

Awareness of olfactory impairment in a cohort of patients with *CNGB1*-associated retinitis pigmentosa

Farid Afshar FRCOphth¹

Gavin Arno PhD^{1,2}

Rola Ba-Abbad PhD^{1,2}

Simona Degli Esposti MD¹

Michel Michaelides MD(Res), FRCOphth^{1,2}

Andrew R. Webster MD(Res), FRCOphth^{1,2}

Omar A. Mahroo PhD, FRCOphth¹⁻⁴

1. Genetics Service, Moorfields Eye Hospital, London, UK
2. Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, UK
3. Section of Ophthalmology, King's College London, St Thomas' Hospital Campus, London UK
4. Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge UK

Correspondence to Omar Mahroo at address (2) above. Email: o.mahroo@ucl.ac.uk

Word count: 527 words

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

The *CNGB1* gene encodes a protein involved in both photoreceptor and olfactory signal transduction. Patients with retinitis pigmentosa (RP) due to bi-allelic variants in this gene were previously reported to describe no olfactory problems.^{1,2} A subsequent quantitative study found objectively reduced olfactory function in 8 of 9 patients tested (89%), with 3 patients (33%) aware of their olfactory impairment.³ Variants can occur in the N-terminal glutamic acid-rich protein (GARP) domain or in the channel domain. The GARP domain is important in rod photoreceptors, but its role in olfactory neurons is less clear; an alternatively spliced variant (*CNGB1b*), lacking this domain, is expressed in olfactory neurons in rats.⁴ We investigated awareness of olfactory problems in our molecularly characterised cohort, and explored in which domain variants occurred.

The electronic inherited retinal disease database of Moorfields Eye Hospital was searched for patients with a molecularly confirmed diagnosis of *CNGB1*-associated RP. Patients were asked (by telephone or during clinic visits) whether they were aware of problems with their sense of smell. Disease-causing variants were classified by occurrence within the GARP or channel domain of the protein. It was hypothesised that patients with both variants in the channel domain might be more likely to be aware of olfactory impairment (as a GARP domain change would not affect translation of a splice variant that lacked this domain).

Nineteen affected patients (mean (SD) age 60 (11) years; 11 females) from 18 families were identified. Fifteen patients (79%) were successfully contacted (mean (SD) age 61 (11) years; 9 females). Of these, six (40%) reported reduced or absent sense of smell; the remainder reported no problems. Mean age and sex distribution did not differ between those with or without awareness of olfactory impairment. Table 1 summarises patient characteristics, including causative variants, and their responses. The majority of variants have been previously reported.^{3,5} The novel variants all had a frequency in the gnomAD database of less than 0.0002 and were predicted to be disease-causing.

Seven out of the 15 patients had both disease-causing variants occurring in the channel domain. Of these, 5 reported reduced or absent sense of smell. Of the 8 remaining patients (who had at least one disease-causing variant in the GARP domain), only one was aware of a reduced sense of smell. The difference in proportions was significant ($p=0.04$, Fisher exact test, two-tailed). If combined with the subjective reports of olfactory impairment in the previous study of 9 patients (only one of whom had a variant in the GARP domain),³ the difference in proportions remained significant ($p=0.03$).

Our findings confirm that a substantial proportion of patients with *CNGB1*-associated RP report reduced or absent sense of smell when specifically questioned. In our cohort, patients with at least one variant in the GARP domain were less likely to report olfactory impairment, suggesting that this domain might be less important in olfaction than in photoreceptor function. Limitations of our study include reliance on subjective awareness of olfactory impairment; it is possible that objective quantitative assessment of olfaction would reveal more individuals with hyposmia. RP has hundreds of associated genes; awareness of specific non-ocular features associated with certain genotypes can assist in guiding gene testing or its interpretation.

References

1. Bareil C, Hamel CP, Delague V, Arnaud B, Demaille J, Claustres M. Segregation of a mutation in *CNGB1* encoding the beta-subunit of the rod cGMP-gated channel in a family with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. *Hum Genet.* 2001 Apr;108(4):328-34.
2. Fradin M, Colin E, Hannouche-Bared D, Audo I, Sahel JA, Biskup S, Carré W, Ziegler A, Wilhelm C, Guichet A, Odent S, Bonneau D. Run of homozygosity analysis reveals a novel nonsense variant of the *CNGB1* gene involved in retinitis pigmentosa 45. *Ophthalmic Genet.* 2016 Sep;37(3):357-9. doi: 10.3109/13816810.2015.1087578. Epub 2016 Feb 22.

