

# Match play demands of 11 versus 11 professional football using Global Positioning System tracking: Variations across common playing formations

Tierney, P. J., Young, A., Clarke, N. D., Duncan, M. J.

Author post-print (accepted) deposited by Coventry University's Repository

## Original citation & hyperlink:

Tierney, PJ, Young, A, Clarke, ND & Duncan, MJ 2016, 'Match play demands of 11 versus 11 professional football using Global Positioning System tracking: Variations across common playing formations' *Human Movement Science*, vol 49, pp. 1-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.humov.2016.05.007 <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2016.05.007>

DOI 10.1016/j.humov.2016.05.007

ISSN 0167-9457

ESSN 1872-7646

Publisher: Elsevier

***NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Human Movement Science. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Human Movement Science, VOL 49, (2016) DOI: 10.1016/j.humov.2016.05.007***

© 2016, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the author's post-print version, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer-review process. Some differences between the published version and this version

may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

## **Match play demands of 11 versus 11 professional football using Global Positioning**

### **System Tracking: Variations across common playing formations**

Running Head: Match play demands of football

Authors: Peter J. Tierney<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Young<sup>1</sup>, Neil D. Clarke<sup>2</sup>, Michael J. Duncan<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Coventry City Football Club, Coventry, UK. <sup>2</sup>Human Performance Laboratory, Coventry University, Coventry, UK.

Address for correspondence: Peter J. Tierney, Coventry City Football Club, Leamington Road, Ryton on Dunsmore, Coventry, UK, CV8 3FL. E-mail [pete.tierney@ccfc.co.uk](mailto:pete.tierney@ccfc.co.uk)

### **Abstract**

This study aimed to examine Global Positioning System (GPS) determined movement patterns across the 5 most common playing formations (4-4-2; 4-3-3; 3-5-2; 3-4-3; 4-2-3-1) employed in 11 versus 11 football match play in England. Elite male footballers (n = 46) were monitored over the course of a season; Total distance (TD), High speed running (HSR), High metabolic Load distance (HMLD), High speed accelerations (Acc) and decelerations (Dec) data was collected for analysis. It was found that 3-5-2 formation elicited higher TD ( $10528 \pm 565\text{m}$ ,  $p= 0.05$ ), HSR ( $642 \pm 215\text{m}$ ,  $p= 0.001$ ), and HMLD ( $2025 \pm 304\text{m}$ ,  $p= 0.001$ ) than all other formations and above average Acc and Dec ( $34 \pm 7$ ,  $p= 0.036$  and  $57 \pm 10$ ,  $p= 0.006$ ), with 4-2-3-1 eliciting the highest Acc and Dec ( $38 \pm 8$  and  $61 \pm 12$ ). Positional data showed that CM in 4-3-3 covered >11% TD than in 4-4-2 ( $p= 0.012$ ). FW in 3-5-2 covered >45% HSR than in 4-2-3-1 ( $p=0.004$ ). CM in 4-3-3 covered >14% HMLD than in 4-4-2 ( $p=0.367$ ). FW in 4-3-3 performed >49% accelerations than in 4-2-3-1 ( $p=0.293$ ). WD in 3-5-2 performed >20% more decelerations than in 4-4-2 ( $p=0.161$ ). This study is important for coaches understanding, that positional physical characteristics are influenced by the demands of playing in different formations during match play.

## **Introduction**

Within the game of football, laboratory and field based testing (Bangsbo et al., 2006; Le Gall et al., 2010) have been widely used as a means to understand the physiological and movement demands involved. To further this understanding, there has been an increased focus on in-game analysis and data collection (Buchheit et al., 2014). The technical and tactical nature of football has shown that the physical characteristics are multifactorial (Bradley et al., 2013) and that the physiological demands have changed as the nature of the game has further evolved (Barnes et al., 2014; Malone et al., 2015). There is a scarcity of research that has quantified directly the individual movement specific requirements and physiological demands involved in 11 versus 11 match play in football (Bradley et al., 2013). To date, there has been limited examination as to how different playing formations and positions alter the physiological and technical demands required (Dellal et al., 2012; Russell et al., 2015). It is important to evaluate the match play demands in football, for each position within different playing formations in order to better guide conditioning coaches and sport specific coaches to individual demands involved during football match play.

The use of Global Positioning Systems (GPS) has become increasingly popular, quantifying movements such as distance covered, accelerations, decelerations, changes of direction and various speed distances (Vickery et al., 2014; Dellaserra et al., 2014). Recently, FIFA amended their rules to allow for the use in competitive match play of electronic performance tracking systems such as direct worn GPS devices (FIFA, 2015). Since the start of the 2015-2016 Football League season in England, players have now been allowed to wear such devices (FA, 2015). These recent developments now allow for player movement and energy costs to be quantified (Akenhead et al., 2013; Neville et al., 2009).

