

A survey of student nurses' attitudes toward help seeking for stress

Galbraith, N.D. , Brown, K. and Clifton, E.

Author pre-print (submitted version) deposited in CURVE April 2014

Original citation & hyperlink:

Galbraith, N.D. , Brown, K. and Clifton, E. (2014) A survey of student nurses' attitudes toward help seeking for stress. Nursing Forum, volume 49 (3): 171-181.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12066>

Publisher statement:

This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article: Galbraith, N.D. , Brown, K. and Clifton, E. (2014) A survey of student nurses' attitudes toward help seeking for stress. Nursing Forum, volume 49 (3): 171-181, which has been published in final form at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12066>.

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the submitted version of the journal article, as originally submitted to the journal prior to the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

CURVE is the Institutional Repository for Coventry University

<http://curve.coventry.ac.uk/open>

A survey of student nurses' attitudes toward help-seeking for stress.

Abstract

Background

Globally, stress in student nurses may have serious implications for health, absenteeism and attrition. Despite this, there is scant research on student nurses' attitudes towards help-seeking.

Objectives

To examine student nurses' attitudes towards stress and help-seeking.

Design, methods and statistical analysis

A cross-sectional questionnaire, survey design was employed to gather data from 219 student nurses at two large UK Universities. Two-sample chi-square tests and Fisher's Exact tests were used to analyse categorical associations between responses.

Results

Most had experienced stress before, believed the incidence within the profession was high, and would disclose their own stress to family/friends rather than to colleagues or professional institutions. The most popular out-patient treatment choice was social support, few would choose formal advice. The most common factor influencing in-patient treatment choice was confidentiality, for many this factor would also lead them to seek distant rather than local in-patient care. Encouragingly, most would not lose confidence in a stressed colleague.

Conclusions

Negative attitudes towards stress and help-seeking may be entrenched even before training, and may have a marked influence on how/whether students seek help. Nurse

1
2
3 employers and educators should foster more supportive and accepting attitudes
4
5 towards stress in order to tackle its unwanted consequences.
6
7
8
9

10 **Key Words**

11
12 Nursing, survey, questionnaire, help-seeking, stress
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Introduction

The dominant theoretical perspective on stress is the transactional model, developed by Lazarus and Folkman (1984), which emphasises the importance of people's appraisals both of the situations they face and their ability to face those challenges. Stress can occur when the demands placed upon an individual exceed **their** perceived ability to cope (Cohen, Kessler & Gordon, 1995). It has been well documented that nurses experience job-related stress (Lu, 2011; Sveinsdottir, Biering & Ramel, 2006; **Johnston, Jones, Charles, McCann & McKee, 2013**). In an international study (including the UK), levels of burnout in 40 percent of hospital nurses were higher than the norms for healthcare professions (Aiken, Clarke, Sloane, Sochalski, Busse, Clarke, Giovanetti, Hunt, Rafferty & Shamian, 2001). In the same study, the level of professional dissatisfaction expressed by US nurses was four times greater than that of the average US worker. Many nurses also believed that levels of staffing were inadequate for high-quality patient care (Aiken et al., 2001). **Elsewhere, there is evidence that burnout may be associated with medical errors (Kang, Lihm & Kong, 2013).**

Background

Stress in student nurses has been demonstrated in a number of studies (see Pulido-Martos, Augusto-Landa & Lopez-Zafra, 2012) and has been found to be common: in more than 50 percent of a cohort, and greater than the prevalence in senior medical students and the general population (Jones & Johnston, 1997). Academic pressures, practical demands, death and suffering in patients and financial concerns have been identified as sources of stress for student nurses (Rhead, 1995, Stewart-Brown, Evans, Patterson, Petersen, Doll, Balding & Regis, 2000) and calls have been made for

1
2
3 healthcare employers to implement stress management interventions for both student
4
5 and registered nurses (Jones & Johnston, 2000).
6
7

8
9
10 Although the sources of stress are well researched, research on students' attitudes
11 toward help-seeking for stress is less abundant. Findings suggest that nursing students
12 anticipate development of burnout at some point in their career as inevitable
13
14 (Michalec, Diefenbeck & Mahoney, 2013). However, there is some evidence that
15
16 student nurses are often reluctant to seek help for stress (Kernan & Wheat, 2008), and
17
18 may often have negative attitudes toward seeking psychological help (Cankaya &
19
20 Duman, 2010). Furthermore, student nurses may harbour general negative attitudes
21
22 toward those with mental health difficulties (Halter, 2004; Llerena, Cáceres & Peñas-
23
24 Lledó, 2002; Schafer, Wood & Williams, 2011), which may contribute to concerns
25
26 about how help-seeking will be perceived by peers. This corresponds with findings
27
28 that doctors' help-seeking decisions are often influenced by concerns about
29
30 confidentiality and stigma (Hassan, Ahmed, White & Galbraith, 2009; White,
31
32 Shiralkar, Hassan & Galbraith, Callaghan, 2006). Elsewhere it is reported that many
33
34 nurses who have suffered from a mental illness have shown concern that their
35
36 colleagues and superiors might hold prejudiced opinions of them (Farrell, 2001).
37
38 Indeed, poor relationships with clinical colleagues is a significant source of stress in
39
40 student nurses (Evans & Kelly, 2004) and many may cope with stress by simply
41
42 keeping quiet about it (Burnard, Haji Abd Rahim, Hayes & Edwards, 2007). Such
43
44 negative attitudes may leave student nurses less inclined to seek help for stress should
45
46 they need it and there is a wealth of literature within social and health psychology
47
48 demonstrating that attitudes predict behaviour (Ajzen, 1991, 2011; Eagly, & Chaiken,
49
50 1993). Indeed, evidence shows that nursing students may often resort to avoidant
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 coping strategies when under stress, which may raise the risk of burnout or stress
4
5 (Gibbons, 2010; Pines, Rauschhuber, Norgan, Cook, Canchola, Richardson & Jones,
6
7 2012).
8
9

