.C., J.V., M.H., A.T.L., J.Y., Y.H., S.F.W., F.M.W., L.H.,  
363 J.L.N., S.K.A, S.A., P.G., F.K.T., B.P.C.K., F.C.A, on behalf of the Scleroderma  
364 Genetics Consortium; QC and imputation in the contributing studies: M.A.H., M.K.,  
365 D.G.S.; Functional and drug enrichment analysis: M.A.H., M.K.; Meta-analysis, tables  
366 and figures: M.A.H., M.K., D.G.S.; Drafting and approved version of the manuscript:  
367 M.A.H., M.K., D.G.S., C.W., A.F., P.K.G., L.P., J.W., T.V., M.E.A.R., M.D.M., J.M.,  
368 F.W.M., W.C., T.P.O, R.G.C., J.V., L.G.R., K.D., L.R.W., I.E.L., L. M.P., A.M.R.,  
369 S.R.Y., A.S.O., T.R.R., D.A.I., H.C., W.E.R.O., P.S., B.P, A.L., J.A.L., C.I.A., C.D.,  
370 D.H.J., P.P., H.V.; Study design and management: M.A.H., M.K., J.M.

371

372 **Acknowledgements**

373 We would like to thank Sofia Vargas, Sonia García, and Gema Robledo for their  
374 excellent technical assistance, as well as Dr. Carlos Flores, Dr. Maria Pino-Yanes, Dr.  
375 Elaine Remmers and Dr. Doug Bell for their outstanding advice. Additionally, we

376 would like to thank all the patients and healthy controls for their essential collaboration  
377 and the MYOGEN consortium for the provision of data. The results reported in this  
378 study were presented in the Genomics of Common Diseases 2017 conference and in  
379 EULAR 2018 (Acosta-Herrera M, Kerick M, González-Serna D *et al.* Ann Rheum Dis  
380 2018; 77 Supp 2).

381

## 382 **Funding**

383 Funded by EU/EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking  
384 PRECISESADS (115565), The Spanish Ministry of Economy Industry and  
385 Competitiveness (SAF2015-66761-P), The Regional Ministry of Innovation, Science  
386 and Technologies of the Andalusian Regional Government (P12-BIO-1395), and Juan  
387 de la Cierva fellowship (FJCI-2015-24028). This research was supported in part by the  
388 Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute of Environmental Health  
389 Sciences.

390

## 391 **Ethical approval information**

392 This study was conducted using available data included in previously published GWAS  
393 (Supplementary references 1-6).

394

## 395 **Data availability**

396 Summary statistics of the global meta-analysis generated and analyzed in the current  
397 study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

398

## 399 **Key messages**



400 - Systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis and idiopathic  
401 inflammatory myopathies are systemic seropositive rheumatic diseases that share  
402 symptoms, progressions, environmental risk factors, high rates of familial aggregation,  
403 and susceptibility genes, pointing to a shared genetic architecture.

404 - The assessment of a shared genetic component among these conditions has not been  
405 performed before in a systematic fashion.

406 - We have identified five new shared *loci* among systemic seropositive rheumatic  
407 immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. The rest of the observed associations  
408 constitute firm susceptibility genes in autoimmunity, providing validity to our findings.

409 - The associated variants are enriched in marks related to gene activation in immune  
410 cells and constitute shared expression quantitative trait *loci*.

411 - For most of these diseases there are no specific treatments, therefore, therapy  
412 repositioning could be possible among genetically related conditions.

