A novel biomarker-based proxy for the spring phytoplankton bloom in Arctic and subarctic settings – HBI T_{25}

Simon T Belt^{a,*}, Lukas Smik^a, Denizcan Köseoğlu^a, Jochen Knies^{b,c}, Katrine Husum^d

(a) Biogeochemistry Research Centre, School of Geography, Earth and

Environmental Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth, PL4 8AA, UK.

(b) CAGE - Centre for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate, Department of

Geosciences, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway.

(c) Geological Survey of Norway, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway.

(d) Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, NO-9296 Tromsø, Norway.

* Author for correspondence

E-mail: sbelt@plymouth.ac.uk

Keywords: Spring bloom; phytoplankton; proxy; HBI; diatoms; Arctic

1 Abstract

2 The spring phytoplankton bloom is a characteristic feature of mid-high latitudes in modern times, but can be challenging to identify in palaeo records. In the current 3 study, we investigated the absolute and relative distributions of two diatom-derived 4 5 tri-unsaturated highly branched isoprenoid (HBI) lipids, at least one of which has 6 previously been suggested to be a possible proxy for the productive region of the marginal ice zone (MIZ) in the Polar Regions. Based on a comparison of their 7 8 distributions in surface sediments from the Barents Sea and neighbouring regions with a range of oceanographic parameters, we identify, via principal component 9 analysis, a strong association between the relative proportion of the two HBIs and 10 satellite-derived spring chlorophyll a (chl a) concentration. Further, based on 11 agglomerative hierarchical clustering, we identify two clusters of HBI biomarker ratios 12 and spring chl a together with a potential threshold biomarker ratio (termed HBI 13 TR₂₅) for the spring phytoplankton bloom. A modified version of HBI TR₂₅ (i.e. HBI 14 T_{25}) provides a potentially more straightforward binary measure of the spring 15 phytoplankton bloom. Analysis of HBI TR₂₅ and HBI T₂₅ values in a series of short 16 (spanning recent centuries) and long (Holocene) sediment cores from the region 17 provides an initial evaluation of the applicability of this novel proxy in the palaeo 18 record. Outcomes are mainly consistent with the findings from the surface sediments 19 and with other proxy-based reconstructions, including estimates of past sea ice 20 21 cover, which is well-known to influence primary production in the region. Indeed, we suggest that the new HBI T₂₅ phytoplankton bloom proxy may also represent an 22 important new tool for characterising the MIZ in palaeo records, especially when 23 24 used alongside well-established sea ice proxies, such as IP₂₅ and PIP₂₅. Despite the largely empirical nature of the study, we also provide a possible explanation for the 25

" Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation."

- observed biomarker ratio-chl *a* relationship. Thus, a previous laboratory investigation
- showed that the distributions of the same two HBIs analysed herein in their likely
- source (viz. *Rhizosolenia setigera*) was strongly influenced by culture temperature
- and growth rate. Confirmation of the generality of our findings and of the causal
- relationship between HBI T₂₅ and the spring phytoplankton bloom will, however,
- require further laboratory- and field-based studies in the future.

33 **1. Introduction**

34 The spring phytoplankton bloom is a particularly characteristic and important feature of mid- to high-latitude settings in the northern hemisphere (Mahadevan et 35 al., 2012 and references cited therein). Relatively high photosynthetic light intensity 36 combined with eddy-driven stratification and increased nutrient levels following 37 winter vertical mixing, provide the necessary stimuli and growth conditions for rapid 38 phytoplankton development, such that growth rates can outcompete those of 39 grazing. As a consequence, phytoplankton blooms can contribute significantly to 40 global fixing of atmospheric carbon and its subsequent export from surface waters. 41 High phytoplankton productivity is also critical for the development and maintenance 42 of primary consumers and higher trophic level marine ecosystems, more generally 43 (Legendre, 1990; Søreide et al., 2010; Wassman et al., 2006). 44

As a response to recent and rapid climate change in the Arctic and sub-arctic 45 regions, and a reduction in sea ice cover, in particular, various changes to 46 phytoplankton dynamics are beginning to emerge. For example, spring blooms in 47 sub/low-Arctic regions are developing earlier due to a more rapid retreat of the 48 productive marginal ice zone (MIZ), and the productive period, in general, is 49 50 lengthening due to both earlier ice retreat in late winter/spring and later freeze-up in late summer/autumn (Renaut et al., 2018 and references cited therein). Further, in 51 ice-marginal locations such as the Barents Sea and the Kara Sea, which exhibit 52 greatest sensitivity to modern sea ice change (Lind et al., 2018 and references cited 53 therein), northward expansion of phytoplankton blooms (Renaut et al., 2018) and 54 increased prevalence of under-ice phytoplankton blooms have been reported, which 55 likely result from thinning of sea ice, reduced precipitation (snow) and an increase in 56 the frequency of open-water leads between ice floes (Arrigo et al., 2012). 57

" Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation."

Although such observations and possible attributions can be made through 58 contemporary in situ measurements, deducing the same within palaeo records is 59 much less straightforward to achieve, partly due to the challenge of finding suitable 60 proxy measures, especially of the spring bloom, uniquely. Several proxy methods for 61 estimating past changes in overall marine primary productivity exist (see Ragueneau 62 et al., 2000 for an overview), including those based on elemental composition, stable 63 64 isotopes and microfossil assemblages, although, as with all proxies, each have their limitations. Biogenic silica can potentially more accurately reflect the dominance of 65 66 diatoms and radiolarians commonly associated with the spring bloom, although dissolution and often poor sedimentary preservation are limitations (Ragueneau et 67 al., 2000). Similarly, the accumulation rates of certain benthic foraminifera, known to 68 be opportunistic consumers of fresh phytodetritus and thus a potential proxy 69 measure of the spring bloom, may be negatively influenced by significant carbonate 70 dissolution, especially in high latitude locations (Polyak et al., 2013; Seidenkrantz et 71 al., 2013). 72

Certain source-specific lipids in marine sediments from high latitude settings 73 74 have emerged as useful paleoceanographic proxies over the last decade or so. For example, the mono- and di-unsaturated highly branched isoprenoid (HBI) biomarkers 75 76 IP₂₅ and IPSO₂₅ (Fig. 1) have been proposed as binary measures of seasonal sea ice in the Arctic and Antarctic, respectively, a signature based on their selective 77 production by certain sea ice-associated (i.e. sympagic) diatoms only (see Belt, 2018 78 for a recent review). Further, by considering the variable concentrations of IP₂₅ and 79 IPSO₂₅ alongside those of some open-water (i.e. pelagic) biomarkers, either 80 81 individually or in the form of the so-called PIP₂₅ index (Müller et al., 2011), more semi-quantitative estimates of sea ice conditions have been proposed (Belt, 2018). 82

In some recent studies, a tri-unsaturated HBI lipid biomarker (often referred to 83 as HBI III; Fig. 1) has been suggested to represent a suitable open-water counterpart 84 to IP₂₅ and IPSO₂₅, partly due to its source-specific production by certain pelagic 85 diatoms (Belt, 2018). Interestingly, based on water column and sediment data from 86 the Arctic and the Antarctic, it has been suggested that HBI III might represent a 87 useful proxy for the MIZ, with its elevated abundance in such regions (Belt et al., 88 89 2015; Schmidt et al., 2018; Belt, 2018; Bai et al., 2019), reflecting the more general feature of higher productivity commonly observed along the retreating ice margin 90 91 (Sakshaug et al., 2009; Wassmann et al., 2006). More generally, however, the establishment of a robust proxy for the MIZ remains an interesting research 92 challenge. 93

94 Despite these previous reports, there have been no dedicated studies aimed at identifying any quantitative relationship(s) between HBI III and other well-95 recognised measures of primary production such as chlorophyll a (chl a) or indeed 96 any other oceanographic feature. In the current study, we therefore compared the 97 distribution of HBI III in ca. 200 surface sediments with a range of modern-day 98 oceanographic parameters, including sea surface temperature, salinity, water depth, 99 sea ice concentration, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and chl a. Here, we 100 101 focus on the Barents Sea and neighbouring regions on the basis of well-documented and contrasting spring bloom dynamics, together with the availability of suitable 102 surface and downcore sediment material. We also considered biomarker-based 103 estimates of spring sea ice concentration (SpSIC; Smik et al., 2016) due to its 104 105 influence over seasonal phytoplankton dynamics, and the distribution of a geometric isomer of HBI III (HBI IV; Fig. 1), not least because HBIs III and IV are often co-106 produced by certain common diatoms (e.g. Rhizosolenia setigera; Rowland et al., 107

" Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation."

2001), with HBI IV having been shown recently to be a useful predictor of sea ice 108 classification in the Barents Sea when used alongside IP₂₅ (Köseoğlu et al., 2018a). 109 Having identified a strong relationship between the relative proportions of 110 HBIs III and IV (but not the individual biomarkers) and spring chl a, but no other 111 measured parameter, we then measured the same relative biomarker distribution in 112 113 a series of short cores spanning recent centuries and longer (early-late Holocene) downcore records from the region. Our findings suggest that the proportion of HBIs 114 III and IV in marine archives may provide a proxy measure of the past occurrence (or 115 otherwise) of the spring phytoplankton bloom, at least for the Barents Sea and 116 neighbouring regions. On the basis of an earlier laboratory investigation into the 117 distributions of HBIs (including III and IV) in the cosmopolitan pelagic diatom R. 118 setigera, we also suggest a possible origin of the proxy relationship between HBIs III 119 and IV, and the spring phytoplankton bloom. 120

121

122 2. Regional setting

Detailed descriptions of Barents Sea oceanography can be found in Loeng 123 124 (1991). In brief, the Barents Sea is characterised by three distinct water masses (Fig. 2a): northward inflow of warm and saline Atlantic Water (AW) via the North Atlantic 125 Current (NAC), which continues further north as the North Cape Current (NCaC) and 126 127 the West Spitsbergen Current (WSC), fresher and colder Arctic Water (ArW) flowing southwest via the East Spitsbergen Current (ESC) and the Persey Current (PC), and 128 brackish coastal water topographically steered along the Norwegian coast by the 129 130 Norwegian Coastal Current (NCC) (Sakshaug et al., 2009). The northern region of the Barents Sea also experiences seasonal sea ice cover, reaching its maximum 131

extent in March–April; however, inter-annual fluctuations can be large due to variable 132 inflow of AW (Smedsrud et al., 2013). Overall, sea ice in the Barents Sea has 133 decreased by >50% in the last 40 years or so (Fetterer et al., 2016), a negative trend 134 that has likely existed since 1850 AD (Divine and Dick, 2006). The region is almost 135 entirely ice-free at the September sea ice minimum, while the position of the 136 maximum winter ice margin is important for defining the highly productive MIZ (e.g. 137 138 Wassmann et al., 2006). The advection of AW also contributes to longer productive seasons compared to other Arctic areas making the Barents Sea one of the most 139 140 productive areas of the Arctic Continental Shelf (Wassmann et al., 2006 and references cited therein). 141

142

143 **3. Materials and methods**

144 3.1 Surface sediment material

198 surface sediment sub-samples were taken from a range of grab samples,
multicores, box cores and gravity cores reflecting regions of variable sea ice cover
and seasonal primary productivity (Fig. 2b). All surface sediments are assumed to
represent recent deposition, as described previously (Belt et al., 2015; Smik et al.,
2016; Köseoğlu et al., 2018a and references therein). Sampling locations, core
types, biomarker data and various physical parameters used for the calibration
component of this study can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

152 *3.2 Downcore sediment material*

Downcore data spanning recent centuries were obtained from six short sediment cores (Fig. 2a) described in detail elsewhere (Vare et al., 2010; Dylmer,

2013; Cabedo-Sanz and Belt, 2016; Köseoğlu et al., 2018a). In brief, Cores BASICC 155 1, BASICC 8, and BASICC 43, hereafter referred to as cores 1, 8, and 43, were 156 recovered aboard the RV Ivan Petrov as part of the 'Barents Sea Ice Edge in a 157 Changing Climate' (BASICC) project (Cochrane et al., 2009). We used the age 158 models given elsewhere (Vare et al., 2010). Core MSM5/5-712-1 (hereafter, core 159 712) was collected aboard the RV Maria S. Merian during the MSM5/5 cruise and 160 the age model is based on five ¹⁴C Accelerated Mass Spectrometry (AMS) dates 161 (Spielhagen et al., 2011). Multicores R248MC010 and R406MC032 (hereafter cores 162 163 10 and 32, respectively) were retrieved within the framework of the MAREANO programme (www.mareano.no) on-board F/F G.O. Sars, with chronologies based on 164 ²¹⁰Pb data (see Dylmer, 2013 and references cited therein). 165

Longer timeframe data were obtained from gravity cores described previously 166 (Laberg et al., 2002; Dylmer, 2013; Berben et al., 2014, 2017) (Fig. 2a). Gravity core 167 WOO/SC-3 (hereafter core 3) was retrieved from the Norwegian continental margin 168 (Laberg et al., 2002). The age model is based on three ¹⁴C AMS dates (Laberg et al., 169 2002; Dylmer, 2013) and the analysed section corresponds to the last ca. 3.0 cal kyr 170 BP. Core JM09-KA11-GC (hereafter, core 11), was obtained from the Kveithola 171 Trough, south of Svalbard, aboard RV Jan Mayen. We use the age model presented 172 in Belt et al. (2015), based on ¹⁴C AMS dates from previous studies (Berben et al., 173 174 2014 and references therein). Gravity Core NP05-11-70GC (hereafter, core 70) was collected from the Olga Basin, East Svalbard, aboard the RV Lance. Core 175 chronology is based on three ¹⁴C AMS dates (Berben et al., 2017). For cores 11 and 176 70, we present data covering last ca. 9.5 cal. kyr BP. See Table 1 for a summary of 177 all cores and Supplementary Table 2 for more details regarding core chronologies. 178

179 3.3 Biomarker data

Biomarker data were obtained in two ways. For cores not investigated previously 180 (i.e. cores 3, 10 and 32), lipid analysis was carried out according to Belt et al. (2012), 181 but with a slight modification to the extraction method. Thus, freeze-dried 182 subsamples (ca. 1.5-2.5 g) were saponified in a methanolic KOH solution (ca. 5 mL 183 H₂O:MeOH (1:9); 5% KOH) for 60 min (70 °C). Hexane (3×2 mL) was added to the 184 saponified content, with non-saponifiable lipids (NSLs) transferred to clean vials and 185 dried over N₂. NSLs were then re-suspended in hexane (0.5 mL) and fractionated 186 using column chromatography (SiO₂; 0.5 g). Non-polar fractions containing HBIs 187 were eluted with hexane (6 mL) and purified further using silver-ion chromatography 188 (Belt et al., 2015). Saturated compounds were eluted with hexane (2 mL) and 189 unsaturated compounds, including HBIs III and IV, were collected in a subsequent 190 191 acetone fraction (3 mL). Prior to extraction, samples were spiked with an internal standard (9-octylheptadec-8-ene, 9-OHD, 10 µL; 10 µg mL⁻¹) to permit quantification. 192 Analysis of purified fractions containing HBIs III and IV was carried out using gas 193 chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) in total ion current (TIC) and selected 194 ion monitoring (SIM) modes (Belt et al., 2012). HBIs were identified based on their 195 characteristic GC retention indices (RI_{HP5MS} = 2081, 2044 and 2091 for IP₂₅, HBI III 196 197 and HBI IV, respectively) and mass spectra (Belt et al., 2000; Belt, 2018). HBI 198 quantification was achieved by comparison of mass spectral responses of selected ions (e.g. IP₂₅, *m/z* 350; HBIs III and IV, *m/z* 346) in SIM mode with those of the 199 internal standard (9-OHD, m/z 350) and normalized according to their respective 200 201 instrumental response factors (Belt et al., 2012). For cores analysed previously, we used the data reported by Köseoğlu et al. (2018b). The proportions of the two tri-202

203 unsaturated HBIs (III and IV) in the form of an HBI triene ratio (HBI TR₂₅) and a rearranged version of this (HBI T₂₅) were calculated according to Eqn. 1 and 2. 204 Biomarker-based spring sea ice concentration (%SpSIC) estimates (and their 205 root-mean-square errors (RMSE)) were either obtained from the new biomarker data 206 sets (i.e. for cores 3, 10 and 32) based on the relative concentrations of IP₂₅ and HBI 207 III and a regional calibration (Eqn. 3 and 4; Smik et al., 2016), or have been reported 208 previously using the same approach (Berben et al., 2017; Köseoğlu et al., 2018b). 209 Square brackets denote absolute HBI concentrations (ng g¹ dry sed.). All downcore 210 211 biomarker related data can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

212

213

214

$$HBI TR_{25} = \frac{[III]}{([III] + [IV])} \tag{1}$$

$$HBI T_{25} = \frac{HBI TR_{25}}{0.62}$$
(2)

215
$$P_{III}IP_{25} = \frac{[IP_{25}]}{([IP_{25}] + [III] \times 0.63)}$$
(3)

216
$$SpSIC (\%) = \frac{(P_{III}IP_{25} - 0.0692)}{0.0107}$$
(4)

217

218 3.4 Oceanographic data

Sea ice concentration data were obtained from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP
SSM/I-SSMIS databases on a 25×25km grid (Cavalieri et al., 1996). Data from the
Aqua satellite (NASA, https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/aqua/) equipped with a
Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) was used to retrieve
chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*; mg m⁻³), particulate inorganic carbon (PIC; mol m⁻³),

photosynthetically available radiation (PAR; E m⁻² d⁻¹), and sea surface temperatures 224 (SST; °C). Sea surface salinity (SSS; psu; 0-30m water depth) was obtained from 225 World Ocean Atlas 2013 (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/woa13/) on a 25x25km 226 grid. Monthly aggregates throughout April-August were created (chl a only), as well 227 as those spanning April–June and July–September (all data). Daily-resolution chl a 228 time series spanning 2003–2017 were also created to showcase differences 229 230 between areas of contrasting spring (ca. April–June) phytoplankton productivity in the Barents Sea. Temporally-averaged (2003–2017) annual maximum concentration 231 232 of chl a, and the timing of its occurrence (day of year), were also derived. The percentage differences between successive 8-daily averaged chl a (mg m⁻³) 233 spanning years 2003–2017 were calculated using Eq. 5, where Δ chl *a* is the relative 234 difference (in %) between an initial and subsequent 8-day chl a composite at the 235 same location, labelled chl areference and chl acurrent, respectively. 236

237
$$\Delta chl \ a \ (\%) = \frac{\left(chl \ a_{current} - chl \ a_{reference}\right)}{chl \ a_{reference}} \times 100$$
(5)

238

239 3.6 Statistical analysis

To explore associations between the various datasets and between the HBI 240 distributions and satellite-derived chl a data, in particular, Principal Component 241 Analysis (PCA) and complete-linkage Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering (AHC) 242 using squared Euclidean distance were carried out using XLSTAT (Addinsoft, 2018). 243 More specifically, PCA was used to reduce the high-dimensionality dataset of HBI 244 concentrations, PmIP₂₅, TR₂₅, satellite-derived and other variables in surface 245 sediments for visualisation on a two-dimensional grid, where the proximity and 246 magnitude of variables indicated their degree of association. Thus, satellite-derived 247

parameters strongly associated with TR₂₅ according to PCA were chosen and
individually processed via AHC to determine the optimal number and composition of
clusters, as well as their similarity to those obtained using TR₂₅ data. The AHC
helped determine a single satellite-derived parameter most closely associated with
TR₂₅ in surface sediments.

253

254 **4. Results**

255

4.1 Distribution of HBIs III and IV in surface sediments

HBI IV could be quantified in virtually all surface sediments consistent with the 257 previous identification of near-ubiquity of HBI III in the same sediments (Köseoğlu et 258 al., 2018a) and their co-production by certain marine diatoms (Rowland et al., 2001; 259 Belt et al., 2000, 2017). The distributions of III and IV, when expressed as individual 260 biomarker concentrations, were both somewhat heterogeneous (Fig. 3a,b); however, 261 although spatial variability in the relative amounts of the two HBIs (i.e. HBI TR₂₅ 262 (Eqn. 1)) was also evident, generally higher values were observed for sites in the 263 eastern region compared to those in the west (Fig. 3c). 264

Based on PCA (Fig. 4), we found no associations between the sedimentary 265 concentrations of HBIs III or IV with any of the oceanographic parameters 266 considered, including chl a. In contrast, HBI TR₂₅ exhibited a strong association with 267 chl a, but mainly during April and May (i.e. during the spring phytoplankton bloom). 268 AHC analysis between HBI TR25 and chl a resulted in two clusters within areas of 269 270 well-defined spring bloom seasonality and less productive regions characterised by strong Atlantic Water inflow and continuous upwelling (Fig. 5). Clustering was 271 dependent on the month(s) selected for chl a data (i.e. April, May, April-May, April-272

June), with the April–May aggregate exhibiting the least mismatched cluster memberships (n = 28) relative to those of HBI TR₂₅ (Fig. 5). In contrast, the number of mis-matches for the other months ranged from 30 to 57 (Supplementary Fig. 1). Averaging the AHC centroids using the April-May aggregated chl *a* data yielded an approximate threshold value for HBI TR₂₅ of ca. 0.62±0.02 to separate regions of high (i.e. TR≥0.62) and low (TR<0.62) April–May chl *a* delineated by a 1.5 mg m⁻³ boundary.

280

281 4.2 HBI biomarkers in downcore records

For cores representing recent centuries, the sea ice biomarker IP₂₅ was 282 absent (or below detection limits) in cores 1, 10 and 32 (Supplementary Fig. 2; 283 Köseoğlu et al., 2018a) as expected due to their ice-free settings in modern times 284 (Fig. 2a). Further, HBIs III and IV were present in virtually all horizons in each core, 285 (with the exception of the early part of the record in core 32; Supplementary Fig. 2), 286 consistent with our findings from proximal surface sediments described herein (Fig. 287 3a,b). In contrast, IP₂₅ was identified in the three cores from sites of seasonal sea ice 288 cover (i.e. cores 8, 43, 712; Vare et al., 2010; Cabedo-Sanz and Belt, 2016; 289 Koseoglu et al., 2018a), and HBIs III and IV were again present throughout, albeit in 290 variable concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 2). HBI TR₂₅ also exhibited some spatial 291 292 variability, with values broadly reflecting those found in nearby surface sediments (Fig. 3c, 6a). Thus, relatively low (i.e. < 0.62) HBI TR₂₅ values were observed 293 throughout each of cores 8, 10, 32 and 712, all of which are located in regions of low 294 295 spring chl a in modern times. Similarly, consistently high HBI TR₂₅ values (i.e. >0.62) characterise core 43, located in a region of high spring chl a adjacent to the modern 296

winter sea ice margin (Fig. 2a). In contrast, HBI TR₂₅ values both above and below
0.62 were evident in core 1 (Fig. 6a).

In the longer timeframe records (i.e. cores 3, 11 and 70), individual biomarker 299 concentrations and HBI TR25 values were also variable. For example, IP25 was not 300 identified in core 3, although HBIs III and IV were present throughout the last ca. 3.0 301 cal kyr BP (Supplementary Fig. 3). Consistent with its low spring chl a setting in 302 modern times, HBI TR₂₅ was also <0.62 throughout (Fig. 7a). For core 11, IP₂₅ and 303 SpSIC were low during the early-mid Holocene, with increases in both to near-304 305 modern values since ca. 1.1. cal kyr BP, as reported previously (Berben et al., 2014; Belt et al., 2015) (Fig. 7b). HBI TR₂₅ was low (<0.62) in the early Holocene, before 306 increasing to ca. 0.62 around 6.0 cal. kyr BP, and then to values consistently >0.62 307 after ca. 1.1 cal. kyr BP, coincident with increases to IP₂₅ and SpSIC estimates (Fig. 308 7b). Finally, progressive increases to IP₂₅ and SpSIC from the early to late Holocene 309 characterise the core 70 site, as described previously (Belt et al., 2015; Berben et 310 al., 2017) (Fig. 7c). HBIs III and IV were also present throughout the Holocene 311 (Supplementary Fig. 3), with HBI TR₂₅ values mainly greater than 0.62; however, 312 slightly higher values were observed ca. 9.0–6.0 cal kyr BP, while some values close 313 to the 0.62 threshold were evident thereafter (Fig. 7c). 314

315

316 **5. Discussion**

5.1 Use of HBI TR₂₅ and HBI T₂₅ as proxies for the spring phytoplankton bloom

The spatially variable proportion of HBIs III and IV, albeit on a somewhat smaller sample set, was previously suggested to possibly reflect the spatial distribution of Atlantic Water (AW) and Arctic Water (ArW) in the region (Navarro-Rodriguez, 2014). The defining characteristics of both water masses include

temperature and salinity (e.g. Loeng, 1991; Sakshaug et al., 2009). However, we 322 observed no association between any of the spring-summer satellite sea surface 323 temperature (SST), photoavailable radiation (PAR) or sea surface salinity (SSS) 324 records and HBI TR₂₅ in surface sediments presented herein (Fig. 4), which 325 suggests the influence of these is either absent or obscured by competing effects. In 326 contrast, chl a data, as an indicator of standing phytoplankton stocks, showed a 327 328 strong correlation with HBI TR₂₅, but only during the spring bloom (i.e. April–May). This was further supported by similar clustering (AHC) of HBI TR₂₅ and chl a for 329 330 April–May and (to a lesser extent) May only, likely due to the high spatio-temporal variability of phytoplankton bloom development in the Barents Sea. 331

Driven mainly by the spring phytoplankton bloom in April-May (Fig. 8a), 332 maximum annual chl a (Fig. 8c) is highest on the highly-productive south-eastern 333 and central shelves, reaching its maximum generally ca. 1–2 months earlier (Fig. 8d) 334 compared to the western and northern Barents Sea (Fig. 8c). The highest rates of 335 change in chl a, a further characteristic of a bloom event, are also most apparent 336 along the south-eastern and central shelves (Fig. 8b). Along the western margin, 337 slower (thermally-induced) vertical stratification and continuous AW upwelling hinder 338 phytoplankton accumulation, while insufficient light penetration through thick ice 339 340 cover lowers pelagic production at the northern margin (e.g. Dalpadado et al., 2014). Thus, in April, only the ice-free south-eastern Barents Sea shows significant 341 increases in chl a (Supplementary Fig. 1a), followed by a propagation, north-342 eastwards along the retreating sea ice edge, by early May (Supplementary Fig. 1b). 343 Phytoplankton biomass sharply declines by June (Fig. 8a) due to nutrient (e.g. nitrate 344 and silicate) depletion and limited replenishment through the meltwater-established 345 pycnocline in the marginal ice zone (MIZ) (e.g. Signorini and McClain, 2009; Leu et 346

al., 2011), with subsequent summer blooms dominated by coccolithophores
(Hopkins et al., 2015), which are not HBI-producers.

Thus, HBI TR₂₅ appears to be most representative of the pelagic spring bloom 349 throughout April–May. More specifically, HBI III is most prevalent (HBI TR₂₅ \ge 0.62) 350 in the eastern/central Barents Sea, where chl *a* is mainly in excess of 1.5 mg m⁻³. In 351 contrast, relatively increased IV (HBI TR₂₅ = ca. 0.4-0.45) generally occurs in the 352 western Barents Sea, where chl a concentrations are generally in the range 0.5-1.5 353 mg m⁻³. Furthermore, bloom seasonality is not as pronounced in the western Barents 354 Sea compared to the eastern Barents Sea (Fig. 8a). Similarly, low HBI TR₂₅ is also 355 evident in extensively ice-covered areas north and east of Svalbard, where the 356 productive season is time- and nutrient-limited due to the late seasonal sea ice 357 retreat throughout July-August (Signorini and McClain, 2009). This further supports 358 our suggestion that HBI TR₂₅ is predominantly influenced by spring phytoplankton 359 bloom development in the Barents Sea. 360

Finally, we suggest that the HBI TR₂₅ threshold for the spring phytoplankton bloom (i.e. HBI TR₂₅ \geq 0.62) is most conveniently expressed as a simple binary measure using a slightly modified ratio of the two HBI trienes. Thus, HBI T₂₅ \geq 1 (Eqn. 2) provides a proxy measure for the spring phytoplankton bloom (Fig. 9).

5.2 HBI TR₂₅ and HBI T₂₅ in records covering recent centuries

The HBI TR₂₅ and HBI T₂₅ data for the six short cores (i.e. 1, 8, 10, 32, 43 and 712) representing recent centuries reflect their respective locations and the occurrence of spring phytoplankton blooms (or otherwise) within the modern context (Note: we refer only to HBI T₂₅ values from hereon). Thus, relatively low (<1) HBI T₂₅

values prevail throughout the 10 and 32 records, consistent with low chl *a* at these
ice-free locations (Fig. 2a,6a).

Similarly, HBI T₂₅ in core 712 was constantly below the threshold for a spring 371 phytoplankton bloom. The core site is characterised by low chl a in modern times, 372 and is located at the largely ice-free western Svalbard margin influenced by the 373 strongest inflow of AW with the North Atlantic Current (NAC; Fig. 2). The 374 contemporary ice edge duration at site 712 is limited, and stratification necessary for 375 rapid spring bloom development is weaker due to continuous AW overturning 376 (Smedsrud et al., 2013). However, instrumental records show that ice cover at the 377 Svalbard margin was more extensive prior to ca. 1850 AD (Divine and Dick, 2006), 378 supported by the PIIIIP₂₅-based estimates of SpSIC reported previously (Fig. 6b; 379 Cabedo-Sanz and Belt, 2016). Interestingly, a gradual decrease in HBI T₂₅ at site 380 712, possibly indicative of a lower frequency of spring phytoplankton blooms, also 381 coincides with the recent sea ice decline (Fig. 6a,b). Accordingly, increased 382 phytoplankton stocks at site 712 prior to 1850 AD could be attributable to longer 383 annual sea ice duration, when increased stratification potentially stabilised 384 phytoplankton in the photic zone, facilitating the type of rapid growth normally 385 associated with the contemporary MIZ in the central Barents Sea. Recent increases 386 in AW inflow and atmospheric temperatures (e.g. Arthun et al., 2012) subsequently 387 shifted the Barents Sea towards less productive, predominantly ice-free conditions 388 dominated by continuous upwelling, with lower HBI T₂₅ (Fig. 6a). Previously, 389 Pathirana et al. (2015) also linked reduced MIZ duration in the Barents Sea to 390 decreasing primary productivity over the last ca. 500 years. 391

392 Core 8 exhibits similar HBI T₂₅ values to core 712, but is located in a 393 significantly different setting of increased (>80%) SpSIC north of the central Barents

Sea MIZ, and influenced predominantly by colder ArW. HBI T₂₅ values are, therefore, potentially attributable to reduced productivity in areas of prolonged seasonal sea ice duration, where the melt season is conversely shortened. Coupled with potential nutrient depletion within the surrounding waters as a consequence of rapid spring bloom development south of the core site (e.g. Wassmann et al., 2006; Signorini and McClain, 2009), the extensive sea ice cover at site 8 over recent centuries (Fig. 6b) likely prevented the development of spring phytoplankton blooms.

In contrast, cores 1 and 43 are characterised by consistently higher HBI T₂₅ 401 402 relative to cores 8, 10, 32 and 712 (Fig. 6a). In fact, the highest HBI T₂₅ values in the current datasets are associated with core 43 (all HBI $T_{25} > 1$; Fig. 6a), a site located 403 firmly within the spring phytoplankton bloom zone, with chl a values >2 mg m⁻³. 404 Spring productivity was likely enhanced by the consistent presence of a proximal ice 405 edge with intermediate spring sea ice concentration (SpSIC) (Fig. 6b), which aided 406 stratification during the melt season. Conversely, the absence of seasonal sea ice at 407 site 1 may have reduced productivity somewhat, as indicated by slightly lower HBI 408 T₂₅ values (Fig. 6a). In fact, the somewhat oscillatory (either side of 1) pattern of HBI 409 T₂₅ likely reflects the close proximity of the core site to the recent (2003–2017) spring 410 phytoplankton bloom boundary, with short-term variability over decadal (or shorter) 411 timeframes during recent centuries. This possibly reflects the variable influence of 412 the North Cape Current (NCaC), since intensified AW upwelling could have reduced 413 the stability of the water column at the core site, resulting in lower productivity. 414

415

5.3 HBI T₂₅ in Holocene records

In order to make a first assessment of the reliability of the HBI T₂₅ proxy measure of the spring phytoplankton bloom over longer timeframes, we measured it in three early-late Holocene records from regions of contrasting sea ice and

"Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation."

phytoplankton bloom occurrence in modern times, and for which evidence for
temporal changes in oceanography had already been established from previous
proxy-based investigations. The shortest of these records, obtained from core 3,
located in the SW Barents Sea, adds to the findings presented earlier for sites 3 and
spanning recent centuries (Fig. 2a). Thus, consistently low HBI T₂₅ in core 3
characterises this perennially ice-free region with low spring chl *a* over the last ca.
3.0 cal. kyr BP (Fig. 7a).

The core 11 site is proximal to the modern maximum winter sea ice margin 426 (Fig. 2a). During the Holocene, HBI T₂₅ gradually increased since ca. 9.5 cal kyr BP, 427 with values generally exceeding the spring bloom threshold since the onset of the 428 Neoglacial at ca. 6.0 cal kyr BP (Fig. 7b). According to previous investigations, the 429 core 11 site was relatively ice-free during the Holocene (Berben et al., 2014; Belt et 430 al., 2015); however, a highly-productive ice edge likely remained close to the 431 Kveithola Trough following the Neoglacial ice advance, as previously suggested for 432 Storfjorden (Knies et al., 2017), located slightly further north. High productivity fuelled 433 by seasonal sea ice-induced stratification and AW upwelling could have propagated 434 towards the core 11 site at ca. 6.0 cal kyr BP, thus promoting the occurrence of 435 spring phytoplankton blooms as a more frequent feature. Finally, high (>1) HBI T₂₅ in 436 437 the late Holocene is consistent with spring phytoplankton blooms associated with the productive ice edge having reached the core site at ca. 1.1 cal kyr BP (Fig. 6b; 438 Berben et al., 2014; Belt et al., 2015), a conclusion supported further by a 439 productivity increase inferred from higher benthic foraminiferal content (Berben et al., 440 2014). 441

In contrast to cores 3 and 11, core 70 is situated at a site of extensive winter
sea ice cover in modern times. However, conditions during the early Holocene were

less severe, such that the site was proximal to the winter ice margin until ca. 6.0 cal 444 kyr BP (SpSIC ca. 20–50%; Fig. 7c) followed by a further progressive southward sea 445 ice expansion and increase in SpSIC (Fig. 7c; Belt et al., 2015; Berben et al., 2017). 446 Consistent with these changes, HBI T₂₅ values are highest during the early-mid 447 Holocene (up to ca. 6.0 cal. kyr BP), likely as a result of the favourable MIZ 448 conditions, after which they undergo a slight decrease, possibly due to a reduction in 449 450 the length of the open water season due to delayed sea ice retreat (c.f. core 8). However, on the basis of HBI T₂₅ values generally higher than 1 across the record, 451 452 spring phytoplankton blooms would appear to have been an important feature of the core site throughout the record. 453

454 5.4 Rationalising the relationship between HBI T₂₅ and spring phytoplankton blooms

455 Our surface sediment outcomes (PCA and cluster analyses), together with those from various downcore records suggest that the biomarker-based HBI T₂₅ 456 parameter described herein provides a qualitative proxy indicator for the occurrence 457 of spring phytoplankton blooms across the study region from recent to Holocene 458 timeframes. Intuitively, this association is perhaps not surprising given that HBIs are 459 produced by the main constituents of the spring phytoplankton bloom (i.e. diatoms), 460 although this alone does not provide adequate explanation for the observed 461 462 relationship. For example, HBIs III and IV are present at virtually all study sites, irrespective of the occurrence (or not) of a spring phytoplankton bloom. Further, 463 while absolute sedimentary concentrations of HBIs III and IV show a significant 464 465 enhancement within the MIZ, they are relatively low in some other regions of high chl a (e.g. in the ice-free SE Barents Sea; Fig. 3) and are poorly associated with chl a 466 (Fig. 4), more generally. The latter is potentially attributable to the increased 467 prevalence of diatoms relative to other microalgae closer to the well-stratified waters 468

"Disclaimer: This is a pre-publication version. Readers are recommended to consult the full published version for accuracy and citation."

near the ice edge (e.g. Wassmann et al., 2006; Sakshaug et al., 2009). Absolute
biomarker concentrations are also influenced by sediment accumulation rates and
export efficiency from the water column, both of which can be variable, spatially, and
thus may not accurately reflect production, more generally. On the other hand, such
influences are often much less important with ratio-based measures.

In any case, in order to rationalise the association between HBI T₂₅ and the
spring phytoplankton bloom, we briefly consider three possible contributing factors:
(i) different sources of HBIs III and IV; (ii) differential biomarker degradation; (iii)
variable phytoplankton growth rates.

First, HBIs III and IV are amongst the most common HBIs found in marine 478 sediments (Belt et al., 2000), yet very few sources have been identified. Of these, 479 Rhizosolenia setigera and related species are by far the most cosmopolitan and 480 abundant, and HBIs III and IV have indeed been identified in such species from the 481 current study region (Belt et al., 2017). In contrast, although HBIs III and IV have 482 been reported in the benthic diatom *Pleurosigma intermedium* (Belt et al., 2000), 483 they have not been identified in other marine *Pleurosigma* spp., which are, in any 484 case, generally very low or absent in taxonomic inventories. The more common 485 Berkeleya rutilans (Brown et al., 2014 and references cited therein) has been shown 486 to produce HBI IV (but not HBI III) in culture, although we are not aware of any 487 reports to indicate that the spatial distribution of *B. rutilans* (or *Rhizosolenia* spp.) 488 would result in the variability of HBI T₂₅ described herein. Likewise, additional 489 contribution to sedimentary HBI IV may potentially also occur in ice covered regions 490 since *B. rutilans* has been reported in sea ice (von Quillfeldt, 2000); however, this 491 would result in a reduction in HBI T₂₅ in such settings, which is not the case (Fig. 492

3,9). Thus, we suggest that *R. setigera* and related species are likely to be the main
sources of HBIs III and IV in the Barents Sea and neighbouring regions.

Of course, as yet unidentified sources of HBIs III and IV may also contribute
to the observed sedimentary variability in HBI T₂₅, although some of the most
common species characteristic of colder, nutrient-replete waters in the Barents Sea,
such as *Thalassiosira* or *Fragilariopsis* spp. (von Quillfeldt, 2000), are not known to
be HBI-producers.

Second, changes to HBI T₂₅ may result from differential degradation of HBIs 500 501 III and IV in the water column or sediments, or by selective removal through grazing. However, previous food web studies have shown no significant change to HBI 502 composition prior to, and after, consumption (e.g. Brown and Belt, 2017; Schmidt et 503 al., 2018). In some laboratory experiments, HBI IV was shown to be slightly more 504 505 reactive than HBI III towards photo-oxidation and autoxidation (Rontani et al., 2014), although whether this is true under in situ environmental conditions is as yet 506 unknown. In the meantime, we note that a higher rate of degradation of HBI IV would 507 result in higher HBI T₂₅ values for regions of increased water depth, yet the opposite 508 is true in most cases. Further, we observe no significant association between HBI T₂₅ 509 and water depth in the PCA (Fig. 4). 510

Third, we consider whether variable HBI T₂₅ is controlled by changes in growth rates of *R. setigera* (and potentially related *Rhizosolenia* spp.), with higher relative production of HBI III under conditions of more rapid growth (Fig. 8b). In support of this suggestion, Rowland et al. (2001) demonstrated a systematic increase in the amount of HBI III relative to HBI IV with increasing growth rate of *R. setigera* cultured at different temperatures. Since we observe no relationship between HBI T₂₅ and SST in the current dataset, we therefore suggest that the

variability described herein results from regional differences in phytoplankton growth
rates (Fig. 8b). Such a working hypothesis will, of course, require testing through
further investigations into the controls over HBI production by *R. setigera* and other
HBI-producing diatoms, including laboratory-based studies and time-series
monitoring of their production in the Barents Sea and other areas of well-defined
primary productivity.

524 Increased growth rates of *R. setigera*, in particular, may also help explain some of the anomalies in our surface sediment data. For example, a number of 525 526 mismatches in AHC cluster memberships of chl a and HBI T₂₅ occurred along the south-western Barents and Norwegian Sea coastlines (Fig. 5), which could be a 527 consequence of local effects associated with coastal water masses flowing inshore 528 of the NAC and within the NCC. In such settings R. setigera has the potential to 529 overtake other species under strong upwelling and nutrient-replete conditions, as 530 seen at the western Svalbard shelf (Belt et al., 2017). Moreover, the NCC (Fig. 2a) 531 carries brackish coastal waters from the Baltic Sea, where increasing dominance of 532 *R. setigera* and other cold-water species during spring and early summer blooms 533 has been reported (e.g. Wasmund et al., 2008). Interestingly, several of the higher 534 HBI T₂₅ values from near-coastal locations are also proximal to some chl a hotspots, 535 despite the generally lower chl a for this region (Fig. 9). 536

537 Apart from the binary division between spring phytoplankton bloom (HBI 538 T₂₅>1) versus bloom-free (HBI T₂₅<1) conditions (Fig. 9), we note some further 539 variability in HBI T₂₅ in both the surface sediment and downcore datasets (Fig. 6,7) 540 either side of this threshold. Such variability might potentially reflect the mean 541 frequency (or intensity) of spring phytoplankton bloom occurrence at each 542 site/timeslice, especially since the sediment horizons investigated herein (1-cm)

typically represent ca. 20–50 years of accumulation (e.g. Dylmer, 2013; Berben et
al., 2014,2017; Belt et al., 2015; Köseoğlu et al., 2018a). Such an interpretation
would likely improve the value of the HBI T₂₅ proxy in palaeo records, beyond a
simple binary measure, including the identification of temporal shifts in the frequency
of spring phytoplankton blooms, more generally; however, this aspect also requires
further investigation.

549 Finally, when used alongside IP₂₅ as a binary measure of seasonal sea ice 550 (Belt, 2018) and PIP₂₅ as a semi-quantitative tool for spring sea ice concentration, 551 the newly proposed HBI T₂₅ proxy for the spring phytoplankton bloom has the 552 potential to provide a more robust indicator of the MIZ in northern high latitude 553 locations, and its spatial and temporal variation within the palaeo record.

554

555 6. Conclusions

Based on their distribution in surface sediments from across the Barents Sea and 556 neighbouring regions, the relative amounts of two tri-unsaturated HBI (III and IV; Fig. 557 1) lipids (HBI TR₂₅) appears to provide proxy evidence for the spring phytoplankton 558 bloom. Further, by re-expressing the HBI TR₂₅ ratio in a simplified binary format, a 559 threshold for the spring bloom is proposed (i.e. HBI $T_{25} \ge 1$). HBI T_{25} values in short 560 (decadal-centennial) and long (Holocene) records from the region are consistent with 561 the surface sediment calibration dataset, with some changes to the 562 occurrence/frequency of the spring bloom linked to temporal changes in sea ice 563 concentration identified previously. The identification of a novel proxy for the spring 564 phytoplankton bloom for northern high latitudes (at least), could potentially provide 565 important insights into characterising the marginal ice zone, especially when used 566 alongside established sea ice proxies such as IP₂₅ and PIP₂₅. 567

568

569 Acknowledgments

- 570 This work was supported by a Research Project Grant (RPG-2015-439) from The
- 571 Leverhulme Trust (UK), the Research Council of Norway through its Centre of
- 572 Excellence funding scheme for CAGE, project number 223259, and the University of
- 573 Plymouth. We are particularly grateful to Dr Jacques Giraudeau (Université de
- 574 Bordeaux) for providing us with some of the short core sediment material and to Dr
- 575 Suzanne Maclachlan at the British Ocean Sediment Core Research Facility
- 576 (BOSCORF), UK, for some of the surface sediments. We thank two anonymous
- reviewers for providing useful feedback on the initial version of this paper.

578 Figure Legends

579

580

- 581 Figure 1. Structures of highly branched isoprenoid (HBI) biomarkers investigated in 582 the current study.
- 583 Figure 2. Maps of the Barents Sea showing: (a) Labelled centennial (black

diamonds) and millennial (white squares) downcore records, as well as a simplified

representation of Atlantic Water, Arctic Water, and Coastal Water surface currents

- shown by red, blue, and white arrows, respectively. Abbreviations denote: WSC -
- 587 West Spitsbergen Current; NAC North Atlantic Current; NCaC North Cape
- 588 Current; NCC Norwegian Coastal Current; ESC East Spitsbergen Current; PC –
- 589 Persey Current; (b) Surface sediment locations. For both maps, the solid black line

illustrates the averaged (1988–2017) April–June sea ice extent, defined by a 15%
SpSIC threshold. Maps were generated with Ocean Data View (http:/odv.awi.de/).
Figure 3. Distributions of absolute and relative HBI biomarker concentrations in
Barents Sea surface sediments: (a) HBI III; (b) HBI IV; (c) HBI TR₂₅. The 15% SpSIC
contour (1988–2017) is shown by a black line. Maps were generated with Ocean
Data View (http:/odv.awi.de/).

Figure 4. Scaled factor loadings of primary (green markers) and secondary (blue 596 markers) variables with HBI TR₂₅ at surface sediment locations (Fig. 2b). Green 597 labels denote months of averaged (2003–2017) satellite-derived chl a (mg m⁻³). Blue 598 labels represent surface sediment water depths (m), average (2003–2017) sea 599 surface temperature (SST; °C), photoavailable radiation (PAR; E m⁻² d⁻¹), particulate 600 601 inorganic carbon (PIC; mol m⁻³),1955–2012 sea surface salinity (SSS; psu), as well as 1988–2017 sea ice concentration (SIC; %); prefixes "Su" and "Sp" denote 602 summer (July-September) and spring (April-June). Absolute concentrations of III 603 and IV (Fig. 1) and HBI TR₂₅ are highlighted in red. 604

Figure 5: Map of average chl *a* during April–May (2003–2017). Black and white
circle markers represent the two AHC clusters of TR₂₅ in surface sediments.
Diagonal crosses denote HBI TR₂₅ cluster memberships which mis-match those of
the chl *a* data (n = 28). The 1.5 mg m⁻³ contour for chl *a* (2003–2017) is shown as a
white line, and the 15% April–May sea ice concentration contour (1988–2017) is
indicated by a black line. Maps were generated with Ocean Data View
(http:/odv.awi.de/).

Figure 6. HBI-derived proxy data from six short-core records within the study region,spanning recent centuries and experiencing contrasting sea ice and phytoplankton

bloom occurrence in modern times: (a) HBI T₂₅ and HBI TR₂₅. The binary threshold for the modern-day spring phytoplankton bloom (i.e. HBI T₂₅ >1) (Fig. 5,9), is represented by a solid horizontal line. The shaded area represents the estimated error in this threshold (HBI TR₂₅ ca. ± 0.02 ; HBI T₂₅ ca. ± 0.03). The colours of each data point represent the proposed occurrence of a spring phytoplankton bloom (orange) versus no bloom (blue) at each core site/timeslice; (b) spring sea ice concentration estimates (% SpSIC).

Figure 7. HBI-derived proxy data for Holocene records: (a) 3; (b) 11; (c) 70. Left-621 hand axes: HBI TR₂₅ and HBI T₂₅ represented by solid line profiles with coloured 622 markers. The solid horizontal line indicates the thresholds for the spring 623 phytoplankton bloom (Fig 5,9), while the shaded area represents the estimated error 624 in this threshold (HBI TR₂₅ ca. ±0.02; HBI T₂₅ ca. ±0.03). The colour of each data 625 point represents the proposed occurrence of a spring phytoplankton bloom (orange) 626 versus no bloom (blue) at each core site/timeslice. Right-hand axes: %SpSIC 627 estimates represented by a dash-dotted line together with RMSE estimates (ca. 628 ±11%; Smik et al., 2016). 629

Figure 8. Average (2003–2017) satellite-derived chl a data within the study area. 630 Upper panel shows the temporal evolution of mean chl a for regions where there is a 631 presence (green diamonds) or absence (red circles) of significant, diatom-dominated 632 spring blooms according to a 1.5 mg m⁻³ April–May chl a threshold: (a) daily chl a 633 concentration; (b) relative changes in chl a. Lower panel shows the temporally-634 averaged (2003–2017) annual chl a maximum (c) and the day of its maximum 635 occurrence within the annual cycle (d). Maps were generated with Ocean Data View 636 (http:/odv.awi.de/). 637

- 638 Figure 9. Distribution of HBI T₂₅ in surface sediments overlaid onto remotely-sensed
- April–May (2003–2017) chl *a*. The white line represents a 1.5 mg m⁻³ chl *a* contour
- and delimits zones of high and lower pelagic phytoplankton productivity during the
- spring bloom (Fig. 5c). Map was generated with Ocean Data View
- 642 (http:/odv.awi.de/).

644 **References**

645 Addinsoft (2018), XLSTAT: Data Analysis and Statistical Solution for Microsoft 646 Excel, Paris, France. 647 648 Arrigo, K.R., Perovich, D.K., Pickart, R.S., Brown, Z.W., van Dijken, G.L., Lowry, 649 K.E., Mills, M.M., Palmer, M.A., Balch, W.M., Bahr, F., Bates, N.R., Benitez-650 Nelson, C., Bowler, B., Brownlee, E., Ehn, J.K., Frey, K.E., Garleym R., Laney, 651 S.R., Lubelczyk, L., Mathis, J., Matsuoka, A., Mitchell, B.G., Moore, G.W., 652 Ortega-Retuerta, E., Pal, S., Polashenski, C.M., Reynolds, R.A., Schieber, B., 653 Sosik, H.M., Stephens, M., Swift, J.H., 2012. Massive phytoplankton blooms 654 under Arctic sea ice. Science 336, 1408. 655 656 Årthun, M., Eldevik, T., Smedsrud, L.H., Skagseth, Ø., Ingvaldsen, R.B., 2012. 657 Quantifying the influence of Atlantic heat on Barents Sea ice variability and 658 retreat. Journal of Climate 25, 4736-4743. 659 660 Bai, Y., Sicre, M.-A., Chen, J., Klein, V., Jin, H., Ren, J., Hongliang, L., Xue, B., 661 Ji, Z., Zhuang, Y., Zhao, M., 2019. Progress in Oceanography Seasonal and 662 spatial variability of sea ice and phytoplankton biomarker flux in the Chukchi sea 663 (western Arctic Ocean). Progress in Oceanography 171, 22-37. 664 665 666 Belt, S.T., 2018. Source-specific biomarkers as proxies for Arctic and Antarctic sea ice. Organic Geochemistry 125, 277-298. 667 668 Belt, S.T., Allard, W.G., Massé, G., Robert, J.-M., Rowland, S.J., 2000. