




Briefing on child poverty

Wendy Macdonald,
Dr Sheila Beck and
Dr Eileen Scott

March 2013

This resource may also be made available on request in the following formats:



 **0131 314 5300**

 **nhs.healthscotland-alternativeformats@nhs.net**

Published by NHS Health Scotland

1 South Gyle Crescent
Edinburgh EH12 9EB

© NHS Health Scotland 2013
(minor updates 2018)

All rights reserved. Material contained in this publication may not be reproduced in whole or part without prior permission of NHS Health Scotland (or other copyright owners). While every effort is made to ensure that the information given here is accurate, no legal responsibility is accepted for any errors, omissions or misleading statements.

NHS Health Scotland is a WHO Collaborating Centre for Health Promotion and Public Health Development.

Contents

Introduction	1
Key messages	2
Why the focus on children?.....	3
What is child poverty?	4
What are the levels of child poverty in Scotland?.....	5
How does child poverty impact on health?.....	8
What impact does child poverty have on health?.....	10
Conclusion	16
Relevant policy and strategy	17
References.....	19
Appendix	23

Introduction

This briefing is one of an occasional series which explore topics of current interest and provides an introduction to concepts and current thinking. It explores child poverty and its relationship to health and wellbeing.

N.B. the diagram 'The relationship between family socio-economic status and health (derived from Grunewald et al, 2012)' which originally appeared on page 6 of this briefing has been removed to comply with accessibility standards. The text has been modified in May 2018 to reflect this change.

The briefing aims to provide an overview of the following:

- Why focus on children?
- What is child poverty?
- What are the levels of child poverty in Scotland?
- How does child poverty impact on health?
- What impact does child poverty have on health?
- What actions can be taken to protect children from the impact of poverty?

Key messages

- Child poverty is measured in relation to parental or family circumstances in a variety of ways including educational level, occupation, income, and housing tenure. The most common ways of measuring child poverty are low income and material deprivation.
- Most recent estimates (for 2011/12) indicate that there were approximately 170,000 children in Scotland still living in relative poverty. This figure had fallen in recent years, but it is predicted that under current UK policies the numbers of children living in poverty will begin to increase in 2013 and continue to do so for a number of years.

- There is a link between socio-economic disadvantage during the early years and health inequalities. The opportunity to reduce the impact of these inequalities is likely to decline as children age.
- The mechanisms influencing the relationship between socio-economic status and health outcomes are complex. The impact of child poverty and socio-economic disadvantage on adult outcomes occurs through the accumulation and interaction of multiple factors over time.
- Effective interventions for addressing child poverty include structural changes to the economic, tax and benefits systems, legislative controls and enforcement.
- Effective interventions to address the associated health impacts of child poverty include actions to maximise household income and resources, and offering intensive support to those experiencing or most likely to experience problems (e.g. intensive home visiting, preschool education/child care).

Why the focus on children?

Children's early life experiences and the social circumstances in which they live strongly influence their outcomes in later life. Children do not choose the circumstances into which they are born, but in general the poorer children's circumstances are, or the lower their families are in the social hierarchy, the worse their health.

Under the [United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) all children and young people under the age of 18 have the right to enjoy the highest attainable standard of health and the right to a standard of living that is sufficient to meet

their physical, emotional and social developmental needs. Scotland is committed to giving all of its children the best possible start in life and the opportunities to fulfil their potential.

The journey from conception to adolescence contains a series of critical and sensitive periods for development. During the early years of life, organs of the body develop, as do a number of complex biological systems. These include the child's physiological, hormonal (endocrine), immunological, and neurological (brain and nerve pathways) systems. At the same time, and interacting with these biological systems, the child develops socially, cognitively and emotionally, building skills and relationships which provide a foundation for future health and life opportunities. The early years thus provides a vital window of opportunity to intervene to improve life chances by addressing poverty and associated risk factors.