3. Charbel Issa P, Reuter P, Kühlewein L, Birtel J, Gliem M, Tropitzsch A, Whitcroft KL, Bolz HJ, Ishihara K, MacLaren RE, Downes SM, Oishi A, Zrenner E, Kohl S, Hummel T. Olfactory Dysfunction in Patients With CNGB1-Associated Retinitis Pigmentosa. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2018 Jul 1;136(7):761-769. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.1621.
4. Bönigk W, Bradley J, Müller F, Sesti F, Boekhoff I, Ronnett GV, Kaupp UB, Frings S. The native rat olfactory cyclic nucleotide-gated channel is composed of three distinct subunits. *J Neurosci.* 1999 Jul 1;19(13):5332-47.
5. Ba-Abbad R, Holder GE, Robson AG, Neveu MM, Waseem N, Arno G, Webster AR. Isolated rod dysfunction associated with a novel genotype of CNGB1. *Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep.* 2019 Mar 19;14:83-86. doi: 10.1016/j.ajoc.2019.03.004. eCollection 2019 Jun.

Table Legend

Table 1. Demographics, molecular changes and subjective perceived impairment in sense of smell for the

patients included in the present study. Patients 14 and 15 are siblings. The transcript ID for variant

annotation is NM_001297.4. The following variants have not been previously reported in the context of

CNGB1-associated retinitis pigmentosa (frequency in the gnomAD database,

<https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org>, accessed 6 May 2019, of each allele is also given): p.(Gln116*), 4.02×10^{-6} ;

p.(Glu577Serfs*6), not found in gnomAD; p.(Arg762His), 1.61×10^{-5} ; p.(Gly847Glu), 8.01×10^{-6} ; p.(Tyr892*), not

found in gnomAD; p.(Glu994*), not found in gnomAD. All were predicted to be disease causing

(<http://www.mutationtaster.org/>, accessed 6 May 2019). c.534+1G>A affects a splice donor site, and has a

frequency in gnomAD of 3.63×10^{-5} . Exon 28 to 29 deletion is not found in gnomAD.

Patient number	Age (years)	Sex	Variant 1		Variant 2		Both variants in channel domain?	Subjective sense of smell
			Base change	Effect	Base change	Effect		
1	50	M	c.2777-?_2958+?del (Exon 28-29 deletion)	p.?	c.2777-?_2958+?del (Exon 28-29 deletion)	p.?	Yes	Absent
2	45	F	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	Yes	Normal
3	68	M	c.2285G>A	p.(Arg762His)	c.2285G>A	p.(Arg762His)	Yes	Normal
4	53	F	c.2544dupG	p.(Leu849Alafs*3)	c.2544dupG	p.(Leu849Alafs*3)	Yes	Reduced
5	64	F	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	c.2544dupG	p.(Leu849Alafs*3)	Yes	Reduced
6	56	M	c.1729delG	p.(Glu577Serfs*6)	c.1729delG	p.(Glu577Serfs*6)	Yes	Reduced
7	71	F	c.2676C>A	p.(Tyr892*)	c.2980G>T	p.(Glu994*)	Yes	Reduced
8	68	F	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	c.534+1G>A	Splice defect	No	Normal
9	42	M	c.2544dupG	p.(Leu849Alafs*3)	c.262C>T	p.(Gln88*)	No	Normal
10	82	M	c.2540G>A	p.(Gly847Glu)	c.346C>T	p.(Gln116*)	No	Normal
11	59	F	c.262C>T	p.(Gln88*)	c.664C>T	p.(Gln222*)	No	Normal
12	67	F	c.2258T>A	p.(Leu753*)	c.807G>C	p.(Gln269His)	No	Normal
13	63	F	c.413-1G>A	Splice defect	c.413-1G>A	Splice defect	No	Absent
14	69	F	c.952C>T	p.(Gln318*)	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	No	Normal
15	64	M	c.952C>T	p.(Gln318*)	c.2957A>T	p.(Asn986Ile)	No	Normal