

LJMU Research Online

Balshine, S, Wong, MYL and Reddon, AR

Social motivation and conflict resolution tactics as potential building blocks of sociality in cichlid fishes

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/11522/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Balshine, S, Wong, MYL and Reddon, AR (2017) Social motivation and conflict resolution tactics as potential building blocks of sociality in cichlid fishes. Behavioural Processes, 141 (2). pp. 152-160. ISSN 0376-6357

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

Social motivation and conflict resolution tactics as potential building 1 2 blocks of sociality in cichlid fishes

3 4

Sigal Balshine¹, Marian Y. L. Wong², Adam R. Reddon^{3*}

5 6

- ¹ Department of Psychology, Neuroscience, and Behaviour, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street 7 West, Hamilton, Ontario, L8S 4K1, Canada
- 8

9 ²School of Biological Sciences, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, NSW 10 2522, Australia

11

³Department of Biology, McGill University, 1205 Ave, Docteur Penfield, Montreal, QC, H3A 1B1, 12

13 Canada

14

15 * Corresponding author: adam.reddon@mcgill.ca

16 ABSTRACT

17 Even closely related and ecologically similar cichlid species of Lake Tanganyika exhibit an impressive 18 diversity of social systems, and therefore these fishes offer an excellent opportunity to examine the 19 evolution of social behaviour. Sophisticated social relationships are thought to have evolved via a 20 building block design where more fundamental social behaviours and cognitive processes have been 21 combined, incrementally modified, and elaborated over time. Here, we studied two of these putative 22 social building blocks in two closely related species of cichlids: *Neolamprologus pulcher* a group-23 living species, and *Telmatochromis temporalis*, a non-grouping species. Otherwise well matched in ecology, this pair of species provide an excellent comparison point to understand how behavioural 24 25 processes may have been modified in relation to the evolution of sociality. Using social assays in both 26 the laboratory and in the field, we explored each species' motivation to interact with conspecifics, and 27 each species' conflict resolution tactics. We found that individuals of the group living species, 28 *Neolamprologus pulcher*, displayed higher social motivation and were more likely to produce 29 submission displays than were individuals of the non-grouping species. *Telmatochromis temporalis*. 30 We argue that the motivation to interact with conspecifics is a necessary prerequisite for the emergence 31 of group living and that the use of submission reduces the costs of conflict and facilitates the 32 maintenance of close social proximity. These results suggest that social motivation and conflict 33 resolution tactics are associated with social complexity, and that these behavioural traits may be 34 functionally significant in the evolution and maintenance of sociality.

35

36 *Keywords:* cooperative breeding; sociality; group living; aggression; submission; Lake Tanganyika

37 **1. Introduction**

38 Sociality is not a single cohesive unit of behaviour, but instead is comprised of a diverse set of 39 socially relevant actions and cognitive processes (Goodson, 2013). Complex social behaviours are thought to have evolved from a combination of basic behavioral units. Examples include the tendency 40 41 to approach conspecifics, recognition and discrimination of individuals, and the use of tactics to resolve conflicts at minimal cost (Soares et al., 2010). Small behavioural changes, mediated by subtle 42 43 alterations in the underlying physiological machinery, are gradually added and modified to form 44 complex social phenotypes (Goodson, 2005; Donaldson and Young, 2008; Soares et al., 2010; 45 O'Connell and Hofmann, 2011; Zayad and Robinson, 2012). Therefore, in order to understand the 46 emergence of complex social behaviour and group living lifestyles, it is necessary to understand how 47 these basic behavioural building blocks have changed in form and function during the divergence of social systems. 48

49 The explosive radiation of the African cichlid fishes has generated an impressive diversity of 50 species with considerable variation in morphology, ecology, and behaviour and has made this family a 51 classic ecological, evolutionary and behavioural model system (Meyer et al., 1994; Barlow, 2000; 52 Kocher, 2004). The lamprologine cichlid tribe of Lake Tanganyika, East Africa, shows particularly remarkable diversity in social behaviour among its more than 80 species (Kuwamura, 1986; Konings, 53 54 1998; Day et al., 2007; Sturmbauer et al., 2010). As a result, this group offers excellent opportunities 55 for comparative social behaviour research. Of special note, the lamprologine cichlids count amongst 56 their ranks all known cooperatively breeding fishes (Taborsky and Limberger, 1981; Taborsky, 1994; 57 Heg and Bachar, 2006). These cooperative species live in relatively permanent social groups in which 58 non-breeding subordinates assist the dominant breeding pair in their reproductive efforts. A high level 59 of social complexity characterizes cooperative breeding societies, with group members that interact 60 frequently and have individualized relationships (Freeberg et al., 2012; Dey et al., 2013). Cooperative 61 breeding has emerged multiple times among the lamprologine cichlids and is derived from the pair

62 breeding system typical for cichlids (Dev et al., in review), in which adult fish are generally intolerant 63 of other conspecifics other than their own mate (Kuwamura, 1986; Desjardins, et al., 2008). 64 In order to better understand the behavioural building blocks of sociality, we investigated 65 socially relevant behavior in two closely related lamprologine cichlids. *Neolamprologus pulcher* and *Telmatochromis temporalis* (Figure 1). These two species split approximately 2 million years ago (Day 66 67 et al., 2007; Sturmbauer et al., 2010) and continue to share a similar ecology, but have diverged 68 dramatically in their social system. *Neolamprologus pulcher* are cooperative breeders that live in 69 permanent social groups consisting of a single dominant breeding pair, and an average of 5-7 70 subordinate fish that act as helpers at the nest, assisting with brood care, territory maintenance and 71 defence (Taborsky and Limberger, 1981; Taborsky, 1984; Balshine-Earn et al., 1998; Balshine et al., 72 2001; Heg et al., 2005; Wong and Balshine, 2011). These subordinate group members are often not 73 closely related to the dominant breeding pair (Stiver et al., 2004, 2005, Hellman et al., 2015). In 74 contrast, T. temporalis never form groups (Mboko and Khoda, 1999; Heg and Bachar, 2006). However, 75 both species live in the same areas of the rocky littoral zone in Lake Tanganvika and share similar 76 habitat requirements and predation regimes (Kuwamura, 1986; Brichard, 1989; Konings, 1998). 77 Furthermore, both cichlids are territorial substrate spawners with biparental care (Kuwamura, 1986). 78 Both species are small bodied (<80 mm standard length) and readily adapt to the laboratory 79 environment.