Adolescence provides another wave of massive change within the developing person, and a new set of critical and sensitive stages. It is during the stage from conception through adolescence that the foundation on which the lifelong accumulation of risks and protective factors is built. As adolescence brings its own set of issues and possible actions, it will be addressed in a later briefing.

What is child poverty?

The circumstances children experience while they are growing up largely reflects the resources available to their parents or carers. Their living standards are determined by their family income and the homes and neighbourhoods and communities in which they can afford and/or choose to live. Child poverty describes the circumstances of children where their family has limited resources to provide living standards typical in society overall.

Official measures of poverty and social circumstances assess a range of household or head of household indicators including educational level, [occupation](#), [income](#), and housing tenure. The most commonly used indicators of child poverty are low income and [material deprivation](#).

What are the levels of child poverty in Scotland?

The UK has some of the highest rates of child poverty in Europe. A recent UNICEF report ranked the UK 22nd out of 35 economically developed countries in relation to relative child poverty, behind such European countries as Hungary, Slovakia and Estonia.¹ Scotland fares no better. It is estimated that approximately one-fifth of children in Scotland are currently living in relative poverty (described below).²

Worryingly, findings reported recently from the Growing Up in Scotland study (covering the years 2005/06 to 2008/09) found that more than a fifth of children were living in persistent poverty.³ Children are described as 'persistently poor' if they have lived in a low income household at three or four of the four annual study interviews. This study suggests that for the majority of children living in relative poverty, the poverty persists.

Targets to reduce child poverty

There are a number of Scottish and UK national level targets relating to the reduction of poverty, and child poverty in particular. The UK government has four targets on which it must report,⁴ three of which are commonly used indicators of child poverty including:

- Relative poverty – individuals living in households whose equivalised income* is below 60% of UK median income† in the same year. This is a measure of whether those in the lowest income households are keeping pace with the growth of incomes in the population as a whole.
- Absolute poverty – individuals living in households whose equivalised income is below 60% of the (inflation-adjusted) median income in 1998/99. This is a measure of whether those in the lowest income households are seeing their incomes rise in real terms.
- Material deprivation and low income combined – material deprivation is calculated from a suite of questions about whether people can afford to buy certain items and participate in leisure or social activities. This measure is applied to households with incomes below 70% of median income, to create the 'material deprivation and low income combined' indicator that aims to provide a measure of children's living standards which, unlike relative and absolute poverty, is not solely based on income.
- The fourth target is persistent poverty but this is, as yet, undefined.

The Scottish Government's National Indicator 36‡ is to 'reduce children's deprivation' and is measured using the material deprivation and low-income combined poverty indicator.

Following a period of little change, child poverty declined slightly in Scotland between 2009/10 and 2010/11.² The percentage of children in relative poverty decreased from 20% to 17%, a reduction of approximately 20,000 children. The proportion of children in absolute poverty decreased from 11% to 10%, a reduction of approximately 10,000 children. Finally, the percentage of children in material deprivation and low income (combined) decreased from 15% to 13%, a reduction of approximately 20,000 children. Although these trends are promising,

* Equivalised income adjusts household income to enable comparison across households of different sizes

† Median income divides the population equally into two, one half earns above the median income and one earns below

‡ www.scotland.gov.uk/About/Performance/scotPerforms/indicator/childdeprivation

there remains approximately 170,000 children in Scotland living in relative poverty.

There have been small declines in poverty rates for children and it is reasonable to assume that the observed decline is being driven by a number of factors:

- Median equivalised household income decreased in real terms, which in turn decreased the relative poverty thresholds.
- Average individual earnings fell in real terms in 2010/11 and this was one of the main factors in the reduction in median incomes.
- Benefit and tax credit income grew in cash terms and fell only slightly in real terms. This meant that low-income benefit-dependent households saw their income fall less in 2010/11 than households at the median, tending to decrease the overall rate of relative poverty.

Under current UK government policies, child poverty rates look set to increase by 2013 and will continue to do so, leading to a situation whereby the rate of relative child poverty forecast for 2020–21 would be the highest since 1999–2000.⁵

Some measures of poverty are also directly linked to ability to pay for amenities. Two of relevance to health are fuel poverty and food poverty and these are explained below.

Fuel poverty

Families who need to spend more than 10% of their disposable income on heating are classed as being in fuel poverty. The cost of heating depends on the size of the property, and the levels of insulation and ventilation within the home combined with types of heating available in the home, and the costs of fuel to run such heating systems. This is a particular issue for those whose income is low in the first place, who can be faced with a stark choice about how to use their income. Insufficient heating, insulation and ventilation can lead to dampness as

well as cold, and thus to mould growth and growth of house dust mites. It can also lead to families limiting the number of rooms which they choose to heat, and thus overcrowding during cold weather.⁶

The direct impacts of fuel poverty on children's health include impacts on asthma, allergy and risk of injury. In addition, high levels of mould can lead to social isolation through stigmatisation.⁶

Food poverty

When families are unable to consume an adequate quality or sufficient quantity of food in socially acceptable ways, or are unsure that they can afford to do so, they are classed as experiencing food poverty.⁷

The low income diet and nutrition survey found that those living in the bottom 15% of the population in relation to material deprivation failed to meet dietary reference standards.⁸ When a household budget is tight, the nutritional quality of the diet is reduced to preserve quantity. This can result in a very high energy dense diet and thus leads to overweight and obesity.

How does child poverty impact on health?

There are a number of ways in which exposure to family socio-economic status may impact on health during childhood and into adulthood. Health is influenced by the distribution of money, power and resources within a society which are in turn, influenced by the social, economic and political structures. These are the social determinants of health largely responsible for avoidable health inequality. It is clear that the relationship is complex, involving many interacting factors which can have a negative impact and which are potentially avoidable. The relative contribution of each of these to adult health, and how amenable this is to change, is still the subject of research.

Stacking the odds

The influence socio-economic status has on subsequent health may include, for example: the impact of family place in hierarchy and power within society; family ability to pay for goods and services; differential environmental exposures; or through impact on the skills and resources, including emotional and nurturing resources, that parents have available for effective and positive parenting. In most cases, it is the accumulation of individual risks and protective factors and the developing child's response to these which will impact on adult health.⁹

Gruenewald⁹ also suggests that family socio-economic position interacts with a number of other influences on health – such as genetic endowment, previous parental exposures and parental health, family composition, parental age, gender, ethnicity, cultural norms and parental behaviours to influence a child's exposures and development.

Impact on child development

A child's developing biological and psychological systems are influenced by the combined environmental, psychosocial and behavioural risk and protective factors to which they are exposed. Some of these will have a direct biological impact – for example levels of exposure to toxins, infections, allergens, carcinogens and teratogens (which impact on fetal development).

There is also an increasing body of evidence to suggest that the way in which children are parented and the family environment in which they grow up can have profound impacts on their developing brain, neuroendocrine and inflammatory systems, setting pathways that can influence health and the timing of disease long into adulthood.¹⁰ This goes hand in hand with psychosocial development and the two interact to influence not only their ability to take advantage of opportunities (which again may be mediated by family wealth and social position) but also to directly influence a child's health course. Socio-economic status into

adulthood along with the other determinants of health will then directly influence adult health.

What impact does child poverty have on health?

Poverty is an aspect of socio-economic status or position. As the two are difficult to disentangle, the discussion below has been widened to discuss the interaction between socio-economic status and health.

Socio-economic circumstances and health outcomes

Health inequalities in the early years include systematic differences in:

- health outcomes (e.g. low birth weight, unintentional injuries, developmental difficulties)
- exposure to risk factors from the family and wider environments linked to increased health risk (e.g. maternal health and wellbeing, housing quality, community infrastructure, and addictions including tobacco smoke).

