



Leyland, A. H. and Dundas, R. (2020) Declining cardiovascular mortality masks unpalatable inequalities. *Heart*, 106(1), pp. 6-7.
(doi:[10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315708](https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315708))

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/197255/>

Deposited on 24 September 2019

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of
Glasgow

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

Heart

Declining cardiovascular mortality masks unpalatable inequalities

Journal:	<i>Heart</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Article Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Leyland, Alastair; University of Glasgow, MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Dundas, Ruth; University of Glasgow, MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit
Keywords:	Coronary artery disease < DISEASES, Epidemiology < RESEARCH APPROACHES, Global health < RESEARCH APPROACHES
Abstract:	Cardiovascular disease mortality is falling across Europe, and is falling in most social groups. The impact of these declines on inequalities in cardiovascular mortality is, however, unclear. We project current mortality declines into the future and make the case that, under different scenarios, the inequalities that will be seen in the future are in general worse than at present and, as such, unacceptable. We argue that population level policy interventions on risk factors stand the best chance of reducing inequalities.

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Declining cardiovascular mortality masks unpalatable inequalities

Alastair H Leyland and Ruth Dundas

MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow

Mortality from cardiovascular disease is declining in many European countries, but they remain socially patterned. Di Girolamo and colleagues investigate how inequalities in cardiovascular mortality have changed in 12 countries since the 1990s.[1] In general they appear cautiously optimistic, describing trends in such inequalities as “favourable overall” whilst noting that further improvement is an important aspiration.

The paper raises an interesting (and old) question: which are more important, relative or absolute inequalities? The authors present both, which is good practice. It is not possible to summarise the distribution of mortality across social groups in a single number, no matter how much we might wish this to be the case. This fact is recognised by some policymakers; in Scotland, for example, the long term monitoring of health inequalities[2] includes publication of the relative index of inequality (detailing the magnitude of the inequality gradient), the absolute gap (the difference between groups at the extremes of the social spectrum), and the scale (indicating the magnitude of the problem).

To gauge the extent to which current trends should be regarded as favourable we can examine future mortality. Di Girolamo et al provide the means to project cardiovascular disease mortality rates by occupational class (based on their Supplementary Tables S7 and S10). In Table 1 we present various scenarios enabling us to look at potential future inequalities for upper non-manual employees and manual workers.

Firstly, if we assume that mortality rates continue with the *absolute* declines experienced between 1990-1994 and 2010-2014, based on the most recent reported rates the mortality rate in upper non-manual employees will fall to zero in most countries between 4 and 16 years. (The exception is Lithuania where the very slow decline seen between 2000-2004 and 2010-2014 of under 1 per 100,000 means that it would take nearly 400 years to fall to zero.) In Italy (Turin) the mortality rate for manual workers will fall to zero at about the same time as for upper non-manual employees; in other countries it will take 2-6 years longer for the rate in manual workers to fall to zero than for upper non-manual employees. (The exception is again Lithuania, where an increasing mortality rate among manual workers means that its projection will never reach zero.) Although these are not big differences, it means that there are periods during which cardiovascular disease between 35 and 64 years becomes a disease of the poor and therefore relative inequalities – measured using a simple ratio of the mortality rates in the two groups – are infinite.

Under a potentially more realistic scenario we assume that the *relative* declines in mortality experienced between 1990-1994 and 2010-2014 will continue. By 2020-2024 absolute inequalities (the difference between the two groups) will have decreased in every country apart from Lithuania, the difference falling to as little as 10 or 15 per 100,000 in Italy (Turin) and Austria respectively. Relative inequalities, however, will increase in every country apart from Italy (Turin). In Finland, for example, the mortality rate in manual workers will be more than three times that in upper non-manual employees.

What do these future scenarios tell us about the importance of absolute and relative inequalities, and of progress towards the reduction of inequalities? Whatever the absolute level of reduction, a disease becoming the sole preserve of the more disadvantaged is not a desirable situation and

1
2 does not suggest progress. On the other hand, faster relative reductions in the more advantaged
3 groups mean that it will take longer for the mortality rate of the disadvantaged group to fall by a
4 fixed proportion. Again, if the mortality rate among upper non-manual employees in Finland will
5 halve in 14 years while it will take 19 years for the mortality rate to halve among manual workers –
6 and that from a considerably higher starting point – then it is difficult to describe this as progress in
7 reducing inequalities.
8
9

10 So continuing down the same path is unlikely to lead to a favourable situation in most countries.
11 Something needs to change if relative inequalities are to decrease. Recent declines in mortality
12 from coronary heart disease in Scotland are almost equally attributable to improvements in medical
13 treatment and reductions in risk factors.[3] It is not enough for these to be experienced equally
14 across social groups if we are to see inequalities fall; future declines in mortality must be more
15 pronounced among the more disadvantaged. An effective and fair health system will be responsive
16 to the needs of its population regardless of social circumstances; perhaps the greatest opportunity
17 for medical care to reduce inequalities is if more disadvantaged groups are encouraged to seek
18 healthcare earlier in the progress of the disease. The greatest opportunity to reduce inequalities,
19 however, must be through the modification of lifestyle risk factors including smoking, alcohol
20 consumption, diet and physical activity. These can bring about rapid change,[4] show strong social
21 patterning and are amenable to population wide intervention such as through policy.[5,6]
22
23
24

25 We know that the most successful preventive measures (and those most likely to reduce
26 inequalities) are those that change environments at the whole population level rather than
27 individual behaviours.[7] Using tobacco reduction as an example, it was known for many years that
28 smoking was detrimental to health but, despite many individual behaviour change interventions
29 delivered through the health service, smoking prevalence remained high, and higher among more
30 disadvantaged groups. It was not until smoking bans in public places were introduced, which
31 impacted at a population level and on everyone in the population equally, that larger reductions in
32 smoking prevalence and subsequent mortality from smoking related causes were seen.[8]
33
34
35

36 But even risk factor reductions have the potential to disappoint. We have seen, for example, the
37 levels of alcohol-related harms to be greater in more disadvantaged groups at given levels of
38 alcohol consumption.[9] Such effect modification means that an even greater reduction in risk
39 factors in the more disadvantaged groups will be needed to produce the larger reduction in
40 mortality rate needed to decrease inequalities.
41
42

43 Cardiovascular disease contributes substantially to overall inequalities in mortality, and as such
44 reducing inequalities in cardiovascular mortality is an important part of the fight against inequalities.
45 Di Girolamo et al show that cardiovascular mortality has reduced substantially over the past 20
46 years, but remains strongly patterned such that faster declines are seen among the better off. If the
47 current trends continue, without any additional interventions in the more disadvantaged groups, it
48 will take between 8 and 28 years in most countries for cardiovascular disease mortality among
49 manual workers to fall to the levels currently experienced by upper non-manual employees. Is this
50 fair?
51
52
53
54

55 Acknowledgement

56
57 The Social and Public Health Sciences Unit is funded by the Medical Research Council
58 (MC_UU_12017/13) and the Scottish Government Chief Scientist Office (SPHSU13).
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 [1] Di Girolamo C, Nusselder W, Bopp M, Brønnum-Hansen H, Costa G, Kovacs K et al. Progress
5 in reducing inequalities in cardiovascular disease mortality in Europe. Heart xxxx.
6
7 [2] Scottish Government. Long-term monitoring of health inequalities. December 2018 report.
8 Edinburgh: Scottish Government; 2018. Available from: [https://www.gov.scot/publications/long-](https://www.gov.scot/publications/long-term-monitoring-health-inequalities-december-2018-report/)
9 [term-monitoring-health-inequalities-december-2018-report/](https://www.gov.scot/publications/long-term-monitoring-health-inequalities-december-2018-report/)
10
11 [3] Hotchkiss JW, Davies CA, Dundas R, Hawkins N, Jhund PS, Scholes S et al. Explaining trends
12 in Scottish coronary heart disease mortality between 2000 and 2010 using IMPACT_{SEC} model:
13 retrospective analysis using routine data. BMJ 2014; 348:g1088.
14
15 [4] Capewell S, O'Flaherty M. Rapid mortality falls after risk-factor changes in populations. Lancet
16 2011; 378:752-753.
17
18 [5] Marmot M, Bell R. Social determinants and non-communicable diseases: time for integrated
19 action. BMJ 2019; 364:l251.
20
21 [6] Marteau TM, White M, Rutter H, Petticrew M, Mytton OT, McGowan JG, Aldridge RW.
22 Increasing healthy life expectancy equitably in England by 5 years by 2035: could it be achieved?
23 Lancet 2019; 393:2571-2573.
24
25 [7] Capewell S, Capewell A. An effectiveness hierarchy of preventive interventions: neglected
26 paradigm or self-evident truth? Journal of Public Health 2017; 40:350-358.
27
28 [8] Lee PN, Fry JS, Thornton AJ. Updating the evidence relating smoking bans to incidence of
29 heart disease. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 2019; 101:172-186.
30
31 [9] Katikireddi SV, Whitley E, Lewsey J, Gray L, Leyland AH. Socioeconomic status as an effect
32 modifier of alcohol consumption and harm: an analysis of linked cohort data. Lancet Public Health
33 2017; 2:e267-e276.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

		Mortality 2010-14 ^a	Absolute annualised change	Relative annualised change	Absolute change projected		Relative change projected			
					Years to zero ^c	Difference ^d	Projected mortality 2020-24	Years to fall 50% ^e	Difference ^d	Years to fall to UNM ^f
Finland	Upper non-manual	69.7	-5.7	-4.8	12.2	6.5	42.6	14.1	4.8	0
	Manual	192.8	-10.3	-3.6	18.7		133.6	18.9		27.8
Denmark	Upper non-manual	49.6	-4.5	-5.5	11.0	5.0	28.2	12.3	3.5	0
	Manual	108.7	-6.8	-4.3	16.0		70.0	15.8		17.9
England & Wales	Upper non-manual	59.6	-7.8	-6.5	7.6	2.3	30.4	10.3	1.7	0
	Manual	103.6	-10.4	-5.6	10.0		58.2	12.0		9.6
Austria	Upper non-manual	53.5	-3.3	-3.9	16.2	2.5	35.9	17.4	1.5	0
	Manual	73	-3.9	-3.6	18.7		50.6	18.9		8.5
Switzerland	Upper non-manual	50.6	-3.7	-4.5	13.7	4.4	31.9	15.1	3.3	0
	Manual	114.1	-6.3	-3.7	18.1		78.3	18.4		21.6
Italy (Turin)	Upper non-manual	56.6 ^b	-6.6	-3.8	3.6	-0.4	31.7	17.9	-1.3	0
	Manual	77.7 ^b	-9.5	-4.1	3.2		41.5	16.6		2.6
Estonia	Upper non-manual	149	-13.3	-6	11.2	5.9	80.3	11.2	4.2	0
	Manual	354.4	-20.7	-4.4	17.1		226.0	15.4		19.3
Lithuania	Upper non-manual	271.4	-0.7	-0.3	387.7	-	263.4	230.7	-	0
	Manual	547.5	0.4	0.1	-		553.0	-		-

Table 1. Projected scenarios for upper non-manual employees and manual workers under assumptions that absolute or relative changes in cardiovascular disease mortality are maintained

a Age standardised cardiovascular disease mortality rates per 100,000 person years, men, 35-64 years

b Rates for Turin relate to 2005-09

c Number of years taken from 2010-14 for mortality rate to fall to zero, assuming absolute annualised changes persist

d Additional years required for manual workers over upper non-manual employees

e Number of years taken from 2010-14 for mortality rate to fall by 50%, assuming relative annualised changes persist

f Number of years taken from 2010-14 for mortality rate to fall to that experienced by upper non-manual employees in 2010-14