Thus allowing for a better understanding of the physiological characteristics required to perform at elite level football. Compared to methods of tracking players such as time

motion, video and hand notation systems, GPS units that are worn directly by individual players has been reported as having greater reliability and validity (Austin and Kelly, 2014; Randers et al., 2010). Specifically, when used for various measures such as accelerations, decelerations, high speed running and total distance (Stevens et al., 2015). Furthermore, if used in an integrated approach where training and match play demands are measured using differing methods these differences are far greater magnified (Vickery et al., 2014).

Although GPS tracking shows great potential for developing a far greater understanding of football science (Buchheit et al., 2014); no study to date has provided an overview of the different demands for each playing position within different playing formations. The present study sought to address this issue by examining match movements of individual positions and in various formations within 11 versus 11 football match play in England.

## **Methods**

### Experimental approach to the problem

This study was designed to evaluate the match play demands across various formations of 11 vs 11 in professional football using portable GPS tracking, and to examine the match-play demands for the various playing position employed in different playing formations. Elite level football players from under 21s and under 18s squads were monitored during the course of competitive matches of 90 minute duration during the 2014 season (August 2014 - May 2015). Formations selected were from the 5 most popular employed in 11 versus 11 competitive match play, these were; 4-4-2, 4-3-3, 3-5-2, 3-4-3 and 4-2-3-1 (Table 1). All matches were played outdoor on natural grass pitches, dimensions of playing area length 100 metres and width  $66 \pm 2$  metres. Games were played during the afternoon or early evening between 13:00h – 20:30h on dates set in the fixture schedule and in accordance with the football league rules and regulations (Football League, 2014). All players abstained

from any strenuous activity 24 hours before and no player participated less than 72 hours between matches. Players maintained their normal routines pre and post-match as professional football players.

## Subjects

Full time professional football players with at least 2 years' playing experience of elite level football at a professional football club (n=46, with a mean age  $20 \pm 3$  years, height of  $179 \pm 5$  cm, body mass of  $79.5 \pm 6.3$  kg and estimated body fat percentage of  $6.9 \pm 1.5\%$ ) respectively participated in this study. Informed consent was provided by each player. Academic ethics approval was obtained even though the data was obtained from activities that players routinely undertook as part of the monitoring process during the course of the football season. This was to conform with parental consent which was also given for any player under the age of 18 years. Participants completed a health screen questionnaire prior to the study, in addition each participant's capabilities to participate in physical activity was assessed by a Doctor and qualified Physiotherapist.

## Experimental procedure

Height (m), mass (kg) and body fat were measured at regular intervals throughout the course of the season. Height and mass was determined with participants wearing minimal clothing and no footwear using a Seca (216 model) Height measure and Seca (700 model) weighing scales (Seca Ltd, Hamburg Germany). The relevant risk assessments and safety procedures were completed and strictly adhered to in accordance with the sport governing body The Football League and academic institution. Data for analysis was included from a minimum of 3 matches for each of the formations, where the formation remained for the full length of match time (90 minutes) and players who met the following criteria; a). Played a full match (90 minutes) b). Previous experience of playing in the position playing in and in

the formation c). Played in the same position throughout the match. Data was filtered to exclude any activity prior to kick-off, during half time and immediately after the match, including warm up prior to start, half time re-warm up and post-match cool down. Therefore, only data from Match play was analysed.

Individual GPS units (Stat sports Newry, Northern Ireland), dimensions 86mm x 33mm x 14mm, mass 50g was worn underneath each participants playing jersey in a purpose designed tight fitting vest ensuring stability of device in situ between the shoulder blades whilst enabling unrestricted movement of upper limbs and torso. Each individual device was checked that switched on prior to kick off and remained on for the duration of the match. All participants were experienced in the wearing of the vests and units as they wear for all football training as well as Match play, none complained of any issues nor did it impede in any way their normal range of movement or performance from the result of wearing of vests and fitted units.

GPS units used captured data at 10Hz as this being identified as a far superior method than 15Hz (Johnston et al., 2014) and has been shown to achieve more valid data and has a greater reliability than other methods previously reported such as 1Hz-5Hz devices (Portas et al., 2010). The system employed for the study also had 100Hz gyroscope 100Hz tri-Axial accelerometer and 10Hz magnetometre fitted within the unit which has been shown to be more accurate than other systems that have 1-5Hz and 15Hz data capture ability (Johnston et al., 2014; Rawstorn et al., 2014). Specifically changes in running speed such as High speed running, accelerations and decelerations that occur in football (Colby et al., 2014). It has also been reported that this brand of GPS unit has a reduced error of measurement in comparison to other brands available (Marathon performance, 2014).

Data collected for analysis from each GPS unit included: Total distance (TD) covered measured in metres, High metabolic Load distance (HMLD) - includes all High speed

running and all accelerations and decelerations above  $2\text{m/s}^2$  measured in metres, High speed running (HSR) - all running equal to and above  $19.8\text{ km/h}$  measured in metres, accelerations (Acc) decelerations (Dec) - total number of accelerations and decelerations performed  $\geq 3\text{ m.s}^{-2}$ . The thresholds employed for this study were determined following a review of research in these areas that concluded that these were of the most value during match play (Akenhead et al., 2013; Barnes et al., 2014). Data was collected over the course of the 2014 season u21s (19 matches) and u18s (23 matches) met the study criteria. Participants were categorised into common positions of wide defenders (WD,  $n=10$ ), central defenders (CD,  $n=9$ ), Wide midfield (WM,  $n=9$ ), central midfield (CM,  $n=10$ ) and Forwards (FW,  $n=8$ ). These were then further broken down to each individual position. Data provided from the GPS units was taken from each 90 minutes of match play including any injury time added. This was further broken down to each half. TD, HSR, HMLD, Acc and Dec from GPS units were recorded for each individual player.

### Statistical Analysis

Data was presented as mean  $\pm$  SD and 95% confidence intervals. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was conducted to examine any differences in performance variables as a consequence of playing position and playing formation, wherein the dependent variables were GPS derived performance variables: Total distance (m), high speed running (m), high metabolic load distance (m), number of high speed accelerations and number of high speed decelerations. Fixed factors were playing position (wide defender; central defender; wide midfield; centre midfield; forward) and playing formation (4-4-2; 4-3-3; 3-5-2; 3-4-3; 4-2-3-1). Where any significant differences were found Bonferroni post-hoc multiple comparisons were used to determine where the difference lay. Partial  $\eta^2$  was used as a measure of effect size and statistical significance was set at  $p = 0.05$  a priori. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS, version 22) was used for all analysis



## Results

There was a significant formation X position interaction, ( $F(16,184)=2.5$ ,  $p=0.002$ , *Wilks' Lambda* = 0.565, *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.18 [trivial]) and significant multivariate effects for playing formation, ( $F(24,625)=2.52$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *Wilks' Lambda* = 0.724, *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.78 [moderate]) and playing position, ( $F(24,625)=5.77$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *Wilks' Lambda* = 0.497, *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.16 [trivial]). Analysis of each individual dependent variable (with Bonferroni correction) was conducted.

### Playing formation

There were significant differences in total distance ( $F(4,184)=2.376$ ,  $p=0.05$ , *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.05 [trivial]), HSR ( $F(4,184)=4.644$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.09 [trivial]) and HMLD ( $F(4,184)=5.274$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.10 [trivial]). Post hoc analysis indicated that TD was significantly lower in 4-4-2 ( $p = 0.05$ ;  $d=0.47$ ) and 4-2-3-1 ( $p = 0.003$ ;  $d=0.34$ ) formations compared to 3-5-2. For HSR, values were lower in 4-4-2 ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=0.46$ ), 3-4-3 ( $p = 0.045$ ;  $d=0.22$ ) and 4-2-3-1 ( $p = .001$ ;  $d=0.25$ ) formations compared to 3-5-2. In regard to data for HMLD values were significantly lower for 4-4-2 ( $p = 0.0001$ ;  $d=0.84$ ), 4-3-3 ( $p = 0.044$ ;  $d=0.55$ ) and 4-2-3-1 ( $p = 0.007$ ;  $d=0.31$ ) compared to 3-5-2. Values for 4-4-2 were also significantly lower than for 3-4-3 ( $p = 0.03$ ;  $d=0.57$ ) and 4-2-3-1 ( $p = 0.051$ ;  $d=0.55$ ) formations. There was also significant position X formation interactions for number of Acc ( $F(16,184)=1.781$ ,  $p=0.036$ , *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.13 [trivial] See Figure 1A), and number of Dec ( $F(16,184)=2.205$ ,  $p=0.006$ , *partial*  $\eta^2$  = 0.16 [trivial], See Figure 1B). Post-hoc analysis revealed that there were a significantly greater number of Acc for central midfielders in 4-2-3-1 formation compared to 4-4-2 ( $p = 0.012$ ;  $d=1.52$ ), 3-5-2 ( $p = 0.004$ ;  $d=1.36$ ) and 3-4-3 ( $p = 0.021$ ;  $d=1.72$ ) formations. There were no other significant differences in number of Acc across playing positions and formations. This pattern was somewhat replicated for number of Dec with CM having a significantly higher number of Dec in 4-2-3-1 formation compared

to 4-4-2 ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.90$ ), 4-3-3 ( $p = 0.026$ ;  $d=1.40$ ) and 3-5-2 ( $p = 0.006$ ;  $d=1.37$ ) formations see Table 2.