413

414

415 **References**

- 416 1. Cho JH and Feldman M. Heterogeneity of autoimmune diseases:  
417 pathophysiologic insights from genetics and implications for new therapies. *Nat*  
418 *Med* 2015;21:730-738.
- 419 2. Zhernakova A, Withoff S, and Wijmenga C. Clinical implications of shared  
420 genetics and pathogenesis in autoimmune diseases. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*  
421 2013;9:646-659.
- 422 3. Zhernakova A, van Diemen CC, and Wijmenga C. Detecting shared  
423 pathogenesis from the shared genetics of immune-related diseases. *Nat Rev*  
424 *Genet* 2009;10:43-55.
- 425 4. Martin JE, Assassi S, Diaz-Gallo LM, *et al.* A systemic sclerosis and systemic  
426 lupus erythematosus pan-meta-GWAS reveals new shared susceptibility loci.  
427 *Hum Mol Genet* 2013;22:4021-4029.
- 428 5. Lopez-Isac E, Martin JE, Assassi S, *et al.* Cross-disease Meta-analysis of  
429 Genome-wide Association Studies for Systemic Sclerosis and Rheumatoid  
430 Arthritis Reveals IRF4 as a New Common Susceptibility Locus. *Arthritis*  
431 *Rheumatol* 2016;68:2338-2344.
- 432 6. Marquez A, Vidal-Bralo L, Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, *et al.* A combined large-  
433 scale meta-analysis identifies COG6 as a novel shared risk locus for rheumatoid  
434 arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:286-294.
- 435 7. Coenen MJ, Trynka G, Heskamp S, *et al.* Common and different genetic  
436 background for rheumatoid arthritis and coeliac disease. *Hum Mol Genet*  
437 2009;18:4195-4203.
- 438 8. Li YR, Li J, Zhao SD, *et al.* Meta-analysis of shared genetic architecture across  
439 ten pediatric autoimmune diseases. *Nat Med* 2015;21:1018-1027.

- 440 9. Ellinghaus D, Jostins L, Spain SL, *et al.* Analysis of five chronic inflammatory  
441 diseases identifies 27 new associations and highlights disease-specific patterns at  
442 shared loci. *Nat Genet* 2016;48:510-518.
- 443 10. Wallace B, Vummidi D, and Khanna D. Management of connective tissue  
444 diseases associated interstitial lung disease: a review of the published literature.  
445 *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2016;28:236-245.
- 446 11. Stahl EA, Raychaudhuri S, Remmers EF, *et al.* Genome-wide association study  
447 meta-analysis identifies seven new rheumatoid arthritis risk loci. *Nat Genet*  
448 2010;42:508-514.
- 449 12. Bentham J, Morris DL, Graham DSC, *et al.* Genetic association analyses  
450 implicate aberrant regulation of innate and adaptive immunity genes in the  
451 pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:1457-1464.
- 452 13. International Consortium for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus G, Harley JB,  
453 Alarcon-Riquelme ME, *et al.* Genome-wide association scan in women with  
454 systemic lupus erythematosus identifies susceptibility variants in ITGAM, PXX,  
455 KIAA1542 and other loci. *Nat Genet* 2008;40:204-210.
- 456 14. Dubois PC, Trynka G, Franke L, *et al.* Multiple common variants for celiac  
457 disease influencing immune gene expression. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:295-302.
- 458 15. Radstake TR, Gorlova O, Rueda B, *et al.* Genome-wide association study of  
459 systemic sclerosis identifies CD247 as a new susceptibility locus. *Nat Genet*  
460 2010;42:426-429.
- 461 16. Miller FW, Chen W, O'Hanlon TP, *et al.* Genome-wide association study  
462 identifies HLA 8.1 ancestral haplotype alleles as major genetic risk factors for  
463 myositis phenotypes. *Genes Immun* 2015;16:470-480.

- 464 17. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome  
465 association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*  
466 2007;81:559-575.
- 467 18. Das S, Forer L, Schonherr S, *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service  
468 and methods. *Nat Genet* 2016;48:1284-1287.
- 469 19. Delaneau O, Coulonges C, and Zagury JF. Shape-IT: new rapid and accurate  
470 algorithm for haplotype inference. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008;9:540.
- 471 20. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, *et al.* A reference panel of 64,976  
472 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet* 2016;48:1279-1283.
- 473 21. Kang HM. Efficient and parallelizable association container toolbox (EPACTS).  
474 <http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/EPACTS>
- 475 22. Han B and Eskin E. Random-effects model aimed at discovering associations in  
476 meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet*  
477 2011;88:586-598.
- 478 23. Yang J, Ferreira T, Morris AP, *et al.* Conditional and joint multiple-SNP  
479 analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing  
480 complex traits. *Nat Genet* 2012;44:369-375, S1-3.
- 481 24. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, *et al.* GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex  
482 trait analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;88:76-82.
- 483 25. Chelala C, Khan A, and Lemoine NR. SNPnexus: a web database for functional  
484 annotation of newly discovered and public domain single nucleotide  
485 polymorphisms. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25:655-661.
- 486 26. Yu W, Clyne M, Khoury MJ, *et al.* Phenopedia and Genopedia: disease-centered  
487 and gene-centered views of the evolving knowledge of human genetic  
488 associations. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:145-146.

- 489 27. Iotchkova V, Graham RSR, Geijs M, *et al.* GARFIELD - GWAS Analysis of  
490 Regulatory or Functional Information Enrichment with LD correction. 2016;  
491 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/085738>.
- 492 28. Ward LD and Kellis M. HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal  
493 variants, cell types, regulators and target genes for human complex traits and  
494 disease. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44:D877-881.
- 495 29. GTEx Consortium. Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx)  
496 pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* 2015;348:648-  
497 660.
- 498 30. Schofield EC, Carver T, Achuthan P, *et al.* CHiCP: a web-based tool for the  
499 integrative and interactive visualization of promoter capture Hi-C datasets.  
500 *Bioinformatics* 2016;32:2511-2513.
- 501 31. Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, *et al.* STRING v10: protein-protein  
502 interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res*  
503 2015;43:D447-452.
- 504 32. Koscielny G, An P, Carvalho-Silva D, *et al.* Open Targets: a platform for  
505 therapeutic target identification and validation. *Nucleic Acids Res*  
506 2017;45:D985-D994.
- 507 33. Mells GF, Floyd JA, Morley KI, *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies  
508 12 new susceptibility loci for primary biliary cirrhosis. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:329-  
509 332.
- 510 34. Cordell HJ, Han Y, Mells GF, *et al.* International genome-wide meta-analysis  
511 identifies new primary biliary cirrhosis risk loci and targetable pathogenic  
512 pathways. *Nat Commun* 2015;6:8019.

- 513 35. Senapati S, Singh S, Das M, *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of methotrexate  
514 pharmacogenomics in rheumatoid arthritis shows multiple novel risk variants  
515 and leads for TYMS regulation. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2014;24:211-219.
- 516 36. Dustin ML and Cooper JA. The immunological synapse and the actin  
517 cytoskeleton: molecular hardware for T cell signaling. *Nat Immunol* 2000;1:23-  
518 29.
- 519 37. de Vries PS, Chasman DI, Sabater-Lleal M, *et al.* A meta-analysis of 120 246  
520 individuals identifies 18 new loci for fibrinogen concentration. *Hum Mol Genet*  
521 2016;25:358-370.
- 522 38. Davalos D and Akassoglou K. Fibrinogen as a key regulator of inflammation in  
523 disease. *Semin Immunopathol* 2012;34:43-62.
- 524 39. Iotchkova V, Huang J, Morris JA, *et al.* Discovery and refinement of genetic  
525 loci associated with cardiometabolic risk using dense imputation maps. *Nat*  
526 *Genet* 2016;48:1303-1312.
- 527 40. Araki Y and Mimura T. The Histone Modification Code in the Pathogenesis of  
528 Autoimmune Diseases. *Mediators Inflamm* 2017;2017:2608605.
- 529 41. Nelson MR, Tipney H, Painter JL, *et al.* The support of human genetic evidence  
530 for approved drug indications. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:856-860.
- 531 42. Kearney SJ, Delgado C, Eshleman EM, *et al.* Type I IFNs downregulate  
532 myeloid cell IFN-gamma receptor by inducing recruitment of an early growth  
533 response 3/NGFI-A binding protein 1 complex that silences ifngr1 transcription.  
534 *J Immunol* 2013;191:3384-3392.
- 535 43. Bossini-Castillo L, Lopez-Isac E, and Martin J. Immunogenetics of systemic  
536 sclerosis: Defining heritability, functional variants and shared-autoimmunity  
537 pathways. *J Autoimmun* 2015;64:53-65.

- 538 44. Bezalel S, Guri KM, Elbirt D, *et al.* Type I interferon signature in systemic  
539 lupus erythematosus. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2014;16:246-249.
- 540 45. Wright HL, Thomas HB, Moots RJ, *et al.* Interferon gene expression signature  
541 in rheumatoid arthritis neutrophils correlates with a good response to TNFi  
542 therapy. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2015;54:188-193.
- 543 46. Lundberg IE and Helmers SB. The type I interferon system in idiopathic  
544 inflammatory myopathies. *Autoimmunity* 2010;43:239-243.
- 545 47. van Baal J, de Widt J, Divecha N, *et al.* Diacylglycerol kinase theta counteracts  
546 protein kinase C-mediated inactivation of the EGF receptor. *Int J Biochem Cell*  
547 *Biol* 2012;44:1791-1799.
- 548 48. Overbeek MJ, Boonstra A, Voskuyl AE, *et al.* Platelet-derived growth factor  
549 receptor-beta and epidermal growth factor receptor in pulmonary vasculature of  
550 systemic sclerosis-associated pulmonary arterial hypertension versus idiopathic  
551 pulmonary arterial hypertension and pulmonary veno-occlusive disease: a case-  
552 control study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2011;13:R61.
- 553 49. Lessard CJ, Li H, Adrianto I, *et al.* Variants at multiple loci implicated in both  
554 innate and adaptive immune responses are associated with Sjogren's syndrome.  
555 *Nat Genet* 2013;45:1284-1292.
- 556 50. Paul P, van den Hoorn T, Jongsma ML, *et al.* A Genome-wide multidimensional  
557 RNAi screen reveals pathways controlling MHC class II antigen presentation.  
558 *Cell* 2011;145:268-283.
- 559 51. Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, *et al.* C-  
560 reactive protein, fibrinogen, and cardiovascular disease prediction. *N Engl J Med*  
561 2012;367:1310-1320.

- 562 52. Krieg T, Abraham D, and Lafyatis R. Fibrosis in connective tissue disease: the  
563 role of the myofibroblast and fibroblast-epithelial cell interactions. *Arthritis Res*  
564 *Ther* 2007;9 Suppl 2:S4.
- 565 53. Lopez-Mejias R, Castaneda S, Gonzalez-Juanatey C, *et al.* Cardiovascular risk  
566 assessment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: The relevance of clinical,  
567 genetic and serological markers. *Autoimmun Rev* 2016;15:1013-1030.
- 568 54. Tselios K and Urowitz MB. Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Manifestations of  
569 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Curr Rheumatol Rev* 2017;13:206-218.
- 570 55. Lamouille S, Xu J, and Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-  
571 mesenchymal transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014;15:178-196.
- 572



573 **Figure Titles and Legends**

574

575 **Figure 1. Meta-analysis results for the four systemic immune-mediated**  
576 **inflammatory diseases (IMIDs).** The Manhattan plot displays the  $-\log_{10}$  transformed  
577  $p$ -values ( $y$ -axis) by position on each chromosome ( $x$ -axis). The red line depicts the  
578 genome-wide significance threshold ( $p$ -value= $5 \times 10^{-8}$ ). A total of 26 SNPs were  
579 independently associated with at least two systemic IMIDs. Most of the signals map to  
580 known susceptibility *loci* in autoimmunity (e.g. *PTPN22*, *STAT4*, *TNPO3*, *FAM167A*-  
581 *BLK*) and five *loci* have never been reported before.

582

583 **Figure 2. GARFIELD functional enrichment analyses in DHS hotspots.** The wheel  
584 plot shows functional enrichment in systemic IMIDs within DHS hotspot regions in  
585 ENCODE and Roadmap Epigenomics. The radial axis depicts the fold enrichment (FE)  
586 calculated at different meta-analysis  $p$ -value thresholds. The font size is proportional to  
587 the number of cell types from the tissue, mainly enriched in blood cell types including a  
588 repertoire of immune cell lines.

589

## 590 **Supplementary Figures**

591 **Figure S1.** Distribution of the observed and expected association  $p$ -values in each  
592 individual study that contributed to the meta-analysis. Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plots  
593 from: A) Systemic sclerosis case-control collections. B) Systemic Lupus  
594 Erythematosus. C) Rheumatoid Arthritis and D) Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies.

595

596 **Figure S2.** Non-Conditioned and conditioned analysis on the top associated variants  
597 from the meta-analysis. In panels where significant variants remained after  
598 conditioning, there are several independent variants in the region. In panels E, P, and Y  
599 the remaining independent variants were not significant in the meta-analysis.

600

601 **Figure S3.** Wheel plots from the functional enrichment analysis with GARFIELD at  
602 different thresholds of  $p$ -values from the meta-analysis. Functional categories from the  
603 ENCODE project and Roadmap Epigenomics.

604

605 **Figure S4.** Circular view of the interactions from the new shared risk SNPs with genes  
606 nearby obtained from Promoter Capture HiC data in relevant immune cell types.  
607 Interactions are displayed as connecting lines depending on the confidence of the  
608 interaction. Grey lines are below threshold in the tissue. Only genes with maximum  
609 interaction score are reported.