10
11 Although the literature suggests that student nurses may sometimes hold maladaptive
12 attitudes towards stress and help-seeking, the volume of research into these attitudes
13 is inadequate and many of the existing studies are limited methodologically.
14 Additionally, very few studies target attitudes as the main focus of the study (e.g.
15 Kernan & Wheat, 2008), and therefore student nurses' views about help-seeking are
16 rarely described. In many cases, studies report only on registered nurses (Farrell 2001)
17 or on other types of healthcare professional (e.g. White et al., 2006) and therefore
18 students' attitudes and perceptions of stress and help-seeking are under-researched. If,
19 as is argued, doctors' negative attitudes towards mental health difficulties begin
20 during training (Chew-Graham, Rogers & Yassin, 2003), the study of student nurses
21 during this formative period is especially pertinent.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 *Aims and predictions*

41 Given the prevalence of stress in the nursing profession, and the high degree of public
42 awareness of nursing as a stressful profession, we aim to gather data on student
43 nurses' experience of and beliefs about the incidence of stress (aim 1). The aim here is
44 to measure students' perceptions about stress in their chosen profession – what is their
45 view on its prevalence? We are also interested in whether the students believed they
46 had experienced stress before. In this regard we do not aim to gather objective data on
47 current or previous levels of stress, instead we wish to discover what proportion of the
48 sample *believe* that they themselves have experienced stress before. This is important,
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 as students' beliefs and experiences of their own stress may influence their attitudes to
4 disclosure or treatment for example. Additionally, in light of the findings that nurses
5 with mental health difficulties experience stigma and prejudice, the study will also
6 examine attitudes towards the disclosure of stress (aim 2), as well as getting treatment
7 for stress (aim 3) and attitudes toward colleagues with stress (aim 4). There are also
8 some specific predictions, all relating to associations between questions, and tested
9 with two-sample chi-square analyses.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 *Prediction 1 (Association between Q10 and Q 11).* See Table 2 for the list of non-
20 demographic questions). Based on previous studies into doctors' attitudes to
21 developing mental illness (e.g. Hassan et al., 2009; White et al., 2006) it is predicted
22 that those who would opt for professional advice when stressed will be influenced by
23 concerns over quick recovery and convenience, whereas those who would prefer
24 social support and self-medication when stressed will be influenced by issues of
25 confidentiality or stigma.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 *Prediction 2 (Association between Q 12 and Q 13).* Again based on previous studies
37 (Hassan et al., 2009; White et al., 2006), it is predicted that those who would choose
38 distant care for stress will be influenced by concerns over confidentiality or stigma
39 whereas preference for local care will be influenced by convenience or quick
40 recovery.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Previous findings show that student nurses are reluctant to seek help, and also possess
49 negative attitudes toward help-seeking. Hence we also predict that those who would
50 lose confidence in a stressed colleague will also be influenced by:
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Stigma or career implications when disclosing their own stress. *Prediction 3*
4
5 (*Association between Q14 and Q9*)
6

7 Confidentiality or stigma when choosing out-patient treatment. *Prediction 4*
8
9 (*Association between Q14 and Q11*)
10

11 Confidentiality or stigma when choosing in-patient treatment. *Prediction 5*
12
13 (*Association between Q14 and Q13*).
14
15

16 17 18 19 **Method**

20 21 *Design*

22
23 A cross-sectional questionnaire survey design was employed, whereby data was
24
25 collected at one-point in time using anonymous printed questionnaires.
26
27

28 29 30 *Participants*

31
32 **Purposive sampling** was used to recruit 219 student nurses was recruited (197
33
34 females) from lectures at two UK universities, most of the students were aged
35
36 between 18 and 30 years. The inclusion criterion was that respondents had to be
37
38 currently in training to become a registered nurse. **The two university courses were**
39
40 **chosen because they were representative of UK nursing programmes. Furthermore it**
41
42 **was anticipated that response rates would be high thus reducing self-selection bias.**
43
44

45
46 Courses were over three years full-time and the sample included a mixture of cohorts
47
48 (see Table 1 for the demographic data). The target sample size was established in
49
50 anticipation of calculating two-sample chi-square (χ^2) tests. A required sample size of
51
52 193 was computed, using G*Power 3 software, incorporating α at 0.05, power at .80,
53
54 a medium effect size of .30 and a maximum df of 12.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Materials and Procedure

The questionnaire (see Tables 1 and 2) was based on the measure employed by White et al. (2006) and Hassan et al. (2009) designed to examine attitudes towards developing mental illness and help-seeking. The current questionnaire was adapted to measure student nurses' attitudes to stress and help-seeking. The construct validity of this questionnaire was not measured because the questionnaire itself does not attempt to measure a latent construct (such as stress or burnout for example), rather it was designed to ask frank questions about respondents' experiences and beliefs, and what they would do in certain situations and why. The first part of the questionnaire gathered demographic and background information. This was followed by questions about experiences of stress and beliefs about the incidence of stress (questions 5 to 7). The question regarding experience of stress is not intended to represent a valid measure of the degree of stress present within the sample. Instead it indicates the proportion of the sample who believe and self-report, they have had stress. In this study, it was the degree to which respondents believe they have had stress which was important to us, rather than a more objective test of how stressed the sample was. There were also questions on attitudes towards disclosing information about stress (questions 8 and 9). Again the aim was to simply ask who respondents believe they would first turn to, if they had to tell someone about their stress. There were further questions about preferences when receiving treatment for stress (questions 10 to 13) and finally frank questions about attitudes towards colleagues experiencing stress (questions 14 and 15). The response format for all of the questions was categorical, yielding nominal data. The questionnaire was piloted on a small sample of students as well as academic and clinical colleagues to establish content and face validity.

