

# Jealousy, Transmission and Recovery

Ellis, S

**Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository**

**Original citation & hyperlink:**

Ellis, S 2015, 'Jealousy, Transmission and Recovery' *Performance Research: A Journal of the Performing Arts*, vol 20, no. 6, pp. 95-100. DOI:

10.1080/13528165.2015.1111061

<https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13528165.2015.1111061>

DOI 10.1080/13528165.2015.1111061

ISSN 1352-8165

ESSN 1469-9990

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

***This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Performance Research on 26 November 2015 available***

***online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/13528165.2015.1111061>***

**Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.**

**This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.**

## Jealousy, Transmission and Recovery

### Recovery

It started without me, and ended without me.

After a long and testing development, the performance project Recovery (Cursio et al. 2014) premiered at The Substation in Melbourne in December 2014. I was asked to direct the project after it had begun and I was also absent from its première season.

Recovery's title speaks to an event or events prior to its performance or presentation, and prior to its conception; its artists and performers are also looking back (Heathfield 2009: 16). We imagine that these people/performers are recovering from something and we know it happened sometime in the past. At the very least, the première of a work called Recovery marks a change, a moment when we might agree that a recovery has begun, but can never be sure if it has ended. Extension through time is implicit in recovery, that it/them/I/you continue to recover, and perhaps will never fully be recovered. If we were once covered, our re-covering persists through time.

But Recovery is not really gone. Recovery's collaborative team now has various archival 'data pipes' (Toop 2004: 72) that are the norm for performance makers and choreographers: still images, multiple video perspectives, blog posts, reflective and analytical writing and reviews. These pipes are part of a performance's evolving modes of production and function. Together, they represent how this performance is now transmitted, but they also imply or register Recovery's memory, death and archive as performance.

André Lepecki writes that it is the archive itself that performs its own 'endless memory "failures"' (2010: 30) because it determines what is both included and excluded from its spaces and times. He argues that 'not all contemporary art -- nor even art aimed at "connecting" -- is propelled by and toward the archival' (2010: 30). In performance and dance Lepecki's statement no longer holds. Perhaps not all performance is propelled by the archive, but all performance is propelled towards it. Performance and dance are being inhaled by archives as data-scapes, along with

their various conduits, tools, forms and manifestations.{{note}}1 And with each inhalation, the nature of how performance exists in time is changed.

For all of Recovery's post-performance data and inevitable dance towards the archive, and for all the seductive potential of these data, I remain jealous of the work in Melbourne.

## Jealousy

Jealousy involves three parties: the subject, the beloved and the rival (D'Arms 2002: n.p.). In my physical absence from the final development and première performances of Recovery I understand these to be me (the subject), Recovery (the beloved) and the audience (the rival). It is the beloved that is the 'jealous person's real locus of concern' (D'Arms 2002: n.p.).

You see, I know you Recovery. I know your tastes, your pasts and your unwillingness to keel over and stop being made. In spite or because of our intimacy, I felt -- and continue to feel -- jilted by your appearance and disappearance, regardless of your various audiences or who it was that you consorted with.

Soon after the première, I read a review of Recovery by Gracia Haby (2014: n.p.), and as I read her writing I became infected with qualities of jealousy: helplessness, resentment and grief (Pines 1998: 60).

Reflected in the work's steely resolve ... Cursio and Bott have found a way to give movement to the loneliness of being left behind, the wrong feel of a body no longer warm by your side. (Gracia Haby 2014: n.p.)

In the transmission from rehearsal and development, to Natalie and Shannon's performances, to Haby's experience, and her words on a screen, I became all but erased; my absence was unmissable, and it was as if I had been torn from my beloved.

My jealousy, an acute sensation of having been excluded, has elicited a desire for me to use this writing to comprehend what has happened to my beloved Recovery. Is Recovery complete or ended? What are its marks and inscriptions beyond those best known -- and felt -- by the performers and co-choreographers Shannon Bott and Natalie Cursio? Why should others even care about Recovery's

dissolution and subsequent adaptation into data? Perhaps it is just another relatively unimportant performance project whose season has past, and it is now lost.

[[figure1]]

## Ephemerality and survival

Loss is a seductive trope of performance; in its eyes are reflected the maker's desire for performance to imprint the people who experience it. The paradox of disappearance and inscription of affect -- we want to feel the power of performance to leave its mark(s) on us as it disappears -- is replete with poetics of time, loss, permanence and memory. This paradox -- in which the documentation and archiving of performance also amplifies its death -- has been thoroughly and eloquently theorised in performance studies.{{note}}<sup>2</sup>

Ephemerality in relation to performance is described by Adrian Heathfield as 'holding a set of disruptive consequences for historical narration, the archive, cultural memory, critical theory and documentary practice' (2009: 13). Heathfield also suggests that the problem for performance theorists is that they lift the event above its other eventual versions (2012).