Child health and development forms the basis of adult life and the impact of family socio-economic status during childhood is enduring. It is associated with risk of illness and mortality in adulthood generally – the relationship is inverse – the lower the socio-economic status the higher the risk of an early death.

The Scottish cohort study Growing Up in Scotland (GUS) 2010 report on health inequalities in the early years (before the age of 4)¹¹ found that:

- Levels of long-term health conditions and poor general health were relatively low at this stage, but showed a social gradient, increasing as deprivation increased.

- Problems with behaviour, psychosocial health and language were unequally distributed. Children in the most disadvantaged groups were at greatest risk, with these difficulties demonstrating a strong association with deprivation.
- Rates of unintentional injury were only increased in the most deprived families.
- Children from poorer circumstances experience increased exposure to risk factors including prolonged exposure to maternal smoking, long-term maternal general and mental health problems, poorer diet and lower physical activity levels.
- Disadvantaged households face a double burden in their experience of health inequalities, as both the children and adults within them are at greater risk of negative outcomes.

Pregnancy, birth and infancy

Socio-economic deprivation remains one of the factors associated with poor perinatal outcome.¹² Deprivation is associated with greater exposure to risks for poor outcomes such as smoking in pregnancy, unplanned pregnancy and planned bottle-feeding.¹¹ Although maternal deaths occur infrequently and have fallen over the last decade, women experiencing socio-economic deprivation remain at greater risk of maternal death.¹³ There is a clear association between deprivation and breastfeeding, with lower levels of exclusive breastfeeding among mothers in the most deprived areas.¹⁴

Early childhood

As discussed above, poverty impacts on children's physical, psychological and wider development and increases their risk of adverse health outcomes. The following highlights some evidence of this impact during early childhood.

Children living in households experiencing poverty are also at an increased risk of experiencing social, emotional and cognitive difficulties before the age of 5.¹⁵ Those with low socio-economic status, low income or who live in rented or social housing are particularly vulnerable.¹⁵ The longer that children spend in poverty, the greater the likelihood that they will experience such problems as obesity, unintentional injuries, social, emotional and behavioural difficulties when compared to children who live temporarily in poor circumstances or have never lived in such circumstances.³

Recently published research using GUS data suggests that the majority of children do not have developmental difficulties on entering primary school. However, there is an increase in developmental difficulties across the socio-economic gradient, with 33% of children in the lowest income quintile compared to 7% of the most affluent children (highest income quintile) considered to have developmental difficulty.¹⁶

As previously highlighted, the risk of unintentional injuries is associated with poverty. It also increases as children grow older and especially if they are boys. Such injuries happen, in part, due to the risks encountered in the places that children live and play. These may be greater for poorer children. Although rare, death rates in Scotland for unintentional injury are twice as high for the most deprived children compared to the most affluent.^{17 18}

What actions can be taken to protect children from the impact of poverty?

Addressing underlying societal inequalities are key to eradicating poverty and the negative consequences associated with it. In Scotland, it has been argued that the characteristics of policies more likely to be effective in reducing inequalities include structural changes in the environment, legislative controls, fiscal policies (e.g. on the price of alcohol and tobacco), income support, reducing price barriers, improving accessibility of services and prioritising disadvantaged groups, offering intensive support to the most vulnerable and starting young (e.g. pre- and post-natal support and interventions, home visiting in infancy, pre-school day care).¹⁹ The interventions described below mirror this approach.

What actions can public health nurses take to protect children from the impact of poverty?

As this briefing has been prepared with a primary focus on public health nurses as its audience, there is limited discussion on actions to address wider societal structures. It focuses on interventions in the early years that prevent or reduce the health impact of poverty in those most at risk of, or already experiencing difficulties.