### Playing position

There were significant differences for TD ( $F(4,184)=3.776$ ,  $p=0.006$ , *partial*  $\eta^2 = 0.76$  [moderate]), HSR ( $F(4,184)=20.327$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *partial*  $\eta^2 = 0.31$  [small]) and HMLD ( $F(4,184)=8.939$ ,  $p=0.001$ , *partial*  $\eta^2 = 0.163$ ). Bonferroni post-hoc analysis indicated that CD had lower TD values compared to WD ( $p = 0.038$ ;  $d=0.91$ ), WM ( $p = 0.002$ ;  $d=0.74$ ), CM ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=0.59$ ) and FW ( $p = 0.042$ ;  $d=0.79$ ). In regard to HSR, CD had lower values compared to WD ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=2.07$ ), WM ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=0.49$ ) and FW ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.48$ ) (CM had lower values compared to WD ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.34$ ), WM ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=0.19$ ) and FW ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.00$ )). For HMLD, CD had significantly lower values compared to WD ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.35$ ), WM ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.10$ ), FW ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d=1.21$ ) and CM ( $p = 0.002$ ;  $d=0.66$ ). CM also had significantly lower values compared to WM ( $p = 0.037$ ;  $d=0.23$ ) but higher values compared to FW ( $p = 0.05$ ;  $d=0.43$ ) see Table 3.

## **Discussion**

The aims of this study were to examine match-play demands in 11 versus 11 professional football and determine the physical characteristics for various playing positions employed across 5 common formations. To the authors knowledge this is the first study to present such a comprehensive data set from competitive professional football. This study revealed that all the metrics varied across all formations (Table 2). It was also found that these variations were far greater when analysing positional group data. There are studies that have examined TD, HSR, accelerations and decelerations (Akenhead et al., 2013; Mara et

al., 2015) which are similar to findings of this study. Furthermore, Malone and colleagues (Malone et al., 2015), reported differences between positional groups for TD and HSR. An observation that these studies had in common was that they highlighted the lack of GPS competitive match play data. In contrast this current study has clearly identified and categorised further by including not only differences across formations but positional differences as well during competitive match play.

This current study found that 3-5-2 formation elicited higher TD ( $10528 \pm 565\text{m}$ ), HSR ( $642 \pm 215\text{m}$ ), and HMLD ( $2025 \pm 304\text{m}$ ) than all other formations and above average Acc and Dec ( $34 \pm 7$  and  $57 \pm 10$ ), with 4-2-3-1 eliciting the highest Acc and Dec ( $38 \pm 8$  and  $61 \pm 12$ ) see (Table 2). Results suggest that 3-5-2 formation overall is the most physically demanding of all formations detailed in this study, irrespective of playing position. However, that said there are marked differences in the positional demands across formations.

Analysis of positional data regardless of formation showed that WM covered the furthest TD, HMLD and greatest number of Dec, with FW covering the furthest HSR and the greatest number of Acc. When compared to the shortest distance covered and fewest number there were found to be 11%, 11%, 17%, 14%, 15% differences respectively (Table 3).

Analysis of positional data across formations showed that CM in 4-3-3 covered greater distance ( $10643 \pm 1093\text{ m}$ ) >11% than in 4-4-2. FW in 3-5-2 covered greater HSR ( $894 \pm 188\text{ m}$ ) >45% than in 4-2-3-1. CM in 4-3-3 covered greater HMLD ( $1686 \pm 628\text{m}$ ) >14% compared with 4-4-2. FW in 4-3-3 performed a greater number of accelerations ( $51 \pm 13$ ) >49% compared with 4-2-3-1. WD in 3-5-2 performed a greater number of decelerations ( $71 \pm 4$ ) >20% compared with 4-4-2. FW performed 20% more Acc than when playing in 4-2-3-1 and WM performed 16% more Dec also in 3-5-2 than when playing in 3-4-3 formation (Table 4). With such large differences being identified in this study it could be argued that

the findings presented in this study explains why individuals within a team fatigue and also recover at different rates. The large ranges of accelerations and decelerations are not necessarily surprising given the high number of movements performed during match play. Supported further by Akenhead and colleagues who reported similar results to our study (Akenhead et al., 2013) however this study was limited as they only looked at one formation (4-4-2).

Football like other field based team sports is constantly moving, with participants often moving at low speeds such as walking and jogging then having to move quickly into high speed running then decreasing speed quickly to stop, or as in most football action events relating to ball and opponent movement, a change of direction (Bradley et al., 2013). The athletic characteristics for acceleration and deceleration are well documented within sport (Johnston et al., 2014) and specifically in football (Aughey, 2011), highlighting the importance of high speed acceleration and deceleration in performance. The ability to perform repeated bouts of HSR has been previously identified as a key characteristic required when performing at an elite level in football (Drust, Atkinson and Reilly, 2007). Furthermore, it has been reported recently to have increased in match play by as much as 30% (Barnes et al., 2014). The current study found that FW covered 25% more HSR in 3-5-2 than when playing in a 4-2-3-1 formation. It is clear from these results that there is a significant difference in distance covered at high speeds ( $\geq 19.8$  km/h) not only across formations (Table 2) but also across positional groups (Table 3). HSR is an important component of the physical demands involved in football and has been reported as a key contributor to causes in fatigue (Gabbett and Ullah, 2012). Without adequate recovery from fatigue there is an increased risk of injury (Folgado et al., 2015). Certainly there is further evidence to support this (Arruda et al., 2015) suggested that non-contact injury rates increased with congested match schedule. It has also been found that fatigue effects the

ability to perform repeated bouts of Acc and Dec during the latter stages of match play (Akenhead et al., 2013).

