Defect processes of M3AlC2 (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti) MAX phases

Christopoulos, S, Kelaidis, N & Chroneos, A

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

Original citation & hyperlink:

Christopoulos, S, Kelaidis, N & Chroneos, A 2017, 'Defect processes of M3AlC2 (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti) MAX phases' *Solid State Communications*, vol (in press), pp. (in press) <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2017.06.001</u>

DOI 10.1016/j.ssc.2017.06.001 ISSN 0038-1098 ESSN 1879-2766

Publisher: Elsevier

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Solid State Communications. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Solid State Communications, [(in press), (2017)] DOI: 10.1016/j.ssc.2017.06.001

© 2017, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Defect processes of M_3AlC_2 (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti) MAX phases

S.-R.G. Christopoulos, N. Kelaidis, A. Chroneos



PII:S0038-1098(17)30187-4DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2017.06.001Reference:SSC13212

To appear in: Solid State Communications

Received date: 19 April 2017 Accepted date: 7 June 2017

Cite this article as: S.-R.G. Christopoulos, N. Kelaidis and A. Chroneos, Defec processes of M_3AlC_2 (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti) MAX phases, *Solid Stat Communications*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2017.06.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

Defect processes of M_3AlC_2 (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti) MAX phases

S.-R. G. Christopoulos,¹ N. Kelaidis,¹ A. Chroneos,^{1,2,a)}

 ¹Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry University, Priory Street, Coventry CV1 5FB, United Kingdom
²Centre for Nuclear Engineering (CNE) & Department of Materials, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

a) Corresponding author email: alexander.chroneos@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

The interest on the $M_{n+1}AX_n$ phases (M = early transition metal; A = group 13-16 element and X = C and/or N) stems from their combination of advantageous metallic and ceramic properties. Aluminium containing 312 MAX phases in particular are deemed to enhance high-temperature oxidation resistance. In the present study, we use density functional theory calculations to study the intrinsic defect processes of M₃AlC₂ MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti). The calculations reveal that Ti₃AlC₂ is the more radiation tolerant 312 MAX phase considered here. In Ti₃AlC₂ the carbon Frenkel reaction is the lowest energy defect process with 3.17 eV. Results are discussed in view of recent experimental and theoretical results of related systems.

Keywords: MAX phases; DFT

^{a)}Electronic mail: ab8104@coventry.ac.uk

Introduction

Nowotny¹ investigated the $M_{n+1}AX_n$ phases (n = integer, M = early transition metal; A = group 13-16 element and X = C or N) phases nearly five decades ago, however, the more recent studies of Barsoum *et al.*^{2,3} effectively generated the mainstream interest of the community in this system. The study of Ti₃SiC₂ and the determination of its excellent metallic and ceramic properties (good machinability, high melting temperature high thermal shock resistance, high elastic stiffness, high thermal and electrical conductivity) motivated the synthesis of numerous MAX phases and the consideration of their use in diverse fields such as in-core nuclear, aerospace and automotive applications.²⁻⁵ These metallic and ceramic properties are interlinked to the crystal structure of the MAX phases that consists of the stacking of *n* "ceramic" layer(s) interleaved by an A "metallic" layer (refer to Fig. 1).²⁻⁵

 $M_{n+1}AX_n$ phases have the $P6_3/mmc$ space group (no. 194)^{1,2} and are characterized by the highly symmetric unit cell. Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the 312 MAX phase, where it can be seen that the atomic layers stacked along the *c*-direction, whereas the M layers in essence enclose an X layer forming an M₂X slab (face-centred-cubic-type stacking sequence).^{1,2} These slabs are separated by the A layers, with the stacking around the A layers having an HCP pattern.^{1,2}

A key aluminium containing 312 MAX phase that motivated their investigation is Ti_3AlC_2 . This is due to its good oxidation resistance in air, which is achieved via the formation of a passivating Al_2O_3 outer layer⁶⁻⁸. These properties constitute MAX phases as candidates for passive safety protection of nuclear fuel cladding⁹⁻¹⁴ and have triggered a number of irradiation studies which have provided ample evidence of high radiation

tolerance and resistance to amorphization.^{15,16} Many studies were performed to examine various MAX phases, often comparing with the important Al-containing and Si-containing phases Ti_3AlC_2 and Ti_3SiC_2 respectively.¹⁷

The aim of the present investigation is to determine which intrinsic defect processes is energetically favourable in M_3AlC_2 MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti). The results are compared to recent studies in related systems and are assessed in view of the potential applicability of these materials in radiation environments.