In this writing -- developed and considered from the perspective of the practitioner -- Heathfield's trap of hierarchy is not my temptation or concern. What is at stake here are two things: evolution (or adaptation) and stewardship, both of which speak to time in similarly long-term ways. When André Lepecki theorises the archive (after Foucault) as a 'system of transforming simultaneously past, present, and future -- that is, a system for recreating a whole economy of the temporal' (2010: 30), I recognise the importance of understanding and testing the nature of such an economy.

With my jealousy, I reconsider the role of the choreographer in time, and to theorise choreography's temporal value beyond annotation, archives or even performance itself. My concern is less with transience or ephemerality or reproduction. It's about determination, resilience, existence and survival; not survival for commercial purposes, but rather a last ditch effort to be.

## Spillover

In his 2012 biological page-turner *Spillover* (2012), David Quammen writes about the interspecies leaps -- zoonoses -- from nonhuman animals into humans.

When a pathogen leaps from some nonhuman animal into a person, and succeeds there in establishing itself as an infectious presence, sometimes causing illness or death, the result is a zoonosis. (David Quammen 2012: 25).

Such interspecies leaps are very common in which an organism finds itself by chance in an alien environment. The moment of spillover -- when a pathogen passes from members of one species into members of another -- represents what Quammen calls a 'sweepstakes ticket ... for a new and more grandiose existence. It's a long-shot chance to transcend the dead end' (2012: 164).

We are familiar with viruses that have spilled over: Black Death plague, Ebola and HIV. These are the pandemics that litter human history, and the capacity of zoonotic organisms to transcend species and adapt is the key to their success. Zoonoses are a reminder that 'People and gorillas, horses and duikers and pigs, monkeys and chimps and bats and viruses: We're all in this together.' (Quammen 2012: 258 my emphasis).

I am imagining the change from performance to data as being akin to biological spillover: a leap between species hellbent on adaptation and survival. In a radically different environment, the project (or species) has the potential to adapt to make other types of transmissions possible. And like the horses, pigs and monkeys, we -- performance, data, memory, presence, annotations and archives -- are all in this together.

The suffix -osis (as in zoonosis) refers to an action, formation, (abnormal) increase or an infestation, and in the inter-species leap between performance and data, it is movement itself that is reflexively activated. The leap reflects a stirring up or excitation of the possibilities of performance to extend and adapt itself. To excite, rouse or stir up is cieo or ciere in Latin and perhaps the neologism cieosis is to performance and data that zoonosis is to non-human and human animals. If cieosis names the stirring up of action, or an infestation of movement, the moment at which

the organism of performance adapts itself to data, then what forms are generated or made and how might these forms matter in an extended choreography of time?

## Making

Anthropologist Tim Ingold adopts a long-term or wide-angle approach to understanding processes -- and outcomes -- of change and making.

To read making longitudinally, as a confluence of forces and materials, rather than laterally, as a transposition from [internal] image to object, is to regard it as such a form-generating -- or morphogenetic -- process. This is to soften any distinction we might draw between organism and artefact. (Tim Ingold 2013: 21)

Ingold's understanding of making is more flexible and broad and it asks that we consider the life history of a project as extending beyond the traditional understanding of its beginning and end. Although Ingold is referring to a singular thing, or event, or process, the terms of that singularity are more difficult to reconcile with any desire to mark, delineate, contain or author the life of something or someone. Instead, Ingold's ideas of morphogenesis -- based on the work of Gilbert Simondon (2005) -- afford remarkable change, adaptation and becoming. His thinking chimes with biological systems in which time is measured in centuries and millenia. As we are being we are made: our lives, our objects, our performances, our recovery, our destruction and death, our rebuilding and our changing is on-going.

The imperative is to make sense of what is inbetween performance and archival data; to recognise the 'continuous modulation that goes on in the midst of form-taking activity, in the becoming of things' (Ingold 2013: 25). The process of becoming data is unavoidable; all materials -- performative or otherwise -- 'are always and already on their ways to becoming something else' (Ingold 2013: 31).

What is marked at the point of recovery, at the point of death, at the moment of transmission -- between performance and data, between the breath and inexplicable stillness -- is a radical change. But is it so radical that we can't imagine it to be part of a singular making: a singular system of pressures, adaptation and evolution?

## Stewardship

A steward is someone who accepts responsibility for taking care of something that is deemed worthy of care. Stewardship implies a lightness of touch and time in which the steward -- at the request of someone else, or acting on their behalf -- might manage resources, frames or contexts, materials and even culture. A steward is accountable and responsible. If even simply watching a performance can be conceived as an integral part of -- or intervention into -- a work's stewardship and coming into being, then in the case of Recovery my stewardship has been productively askew.{{note}}3

At any stage of the life cycle of a performance work, the steward (and there are many of us/them, even in the smallest and briefest of productions) possesses key responsibilities of observation, care, imagination, patience and willingness to change. The steward's brief encounters with a performance are at odds with the forming and re-forming of that performance's insistence, persistence, adaptation and collapse over time. I understand that performance (like the natural world) is beyond me; its scale is such that I can only serve it for the briefest of moments.

The kind of scale I am referring to is easy to imagine in the work of a visual artist like John F. Simon whose work is developed from -- or in response to -- twenty-five years of daily meditation.{{note}}4

Such slipperiness between process and objects is less common in performance and choreography. The conventions and economies of production expect discrete objects or outcomes, and to imagine the life-cycle of performances far beyond their prized and valorised temporal edges -- to celebrate the ghosts and decays, the reluctant, determined or even incidental stewards, the others of performance -- compromises authorial presence and purpose in performance making.

As performance adapts and persists, who do I -- the steward/maker -- become to Recovery when it has morphed into something other, into forms that might easily be dismissed as cheapened data-scapes of complex experiences?

My stewardship, however brief, remains a gift, a gift to now and the future. The work -- the thing -- and its adaptation is ongoing as it steps and tumbles towards dust. It is much bigger, greater, longer and important than I can every hope to be.

From the perspective of the maker-choreographer, I understand this long-term transience to be the value of performance. This is precisely the opposite of celebrating performance's apparent and short-term ephemerality and singularity.

## Choreography

For Adrian Heathfield, 'the multiple lives of performance ... suggest that one of performance's most consistent and recurring conditions is transformation' (2012: 32). He suggests that it is possible to look for the many lives (or life forces) of performances without assuming that they 'constitute its "only life"' (2012: 32). I understand adaptation to be a more useful term than transformation because it remembers and foregrounds how environmental contexts -- economic, curatorial, devising, physical, technological -- have afforded change and difference. Such contexts are how performance persists and survives; they are its adaptive reasoning, and at the same time they reveal the possibilities for what is transmitted -- and how -- as performance is recast and re-choreographed as data.

At its most pragmatic, choreography is 'writing with the body' (Hoghe 2007: n.p.), and yet André Lepecki demands that dance itself 'loses many of its possibilities of becoming [when] it falls prey to a powerful apparatus of capture called "choreography"' (2007: 122). The recasting of performance as data involves an already captured becoming -- twice captured -- twice restrained.

As the concept and practice of choreography is stretched it is increasingly marked by the dissolution of the body. The borders of choreographic practices have become profoundly permeable: membranes through which materials, ideas, people, objects, time, experience and audiences pass back and forth. A choreography is not a singular event, and nor is it made by a single person.

Choreographer Astad Deboo writes that choreography 'has several choreographers, some animate, some inanimate' (2001: n.p.). Deboo's thinking is akin to how I understand the nature of choreographic or directorial stewardship. As a steward, I recognise that I am only one of many in the emergence of a (choreographic and performative) form, and the way in which it extends through time. And although I am talking specifically about the making and choreography of performance, our lives are also thus made, and they are also transmitted through multiple forms in spite of the apparent singularity of our corporeal form. This analogy



-- between the making of our lives as we live them, and the making of performance as it is performed -- repositions longitudinal thinking, practice and attitudes as being vital to how we make sense of what we do and what remains.

The material manifestations of Recovery have changed. The project has become redundant backup systems : RAID level 1 (mirrored) hard drives and/or cloud storage. The degree of abstraction from the suchness of the experience is stretched, cajoled, and bent into a difference by kind, a living system with a different digital host, that in turn affords genetic mutation and adaptation.

In March 2015 I returned to Melbourne for the first time since Recovery's première. I took with me a portable external hard drive in order to collect Recovery's digital remains. There were nearly 500GB of video and photographic data; traces of a performance, the memories of others, a digital taste of my beloved. I returned to the UK, with hard drive as urn, in order to sprinkle what was left of Recovery over (most likely) the internet. These hard drives and their contents -- along with all of my choreographic work as data -- appear in my will:

I GIVE all my digital data and hard drives relating to my artistic life including my web materials to DAVID CORBET of ... AUSTRALIA absolutely.

Where once I was its steward, I now appear to be haunting Recovery in a living version of how writer and editor Steve Rogers haunts While You Are with Us Here Tonight (Etchells et al. 2014). Rogers' is a delicate yet brutal kind of absence that marks time, and intervenes with death, whilst I am more of a distant shadow, holding onto the detritus of Recovery's state of liveness. Regardless, after the long haul, these adaptations and data-scapes, the cienotic leap from performance to data will all eventually and inevitably be shadows. It's just a matter of time, and this seems perfect.

## References

Cursio, Natalie, Shannon Bott, and Simon Ellis (2014) Recovery, Melbourne: The Substation.

D'Arms, Justin (2002) Envy, <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/envy>, accessed 20 December 2014.

Davies, Siobhan and Sarah Whatley (2009) Siobhan Davies RePlay, <http://www.siobhandaviesreplay.com>, accessed 10 February 2015.

De Keersmaeker, Anne Terea and Bojana Cvejić (2012) A Choreographer's Score: Fase, Rosas Danst Rosas, Elena's Aria, Bartók, New Haven, CT: Mercatorfonds and Rosas.

Deboo, Astad (2001) What Is Choreography? <http://www.narthaki.com/hplinks/nkccds.html>, accessed 20 January 2015.

Etchells, Tim, Adrian Heathfield, Hugo Glendinning, Kate McIntosh, Emil Hrvatin, Janez Janša, Steve Rogers, Terry O'Connor, and Vlatka Horvat (2014) While You Are with Us Here Tonight, London: Live Art Development Agency.

Forsythe, William (2010) Motion Bank, <http://motionbank.org/en>, accessed 11 February 2015.

Forsythe, William, Maria Palazzi, and Nora Zuniga Shaw, N. (2009) Synchronous Objects, <http://synchronousobjects.osu.edu>, accessed 11 February 2015.

Haby, Gracia (2014) 'In Recovery, Disarming & Delicate', Fjord Review, <http://www.fjordreview.com/in-recovery-disarming-delicate>, accessed 16 December 2014.

Heathfield, Adrian (2009) 'Impress of Time', in Adrian Heathfield and Tehching Hsieh, Out of Now: The Lifeworks of Tehching Hsieh, London: Live Art Development Agency, 10--16.

Heathfield, Adrian (2012) 'Then Again', in Amelia Jones and Adrian Heathfield (eds) Perform, Repeat, Record, London: Intellect Books, 27--35.

Hoghe, Raimund (2007) What Is Choreography? <http://www.corpusweb.net/answers-0814.html>, accessed 10 February 2015.

Ingold, Tim (2013) Making, London: Routledge.

Jones, Amelia and Adrian Heathfield (2012) Perform, Repeat, Record, London: Intellect Books.

Lepecki, André (2007) 'Choreography as Apparatus of Capture'. TDR: The Drama Review 51 (2), 119--123.

Lepecki, André (2010) 'The Body as Archive: Will to Re-Enact and the Afterlives of Dances'. Dance Research Journal 42 (2), 28--48.

Pines, Ayala (1998) Romantic Jealousy, London: Routledge.

Quammen, David (2012) Spillover, New York: W.W. Norton and Company.

Reason, Matthew (2006) Documentation, Disappearance and the Representation of Live Performance, Palgrave Macmillan.

Simondon, Gilbert (2005) L'individuation À La Lumière Des Notions De Forme Et d'Information, Grenoble: Editions Jérôme Millon.

Szott, Randall (2013) Mark T. Mitchell - the Art of Attention - Stewardship and Cosmopolitan Neglect, <http://randallszott.org/2013/03/01/mark-t-mitchell-the-art-of-attention-stewardship-and-cosmopolitan-neglect>, accessed 10 January 2015.

Toop, David (2004) Haunted Weather, London: Serpents Tail.

Vaughan, David, Bonnie Brooks, Melissa Harris, Trevor Carlson, David Frankel, Larry Larson, and Didier García (2012) Merce Cunningham: 65 Years, New York: Aperture Foundation Inc.

## Notes

1 There have been a number of recent high profile dance archives, including, Motion Bank (Forsythe 2010), and its initial outcome Synchronous Objects (Forsythe et al. 2009), Siobhan Davies RePlay (Davies and Whatley 2009), Merce Cunningham: 65 Years (Vaughan et al. 2012) and A Choreographer's Score by Anne Teresa De Keersmaecker and Bojana Cvejić (2012).

2 See, for example, Reason (2006), Lepecki (2010) and Jones and Heathfield (2012).

3 My use of the word stewardship was provoked by its use in an entirely different context – the care of the natural world – in Randall Szott's blog, Lebenskünstler (2013).

4 John F Simon's work was discussed by Xiaoying Yuan on 20 May 2015 on the New-Media-Curating listserv (hosted by Jiscmail) in response to a discussion on 'Issues surrounding "Object" and the process-based art curating in music and sound.' The thread can be viewed at <https://goo.gl/mOIPbN>.