Relieving poverty by bringing more money into a child's household

Interventions promoting financial inclusion aim to break the cycle of poverty by increasing family income and improving their financial literacy. Financial inclusion interventions encompass a broad range of services including income maximisation (entitlement to and claims for welfare benefits and other income), money and debt advice, financial capability and management support, and awareness-raising and service provision around banking, insurance and

affordable credit.²⁰ While financial exclusion is not necessarily directly related to low income, it often is associated with poverty.

There are potential financial and non-financial benefits to providing services that encourage income maximisation and financial inclusion in healthcare settings.²¹

The main benefits to participating families are financial gain, through increased income and/or benefits. This enables families to:

- afford necessities such as good quality food and household bills
- manage occasional expenses such as clothes and furniture
- have extra income to allow for potential emergencies or savings.

Other potential benefits include:

- improved financial knowledge and capability
- improved social and mental wellbeing.

Evidence of income maximisation provided in a healthcare setting for families with young children was very limited. One study in Glasgow reported that over half of families who sought welfare benefits saw some financial gain.²¹

Furthermore, those families who used the service had a more empathetic relationship with their health visitor. Potential benefits for service providers of offering financial inclusion services with a healthcare setting include improved staff performance, better service engagement particularly with 'hard-to-reach' groups, and improved service delivery through partnership working and collaboration.

Secure, safe and warm environments

Given children's vulnerability to environmental hazards, it is clearly important to minimise their exposures to these. In young children the focus is on the home (most injuries occur in the home for children under the age of 5 years), surrounding neighbourhoods and nurseries and child care facilities.

Providing support to ensure that families have a secure home, and that the home can be kept affordably warm, dry and safe are priorities. Families with low income living in rented accommodation may not be able to modify their homes which can act as a barrier to home safety. In such circumstances strong policy, legislation and enforcement are important. Effective interventions, with the potential to reduce injuries and socio-economic inequalities in uptake, combine education with provision of home safety equipment.^{22 23}

It is also important to ensure that the places in which children live encourage and support healthy development, with efforts to prevent harm balancing the potential risks against the benefits that children experience particularly in outdoor play and leisure activities (e.g. providing appropriate places for play and exploration, and social hubs).

Social and emotional wellbeing

The social and emotional wellbeing of children is the foundation for their healthy development and can reduce the impact of social disadvantage. Such disadvantage impacts negatively on social and emotional development.¹⁵ As discussed, the longer and earlier children periods during which children experience poverty, the greater the risk of experiencing such difficulties.³ Current evidence suggests a number of interventions can promote social and emotional development among children most at risk of, or already experiencing problems.^{24 25 26} These reduce the risk of poor outcomes in both the short and long term and include:

- home visiting interventions
- early years education/child care (including the quality of the home learning environment)
- enhanced specialist early intervention programmes (for example parenting programmes).

In Scotland, universal services such as the public health nursing service provide the earliest support to children and families and these interventions can be delivered as part of progressive universal service provision. A progressive universal service offers a continuum of services that have been planned and are delivered in response to identified need. This includes services offered to all families (such as primary healthcare and education services) and the additional/enhanced services that are provided to families with specific needs and/or risks. Universal services have a key role in identifying families with additional needs, providing enhanced services and making referral or signposting to additional services.

Conclusion

Poverty has a negative and enduring impact on children's health. The effective approaches outlined in this briefing, on the whole, are those that focus on preventing or addressing the negative health impact of poverty on children and families. These interventions are largely ameliorative and within the role and remit of our public health and early years workforces.

However, it is important to bear in mind that these interventions will have a limited impact without actions which eliminate the fundamental causes of poverty at a structural level in society, such as lack of work, quality of housing and basic educational achievement. While professionals' direct influence on these structural factors may be limited, they have an important role in assessing and advising on the potential impact that any interventions or policies are likely to have on child poverty and health inequalities.

Relevant policy and strategy

Child Poverty Strategy for Scotland

This strategy sets out the Scottish Government's commitment to eradicating child poverty and outlines the approach to meeting the 2020 targets laid out in the Child Poverty Act 2010. The strategy emphasises maximising household incomes, and working with national and local partners to drive change. The strategy compliments other early years policy and strategy and is underpinned by similar principles:

- Early intervention and prevention to break cycles of poor outcomes
- Building on the assets of individual and communities
- Ensuring that children and families are at the centre of service design and delivery.

Available from: www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2011/03/14094421/0

The Early Years Framework

The Early Years Framework defines early years as pre-birth to 8 years old in recognition of the importance of pregnancy in influencing health, social, emotional and cognitive outcomes for children and families. The Framework, which is based on principles of early intervention and the tailored delivery of services, outlines the steps that the Scottish Government, local partners, and practitioners in early years services need to take to maximise positive opportunities for children so that they get the best start in life. Available from: www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/257007/0076309.pdf.

Equally Well

Equally Well recognises that reducing inequalities in health is a long-term process and needs a generational approach. This may require significant shifts in culture and resources, from dealing with the consequences of inequalities to prevention and early intervention. Equally Well identifies the earliest years as a priority, when inequalities may first arise and influence the rest of people's lives.

Available from: www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2008/06/25104032/0

Getting it right for every child (GIRFEC)

GIRFEC is the national cross-cutting programme which outlines an approach to working with children and families in Scotland. Based on individual need, the wellbeing of the child is placed at the centre of the approach.

Available from: www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/People/Young-People/gettingitright

Good Places, Better Health

The prototype phase of Good Places, Better Health set out to answer the question 'What is needed to deliver places which nurture good health for children in Scotland?' It brought together evidence from a range of sources, from expert opinion, published research and practitioner knowledge and in 2011 published a series of recommendations relating to housing, neighbourhood and transport.

Further details can be found at:

www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Health/Healthy-Living/Good-Places-Better-Health

National Parenting Strategy

The Scottish Government National Parenting Strategy aims to provide easier and better access to information and support for Scotland's parents (anyone with a parenting role) of children of all ages. Available from:

www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2012/10/4789

References

¹ UNICEF. Innocenti Report Card 10: *Measuring Child Poverty*. New League Tables of Child Poverty in the World's Richest Countries. Florence: UNICEF; 2012.

² Scottish Government. *Statistical Publication: Poverty and income inequality in Scotland: 2010–11*. Edinburgh: Scottish Government; 2012.

³ Barnes M, Chanfreau J and Tomaszewski W. Growing Up in Scotland – *The Circumstances of Persistently Poor Children*. Edinburgh: Scottish Government; 2010.

⁴ The Child Poverty Act 2010. London: The Stationery Office; 2010.

⁵ IFS. *Child and Working-age Poverty from 2010 to 2020*. London: Institute of Fiscal Studies; 2011.

⁶ *Good Places, Better Health*. Housing cross cutting report. Scottish Government; 2011. www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Health/Healthy-Living/Good-Places-Better-Health/Findings-Recommendations/HCCR

⁷ Dowler E et al. *Poverty Bite: Food, Health and Poor Families*. London: CPAG; 2001.

⁸ Nelson M, Ehrens B, Bates B et al. *Low income diet and nutrition survey*. Executive Summary. London: TSO; 2007.

⁹ Gruenewald TL, Karlamangla AS, Hu P et al. History of socioeconomic disadvantage and allostatic load in later life. *Social Science and Medicine* 2012; 74: 75–83.

¹⁰ Shonkoff JP. Leveraging the biology of adversity to address the roots of disparities in health and development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012 October 16; 109(Supplement_2): 17302–17307.

¹¹ Bromley C and Cunningham-Burley S. *Growing Up in Scotland: Health Inequalities in the Early Years*. Edinburgh: Scottish Government; 2010.