Methodology

The plane wave DFT code CASTEP,^{18,19} was employed for all the calculations, the exchange and correlation interactions were described by the corrected density functional of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof $(PBE)^{20}$ in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and in conjunction with ultrasoft pseudopotentials.²¹ The DFT calculations involved 108-atomic site supercell under constant pressure conditions, with a plane wave basis cut-off of 450 eV and a 3 x 3 x 1 Monkhorst-Pack (MP)²² k-point grid. To identify the lowest energy interstitial sites we performed a comprehensive search.

Results and discussion

A. Frenkel defect formation

Calculating the energies of Frenkel defects is significant (particularly for nuclear applications) as a low Frenkel pair formation energy is typically associated with a higher concentration of persistent defects. These have the potential to lead to the loss of the

crystal structure. The Frenkel reactions in Kröger–Vink notation are given by (in this notation V_X and X_i represent an X vacancy site and an X interstitial defect respectively)²³

$$M_M \to V_M + M_i \tag{1}$$

$$A_A \rightarrow V_A + A_i \tag{2}$$

$$X_X \to V_X + X_i \tag{3}$$

Previous studies have illustrated that in 312 MAX phases there are numerous possible interstitial sites.^{9,14} Table 1 reports the energetically favourable interstitial sites for the MAX phases considered here.

B. Antisite defect formation

Antisite defects can form in significant concentrations in a radiation environment as the non-equilibrium point defects formed via radiation can either recombine or occupy alternative lattice site forming antisites.^{9,24} From a physical viewpoint low energy antisite formation energies indicate that a significant proportion of residual defects will remain in the host lattice.^{9,25} In a MAX phase the antisite formation mechanisms are:

$$M_{\rm M} + A_{\rm A} \longrightarrow M_{\rm A} + A_{\rm M} \tag{4}$$

$$M_M + X_X \to M_X + X_M \tag{5}$$

$$A_A + X_X \to A_X + X_A \tag{6}$$

C. Interaction of interstitials with vacancies

For the interstitial defects that form in the M layer the association with V_M is described via:

$$A_i + V_M \to A_M \tag{7}$$

$$X_i + V_M \to X_M \tag{8}$$

For interstitial defects forming in the A layer:

$$M_i + V_A \to M_A \tag{9}$$

$$X_i + V_A \to X_A \tag{10}$$

For interstitial defects forming in the X layer:

$$M_i + V_X \to M_X \tag{11}$$

$$A_i + V_X \to A_X \tag{12}$$

Through relations 7-12 it can be assessed whether interstitial defects will recombine with vacancies to produce antisite defects or remain as isolated defects.

D. Displacement of lattice atoms by interstitials

In a radiation environment there is an overstoichiometry of point defects such as interstitials, which can in turn lead to the formation of antisite defects (refer to relations 13-18 below):

$$M_i + A_A \to M_A + A_i \tag{13}$$

$$M_i + X_X \to M_X + X_i \tag{14}$$

$$A_i + M_M \to A_M + M_i \tag{15}$$

$$A_i + X_X \to A_X + X_i \tag{16}$$

$$X_i + M_M \to X_M + M_i \tag{17}$$

$$X_i + A_A \to X_A + A_i \tag{18}$$

E. Implications of defect processes

The radiation performance of materials is a measure of the difficulty to form and

accommodate point defects under irradiation conditions.²⁴ The formation and accommodation of defects can be of importance for the material stability as an accumulation of point defects may lead to its destabilization via volume changes and microcracking.^{24,26,27} It has been established that displacive radiation can lead to an athermal concentration of Frenkel (and antisite) defects and in this framework the radiation tolerance of a material will depend upon its resistance (i.e. high Frenkel and antisite defect energies) to form persistent populations of Frenkel (and antisite) defects.²⁴