The most frequent multidirectional changes that occur during match play require players to Acc and Dec quickly, added to this the distance between the two events are covered at high speed (Bradley et al., 2013). This sequence of movements has been also termed in the past as Repeated Sprint Ability, Change of Direction, Multidirectional Agility and purposeful movement (Bangsbo, 1994; Barnes et al., 2014). These type of movements that are frequent in the HMLD have been shown to be far more physically demanding than HSR alone (Zamparo et al., 2014), with a far greater risk of injury (Chamari et al., 2004). This is mainly due to the loading and fatiguing effects that occur (Bloomfield, Polman and O'Donoghue, 2007). In the current study it was found that in 3-5-2 formation, FW recorded 16% greater HMLD than in 4-2-3-1 formation. At an elite level in sport the smallest of margins can and do make a difference, with differences as highlighted in this study could explain why many non-contact injuries occur. There is supportive evidence that has shown when fatigued there is an increased risk of injury and more specifically from repeated high intensity movements that replicate HMLD (Kellmann, 2010; Lakomy and Haydon, 2004,).

HMLD is a measure more specific to football and specificity in training has grown in popularity within team games (Little and Williams, 2005; Brughelli et al., 2008). In relation to specificity there is an increasing focus towards the conditioning of players to be more position specific and thus relating more explicitly to the physical, technical and tactical demands of games (Gamble, 2006; Nevill et al., 2009). Football is no exception and has adopted the use of position and sport specific modes of training primarily through the employment of small sided games (Owen et al., 2014). Such small sided games are reportedly an optimal method to increase physical, tactical and technical characteristics observed in football (Little, 2009). By having a more detailed understanding of the precise requirements to perform both formational and positional in match play, can now be

transferred to the training ground thus enabling small sided games to be adapted to meet both the HSR and Acc, Dec that occur in HMLD. With these already illustrating different demands for each position is arguably a valid reason to structure a position specific periodised training model that could optimise the physiological demands for each positional group. There is much support for this approach across a plethora of team based sports not just football (Rugby, Hockey, Netball, Gaelic football) to name a few (Austin and Kelly, 2014; Stevens et al., 2015; Reily et al., 2015). The present study has gone further than previously published work in this area by demonstrating what differences exist between various, commonly employed, formations. This study demonstrates that it is not simply playing position that needs to be considered by practitioners when planning training but that playing formation also impacts on match demands. Consequently, when planning periodised training programmes, coaches need to be aware of the variations that occur as a consequence of position and formations to better account for any change in demand within or between matches, cycles and whole seasons. It could also go some way to explain why a player who performs well for one team then does not perform as well for another, even though they are playing the same position, this could be due to tactical changes to formation. Thus requiring different physical characteristics that the player is unaccustomed to and therefore appears to underperform.

## Conclusion

This study presents novel data on GPS determined patterns of match play across the 5 most common playing formations employed in 11 versus 11 match play in elite level football. With direct in game monitoring from the wearing of devices during competitive match play being a recent introduction demonstrates the need for research in this evolving area. There are a number of methodological and practical issues associated with their application, which are of academic and applied interest. Furthermore, there is a need to interpret the information GPS provides, in order to improve our understanding of how it can

be best employed in football to help coaches understand position specific and match formation demands in football. It is apparent that when playing in various positions and across different formations that these do impose different physical demands. Having detailed knowledge of the differences as illustrated in this study better equips coaches when evaluating performance and future needs, which in turn enables for planning the periodisation of training and specificity of training according to the individuals needs and requirements to be able to perform at their optimal level within a sport such as football.

## **References**

- Akenhead, R., Hayes, P, R., Thompson, K, G., French, D., (2013). Diminutions of acceleration and deceleration output during professional football match play. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 16 (6), 556-561.
- Arruda, A. F. S., Carling, C., Zanetti, V., Aoki, M, S., Coutts, A. J., Moreira, A. (2015). Effects of a Very Congested Match Schedule on Body-Load Impacts, Accelerations, and Running Measures in Youth Soccer Players, *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 10 (2), 248.
- Aughey, R, J. (2011). Applications of GPS Technologies to Field Sports. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 6 (3), 295-31.
- Austin, D, J., Kelly, S, J. (2014). Professional rugby league positional match-play analysis through the use of global positioning system. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28(1), 187(7).
- Bangsbo, J. (1994). The physiology of football--with special reference to intense intermittent exercise. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*, 6191-155.
- Bangsbo, J., Mohr, M., Poulsen, A., Perez-Gomez, J., Krstrup, P. (2006). Training and Testing the Elite Athlete. *Journal of Exercise Science and Fitness*, 4 (1), 1-14.

Barnes,C., Archer,D.T., Hogg,B., Bush,M., Bradley,P.S. (2014). The Evolution of Physical and Technical Performance in the English Premier League. *International journal of Sports Medicine*, 35 (13), 1095.

Bloomfield, J., Polman, R., O'Donoghue, P. (2007). Physical demands of different positions in FA Premier League football. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 6 (1), 63-70.

Buchheit, M., Allen, A., Poon, T., Modonutti, M., Gregson, W., Salvo, V. (2014). Integrating different tracking systems in football: multiple camera semi-automatic system, local position measurement and GPS technologies. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 32 (20), 1844 (14).

Bradley, P, S., Carling, C., Gomez Diaz, A., Hood, P., Barnes, C., Ade, J., Boddy, M., Krustup, P., Mohr, M. (2013). The effect of high and low percentage ball possession on physical and technical profiles in English FA Premier League football matches. *Human Movement Science*, 32 (4), 808-821.

Brughelli, M., Cronin, J., Levin, G., Chaouachi, A. (2008). Understanding Change of Direction Ability. *Sport.Sports Medicine*, 38(12), 1045-1063.

Chamari, K. K., Hachana, Y. Y., Ahmed, Y. B., Galy, O. O., Sghaier, F. F., Chatard, J. C., Wisloff, U. U., (2004). Field and laboratory testing in young elite football players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 38 (2), 191-196.

Colby, M.J., Dawson, B., Heasman, J., Rogalski, B., Gabbett, T., (2014). Accelerometre and GPS-derived Running Loads and Injury Risk in Elite Australian Footballers, *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28 (8), 2244.

Dellaserra, C,L., Gao, Y., Ransdell, L. (2014). Use of integrated technology in team sports: a review of opportunities, challenges, and future directions for athletes. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*, 28 (2), 556-73.

Dellal, A., Owen,A., Wong, D.P., Krustup,P., Exsel,M., Mallo,J., (2012). Technical and Physical demands of small vs. large sided games in relation to playing position in elite soccer. *Human Movement Science*, 31, 957-969.

Drust, B., Atkinson, G., Reilly, T. (2007). Future Perspectives in the Evaluation of the Physiological Demands of Football. *Sports Medicine*, 37 (9), 783-805.

FIFA (2015). The approval of electronic performance tracking systems (EPTS) devices, *Federation Internationale de Football Association*. Zurich Switzerland. Circular no. 1494



- Folgado,H., Duarte,R., Marques,P., Sampaio,J. (2015). The effects of congested fixtures period on tactical and physical performance in elite football, *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 33 (12),123.
- Gabbett,T., Ullah,S. (2012). Relationship between Running Loads and Soft-Tissue injury in Elite Team Sport Athletes, *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 26 (4), 23.
- Gamble, P. (2006). Implications and Applications of Training Specificity for Coaches and Athletes. *Strength and Conditioning Journal*, 28 (3), 54-58.
- Johnston, R J., Watsford, M L., Kelly, S J., (2014). Validity and Interunit Reliability of 10 Hz and 15 Hz GPS Units for Assessing Athlete Movement Demands. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28 (6), 1649-1655.
- Kellmann, M. (2010). Preventing overtraining in athletes in high-intensity sports and stress/recovery monitoring. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 20 (2), 95.
- Lakomy, J., Haydon, D. T. (2004). The Effects of Enforced, Rapid Deceleration on Performance in A Multiple Sprint Test, *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 18 (3), 579.
- le Gall, F., Carling, C., Williams, M., and Reilly, T. (2010). Anthropometric and fitness characteristics of international, professional and amateur male graduate football players from an elite youth academy. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13 (1), 90-95.
- Little, T., and Williams, A. G. (2005). Specificity of Acceleration, Maximum Speed, And Agility in Professional Football Players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 19 (1), 76-78.
- Little, T. (2009). Optimizing the use of football drills for physiological development. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 31 (3), 67- 74.
- Mara, J. K., Thompson, K. G., Pumpa, K. L. Ball, N. B. (2015) Periodization and Physical Performance in Elite Female Soccer Players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 10 (5), 664.
- Malone, J. J., Di Michele, R., Morgans, R., Burgess, D., Morton, J. P., Drust, B. (2015). Seasonal Training-Load Quantification in Elite English Premier League Soccer Players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 10 (4), 489.

Marathon Performance, (2014). Technical Report. Retrieved from [www.marathoncenter.it/.../16235d38494ef26b1d35985ef92ce44d636](http://www.marathoncenter.it/.../16235d38494ef26b1d35985ef92ce44d636)

Nevill, A., Holder, R., and Watts, A. (2009). The changing shape of “successful” professional footballers. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 27(5), 419-426.

Owen, A.L., Wong, D.P., Paul, D., Dellal, A. (2014). Physical and technical comparisons between various-sided games within professional football. *International journal of sports medicine*, 35 (4), 286-92.

Portas, M. D., Harley, J. A., Barnes, C. A., Rush, C. J. (2010). The validity and reliability of 1-Hz and 5-Hz global positioning systems for linear, multidirectional, and football-specific activities. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*, 5 (4), 448-58.