Using these two species (one group living and one not), we measured and compared behaviours hypothesized to be building blocks of sociality (Soares et al., 2010). Using newly collected data from the laboratory and the field, we examined social motivation, the tendency to value interactions with conspecifics compared to other alternatives. Additionally, by reanalyzing previously published data, we tested conflict resolution tactics that are used to settle an agonistic interaction. We predicted that relative to the non-grouping *T. temporalis*, the group-living *N. pulcher* would display greater social motivation and make greater use of submissive behaviour, a conflict resolution tactic that facilitates

group formation and maintenance (Bergmüller and Taborsky, 2005). Through this set of studies, we
hoped to gain insight into some of the basic behavioural building blocks that make up a highly social
phenotype, and broaden our understanding of the evolution and maintenance of sociality.

90

91 **2. Methods**

92 2.1. Measurement of social behaviour in the field

93 Field based behavioural studies were conducted at our long-term study site located at 94 Kasakalawe Bay (8°46'52" S, 31°5'18" E) in Lake Tanganyika, Zambia. This site is characterized by 95 mixture of sand and cobble substrate with a gentle descent to depth (for detailed descriptions of the 96 study site, see Balshine-Earn et al., 1998; Balshine et al., 2001; Stiver et al., 2005; Bergmüller et al., 97 2005; Dierkes et al., 2005; Heg et al., 2005). We performed underwater behavioural observations at 98 depths of 8-12 m using SCUBA. All of the wild fish included in the current study were observed 99 between October-December 2008. To control for ecological conditions, 10 T. temporalis territories and 100 10 N. pulcher territories were located such that pairs of territories (one belonging to each species) were 101 within 2 m of each other and were observed on the same day. Two 10 min focal observations (one in 102 the morning and one in the afternoon) were conducted on each breeder in each selected territory. 103 During the observation periods, we recorded all behaviours performed by and directed towards the 104 focal individual. Dominant breeding individuals are easily identified for both species, as the dominants 105 are typically the largest individuals in the *N. pulcher* group and the only fish in *T. temporalis* territories 106 (Wong and Balshine, 2011). Observed N. pulcher groups ranged in size from 4 to 9 adult sized 107 individuals (mean \pm S.E.M. = 6 ± 0.4 . The behaviours recorded are detailed in published ethograms for 108 N. pulcher and T. temporalis and were based on extensive behavioural observations of males and 109 females of both species in the field and in the laboratory (Sopinka et al., 2009; Hick et al., 2014; 110 Reddon et al., 2015). Briefly, behavioural acts and displays recorded included aggressive, submissive, 111 affiliative, workload, and self-maintenance behaviours. Aggressive behaviours included head-down

112 postures and frontal displays, as well as overt aggressive acts with physical contact, such as chases, 113 rams, bites, or mouth wrestles. Submissive behaviours are typically produced in response to aggressive 114 behaviour from another individual, and consist of head-up submissive postures and guivering 115 submission displays (Reddon et al., 2015). Affiliative behaviors are spontaneously produced towards 116 another individual, and include behaviours such as swimming closely in parallel, and soft touches. 117 Workload behaviors included territory defence, maintenance and offspring care. Finally, self-118 maintenance behaviors such as feeding and scraping were also recorded. The frequencies of these 119 behaviors from the morning and afternoon observations were averaged for each individual. From these 120 field observations on wild fishes, we calculated the following measures of social investment: 1) total 121 social behaviour performed (the sum of all aggressive, submissive, and affiliative behaviours 122 performed by each focal individual); and 2) proportion of social behaviour performed (total social 123 behaviour divided by the sum of all behaviours performed). To normalize this field data by the 124 opportunity for social interactions, we divided the number of interactions observed by group size 125 (always n=2 for *T*. *temporalis* breeders but variable for the *N*. *pulcher* breeders, n = 4-9).

126

127 2.2. Measurement of laboratory behaviour

Laboratory-based behavioural studies were conducted between May-November 2012 at 128 129 McMaster University in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. The N. pulcher and T. temporalis used were 130 laboratory-reared descendants of wild-caught fish. All fish were sexually mature but had not yet 131 reproduced. The fish used were measured, weighed, sexed by examination of the external genital 132 papillae, and each was given a unique dorsal fin clip for identification on the day prior to the behavioral 133 trial. These fin clips do not affect the behaviour of the fish and grow back within a week or two (Stiver 134 et al., 2004). Focal fish of each species were housed in 189 L aguaria in mixed sex groups of 8 to 12 135 individuals (approximately equal numbers of males and females). Both housing and test aquaria 136 contained 3 cm of coral sand substrate, a water filter, heater, and a thermometer. Housing aquaria also

137 contained 2 flowerpot halves for use as shelters. The water temperatures of all aquaria were held at 26 138 \pm 2°C. All fish were fed dried prepared cichlid food *ad libitum* six times per week, and kept on a 13:11 139 light:dark cycle.

140

141 2.3. Social approach assay

142 To assess the basic social motivation of each species, fish were placed in a 189 L experimental 143 tank (Figure 2). Sample sizes were n=20 individuals per species, with equal numbers of males and 144 females tested. A conspecific stimulus fish, always of the same sex, and unfamiliar to the focal fish (i.e., from a different housing aguarium) was used. This stimulus fish was between 5-20% larger by 145 146 mass than the focal individual (Reddon et al., 2011a). The focal fish was initially placed within a 147 perforated transparent cylinder (11 cm diameter) in the center of the tank for 10 minutes. During this 148 acclimation period the focal fish could see an unfamiliar conspecific in an identical cylinder on one 149 side of the aquarium, and a shelter (an opaque black PVC tube; 6.5 cm diameter x 10 cm length) on the 150 other side of the aquarium (Figure 2a). These tubes are readily used as shelter and nesting sites by both 151 species and fish will vigorously fight for access to them (Reddon et al., 2011b; Hick et al., 2014). As 152 result, this test creates a conflict between two potentially rewarding stimuli, the opportunity to interact 153 with an unfamiliar conspecific and access to a desirable shelter. Placement of the unfamiliar fish versus 154 the shelter on the left or right side of the apparatus was determined randomly by coin toss. Following 155 the 10 minutes of acclimation, the central transparent cylinder was lifted remotely by means of a pulley 156 system, releasing the focal fish (Figure 2b). During the 15 minute trial, we then measured social 157 motivation versus motivation to use the shelter in three different ways: 1) initial preference or approach (i.e., whether the fish first approached the conspecific or the shelter); 2) time spent *near* each stimulus 158 159 (i.e., within 10 cm of either the conspecific or the shelter; a distance that is approximately equal to 2 160 body lengths of the average focal fish); and 3) time spent in association with each stimulus (i.e., 161 interacting across the barrier with the conspecific in the transparent cylinder or using the shelter). The

interactions with the stimulus fish consisted primarily of rapid swimming into the cylinder directed atthe stimulus fish in an apparent effort to access the other fish.

164

165 2.4. Conflict resolution assay

166 To assess how the two cichlid species differed in terms of their conflict resolution behaviour, 167 we reanalyzed data initially presented in Hick et al., (2014) by focusing on conflict resolution tactics 168 between unfamiliar fish. Full methodological details can be found in Hick et al., (2014), however, in 169 brief: Focal fish were placed with a same-sex conspecific in a 38 L experimental aquarium (Figure 3) 170 and allowed to compete over a shelter for 30 minutes. The fish were given a 1-hour acclimation period 171 prior to the interaction during which they were restricted to a third of the experimental aquarium on 172 opposite ends of the tank and were unable to see the middle or other end chamber. Solid opaque 173 dividers separated the fish from the middle chamber of the aguarium, and from each other. An opaque 174 black tube, identical to the one used in the social approach assay, was placed into each third of the test 175 apparatus (Figure 3a). The solid opaque dividers and the two end shelters were removed after the 176 acclimation period, allowing the two fish to interact and compete over the remaining shelter in the 177 center third of the tank (Figure 3b). This staged sequence reliably elicits a resource contest in both 178 species (Desjardins et al., 2005; Taves et al., 2009; Reddon et al., 2011b). Competitors were always 179 unfamiliar fish that came from different housing aquaria. We ensured that one fish was always 5-20% 180 heavier than its competitor, as this size difference reliably elicits contest behaviour but also allows the 181 eventual winner to be predicted *a priori* (Reddon et al., 2011b). In total, 35 pairs (i.e., 70 fish) were 182 used, with 9 male pairs for each species, 9 pairs of female N. pulcher and 8 pairs of female T. *temporalis*. Trials were scored live. All aggressive and submissive behaviours performed by both the 183 184 eventual loser and the eventual winner were recorded during each 30 min trial. We assigned loser status 185 to any fish that ceased aggressing against its rival and displayed submission or fled from the other fish 186 three times in succession (Reddon and Hurd 2009; Reddon et al., 2011b). Because acts of submission

and fleeing are commonly observed in direct response to aggressive behaviour, we divided the rates of
submission and fleeing by the number of aggressive acts received (following the measures used in
Reddon et al., 2012; O'Connor et al., 2013).

190

191 2.5. Statistical analyses

192 Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 23. We compared the 193 species in their social motivation in the field using generalized linear mixed models fitted to a gamma 194 distribution with a log link, appropriate for positively skewed values. We included species and sex as 195 fixed factors and breeding pair identity as a random factor. Species differences in social motivation and 196 conflict resolution tactics in the laboratory were examined using ANOVA for continuous dependent 197 variables. We included species, sex and their interaction as fixed factors in these models. In order to 198 assess which stimulus the focal fish approached first in our laboratory social motivation assay, we 199 conducted a binary logistic regression with first stimulus visited (shelter vs. conspecific) as the 200 response variable, and species, sex and their interaction as fixed factors. The relative use of fleeing 201 compared to submission as a conflict resolution tactic for each species was further explored using 202 ANCOVA with submission per aggressive act received set as the response variable, flees per 203 aggressive act received as continuous covariate with species, sex and their interaction as fixed factors. 204 We checked the residuals from all reported models for adherence to model assumptions and 205 transformed the raw data where appropriate (indicated below). In the majority of our models, sex was 206 not a statistically significant factor (p > 0.05), however we do note below those cases in which males 207 and females showed different patterns of behaviour.

208

209 2.6. Ethical note

All laboratory trials were continually monitored. Following the recommendations of
Huntingford (1984) we minimally handled each fish, and limited the contests to a short duration. The

212 fish were not overtly stressed by the contest and no signs of injury (torn fins or missing scales) were 213 observed during any of the trials. Had any such injuries been sustained, the trial would have been 214 stopped immediately. Neither species is threatened, nor endangered, and are both extremely abundant 215 at our study site. The methods described for animal housing, handling and observations in the 216 laboratory and in the field were assessed and approved by both the Animal Research Ethics Board of 217 McMaster University (Animal Utilization Protocol numbers 06-10-59 and 10-11-71) and the Zambian 218 Department of Fisheries. All procedures adhered to Canadian and Zambian laws, and the guidelines of 219 the Canadian Council for Animal Care and the Animal Behavior Society/Association for the Study of 220 Animal Behaviour.

221

222 **3. Results**

223 3.1. Social motivation

224 In the wild, *N. pulcher* were involved in approximately 3x more social interactions than *T*. *temporalis* (Generalized linear mixed model: $F_{1,36} = 13.91$, p = 0.001; Figure 4a). Females of both 225 species performed more total social behaviours than did males (Generalized linear mixed model: $F_{1,36}$ = 226 227 18.84, p < 0.001). Social interactions also made up a higher proportion of all behaviours in the group living species when compared with the non-grouping species (Generalized linear mixed model: $F_{1,36}$ = 228 229 4.63, p = 0.038; Figure 4b), demonstrating that *N*. *pulcher* breeders spend more of their time budget 230 socializing. After controlling for group size, dominant N. pulcher did not show more social interactions 231 than *T. temporalis* (Generalized linear mixed model: $F_{1,36} = 1.68$, p = 0.20; Figure 4c). For complete 232 results, see Supplemental Table 1.

In the laboratory assessment of social motivation, there was no clear tendency for fish to approach the conspecific or the shelter first, nor was there a species difference in which stimulus was approached first (Binary logistic regression: Wald $\chi^2 = 1.96$, df = 1, p=0.16, Figure 5a). However, there was a sex difference, with males of both species more likely to approach the fish first while females were more likely to approach the shelter first (Binary logistic regression: Wald $\chi^2 = 6.15$, df = 1, p = 0.013). Both species spent about the same amount of time within 10 cm of the conspecific (ANOVA: $F_{1,36} = 1.16$, p = 0.29, Figure 5b). However, members of the group living species (*N. pulcher*) spent more time interacting with the conspecific than did individuals of the non-grouping species (*T. temporalis*; ANOVA: $F_{1,36} = 5.53$, p = 0.024; Figure 5c). For complete results see Supplemental Table 242 2.