¹² ISD and NHS QIS. *Trends in Perinatal Mortality in Scotland: A review over 30 Years*. 2009. www.isdscotland.org/health-Topics/Maternity-and-Births/Stillbirth-and-Infant-Deaths/mat_spimmr_30yr_report_300609.pdf

¹³ Centre for Maternal and Child Enquiries (CMACE). Saving Mothers' Lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer: 2006–08. The Eighth Report on Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the United Kingdom. *BJOG* 2011; 118 (Suppl. 1):1–203.

¹⁴ ISD. *Breastfeeding Statistics: Financial Year 2011/12* (2012). www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Child-Health/Publications/2012-10-30/2012-10-30-Breastfeeding-Report.pdf

¹⁵ Blank L, Baxter S, Messina J et al. *Summary Review of the Factors Relating to Risk of Children Experiencing Social and Emotional Difficulties and Cognitive Difficulties*. School of Health and Related Research (SchHARR), University of Sheffield on behalf of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2012a. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph40/documents/social-and-emotional-wellbeing-early-years-review-32

¹⁶ Save the Children. *Thrive at five: Comparative child development at school-entry age*. Edinburgh: Save the Children; 2012. Available from www.savethechildren.org.uk/sites/default/files/images/Thrive-at-Five-report.pdf

¹⁷ ISD. *Unintentional Injuries Report 2010*. www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Emergency-Care/Publications/2010-12-14/2010-12-14-unintentional-injuries-report.pdf?225466490

¹⁸ Pearson M, Hewson P, Moxham T and Taylor R. *A systematic review of risk factors for unintentional Injuries among children and young people aged under 15 years. Quantitative correlates review of unintentional injury in children*. Peninsula Technology Assessment Group (PenTAG), Peninsula Medical School, Exeter; 2009. Commissioned by the NICE Centre for Public Health Excellence. This review is available from: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph29/evidence/review-2-risk-factors-for-unintentional-injuries-among-under-15s-pdf-428681773

¹⁹ Macintyre S. *Inequalities in health in Scotland: what are they and what can we do about them?* Occasional paper No 17, October 2007. Glasgow: MRC Social & Public Health Sciences Unit.

²⁰ Dobbie L and Gillespie M. *The Health Benefits of Financial Inclusion: A Literature Review*. Scottish Poverty Information Unit; 2010.

²¹ GCPH. NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Financial Inclusion Evaluation Project: Literature Review; 2011.

²² Pearson M, Garside R, Moxham T and Anderson R. *Preventing unintentional injuries among under-15s in the home. Report 1: Systematic reviews of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of home safety equipment and risk assessment schemes*. Peninsula Technology Assessment Group (PenTAG), Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. Commissioned by the NICE Centre for Public Health Excellence; 2009. Available from: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph30/evidence/preventing-unintentional-injuries-among-under-15s-in-the-home-review-of-effectiveness-and-cost-effectiveness2

²³ Smithson J, Moxham T and Garside R. *Preventing unintentional injury in children in the home. Report 2: Barriers to, and facilitators of unintentional injury in the home: a systematic review of qualitative research*. Peninsula Technology Assessment Group (PenTAG), Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. Commissioned by the NICE Centre for Public Health Excellence; 2009. Available from: www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph30/documents/preventing-unintentional-injuries-among-under-15s-in-the-home-review-of-qualitative-evidence6

²⁴ Blank L, Baxter S, Messina J et al. *Promoting the social and emotional wellbeing of vulnerable pre-school children (0–5 years): UK evidence review*. School of Health and Related Research (SchARR), University of Sheffield on behalf of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2012b. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph40/evidence/evidence-review-2-pdf-430216238

²⁵ Baxter S, Blank L, Messina J et al. *Promoting the social and emotional wellbeing of vulnerable pre-school children (0–5 years): Systematic review level evidence*. School of Health and Related Research (SchARR), University of Sheffield on behalf of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence; 2012. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph40/documents/social-and-emotional-wellbeing-early-years-review-12

²⁶ Scott E and Woodman K. *Evidence summary: Interventions to support parents, their infants and children in the early years (pregnancy to 5 years)*. Edinburgh: NHS Health Scotland; 2012. www.healthscotland.com/documents/6089.aspx

Appendix: Measuring poverty

Occupation

Social class is defined hierarchically by occupation in the Registrar General's social class schema (see table 1). Children growing up in social classes V (households headed by a parent in an unskilled manual occupation) or IV (households headed by a parent in a semi-skilled manual occupation) are described as growing up in disadvantaged circumstances, as these are a readily available marker of social position which acts as a proxy of conferred disadvantage. It should be noted, however, that this classification scheme does not include those who are not in paid employment.

Table 1: Registrar General's social class schema

Social class	Example of occupation
I: Professional, etc.	Doctor, lawyer
II: Intermediate	Teacher, manager
IIINM: Skilled non-manual	Secretary, sales representative
IIIM: Skilled manual	Bus driver, miner
IV: Semi-skilled manual	Machine sewer, packer
V: Unskilled manual	Office cleaner, labourer

Income

Household income is another indicator of family circumstances and unlike educational level or occupation, it provides a more direct measure of financial resources available to a household. The following are commonly used definitions of poverty:

- **Relative poverty** – individuals living in households whose equivalised income* is below 60% of UK median income† in the same year. This is a measure of whether those in the lowest income households are keeping pace with the growth of incomes in the population as a whole.
- **Absolute poverty** – individuals living in households whose equivalised income is below 60% of the (inflation adjusted) median income in 1998/99. This is a measure of whether those in the lowest income households are seeing their incomes rise in real terms.

Material deprivation

Another way of describing child poverty reflects the levels of deprivation that children experience. Child deprivation is measured using deprivation indices which lists a number of items which reflects current living conditions normally expected in society (one example can be seen in table 2 below). A household lacking on two or more of these items would be classified as a deprived household.

* Equivalised income adjusts household income to enable comparison across households of different sizes.

† Median income divides the population equally into two, one half earns above the median income and one earns below.

Table 2: Deprivation Index components*

A suite of questions designed to capture the material deprivation experienced by families with children has been included in the Family Resources Survey since 2004/05. Respondents are asked whether they have 21 goods and services, including child, adult and household items.

Type of deprivation	Requirements
Adult deprivation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A holiday away from home for one week of a year, not with relatives• Replace any worn-out furniture• A small amount of money to spend each week on yourself, not on your family• Regular savings (of £10 a month) for rainy days or retirement• Insurance of contents of dwelling• Have friends or family for a drink or meal once a month• A hobby or leisure activity• Replace or repair broken electrical goods such as a refrigerator or washing machine• Keep your home adequately warm• Two pairs of all-weather shoes for each adult• Enough money to keep your home in a decent state of repair
Child deprivation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A holiday away from home at least one week a year with his or her family• Swimming at least once a month• A hobby or leisure activity

* Source: Mackay & Collard (2003) Developing deprivation questions for the Family Resources Survey. Accessed 17 September 2012, www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2012/06/7976/14

Type of deprivation	Requirements
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Friends around for tea or a snack once a fortnight • Enough bedrooms for every child over 10 of different sex to have his or her own bedroom • Leisure equipment (e.g. sports equipment or a bicycle) • Celebrations on special occasions such as birthdays, Christmas or other religious festivals • Play group/nursery/toddler group at least once a week for pre-school aged children • ELSE: Going on a school trip at least once a term for school aged children
Debt	‘Are you behind with repayments for any of these items?’ – then a list of bills, credit commitments, and so on.

The most common measure of deprivation used in Scotland is the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) 2009.* SIMD provides a relative measure of deprivation and allows identification of small area concentrations of multiple deprivation across all of Scotland in a fair way. This measure combines 38 indicators across seven domains, namely: income, employment, health, education, skills and training, housing, geographic access and crime.

* www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/SIMD/BackgroundMethodology