Randers, M., Mujika, I., Hewitt, A., Santisteban, J., Bischoff, R., Solano, R., Mohr, M., (2010). Application of four different match analysis systems: A comparative study. *Journal of Sports Science*, 28, 171-182.

Rawstorn, J. C., Maddison, R., Ali, A., Foskett, A., Gant, N. (2014). Rapid directional change degrades GPS distance measurement validity during intermittent intensity running. (global positioning system) (Report) PLoS ONE, 9 (4)

Russell, M., Sparkes, W., Northeast, J., Cook, C. J., Love, T. D., Bracken, R. M., Kilduff, L. P. (2015). Changes in acceleration and deceleration capacity throughout professional soccer match play. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*,

Reilly, B., Akubat, I., Lyons, M., Collins, D. K. (2015). Match-play demands of elite youth Gaelic football using global positioning system tracking. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 29 (4), 989 (8).

Stevens, T. G. A., De Ruiter, C. J., Van Maurik, D., Wilhelmus V, L., Chris, J., Savelsbergh, G., Jozef, P., Beek, P. J. (2015). Measured and Estimated Energy Cost of Constant and Shuttle Running in Soccer Players. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 47 (6), 1219.


The Football League; (2014), Rules and regulations hand book [online]. Retrieved from [www.football-league.co.uk/global/regulations.aspx](http://www.football-league.co.uk/global/regulations.aspx) [www.football-league.co.uk](http://www.football-league.co.uk)

The Football League; (2015). Rule amendment ref; AGW/snc/10322, August 2015. Operations department The Football League. Retrieved from [www.football-league.co.uk](http://www.football-league.co.uk)


Vickery, W.M., Dascombe, B. J., Baker, J. D., Higham, D. G., Spratford, W. A., Duffield, R. (2014). Accuracy and reliability of GPS devices for measurement of sports-specific movement patterns related to cricket, tennis, and field-based team sports. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28 (6), 1697 (9).

Zamparo, P., Zadro, I., Lazzer, S., Beato, M., Sepulcri, L. (2014). Energetics of Shuttle Runs: The Effects of Distance and Change of Direction. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 9 (6), 1033.

**Figure 1A.** Mean  $\pm$  SD of number of accelerations across different formations and playing positions



**Figure 1B.** Mean  $\pm$  SD of number of decelerations across different formations and playing positions



**Table 1.** Monthly distribution of total number of Games and players evaluated across all formations during the season

Month		August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	Total
4-4-2	Number of games n=	n=2	n=0	n=1	n=1	n=1	n=0	n=1	n=1	n=2	n=0	9
	Number of players n=	n=16	n=0	n= 8	n=7	n=9	n=0	n=7	n=7	n=12	n=0	66
4-3-3	Number of games n=	n=1	n=1	n=1	n=2	n=1	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=1	n=0	7
	Number of players n=	n=8	n=6	n=6	n=14	n=7	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=9	n=0	50
3-5-2	Number of games n=	n=2	n=1	n=2	n=1	n=1	n=2	n=0	n=0	n=1	n=0	10
	Number of players n=	n=15	n=8	n=13	n=9	n=7	n=18	n=0	n=0	n=8	n=0	78
3-4-3	Number of games n=	n=0	n=2	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=3	n=1	n=0	n=0	n=0	6
	Number of players n=	n=0	n=14	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=19	n=6	n=0	n=0	n=0	39
4-2-3-1	Number of games n=	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=2	n=0	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=0	11
	Number of players n=	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=0	n=13	n=0	n=18	n=21	n=20	n=0	89

**Table 2.** Mean  $\pm$  SD of total distance (TD), high speed running (HSR), high metabolic load distance (HMLD), High speed Accelerations (Acc) and High speed Deceleration (Dec) across different formations.

	<b>TD (m)</b>	<b>HSR (m)</b>	<b>HMLD (m)</b>	<b>Acc (n)</b>	<b>Dec (n)</b>
<b>Formation &amp; Number of Games</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>
4-4-2 (n=9)	10131 $\pm$ 583	497 $\pm$ 175	1568 $\pm$ 257	33 $\pm$ 10	49 $\pm$ 14
4-3-3 (n=7)	10284 $\pm$ 879	514 $\pm$ 204	1828 $\pm$ 518	32 $\pm$ 8	50 $\pm$ 12
3-5-2 (n=10)	10528 $\pm$ 565	642 $\pm$ 215	2025 $\pm$ 304	34 $\pm$ 7	57 $\pm$ 10
3-4-3 (n=6)	10168 $\pm$ 449	551 $\pm$ 171	1855 $\pm$ 301	28 $\pm$ 7	51 $\pm$ 10
4-2-3-1 (n=11)	10044 $\pm$ 538	538 $\pm$ 174	1849 $\pm$ 301	38 $\pm$ 8	61 $\pm$ 12

**Table 3.** Mean  $\pm$  SD of total distance (TD), high speed running (HSR), high metabolic load distance (HMLD), High speed Accelerations (Acc) and High speed Deceleration (Dec) across position.

	<b>TD (m)</b>	<b>HSR (m)</b>	<b>HMLD (m)</b>	<b>Acc (n)</b>	<b>Dec (n)</b>
<b>Position &amp; Number of players</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>
WD (n=10)	10152 $\pm$ 714	660 $\pm$ 117	1850 $\pm$ 200	34 $\pm$ 6	56 $\pm$ 14
CD (n=9)	9669 $\pm$ 454	396 $\pm$ 76	1527 $\pm$ 192	27 $\pm$ 7	45 $\pm$ 8
WM (n=9)	10523 $\pm$ 456	636 $\pm$ 172	1912 $\pm$ 366	35 $\pm$ 5	62 $\pm$ 9
CM (n=10)	10395 $\pm$ 619	429 $\pm$ 133	1781 $\pm$ 345	33 $\pm$ 10	53 $\pm$ 12
FW (n=8)	10502 $\pm$ 778	690 $\pm$ 186	2476 $\pm$ 1339	38 $\pm$ 8	55 $\pm$ 12

**Table 4.** Mean  $\pm$  SD and 95% Confidence Intervals of total distance (TD), high speed running (HSR), high metabolic load distance (HMLD), across different formations and playing positions.

Formation	Position	TD (m)		HSR (m)		HMLD (m)	
		Mean $\pm$ SD	95%CI	Mean $\pm$ SD	95%CI	Mean $\pm$ SD	95%CI
4-4-2	WD	10075 $\pm$ 1126	9241-10909	675 $\pm$ 172	544-805	1788 $\pm$ 399	1516-2060
	CD	9711 $\pm$ 1236	8724-10698	360 $\pm$ 91	205-514	1407 $\pm$ 322	1085-1729
	WM	10462 $\pm$ 554	9628-11296	599 $\pm$ 157	468-729	1843 $\pm$ 262	1571-2116
	CM	9886 $\pm$ 1516	9052-10720	308 $\pm$ 162	178-439	1377 $\pm$ 605	1105-1649
	FW	10365 $\pm$ 1051	9378-11351	539 $\pm$ 256	384-693	1816 $\pm$ 439	1494-2138
4-3-3	WD	10229 $\pm$ 972	9242-11215	683 $\pm$ 252	529-838	1958 $\pm$ 426	1636-2280
	CD	9167 $\pm$ 471	8266-10068	348 $\pm$ 58	207-489	1432 $\pm$ 144	1138-1726
	WM	10985 $\pm$ 730	9425-12545	711 $\pm$ 433	466-955	1930 $\pm$ 621	1421-2439
	CM	10643 $\pm$ 1093	9809-11477	357 $\pm$ 183	226-487	1686 $\pm$ 628	1414-1959
	FW	10648 $\pm$ 452	9374-11922	802 $\pm$ 129	602-1001	2177 $\pm$ 107	1761-2592
3-5-2	WD	10844 $\pm$ 667	10233-11456	818 $\pm$ 169	722-914	2256 $\pm$ 280	2056-2456
	CD	10034 $\pm$ 676	9499-10569	449 $\pm$ 138	365-533	1741 $\pm$ 343	1567-1916
	WM	10772 $\pm$ 1153	9498-12046	664 $\pm$ 281	464-863	1978 $\pm$ 422	1562-2394
	CM	10659 $\pm$ 974	10199-11119	502 $\pm$ 180	430-574	1913 $\pm$ 352	1762-2063
	FW	10832 $\pm$ 934	10052-11612	894 $\pm$ 188	772-1017	2337 $\pm$ 374	2082-2591
3-4-3	WD	9936 $\pm$ 475	7729-12142	573 $\pm$ 95	228-918	1815 $\pm$ 174	1095-2535
	CD	9575 $\pm$ 938	8840-10311	406 $\pm$ 138	290-521	1511 $\pm$ 324	1271-1751
	WM	10414 $\pm$ 591	9513-11314	718 $\pm$ 97	577-859	2153 $\pm$ 269	1859-2447
	CM	10630 $\pm$ 444	9729-11531	434 $\pm$ 106	293-575	1935 $\pm$ 232	1641-2229
	FW	10660 $\pm$ 1022	9962-11357	675 $\pm$ 222	566-784	2045 $\pm$ 425	1818-2273
4-2-3-1	WD	10468 $\pm$ 826	9831-11105	729 $\pm$ 162	629-829	2049 $\pm$ 344	1841-2257
	CD	9677 $\pm$ 827	9125-10228	430 $\pm$ 169	343-516	1582 $\pm$ 341	1402-1762
	WM	10268 $\pm$ 538	9603-10934	685 $\pm$ 196	581-789	2024 $\pm$ 282	1807-2241
	CM	10329 $\pm$ 2488	9794-10864	487 $\pm$ 182	403-570	1990 $\pm$ 350	1815-2164
	FW	8644 $\pm$ 984	7370-9917	353 $\pm$ 143	154-553	1452 $\pm$ 380	1036-1867