1
2
3 Ethical approval was granted by ethics committees at both universities from which
4 data was collected. All participants were approached either at the end, or at the
5 beginning of lecture sessions, in agreement with their course tutors. The researcher
6 announced the aims of the study to the class and emphasised the voluntary nature of
7 participation. All of the students that were approached gave informed consent to
8 participate. It was explained to the students that when answering questions about
9 colleagues and employers, they should relate these questions to their clinical
10 placement colleagues and managers. The researcher then emphasised the importance
11 of completing the questionnaires in silence. Participants handed their questionnaire
12 packs to the researcher once they had finished.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 26 *Analysis*

27
28 The initial data analysis is descriptive. As the quantitative data is nominal, frequency
29 data is presented for each question – the number (and percentage) of respondents
30 choosing each response option. Subsequently, two-sample chi-square tests examine
31 associations between particular questions (see predictions 1-5). The two-sample chi-
32 square test examines the relationship between two categorical variables, and compares
33 the observed data across categories with the data one would expect by chance. One of
34 the assumptions of chi-square is that no more than 20% of cells have frequencies <5,
35 when this assumption was violated, we applied Fisher's Exact test, which unlike chi-
36 square, is accurate when frequencies are low. Cramer's V was used as a measure of
37 effect size for the two-sample chi-square tests, it provides an association coefficient
38 between 0 and 1. Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 16.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

Activity before training (Q4, see Table 1) was not associated with any of the questions about stress and help-seeking (Q5-15) ($p > 0.05$). Stage of training (Q3, see Table 1) was not associated with any of the questions about stress and help-seeking apart from with question 11 ($\chi^2 = 28.28$; $df = 6$; $p = 0.001$; Cramer's $V = 0.26$), where although stage 1 and stage 3 students' in-patient treatment preferences were most likely to be influenced by confidentiality, stage 2 students were most likely to be influenced by convenience. The demographic information is displayed in table 1.

Table 1 about here.

The frequencies of responses to the non-demographic questions (questions 5 to 15) are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 about here

Experience and Incidence of Stress (Aim 1)

The majority of participants (74.9 %) reported that they had experienced stress which had affected their personal, social or occupational life (Q5). Additionally, 72.1% felt that the incidence of stress was higher amongst nurses than the general population (Q6), and a third of respondents (37.4%) agreed that the incidence of stress was higher in nurses than in other health professions (Q7).

Disclosure of Stress (Aim 2)

In the event of developing stress, the vast majority of respondents (87.2%) would choose to disclose this to family and friends (Q8). Very few would prefer disclosure

1
2
3 to colleagues or professional/Governmental institutions, 5% would choose to disclose
4
5 to no-one. The factors affecting this choice (Q9) varied somewhat: 24.7% cited
6
7 stigma, 45.2% reported career implications and 14.6% cited professional integrity.
8
9 Eleven percent of respondents chose other reasons, which either focused on the
10
11 benefits of family support (e.g. 'Maybe able to offer advice', 'Quick recovery') or the
12
13 disadvantage of disclosing to non-family/friends (e.g. 'No sympathy', 'Negative
14
15 attitudes, dismissive behaviour, not taken seriously').
16
17

18 19 20 *Getting Treatment for Stress (Aim 3)*

21
22 As a first treatment preference for stress requiring out-patient care (Q10), nearly half
23
24 of all respondents (47.9%) would opt for social support, (unsurprisingly, all but six of
25
26 these individuals would also opt to *disclose* to family and friends). Thirty percent
27
28 would prefer informal professional advice but only 11.4% would opt for formal
29
30 professional advice. Six percent would choose no treatment and 4.1% would self-
31
32 medicate. The factors influencing preferences for out-patient treatment, were spread
33
34 across the four options (Q11), the most common being confidentiality (37.4%). A
35
36 two-sample chi-square test was computed to examine the association between out-
37
38 patient treatment preference and the factors which influence this preference (Q10 and
39
40 Q11; Prediction 1). There was no significant association between these two variables
41
42 ($\chi^2 = 16.50$; $df = 12$; $p = 0.170$; Cramer's $V = 0.16$) therefore prediction 1 was not
43
44 supported.
45
46
47

48
49 In the event that they would require in-patient treatment for stress (Q12), a local
50
51 private facility was the most popular choice (41.1%), and a distant NHS hospital
52
53 (National Health Service, i.e.UK public hospital) the least (11.0%). The most
54
55 commonly cited factor which influenced this treatment preference (Q13) was
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 confidentiality (40.6%). A two-sample chi-square test revealed a significant
4 association between in-patient treatment preference (Q12) and factors influencing
5 treatment preference (Q13) (Fisher's Exact = 97.72; df = 9; $p = 0.001$; Cramer's V =
6 0.39). As can be seen from Table 3, the majority of those choosing distant NHS
7 (public) or distant private care, were influenced by confidentiality. In contrast, the
8 majority of those who chose the local NHS option were influenced by convenience.
9 Of those who would choose a local private facility, most were influenced by either
10 quality of care or by confidentiality. Thus prediction 2 was supported.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 Table 3 about here
23

24 *Attitudes towards Colleagues with Stress (Aim 4)*

25
26 It was made clear to respondents they were to reflect on their experiences in clinical
27 placements when answering questions about colleagues and employers. In the event
28 that one of their colleagues developed stress (Q14), the majority of respondents
29 (69.4%) claimed that they would not lose confidence in the ability of their colleague
30 to do their job. In response to whether a stressed colleague should stop working until
31 they had recovered (Q15), 42.0% said 'No'. Three further two-sample chi-square tests
32 were computed to test predictions 3, 4 and 5. Those choosing 'Don't know' to question
33 14 were omitted from the analysis. There was no significant association between
34 responses to question 14 and the factors affecting disclosure (Q9) ($\chi^2 = 2.78$; df = 3; p
35 = 0.426; Cramer's V = 0.13), therefore prediction 3 was not supported. However there
36 was a significant association between question 14 and the factors affecting out-patient
37 treatment preference (Q11) ($\chi^2 = 8.53$; df = 3; $p = 0.036$; Cramer's V = 2.2). As shown
38 in Table 4, those who would lose confidence in a stressed colleague would be
39 proportionately more influenced by confidentiality or stigma when choosing out-
40 patient care for their own stress. Of those who would not lose confidence in a
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 colleague, a greater proportion would be influenced by convenience or quick
4
5 recovery. Therefore prediction 4 was supported.
6
7

8
9 Table 4 about here
10

11
12 There was also a significant association between responses to question 14 and the
13 factors affecting in-patient treatment preference (Q13) ($\chi^2 = 18.80$; $df = 3$; $p = 0.001$;
14 Cramer's $V = 0.33$). As shown in Table 5, of those who would lose confidence in a
15
16 colleague, a greater proportion would be influenced by confidentiality or stigma when
17
18 choosing in-patient care for stress. Of those who would not lose confidence in a
19
20 colleague, a greater proportion would be influenced by convenience or quick
21
22 recovery. Thus prediction 5 was supported.
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Table 5 about here
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

Experience and Incidence of Stress (Aim 1)

Three quarters of participants reported having experienced stress. Although this is consistent with levels reported in previous literature (e.g. up to 67 percent reported by Jones & Johnston, 1997), this question was not designed to gather objective data regarding the incidence of stress. Instead we were interested in participants' perceptions of their own stress, and their beliefs about its incidence. Although retrospective reports of stress may be prone to inaccuracy and exaggeration, such high reporting of stress at this early stage in nursing careers is noteworthy. It suggests that a high proportion of nursing students can already identify with the term and recognise that they have experienced it. This is perhaps not surprising given that more than half of the sample have had previous careers before starting their training, and therefore could have had previous exposure to occupation stress. Furthermore, it could be that for many, previous experiences of stress were not entirely negative, and it has been shown that minor hassles relating to university or clinical placements, can often enhance the wellbeing and learning experience of nursing students (Gibbons, 2010). On the other hand, it should be noted that over time, the cumulative effect of even minor stressors, can impact negatively on well-being (Vasiliadis, Forget & Prévile, 2012). Most respondents felt the incidence of stress in the nursing profession was relatively high, and these estimates are consistent with incidence rates from previous studies (Lu, 2011; Aiken et al., 2001). This shows that nursing students have existing beliefs about high levels of stress in the profession at this early stage of their career.

Disclosure of Stress (Aim 2)

The vast majority of respondents would prefer to disclose stress to family and friends and very few would prefer to confide in colleagues or professional institutions.

1
2
3 Furthermore their disclosure would be influenced by concerns about stigma, their
4 careers or professional integrity. This suggests that stress would be under-reported in
5 the current sample and is consistent with accounts of trained and student nurses'
6 reluctance to admit stress for fear of prejudice and stigma (Burnard et al., 2007;
7 Cankaya & Duman, 2010; Farrell, 2001; Kernan & Wheat, 2008). The preferences for
8 disclosure observed in this study are significant, as reluctance to officially report
9 stress often results in a delay in getting help, which may lead to unhealthy coping
10 styles such as alcohol and drug abuse (Tully, 2004). Furthermore, under-reporting of
11 mental health difficulties is recognised as a cause of sickness absence and attrition,
12 both of which represent common challenges for the profession given the global
13 shortage of nursing staff (Currie & Carr Hill, 2012; Deary, Watson & Hogston, 2003).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 *Getting Treatment for Stress (Aim 3)*

32 Social support was also the most common choice for *out-patient* care with very few
33 opting for formal professional advice. Nearly half of respondents would be motivated
34 by confidentiality or stigma when making this choice. Similarly, in nearly half the
35 sample, preferences for *in-patient* care were influenced by either confidentiality or
36 stigma, many would opt for distant care rather than local because of concerns over
37 confidentiality (prediction 2). Thus the issue of confidentiality would be more
38 important to these students than quality of care when seeking help for stress. This
39 echoes the findings from similar studies on doctors (White et al., 2006; Hassan et al.,
40 2009). Such a finding is seemingly at odds with the valued notion of confidentiality
41 within the nursing profession (Deshefy-Longhi, Dixon, Olsen & Grey 2004), and
42 perhaps signifies a perception that their personal records will not remain private.
43 Hassan et al. (2009) argue that the advent of computerised medical records and the
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 interconnectivity of record systems across the healthcare system may contribute to
4
5 this lack of faith in confidentiality – a view which is supported by empirical research
6
7 (e.g. Likourezos, Chalfin, Murphy, Sommer, Darcy & Davidson, 2004). The current
8
9 questionnaire did not directly address *why* confidentiality would be so important to
10
11 respondents when making treatment choices, examination of these reasons may be a
12
13 line of inquiry for future studies.
14
15

16 17 *Attitudes towards Colleagues with Stress (Aim 4)*

18
19 More encouraging was the finding that most students would not lose confidence in a
20
21 colleague who was stressed. However this still left nearly a third who either would
22
23 lose confidence or are unsure. Interestingly, those who would lose confidence in a
24
25 stressed colleague would be more influenced by confidentiality and stigma when
26
27 choosing out-patient or in-patient care for themselves (predictions 4 and 5). This
28
29 suggests that students' attitudes towards stressed colleagues may resemble their
30
31 attitudes towards their own stress (and/or the perception of how they would be treated
32
33 by others). This is consistent with previous studies which report stigmatised views
34
35 amongst some student nurses towards patients and colleagues with mental illness
36
37 (Halter, 2004; Llerena et al., 2002; Schafer et al., 2011).
38
39
40
41
42
43

44
45 The current study shows that negative attitudes towards stress and help-seeking are
46
47 common amongst student nurses and that help-seeking for stress is influenced by
48
49 concerns about confidentiality and career. Few studies have emphasised the
50
51 importance of such attitudes in this population, instead the focus has mainly been on
52
53 the causes of stress and on stress management programmes. Many healthcare
54
55 employers provide stress management for their employees but often these suffer from
56
57 poor uptake (Jones & Johnston, 2000). The current study suggests that for many
58
59
60

1
2
3 student nurses, attitudes toward getting help for stress need to be addressed before
4 they engage with such programmes, and indeed this is the main recommendation of
5 the paper. A volume of literature inspired by Ajzen's (1991) theory of planned
6 behaviour demonstrates that attitudes are important predictors of behaviour (e.g.
7 Kraft, Rise, Sutton & Røysamb, 2005) and there is ample evidence that help-seeking
8 is inhibited by negative attitudes (e.g. Barney, Griffiths, Jorm & Christensen, 2006).
9 Changes in attitudes must be at the organisational as well as at the individual level if
10 they are to be effective however (Jones & Johnston, 2000). This study suggests that
11 maladaptive attitudes towards stress are already entrenched during the training phase
12 of nurses' careers. This does not imply that the training process itself causes such
13 attitudes, it is perhaps more likely that students generate their perceptions and
14 attitudes about stress and help-seeking before they become a student. Nevertheless
15 there are important implications: if strong negative attitudes already exist during this
16 early, formative period, they may be especially difficult to modify later.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 The sample of student nurses, although of moderate size and yielding a 100 percent
36 response rate, was one of convenience and was drawn from just two universities, both
37 situated within the UK. Therefore some caution should be exercised before
38 generalising these findings to all student nurses. Test re-test reliability was not
39 examined and therefore consistency of responses over time cannot be assumed. One
40 should also consider the context in which the data collection took place: during
41 University teaching time, responses may have been different if questionnaires had
42 been completed in the clinical environment. Furthermore, it may be that some
43 responses reflected beliefs about what was professionally or culturally desirable and
44 the self-report nature of the study may have led some to exaggerate their answers
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Holden, 2008). Despite this, the results make an important contribution and warrant
4
5 consideration with regard to action on stress and help-seeking.
6
7
8
9

10 11 **Conclusions**

12
13 This study shows how student nurses' attitudes towards developing stress may affect
14
15 their subsequent help-seeking behaviour and that negative attitudes to help-seeking
16
17 may already exist even in the training stage of the profession. Follow-up studies
18
19 employing longitudinal designs may shed further light on how attitudes affect
20
21 reported stress and absenteeism. Closed questions provide only a limited insight into
22
23 attitudes and behaviour, therefore future studies may collect more in-depth data by
24
25 using open-ended questions or semi-structured interviews. We should note that many
26
27 participants may not have experienced stress and that predictions about how one
28
29 *would* act may be markedly different from the actual behaviour in a real situation. To
30
31 address this, future studies should collect more detailed, in-depth data on respondents'
32
33 previous experiences of stress.
34
35
36
37

38
39 Evidence of stress in trained and student nurses is found across the world e.g. Korea
40
41 (Yoon & Kim, 2013), Iran (Changiz, Malekpour & Zargham-Boroujeni, 2012), South
42
43 Africa (Görgens-Ekermans & Brand, 2012), Spain (Fornés & Vives, J., García & Banda,
44
45 G., Frías & Navarro, 2012). Given the global shortage of nurses (Currie & Carr Hill,
46
47 2012) and the high drop-out rates from the profession (Deary, Watson & Hodgston,
48
49 2003), governments around the world have recognised the importance of addressing
50
51 stress (American Nurses Association 2000; Department of Health 2002a, 2002b). The
52
53 current study suggests that negative attitudes toward stress may be a barrier to help-
54
55 seeking, however such attitudes are likely to be culturally diverse and further research
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 is needed to explore them (see Burnard, Edwards, Bennett, Thaibah, Tothova,
4
5 Baldacchino, et al., 2008). A greater emphasis on stress awareness in nurse and
6
7 management training may also improve attitudes, although this may be difficult for
8
9 undergraduate courses which are already heavily burdened (Jones & Johnston, 2006).
10
11 A confidential counselling service for registered and trainee nurses may also be of
12
13 benefit, and indeed there is evidence that such services would be supported by
14
15 healthcare professionals (Young & Spencer, 1996). But our data suggest that some
16
17 nursing students have concerns about confidentiality, so such a service would perhaps
18
19 need to be perceived by students as independent from course administrators and the
20
21 organisation hosting their clinical placement.
22
23
24
25
26

27 Given the policy of healthcare organisations to implement stress management
28
29 programmes for employees, more research needs to be done to establish the effect of
30
31 attitudes to help-seeking on engagement with such programmes. The current results
32
33 suggest that nurse educators should be wary when implementing support for stress in
34
35 students, that low buy-in to such programmes may be due to negative attitudes
36
37 towards help-seeking, rather than the absence of need for such support. An important
38
39 issue for future research is the question of whether students' attitudes toward help-
40
41 seeking endure longitudinally into their subsequent career as a trained nurse.
42
43
44
45

46 References

47
48
49
50

51 Aiken, L.H., Clarke, S.P., Sloane, D.M., Sochalski, J.A., Busse, H., Clarke, H., et al.
52
53 (2001). Nurses' reports on hospital care in five countries. *Health Affairs*, 20,
54
55 43—53.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and*
4
5 *Human Decision Processes*, 50, 179--211.
6
7

8
9
10 Ajzen, I., (2011). The theory of planned behaviour: Reactions and reflections.
11
12 *Psychology and Health*, 28 (9), 1113-1127.
13

14
15
16 American Nurses Association. (2000). *State Legislative Trends: Sufficient Staff and*
17
18 *Staffing Ratios (In Other Than Long-Term Care Facilities)*. American Nurses
19
20 Association.
21

22
23
24
25 Barney, L.J., Griffiths, K.M., Jorm, A.F., & Christensen, H. (2006). Stigma about
26
27 depression and its impact on help-seeking intentions. *Australian and New*
28
29 *Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 40 (1), 51-54.
30
31

32
33
34 Burnard, P., Edwards, D., Bennett, K., Thaibah, H., Tothova, V., Baldacchino, D., et
35
36 al. (2008). A comparative, longitudinal study of stress in student nurses in five
37
38 countries: Albania, Brunei, the Czech Republic, Malta and Wales. *Nurse*
39
40 *Education Today*, 28(2), 134.
41
42

43
44
45 Burnard, P., Haji Abd Rahim, H. T. B. P., Hayes, D., & Edwards, D. (2007). A
46
47 descriptive study of Bruneian student nurses' perceptions of stress. *Nurse*
48
49 *Education Today*, 27(7), 808-818.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cankaya, P., & Duman, Z. C. (2010). Evaluation of nursing students' attitudes
4 towards seeking psychological help and factors affecting their attitudes. *Nurse*
5 *Education Today*, 30, 784-788.
6
7
8

9
10
11 Changiz, T., Malekpour, A., & Zargham-Boroujeni, A. (2012). Stressors in Clinical
12 Nursing Education in Iran: A Systematic Review. *Iranian Journal of Nursing*
13 *and Midwifery Research*, 17(6), .
14
15
16

17
18
19
20
21 Chew-Graham, C.A., Rogers, A., & Yassin, N. (2003). "I wouldn't want it on my CV
22 or their records": imedical students' experiences of help-seeking for mental
23 health problems. *Medical Education*, 37, 873-880.
24
25
26

27
28
29
30 Cohen, S., Kessler, R.C., & Gordon, U.L. (1995). Strategies for measuring stress in
31 studies of psychiatric and physical disorders. In Cohen S, Kessler RC &
32 Underwood Gordon L (Eds), *Measuring Stress: A Guide for Health and Social*
33 *Scientists*. Oxford University Press, New York.
34
35
36

37
38
39
40
41 Curie, E. J., & Carr Hill, R. A. (2012). What are the reasons for high turnover in
42 nursing? A discussion of presumed causal factors and remedies. *International*
43 *Journal of Nursing Studies*, DOI:
44 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.01.001>.
45
46
47
48

49
50
51
52 Deary, I.J., Watson, R., & Hogston, R. (2003). A longitudinal cohort study of burnout
53 and attrition in nursing students. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43 (1), 71-81.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Department of Health. (2002a). Human Resources and the NHS Plan: A Consultation
4
5 Document. London: DH.
6
7

8
9 Department of Health. (2002b). Code of Conduct for NHS Managers. London: DH.
10
11

12
13 Deshefy-Longhi, T., Dixon, J.K., Olsen, D., & Grey, M. (2004). Privacy and
14
15 Confidentiality Issues in Primary Care: views of advanced practice nurses and
16
17 their patients. *Nursing Ethics*, 11 (4), 378-393.
18
19

20
21 Eagly, A.H., & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The Psychology of Attitudes*. Orlando, FL:
22
23 Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers.
24
25

26
27 Evans, W., & Kelly, B. (2004). Pre-registration diploma student nurse stress and
28
29 coping measures. *Nurse Education Today*, 24(6), 473-482.
30
31
32

33
34 Farrell, G.A. (2001). From tall poppies to squashed weeds: why don't nurses pull
35
36 together more? *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 35, 26-33.
37
38
39

40
41 Fornés Vives, J., García Banda, G., Frías Navarro, D., Hermoso Rodríguez, E.,
42
43 & Santos Abaunza, P. (2012). Stress and neuroticism in Spanish nursing
44
45 students: A two-wave longitudinal study. *Research in Nursing & Health*.
46
47
48
49
50 DOI: 10.1002/nur.21506
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Görgens-Ekermans, G., & Brand, T. (2012). Emotional intelligence as a moderator
4 in the stress–burnout relationship: a questionnaire study on nurses. *Journal of*
5 *Clinical Nursing*, 21(15–16), 2275-2285.
6
7
8

9
10
11 Gibbons, C. (2010). Stress, coping and burn-out in nursing students. *International*
12 *Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47(10), 1299-1309.
13
14
15

16
17
18 Halter, M.J. (2004). Stigma and help seeking related to depression: a study of nursing
19 students. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services*, 42 (2),
20 42-51.
21
22
23
24

25
26
27 Hassan, T.M., Ahmed, O., White, A.C., & Galbraith, N.D. (2009). A postal survey of
28 doctors' attitudes to becoming mentally ill. *Clinical Medicine*, 9 (4), 327-332.
29
30
31
32

33
34
35 Healy, C., & McKay, M.F. (1999). Identifying sources of stress and job satisfaction in
36 the nursing environment. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 17, 30-35.
37
38
39

40
41 Holden, R.R. (2008). Underestimating the effects of faking on the validity of self-
42 report personality scales. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 44 (1), 311-
43 321.
44
45
46
47

48
49
50 Jones, M.C., & Johnston, D.W. (1997). Distress, stress and coping in first-year
51 student nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 26, 475-482.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Jones, M.C., & Johnston, D.W. (2000). Reducing distress in first level and student
4 nurses: a review of the applied stress management literature. *Journal of*
5
6 *Advanced Nursing*, 32 (1), 66-74.
7
8

9
10
11 Jones, M.C., & Johnston, D.W. (2006). Is the introduction of a student-centred,
12 problem-based curriculum associated with improvements in student nurse
13 well-being and performance? *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 43,
14
15 941-952.
16
17

18
19
20
21
22
23 Johnston, D. W., Jones, M. C., Charles, K., McCann, S. K., & McKee, L. (2013).
24
25 Stress in Nurses: Stress-Related Affect and Its Determinants Examined Over
26
27 the Nursing Day. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 1-9.
28
29

30
31
32 Kang, E. K., Lihm, H. S., & Kong, E. H. (2013). Association of Intern and Resident
33
34 Burnout with Self-Reported Medical Errors. *Korean Journal of Family*
35
36 *Medicine*, 34 (1), 36-42.
37
38

39
40
41 Kernan, W.D., & Wheat, M.E. (2008). Nursing Students' Perceptions of the
42
43 Academic Impact of Various Health Issues. *Nurse Educator*, 33 (5), 215-
44
45 219.
46
47

48
49 Kraft, P., Rise, J., Sutton, S., & Røysamb, E. (2005). Perceived difficulty in the theory
50
51 of planned behaviour: Perceived behavioural control or affective attitude?
52
53
54 *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 44, 479-496.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Lazarus R.S., & Folkman S. (1984). *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. Springer, New
4
5 York.
6
7
8
9
10 Likourezos, A., Chalfin, D.B., Murphy, D., Sommer, B., Darcy, K., & Davidson, S.J.
11
12 (2004). Physician and nurse satisfaction with an Electronic Medical Record
13
14 system. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 27 (4), 419-424.
15
16
17
18
19 Llerena, A., Cáceres, M.C., & Peñas-Lledó, E.M. (2002). Schizophrenia stigma
20
21 among medical and nursing undergraduates. *European Psychiatry*, 17 (5),
22
23 298-299.
24
25
26
27
28 Lu, J. L. (2011). Organisational role stress indices affecting burnout among nurses.
29
30 *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 65, A445.
31
32
33
34 Michalec, B., Diefenbeck, C., & Mahoney, M. (2013). The calm before the storm?
35
36 Burnout and compassion fatigue among undergraduate nursing students. *Nurse*
37
38 *Education Today*.
39
40
41
42
43 Pines, E. W., Rauschhuber, M. L., Norgan, G. H., Cook, J. D., Canchola, L.,
44
45 Richardson, C., & Jones, M. E. (2012). Stress resiliency, psychological
46
47 empowerment and conflict management styles among baccalaureate nursing
48
49 students. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 68 (7), 1482.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Pulido-Martos, M., Augusto-Landa, J.M., & Lopez-Zafra, E. (2012). Sources of stress
4
5 in nursing students: a systematic review of quantitative studies. *International*
6
7 *Nursing Review*, 59 (1), 15-25.
8
9

10
11 Rhead, M.M. (1995). Stress among student nurses: is it practical or academic? *Journal*
12
13 *of Clinical Nursing*, 4 (6), 369-376.
14
15

16
17
18 Schafer, T., Wood, S., & Williams, R. (2011). A survey into student nurses' attitudes
19
20 towards mental illness: Implications for nurse training. *Nurse Education*
21
22 *Today*, 31(4), 328-332.
23
24
25

26
27 Stephenson, D. (2004). *Time to Act: Pre-retirement Nurses Incentives Study*. Report
28
29 released to the Ontario Ministry and Long Term Care, Canada.
30
31

32
33
34 Stewart-Brown, S., Evans, J., Patterson, J., Petersen, S., Doll, H., Balding, J., et al.
35
36 (2000). The health of students in institutes of higher education: an important
37
38 and neglected public health problem? *Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 22,
39
40 492-498.
41
42
43

44
45 Sveinsdottir, H., Biering, P., & Ramel, A. (2006). Occupational stress, job
46
47 satisfaction, and working environment among Icelandic nurses: a cross-
48
49 sectional questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 43,
50
51 875-889.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Tully, A. (2004). Stress, sources of stress and ways of coping among psychiatric
4 nursing students. *Journal of Psychiatric & Mental Health Nursing*, 11 (1), 43-
5
6 47.
7
8

9
10
11 Vasiliadis, H. M., Forget, H., & Prévile, M. (2012). The association between
12 self-reported daily hassles and cortisol levels in depression and anxiety in
13
14 community living older adults. *International journal of geriatric psychiatry*.
15
16 DOI: 10.1002/gps.3912.
17
18
19

20
21
22
23 White, A., Shiralkar, U., Hassan, T., Galbraith, N., & Callaghan, R. (2006). Barriers
24
25 to mental healthcare for psychiatrists. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, 30, 382-384.
26
27

28
29
30 Yoon, S. L., & Kim, J. H. (2013). Job-Related Stress, Emotional Labor, and
31
32 Depressive Symptoms Among Korean Nurses. *Journal of Nursing*
33
34 *Scholarship*. DOI: 10.1111/jnu.12018
35
36

37
38
39 Young, G., & Spencer, J. (1996). General practitioners' views about the need for a
40
41 stress support service. *Family Practice*, 13, 517-521.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tables

Q1. Sex	Female	Male		
	197 (90.0)	21 (9.6%)		
Q2. Age	18-30	31-40	41-50	51 and over
	146 (66.7%)	47 (21.5%)	24 (11.0%)	2 (1%)
Q3. What stage of training are you at?	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
	119 (54.3%)	85 (38.8%)	15 (6.8%)	
Q4. What did you do before you began your nurse training?	School, college or university	Previous career lasting less than 5 years	Previous career lasting 5 years or more	Other
	86 (39.3%)	63 (28.8%)	61 (27.9%)	9 (4.1%)

Table 1. Demographic data

Q5. Have you ever experienced stress which has affected your personal, social or occupational life?	Yes	No			
	164 (74.9%)	55 (25.1%)			
Q6. Is the incidence of stress amongst nurses higher than the general population?	Yes	No	Don't know		
	158 (72.1%)	18 (8.2%)	43 (19.6%)		
Q7. Is the incidence of stress amongst nurses higher than other healthcare professions?	Yes	No	Don't know		
	82 (37.4%)	53 (24.2%)	84 (38.4%)		
Q8. If you were to develop stress, to whom would you be most likely to disclose this?	Family/friends	Colleagues	Professional institutions	No-one	
	191 (87.2%)	3 (1.4%)	4 (1.8%)	11 (5.0%)	
Q9. What is the most important factor that would affect your decision to disclose your stress?	Stigma	Career implications	Professional integrity	Other	
	54 (24.7%)	99 (45.2%)	32 (14.6%)	24 (11.0%)	
Q10. If you required out-patient treatment for stress what would be your first treatment preference?	Informal advice	Formal advice	Self-medication	Social support	No treatment
	65 (29.7%)	25 (11.4%)	9 (4.1%)	105 (47.9%)	13 (5.9%)
Q11. In choosing your treatment preference which of the following influenced your decision most?	Quick recovery	Convenience	Confidentiality	Stigma	
	60 (27.4%)	55 (25.1%)	82 (37.4%)	17 (7.8%)	
Q12. If you required in-patient treatment for stress where would be your first treatment preference?	Local NHS	Distant NHS	Local private	Distant private	
	66 (30.1%)	24 (11.0%)	90 (41.1%)	37 (16.9%)	
Q13. In choosing your in-patient treatment preference which of the following influenced your decision most?	Quality of care	Convenience	Confidentiality	Stigma	
	51 (23.3%)	62 (28.3%)	89 (40.6%)	13 (5.9%)	
Q14. If a colleague developed stress would you lose confidence in their ability to do their job?	Yes	No	Don't know		
	23 (10.5%)	152 (69.4%)	44 (20.1%)		
Q15. If a colleague developed stress, would you expect them to stop working until they recovered?	Yes	No	Don't know		
	60 (27.4%)	92 (42.0%)	66 (30.1%)		

Table 2. The frequency of responses to questions 5 - 15.

		Q13 Factor influencing in-patient treatment preference			
		Quality of Care	Convenience	Confidentiality	Stigma
Q12 patient preference	In-Local NHS	15 (22.7%)	43 (65.2%)	7 (10.6%)	1 (1.5%)
	Distant NHS	1 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (91.7%)	1 (4.2%)
	Local Private	31 (35.2%)	16 (18.2%)	34 (38.6%)	7 (8.0%)
	Distant Private	4 (11.1%)	3 (8.3%)	25 (69.4%)	4 (11.1%)

Table 3. Frequency table showing the choices for in-patient treatment and the factors which influenced those choices (observed frequencies are shown, with percentages for rows in parentheses).

			Q11 Factor influencing out-patient treatment preference			
			Quick recovery	Convenience	Confidentiality	Stigma
Q14 Lose confidence in colleague?	Yes	2 (9.1%)	5 (22.7%)	10 (45.5%)	5 (22.7%)	
	No	45 (30.0%)	40 (26.7%)	54 (36.0%)	11 (7.3%)	

Table 4. Frequency table showing the association between question 14 and question 11 (observed frequencies are shown, with percentages for rows in parentheses).

For Review Only

			Q13 Factor influencing in-patient treatment preference			
			Quality of care	Convenience	Confidentiality	Stigma
Q14 confidence colleague?	Lose in	Yes	1 (4.5%)	5 (22.7%)	11 (50.0%)	5 (22.7%)
		No	39 (26.2%)	41 (27.5%)	65 (43.6%)	4 (2.7%)

Table 5. Frequency table showing the association between question 14 and question 13 (observed frequencies are shown, with percentages for rows in parentheses).

For Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60