243

244 3.2. Conflict resolution

245 In the staged contests over a shelter in the laboratory, we found that N. pulcher fights contained 246 fewer aggressive acts relative to the contests among *T. temporalis* (Log transformed data; ANOVA: $F_{1,31} = 14.87$, p = 0.001; Figure 5a). The *N. pulcher* were also far more likely to use submission 247 248 displays to terminate a resource contest with an unfamiliar conspecific (Log transformed data, ANOVA, $F_{1,31} = 8.56$, p = 0.006; Figure 5b), while *T. temporalis* were more likely to flee (ANOVA, 249 $F_{1,31} = 4.37$, p = 0.045; Figure 5c). Across both species, there was a strong negative relationship 250 251 between the individual tendency to perform submission displays and the tendency to flee from their 252 opponent (ANCOVA, $F_{1,30} = 16.44$, p < 0.001; Figure 5d). For complete results see Supplemental Table 253 3.

254

255 4. Discussion

By studying two closely related species of cichlids (Day et al., 2007; Sturmbauer et al., 2010) that are well matched in terms of their habitat requirements, diet, and ecology, but that differ in their social system (Kuwamura, 1986; Heg and Bachar, 2006), we can examine how behavioral processes and cognition may have diversified in relation to sociality. We found that in both the laboratory and the field, individuals of the group-living species, *N. pulcher*, are more motivated to interact with 261 conspecifics. In the laboratory, *N. pulcher* also use submission more frequently to end conflicts when
262 compared to the non-grouping *T. temporalis*.

263 In the wild, N. pulcher have more social interactions than the less social T. temporalis. Thus, 264 individuals of the more social species invest a greater proportion of their time budget engaged in social 265 interactions than the non-grouping *T. temporalis*. However, it can be argued that the greater number of 266 social interactions observed in wild *N. pulcher* are due at least in part to the greater opportunity to 267 interact with conspecifics because of the group living situation. Indeed, when we controlled for group 268 size, the *N*. pulcher no longer show significantly more social interactions per group member (4-9) individuals) that did the *T. temporalis* (always 2 individuals). However, the pattern of the results 269 270 suggests that N. pulcher may interact more than T. temporalis after controlling for group size, but a 271 larger sample size is needed to resolve this issue. Controlling for group size in this way is also not 272 without caveats, given that interactions within N. pulcher groups are strongly size dependent, and 273 individuals that are very different in body size rarely interact (Dev et al. 2013). Larger groups are more 274 likely to contain numerous small helpers that seldom interact with the large dominant individuals that 275 we observed, therefore potentially creating the misleading impression that fish in larger groups interact 276 less after accounting for their apparent opportunity to do so. We argue that the fact that N. pulcher spend a greater proportion of their time interacting socially in the face of other competing motivations 277 278 (e.g., foraging, territory maintenance etc.) than do the non-grouping T. temporalis does support the 279 notion that N. pulcher are more socially motivated. Concordant with this argument, N. pulcher spent 280 more time interacting with a conspecific compared to T. temporalis during a standardized preference 281 trial in the laboratory. The tendency to interact with conspecifics is among the most fundamental 282 aspects of social behavior. Without the motivation to remain close to other individuals, no other more 283 complex social interactions are possible (Thompson and Walton, 2004; Soares et al., 2010; Goodson, 284 2013).

285 The conflict resolution tactics used by N. pulcher are likely to aid in the formation and 286 maintenance of stable social groups. *Neolamprologus pulcher* were more prone to use submission 287 displays than were T. temporalis. Conversely, T. temporalis were much more likely to flee from a 288 conflict. Our laboratory results indicate that fleeing and submission may be alternative tactics for 289 ending a conflict, and the use of these different approaches to giving-up appear to trade off against each 290 other in both species. Submissive behaviour in general allows competitors to settle a conflict, minimize 291 the costs of fighting (e.g., energy, time and injury risk Mastumura and Havden, 2006), and can facilitate the establishment of a stable dominance relationship (Drews, 1993), all while allowing the 292 293 individuals to remain in the same spatial location after the hostilities cease (Ligon, 2014). In contrast, 294 fleeing creates a physical separation between the competitors and thus may be antithetical to the 295 formation of spatially delimited social groups. When animals are limited in their mobility, it can select 296 for the use of submission displays because of the reduced ability to flee (Mastumura and Hayden, 2006; 297 Ligon, 2014). Restrictions on dispersal unrelated to mobility per se, for example because of habitat 298 saturation, or predation risk may similarly constrain the ability for animals to flee from a conflict. 299 Dispersal into a new territory is a dangerous activity for both N. pulcher and T. temporalis. The 300 additional burden of establishing social relationships and achieving acceptance within a new social 301 group may make dispersal away from a current territory a particularly daunting challenge in N. pulcher 302 (Balshine et al., 2001; Stiver et al., 2005; Hellmann et al., 2015a, 2016). Thus, a group living lifestyle 303 in and of itself incentivizes the use of submissive displays in N. pulcher (Heg et al., 2004, Bergmüller 304 et al., 2005, Arnold & Taborksv 2010). Interestingly, large male N. pulcher from outside of the group 305 will occasionally challenge the breeder male for his reproductive position (O'Connor et al., 2015a). In 306 these breeder male contests, N. pulcher never show submissive behaviour and always flee from their 307 opponent to terminate the contest (O'Connor et al., 2015a). So when there is no social incentive to 308 remain in the same location, N. pulcher will flee when losing a fight, similar to T. temporalis.

309 Both N. pulcher and T. temporalis show very similar repertoires of agonistic displays (Hick et 310 al., 2014). For example, both species, indicate aggressive intention by taking on a head-down posture, 311 while submission is signaled through the opposite pose, with the fish's head up exposing its ventral 312 aspect (Hick et al., 2014; Reddon et al., 2015). The contrasting forms of submissive and aggressive 313 postures in these species appear to conform to Darwin's principle of antithesis, which predicts that 314 signals that are designed to elicit opposite responses from their receivers should evolve towards 315 opposite forms (Darwin, 1872; Hurd et al., 1995). The fact that both N. pulcher and T. temporalis show 316 a similar submission postures implies that this behaviour was likely present in their common ancestor 317 and thus did not emerge specifically as an adaptation to group living in *N. pulcher*. Group living may 318 have selected for an increased use of this display to deal with frequent and inescapable social conflicts 319 in *N. pulcher*, although additionally or alternatively, these differences between the species may also be 320 partly or wholly due to experience (Arnold and Taborsky, 2010; see below). Submission is a 321 metabolically costly behaviour and apart from maintenance behaviours, is the largest component of the 322 time-energy budget of subordinate N. pulcher (Grantner and Taborsky, 1998; Taborsky and Granter, 323 1998). The greater use of submission by N. pulcher than T. temporalis suggests an up-regulation in the 324 use of these displays has occurred in *N*. *pulcher*, either through evolved changes or as result of 325 feedback from social experience. It is possible the establishment of submissive signaling within a 326 species potentiates group living by reducing the costs of frequent social interactions. Therefore the 327 presence of well developed submissive signaling may be an antecedent to the emergence of group 328 living. Studies aimed at testing this hypothesis through experimentation and further comparative work 329 within a phylogenetic framework will be a productive area for future investigation. 330 Submissive behavior is known to have an important function in promoting hierarchy formation 331 and stabilization in other social species (e.g., Schenkel, 1967; Drews, 1993; Dugatkin, 1997, 2001; 332 Sapolsky, 2005). In the group living *N. pulcher*, submissive behaviour is performed primarily by 333 subordinate individuals and is directed towards those above them in the dominance hierarchy,

334 suggesting that submission displays play a role in the maintenance of the hierarchy in this species (Dev 335 et al., 2013). Our data link different tactics in conflict resolution with the social system, however, the 336 causal relationship remains uncertain. Submissive behavior may be a necessary prerequisite for group 337 living, or appropriate submissive behavior may develop through ontogeny in the group living species as 338 a consequence of frequent social interactions (see Arnold and Taborsky, 2010; Taborsky et al., 2012b; 339 Taborsky and Oliveira 2012). In general, social behaviour is a very flexible trait. It is possible that the 340 differences in social behaviour that we observed could have been caused by different social 341 environments experienced through ontogeny, rather than adaptations to sociality per se. However, we 342 do note that the developmental environment for the fishes in our laboratory studies was similar for both 343 species. Young of both species were raised in single species stock tanks, without predators or 344 established social groups. Fish were held in mixed sex groups of 8 to 12 individuals (approximately equal numbers of males and females) for a minimum of two weeks prior to study in order to minimize 345 346 species differences due to recent social experience. Further experimental manipulation of the 347 developmental environment may allow these potential relationships to be disentangled, and help to 348 establish the degree to which the species differences we detected are due to evolved differences in 349 social tendencies.

350 The nonapeptide hormones oxytocin and vasopressin (known as isotocin and vasotocin in 351 teleost fish) are involved in the regulation of social motivation in fish (Thompson and Walton, 2004, 352 2011; Braida et al., 2011; Reddon et al., 2014), mammals (Lukas et al., 2011; Mooney et al., 2014), and 353 birds (Goodson et al., 2009; Goodson and Kingsbury, 2011; Goodson et al., 2012) and thus these 354 neurohormones may be key proximate substrates of the building blocks of sociality (Goodson, 2013). 355 Recent work in fishes has implicated both of these nonapeptide hormones in the production of 356 submissive behaviour in fish (Godwin and Thompson, 2012). In the mudskipper, *Periophthalmus* 357 *modestus*, the expression of vasotocin mRNA is greater in the brains of submissively behaving 358 subordinate fish compared to dominant individuals (Kagawa et al., 2013). Similarly, the expression of

359 vasotocin in the parvocellular region of the preoptic area of the hypothalamus is greater in subordinate 360 than in dominant males of the African cichlid Astatotilapia burtoni, and greater vasotocin gene 361 expression in this brain area correlates with greater use of submissive behaviour in this species 362 (Greenwood et al., 2008). When N. pulcher subordinates housed in naturalistic social groups in the 363 laboratory were given an exogenous administration of isotocin, they increased submissive behaviour 364 (Reddon et al., 2012). This change in behaviour was specific to submission displays, as the treated fish 365 did not show any changes in their aggressive or affiliative behaviour and did not differ compared to 366 control animals. Hellmann et al., (2015b) repeated this experiment on free-living wild fish in Lake 367 Tanganyika and again found that exogenous isotocin increased the expression of submissive behaviour 368 in *N. pulcher*. *Neolamprologus pulcher* have a higher expression of the isotocin gene in their brains 369 than do *T. temporalis* (O'Connor et al., 2015b, 2016). Together, these data suggests that evolution may 370 have acted upon the isotocin system during the divergence of social behaviour in the lamprologines. 371 possibly in part because of its role in promoting submissive behaviour.

372 In conclusion, in the current study, we identify behaviours that differ between two species of 373 cichlids that diverge in social system, namely, social motivation and conflict resolution behavior. The 374 motivation to approach, interact with, and tolerate other conspecifics is a an essential first step toward 375 social living (Soares et al., 2010; Goodson, 2013), and our results contrasting the group living N. 376 *pulcher* with the non-grouping *T. temporalis* support the hypothesis that the emergence of complex 377 social behaviour has coincided with increased social motivation. Conflict management is another 378 critical aspect of a social phenotype. The greater use of submission displays in the group living N. 379 *pulcher* compared to the non-grouping *T. temporalis* suggests alternation in the conflict management 380 mechanisms during the transition to social living in this group. Social motivation and submissive 381 behaviour are promising candidates for further comparative investigation into how basic behaviors 382 build to form complex social phenotypes. Experimental work that manipulates the expression of these

- 383 behaviours, and explores the fitness consequences in species that exhibit varying degrees of sociality is
- a critical next research step.

385 Acknowledgements

386 We thank Connie O'Connor for help producing figures and for contributing invaluable comments and discussion during the preparation of this manuscript. We also thank Susan Marsh-Rollo, Sophie St-Cvr. 387 Jennifer Reynolds, Maxwell and John Juma, and Rory and Shefali Nefdt for assistance with field data 388 389 collection and logistics in Zambia; and Drs. Harris Phiri, Patrick Ngalande, Justina Kasabila, 390 Lawerence Mkasa and Ruben Shapola from the Zambian Department of Fisheries for permission and 391 support for the fieldwork in Lake Tanganvika. We thank Connie O'Connor, Kristina Hick, Susan 392 Marsh-Rollo, Tiffany Tsui and Joanna Tan for assistance with data collection in the laboratory. A 393 Discovery grant from the Natural Sciences Engineering Research Council of Canada and a Canada 394 Foundation for Innovation award to SB supported this research. MW was ssupported by a Canadian 395 Commonwealth Post-doctoral Research Fellowship (DFAIT) and an Ontario Ministry of Research and 396 Innovation (MRI) Post-doctoral Fellowship. AR is currently supported by a Banting Postdoctoral 397 Fellowship.

399 **References**

- Arnold, C., Taborsky, B., 2010. Social experience in early ontogeny has lasting effects on social skills in cooperatively breeding cichlids. Anim. Behav. 79, 621–630. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.12.008)
 Balshine, S., Leach, B., Neat, F., Reid, H., Taborsky, M., Werner, N., 2001. Correlates of group size in a cooperatively breeding cichlid fish (*Neolamprologus pulcher*). Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 50, 134-140.
- Balshine-Earn, S., Neat, F.C., Reid, H., Taborsky, M., 1998. Paying to stay or paying to breed?
 Field evidence for direct benefits of helping behavior in a cooperatively breeding fish. Behav. Ecol. 9,
 432-438.
- 411 **Barlow, G.W., 2002.** *The cichlid fishes: nature's grand experiment in evolution.* Basic Books.
- Bergmüller, R., Taborsky, M., 2005. Experimental manipulation of helping in a cooperative
 breeder: helpers 'pay to stay' by pre-emptive appeasement. Anim. Behav. 69, 19-28.
- Bergmüller, R., Heg, D., Peer, K., Taborksy, M., 2005. Extended safe havens and between-group
 dispersal of helpers in a cooperatively breeding cichlid. Behav. 142, 1643-1667.
- 418
- Brichard, P. 1989. Cichlids and all the other fishes of Lake Tanganyika. THF Publications, Neptune
 City, NJ.
- 421

425

410

412

- Braida, D., Donzelli, A., Martucci, R., Capurro, V., Busnelli, M., Chini, B., Sala, M., 2012.
 Neurohypophyseal hormones manipulation modulate social and anxiety-related behavior in zebrafish.
 Psychopharmacology, 220, 319-330.
- Day, J.J., Santini, S., Garcia-Moreno, J., 2007. Phylogenetic relationships of the Lake Tanganyika
 cichlid tribe Lamprologini: the story from mitochondrial DNA. Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 45, 629-642.
- 429 Darwin, C., 1872. *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*. London, UK: John Marry
- 430
 431 Desjardins, J.K., Hazelden, M.R., Van Der Kraak, G., Balshine, S., 2005. Male and female
 432 cooperatively breeding fish provide support for the "challenge hypothesis". Behav. Ecol. 17, 149-154.
 433
- 434 Drews, C., 1993. The concept and definition of dominance in animal behaviour. Behaviour, 125, 283436
- 437 Dey, C.J., Reddon, A.R., O'Connor, C.M., Balshine, S., 2013. Network structure is related to social
 438 conflict in a cooperatively breeding fish. Anim. Behav. 85, 395-402.
 439
- 440 Dey C.J., O'Connor, C.M., Shultz, S, Balshine, S., Fitzpatrick J., in review. Monogamy and
 441 evolutionary transitions to complex societies. Nat. Ecol. Evol.
 442
- 443 **Desjardins, J.K., Stiver, K.A., Fitzpatrick, J.L., Balshine, S., 2008.** "Differential responses to 444 territory intrusions in cooperatively breeding fish". Anim. Behav., 75, 595-604.
- 445

446 447 448	Dierkes, P., Heg, D., Taborsky, M., Skubic, E., Achmann, R. 2005. Genetic relatedness in groups is sex-specific and declines with age of helpers in a cooperatively breeding cichlid. Ecol. Lett. 8, 968-975.
449 450 451	Donaldson, Z.R., Young, L.J. 2008. Oxytocin, vasopressin, and the neurogenetics of sociality. Science, 322, 900-904.
452 453 454	Dugatkin, L.A. 1997. Winner and loser effects and the structure of dominance hierarchies. Behav. Ecol. 8, 583-587.
455 456 457	Dugatkin, L.A. 2001. Bystander effects and the structure of dominance hierarchies. Behav. Ecol. 12, 348–352.
458 459 460	Goodson, J.L. 2013. Deconstructing sociality, social evolution and relevant nonapeptide functions. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 38, 465-478.
461 462 463	Godwin, J., Thompson, R., 2012. Nonapeptides and social behavior in fishes. Horm. Behav., 61, 230-238.
464 465 466	Goodson, J.L., Schrock, S.E., Klatt, J.D., Kabelik, D., Kingsbury, M.A. 2009. Mesotocin and nonapeptide receptors promote estrildid flocking behavior. <i>Science</i> , <i>325</i> (5942), 862-866.
	Goodson, J.L., Kingsbury, M.A., 2011. Nonapeptides and the evolution of social group sizes in birds. Frontiers in Neuroantomy doi:10.3389/fnana.2011.00013
467 468 469	Goodson, J.L., Kelly, A.M., Kingsbury, M.A., 2012. Evolving nonapeptide mechanisms of gregariousness and social diversity in birds. Horm. Behav., 61, 239-250.
470 471 472	Goodson, J.L., Evans, A.K., Lindberg, L., Allen, C.D., 2005. Neuro–evolutionary patterning of sociality. Proc. R Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 272, 227-235.
473 474 475 476	Grantner, A., Taborsky, M. 1998. The metabolic rates associated with resting, and with the performance of agonistic, submissive and digging behaviours in the cichlid fish <i>Neolamprologus pulcher</i> (Pisces: Cichlidae). J Comp Physiol. B, 168, 427-433.
477 478 479 480	Greenwood, A.K., Wark, A.R., Fernald, R.D., Hofmann, H.A., 2008. Expression of arginine vasotocin in distinct preoptic regions is associated with dominant and subordinate behaviour in an African cichlid fish. Proc. R Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 275. 2393-2402.
481 482 483	Heg, D., Bachar, Z., Brouwer, L., Taborsky, M. 2004. Predation risk is an ecological constraint for helper dispersal in a cooperatively breeding cichlid. Proc. R Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 271, 2367-2374.
484 485 486	Heg, D., Bachar, Z. 2006. Cooperative breeding in the Lake Tanganyika cichlid <i>Julidochromis ornatus</i> . Environ. Biol. Fish. 76, 265-281.
487 488 489	Heg, D., Bachar, Z., Taborsky, M. 2005. Cooperative breeding and group structure in the Lake Tanganyika cichlid <i>Neolamprologus savoryi</i> . Ethology, 111, 1017-1043.

490 Hellmann, J, Ligocki, I, O'Connor, C, Reddon, A, Garvy, K, Marsh-Rollo, S, Gibbs, L, Balshine, 491 S, and Hamilton, I, 2015a. "Reproductive sharing in relation to group and colony-level attributes in a 492 cooperative breeding fish". Proc. R Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 282, 20150954 493 494 Hellmann, J. Reddon, A. Ligocki, I. O'Connor, C. Garvy, K. Marsh-Rollo, S. Hamilton, I. 495 Balshine, S, 2015b. Changes in submissive behaviour in response to social perturbation: Impacts of 496 isotocin and the social landscape. Anim. Behav., 105, 55-62. 497 498 Hellmann, J.K., Sovic M.G., Gibbs H.L., Reddon, A.R., O'Connor C.M., Ligocki I.Y., Marsh-499 Rollo, S., Balshine S., Hamilton I.M., 2016. Within-group relatedness is correlated with colony-level 500 social structure and reproductive sharing in a social fish. Molecular Ecology. doi: 10.1111/mec.13728 501 502 Hick, K., Reddon, A.R., O'Connor, C.M., Balshine, S. 2014. Strategic and tactical fighting decisions 503 in cichlid fishes with divergent social systems. Behavior, 151, 77-71. 504 505 Hurd, P.L., Wachtmeister, C.A. Enquist, M., 1995. Darwin's principle of antithesis revisited: a role 506 for perceptual biases in the evolution of intraspecific signals. Proc. R Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci., 259. 507 201-205. 508 509 Kagawa, N., Nishiyama, Y., Kato, K., Takahashi, H., Kobayashi, Y., Sakamoto, H., Sakamoto, T., 510 **2013.** Potential roles of arginine-vasotocin in the regulation of aggressive behavior in the mudskipper 511 (Periophthalmus modestus). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol., 194, 57-263. 512 513 Kocher, T. D., 2004. Adaptive evolution and explosive speciation: the cichlid fish model. Nat. Rev. 514 Gen., 5, 288-298. 515 516 Konings, A., 1998. Cichlids in their natural habitat. Cichlid Press, El Paso, TX. 517 518 Kuwamura, T., 1986. Parental care and mating systems of cichlid fishes in Lake Tanganyika: a 519 preliminary field survey. J. Ethol. 4, 129-146. 520 521 Ligon, R.A., 2014. Defeated chameleons darken dynamically during dyadic disputes to decrease 522 danger from dominants. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol., 68), 1007-1017. 523 524 Lukas, M., Toth, I., Reber, S.O., Slattery, D.A., Veenema, A.H., Neumann, I.D., 2011. The 525 neuropeptide oxytocin facilitates pro-social behavior and prevents social avoidance in rats and mice. 526 Neuropsychopharmacology, 36, 2159-2168. 527 528 Mboko, S. and Kohda, M. 1999. Piracy mating by large males in a monogamous substrate-breeding 529 cichlid in Lake Tanganyika. J. Ethol. 17, 51-55. 530 531 Matsumura, S., Hayden, T.J., 2006. When should signals of submission be given?–A game theory 532 model. J Theor. Biol. 240, 425-433. 533 534 Mooney, S.J., Douglas, N.R., Holmes, M.M., 2014. Peripheral administration of oxytocin increases 535 social affiliation in the naked mole-rat (*Heterocephalus glaber*). Horm. Behav., 65, 380-385. 536 537 O'Connell, L.A., Hofmann, H.A., 2011. The vertebrate mesolimbic reward system and social 538 behavior network: a comparative synthesis. J Comp. Neurol., 519, 3599-3639.

539 540 O'Connor, C.M., Rodela, T.M., Mileva, V.R., Balshine, S., Gilmour, K.M., 2013. Corticosteroid 541 receptor gene expression is related to sex and social behavior in a social fish. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 542 A, 164, 438-446. 543 544 O'Connor, C.M., Reddon, A.R., Ligocki, I.Y., Hellmann, J.K., Garvy, K, Marsh-Rollo, S, 545 Hamilton, I.M., and Balshine, S., 2015a. Motivation but not body size influences territorial contest 546 dynamics in a wild cichlid fish. Anim. Behav., 107, 19-29. 547 548 O'Connor, C.M., Marsh-Rollo, S., Cortez Ghio, S., Balshine, S., Aubin-Horth., N., 2015b. Is there 549 convergence in the molecular pathways underlying the repeated evolution of sociality in African 550 cichlids? Horm. Behav., 75, 160-168. 551 552 O'Connor, C.M., Marsh-Rollo, S.E., Aubin-Horth, N., Balshine, S., 2016. Species-specific patterns 553 of nonapeptide brain gene expression relative to pair-bonding behaviour in grouping and non-grouping 554 cichlids. Horm. Behav., 80, 30-38. 555 556 R Development Core Team. 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [Online]. 557 Website: http://www.R-project.org/. 558 559 Reddon, A.R. and Hurd, P.L., 2009. Differences in aggressive behavior between convict cichlid color 560 morphs: amelanistic convicts lose even with a size advantage. Acta Etholog. 12, 49-53. 561 562 Reddon, A.R., O'Connor, C.M., Balshine, S., Gozdowska, M., Kulczykowska, E., 2015. Brain nonapeptide levels are related to social status and affiliative behaviour in a cooperatively breeding 563 564 cichlid fish. Roy. Soc. Open Sci., 2, 140072. 565 566 Reddon, A.R., Voisin, M., O'Connor, C.M., Balshine, S., 2014. Isotocin and sociality in the 567 cooperatively breeding cichlid fish, Neolamprologus pulcher. Behaviour, 15, 1389–1411. 568 569 Reddon, A.R., O'Connor, C.M., Marsh-Rollo, S.E., and Balshine, S., 2012. Effects of isotocin on 570 social responses in a cooperatively breeding fish. Anim. Behav., 84, 753-760. 571 572 Reddon, A.R., Balk, D.B., Balshine, S., 2011a. Sex differences in group-joining decisions in social 573 fish. Anim. Behav. 82, 229-234. 574 575 Reddon, A.R., Voisin, M.R., Menon, N., Marsh-Rollo, S.E., Wong, M.Y.L., Balshine, S. 2011b. 576 Rules of engagement for resource contests in a social fish. Anim. Behav. 82, 93-99. 577 578 Sapolsky, R.M., 2005. The influence of social hierarchy on primate health. Science, 308, 648-652. 579 580 Schenkel, R., 1967. Submission: its features and function in the wolf and dog. Amer. Zool. 7, 319-329. 581 582 Soares, M.C., Bshary, R., Fusani, L., Goymann, W., Hau, M., Hirschenhauser, K. and Oliveira, 583 R.F. 2010. Hormonal mechanisms of cooperative behavior. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon. B, 365, 2737-2750. 584 585 Sopinka, N.M., Fitzpatrick, J.L., Desjardins, J.K., Stiver, K.A., Marsh-Rollo, S.E., Balshine, S., 586 2009. "Liver size reveals social status in the African cichlid Neolamprologus pulcher". J Fish Biol., 75, 1-16. 587

588 589 Stiver, K.A., Dierkes, P., Taborsky, M., Balshine, S., 2004. Dispersal patterns and status change in a 590 co-operatively breeding cichlid *Neolamprologus pulcher*: evidence from microsatellite analyses and 591 behavioural observations. J Fish Biol., 65, 91-105. 592 593 Stiver, K.A., Dierkes, P., Taborksy, M., Gibbs, H.L., Balshine, S. 2005. Relatedness and helping in 594 fish: examining the theoretical predictions. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lon. B, 272, 1593-1599. 595 596 Sturmbauer, C., Salzburger, W., Duftner, N., Schelly, R., Koblmüller, S., 2010. Evolutionary 597 history of the Lake Tanganyika cichlid tribe Lamprologini (Teleostei: Perciformes) derived from 598 mitochondrial and nuclear DNA. Mol. Phylogen. Evol., 57, 266-284. 599 600 Taborsky, M., 1984. Broodcare helpers in the cichlid fish Lamprologus brichardi: their costs and 601 benefits. Anim. Behav. 32, 1236-1252. 602 603 Taborsky, M., 1994. Sneakers, satellites, and helpers: parasitic and cooperative behavior in fish 604 reproduction. Adv. Study Behav., 23, 1-100. 605 606 Taborsky, M., Limberger, D., 1981. Helpers in fish. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 8, 143-145. 607 608 **Taborsky M., Grantner, A., 1998.** Behavioural time–energy budgets of cooperatively breeding. 609 Neolamprologus pulcher (Pisces: Cichlidae). Anim. Behav., 56, 1375-1382. 610 611 **Taborsky, B., Oliveira, R.F., 2012.** Social competence: an evolutionary approach. Trends Ecol. Evol. 612 27, 679-688. 613 614 Taborsky, B., Arnold, C., Junker, J. and Tschopp, A., 2012. The early social environment affects 615 social competence in a cooperative breeder. Anim. Behav. 82, 1067-1074. 616 617 Taves, M.D., Desjardins, J.K., Mishra, S. and Balshine, S., 2009. Androgens and dominance: sex-618 specific patterns in a highly social fish (Neolamprologus pulcher). Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 161, 202-619 207. 620 621 Thompson, R. R., Walton, J. C., 2004. Peptide effects on social behavior: effects of vasotocin and 622 isotocin on social approach behavior in male goldfish (Carassius auratus). Behav. Neurosci. 118, 620-623 626. 624 625 Wong, M.Y.L., Balshine, S. 2011. The evolution of cooperative breeding in the African cichlid fish, 626 Neolamprologus pulcher. Biol. Rev. 86, 511-530. 627 628 Zayed, A., Robinson, G.E., 2012. Understanding the relationship between brain gene expression and 629 social behavior: lessons from the honey bee. Ann. Rev. Gen., 46, 591-615.

630 **Figure captions**

631 *Figure 1.* (A) *Telmatochromis temporalis* and (B) *Neolamprologus pulcher* are two closely related

632 Lamprologine cichlid fishes that are similar in body size, appearance, and ecology, but differ in social

633 system. *Neolamprologus pulcher* are group living while *T. temporalis* non-grouping. Photo credits:

634 Susan Marsh Rollo and Jen Reynolds.

635

Figure 2. Experimental setup for the social motivation assay. (A) During a 10 min acclimation period,
the focal fish was confined within a transparent cylinder. (B) Following acclimation, the transparent
cylinder was lifted, and the focal fish was allowed to interact with the stimulus fish across the barrier of
the transparent cylinder or enter the shelter over a 15 min trial duration.

640

Figure 3. Experimental setup for the conflict assay. (A) During a 1 hr acclimation period, the two
contestants were each given a shelter, but were separated from each other by opaque dividers. (B)
Following acclimation, the outer shelters and barriers were removed, and fish were allowed to compete
over the remaining central shelter for 30 min.

645

646 *Figure 4.* Social motivation measured in wild breeding individuals of a group-living cichlid

647 (Neolamprologus pulcher) and a non-grouping cichlid (Telmatochromis temporalis). (A) Compared to

648 the *T. temporalis*, *N. pulcher* displayed overall more social behaviors (i.e., affiliative, submissive, and

649 aggressive displays) and (B) social behavior constituted a higher proportion of all observed behaviors.

650 (C) After controlling for group size, there was no difference in the number of social behaviours

651 produced per group member.

652

Figure 5. In a controlled laboratory test of social motivation, (A) both species were equally likely to
visit the fish or the shelter stimulus first, and (B) there was no species difference in the time spent with

- the stimulus fish, however, (C) *N. pulcher* spent more time interacting with a conspecific than did *T. temporalis*.
- 657
- 658 *Figure 6.* Conflict resolution behavior measured in a group-living cichlid (*Neolamprologus* pulcher)
- and a non-grouping cichlid (*Telmatochromis temporalis*). During staged contests in the laboratory, *N*.
- 660 *pulcher* displayed (A) less aggression, were (B) more likely to use submissive displays, and were (C)
- 661 less likely than *T. temporalis* to flee from their opponent. At the individual level, (D) members of both
- 662 species that produced high rates of submission rarely fled from their opponents.





Figure 2



B. 15 min trial



Figure 3



B. 30 min trial









N. pulcher





N. pulcher



Figure 5



N. pulcher

T. temporalis





Figure 6