On the basis of the defect processes considered here using DFT (refer to Table 1) it can be concluded that Ti_3AlC_2 is the more radiation tolerant 312 MAX phase considered here. The lowest energy intrinsic defect mechanism (relation 3, carbon Frenkel reaction with 3.17 eV) in Ti_3SnC_2 is higher in energy as compared to the lowest energy intrinsic defect mechanisms of V_3AlC_2 , Zr_3AlC_2 and Ta_3AlC_2 . In essence, under irradiation conditions there will be fewer point defects formed in Ti_3AlC_2 as compared to the other materials considered here.

Considering in more detail the defect reactions it may be concluded that the antisite defect formation reactions 5 & 6 are never of importance. Conversely, the reaction 4 with a range of energies 2.71 - 3.85 eV may play a role in an irradiated material. This will essentially imply that M_{Al} and Al_M antisites will form.

The interaction of interstitials with vacancies (relations 7-12) reveal that Al_i will readily interact with V_M to form Al_M (reaction 7) and that M_i will interact with V_{Al} to form M_{Al} (reaction 9). This is not the case though for reaction 10 and therefore C_{Al} antisites should not be expected to form via this route. Interestingly, reaction 8 reveals that antisite C_M may form in V_3AlC_2 and Ti_3AlC_2 but not in Zr_3AlC_2 and Ta_3AlC_2 .

Regarding M_C antisites they form only in V_3AlC_2 and not in the other 312 MAX considered here (refer to reaction 11). Finally, the displacement of lattice atoms by interstitials is deemed energetically unfavourable apart from the reaction $Zr_i + Al_{Al} \rightarrow$ $Zr_{Al} + Al_i$ in Zr_3AlC_2 .

Summary

In the present study we employed DFT calculations to calculate the energetics of the intrinsic defect processes of M_3AlC_2 MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti). For the MAX phases considered here the dominant intrinsic disorder mechanism was calculated to be the Frenkel reaction (C-Frenkel for Ti₃AlC₂ and Ta₃AlC₂; Al-Frenkel for Zr₃AlC₂) and antisite (relation 4 for V₃AlC₂ with C-Frenkel being only 0.02 eV higher). Apart from the antisite mechanism relation 4 which is within 0.1 eV of the dominant C Frenkel reaction for Ti₃AlC₂ other intrinsic defect processes are of lesser importance. The higher intrinsic defect energy for Ti₃AlC₂ implies its superior radiation tolerance as compared to the other 312 MAX phases considered here. Future work needs to consider the impact of point defect concentration on the lattice stability as this may impact the ability of the MAX phase to amorphize under a radiation environment. Finally, diffusion of point defects will play a role as for example the migration energy barriers of self-interstitials and vacancies to diffuse in the lattice and annihilate will need to be determined.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for funding from the Lloyd's Register Foundation, a charitable foundation helping to protect life and property by supporting engineering-related education, public engagement and the application of research.

Accepted manuscript

References

1. Nowotny H. Strukturchemie einiger verbindungen der ubergangsmetalle mit den elementen C, Si, Ge, Sn. *Prog. Solid State Chem.* **2**, 27-70 (1970).

2. Barsoum, M. W. & Radovic, M. Elastic and mechanical properties of the MAX Phases. *Ann. Rev. Mater. Res.* **41**, 195-227 (2011).

3. Barsoum, M. W. & El-Raghy, T. Synthesis and characterization of a remarkable ceramic: Ti₃SiC₂. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **79**, 1953-1956 (1996).

4. Barsoum, M. W. Brodkin, D. & El-Raghy, T. Layered machinable ceramics for high temperature applications. *Scr. Met. Mater.* **36**, 535-541 (1997).

5. Barsoum, M. W., Yaroschuck, B. G. & Tyagi, S. Fabrication and characterization of M_2 SnC (M = Ti, Zr, Hf and Nb). *Scr. Met. Mater.* **37**, 1583-1591 (1997).

6. Lin, Z. J., Li, M. S., Wang, J. Y. & Zhou, Y. C. High-temperature oxidation and hot corrosion of Cr₂AlC. *Acta Mater.* **55**, 6182-6191 (2007).

7. Basu, S., Obando, N., Gowdy, A., Karaman, I. & Radovic, M. Long-term oxidation of Ti₂AlC in air and water vapour at 1000-1300 °C temperature range. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **159**, C90-C96 (2012).

8. Tallman, D. J., Anasori, B. & Barsoum, M. W. A critical review of the oxidation of Ti_2AlC , Ti_3AlC_2 and Cr_2AlC in air. *Mater. Res. Lett.* **1**, 115-125 (2013).

9. Middleburgh, S. C., Lumpkin, G. R. & Riley, D. Accommodation, accumulation, and migration of defects in Ti_3SiC_2 and Ti_3AlC_2 MAX phases. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **96**, 3196-3201 (2013).

10. Horlait, D., Grasso, S., Chroneos, A. & Lee, W.E. Attempts to synthesise quaternary MAX phases $(Zr,M)_2AlC$ and $Zr_2(Al,A)C$ as a way to approach Zr_2AlC . *Mater. Res. Lett.* **4**, 137-144 (2016).

11. Horlait, D., Grasso, S., Al Nasiri, N., Burr, P.A. & Lee, W.E. Synthesis and high-temperature oxidation of MAX phases in the Cr-Ti-Al-C quaternary system. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **99**, 682-690 (2016).

12. Horlait, D., Middleburgh, S. C., Chroneos, A. & Lee, W.E. Synthesis and DFT investigation of new bismuth-containing MAX phases. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 18829 (2016).

13. Lapauw, T., *et al.* Synthesis of the novel Zr₃AlC₂ MAX phase. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **36**, 943-947 (2016).

14. E. Zapata-Solvas, S.-R. G. Christopoulos, N. Ni, D. C. Parfitt, D. Horlait, M. E. Fitzpatrick, A. Chroneos, and W. E. Lee, Experimental synthesis and density functional theory investigation of radiation tolerance of $Zr_3(Al_{1-x}Si_x)C_2$ MAX phases, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. DOI: 10.1111/jace.14742

15. E.N. Hoffman, D.W. Vinson, R.L. Sindelar, D.J. Tallman, G. Kohse, M.W. Barsoum, MAX Phase Carbides and Nitrides: Properties for future nuclear power plant in-core applications and neutron transmutation analysis

16. Clark D.W., Zinkle S.J., Patel M.K., Parish C.M., High temperature ion irradiation effects in MAX phases ceramics, Acta Materialia 105 (2016) 130-146.

17. Qing Huang, Renduo Liu, Guanhjong Lei, Hefei Huang, Jianjian Li, Suixia He, Dehui Li, Long Yan, Jie Zhou, Qing Huan, Irradiation resistance of MAX phases Ti3SiC2 and Ti3AlC2: Characterization and Comparison

18. Payne, M. C., Teter, M. P., Allan, D. C., Arias, T. A. & Joannopoulos, J. D. Iterative minimization techniques for *ab initio* total-energy calculations: molecular dynamics and conjugate gradients. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **64**, 1045 (1992).

19. Segall, M. D., *et al.* First-principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14**, 2717 (2002).

20. Perdew, J., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).

21. Vanderbilt, D. Soft self-consistent pseudopotentials in a generalized eigenvalue formalism. Phys. Rev. B 41, 7892 (1990).

22. Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976).

23. Kröger, F. A. & Vink, H. J. Relations between the concentrations of imperfections in crystalline solids. Solid State Phys. 3, 307-435 (1956).

24. Sickafus, K. E., et al. Radiation tolerance of complex oxides. Science 289, 748-751 (2000).

25. Voskoboinikov, R. E., Lumpkin, G. R. & Middleburgh, S. C. Preferential formation of Al self-interstitial defects in γ -TiAl under irradiation. *Intermetallics* **32**, 230-232 (2013).

26. Weber, W. J. Radiation-induced swelling and amorphization in Ca₂Nd₈(SiO₄)₆O₂. Radiat. Eff. 77. 295-308 (1983).

27. Clinard Jr, F. W., Rohr, D. L. & Roof, R. B. Structural damage in a self-irradiated zirconolitebased ceramic. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B1, 581-586 (1984).

Accepted manuscrip

	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Al_i	Ci
V ₃ AlC ₂	0.75347, 0.66098, 0.25000	0.66206, 0.33572, 0.25072	0.33761, 0.66659, 0.24999
Zr ₃ AlC ₂	0.75939, 0.84831, 0.25150	0.66870, 0.33984, 0.25077	0.33333, 0.66669, 0.73437
Ta ₃ AlC ₂	-0.11020, 0.66284, 0.25083	0.66398, 0.33254, 0.25078	0.33773, 0.66668, 0.25000
Ti ₃ AlC ₂	0.27651, 0.28686, 0.25068	0.66033, 0.33072, 0.25078	0.33588, 0.66414, 0.25002

Table 1. The energetically favourable interstitial sites for the M_3AlC_2 MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti).

Acceptedmanuscrip

Reaction	V ₃ AlC ₂	Zr ₃ AlC ₂	Ta ₃ AlC ₂	Ti ₃ AlC ₂
1) $M_M \rightarrow V_M + M_i$	7.40	6.12	7.43	7.32
2) $Al_{Al} \rightarrow V_{Al} + Al_i$	6.31	1.46	5.84	3.40
$3) C_C \rightarrow V_C + C_i$	2.73	3.47	2.87	3.17
4) $M_M + Al_{Al} \rightarrow M_{Al} + Al_M$	2.71	3.46	3.85	3.27
5) $M_M + C_C \rightarrow M_C + C_M$	9.80	10.60	17.36	10.52
6) $Al_{Al} + C_C \rightarrow Al_C + C_{Al}$	9.36	8.43	10.67	9.26
7) $Al_i + V_M \rightarrow Al_M$	-5.38	-2.39	-4.44	-4.20
8) $C_i + V_M \rightarrow C_M$	-1.15	0.14	1.35	-0.48
9) $M_i + V_{Al} \rightarrow M_{Al}$	-5.63	-1.72	-4.97	-3.25
10) $C_i + V_{Al} \rightarrow C_{Al}$	0.21	0.46	0.58	0.31
11) $M_i + V_C \rightarrow M_C$	-0.63	0.86	5.72	0.51
12) $Al_i + V_C \rightarrow Al_C$	0.12	3.04	1.39	2.39
13) $M_i + Al_{Al} \rightarrow M_{Al} + Al_i$	0.68	-0.27	0.87	0.15
14) $M_i + C_C \rightarrow M_C + C_i$	2.10	4.33	8.58	3.68
$15) Al_i + M_M \rightarrow Al_M + M_i$	2.03	3.73	2.99	3.13
16) $Al_i + C_C \rightarrow Al_C + C_i$	2.85	6.51	4.25	5.55
$17) C_i + M_M \rightarrow C_M + M_i$	7.70	6.26	8.78	6.84
$18) C_i + Al_{Al} \rightarrow C_{Al} + Al_i$	6.51	1.92	6.42	3.71

Table 2. The calculated defect reaction energies (in eV, for relations 1-9) for the M_3AlC_2 MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti).

_____ 6.51

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Acce



Figure 1. Crystal structure of the M_3AlC_2 MAX phases (M = V, Zr, Ta, Ti).

Highlights

- a) Al containing 312 MAX phases have enhanced high-T oxidation resistance
- b) We use DFT to study the intrinsic defect processes of M₃AlC₂ MAX phases
- c) Ti₃AlC₂ is the more radiation tolerant 312 MAX phase considered
- d) In Ti₃AlC₂ the C Frenkel reaction is the lowest energy defect process with 3.17 eV

, proces with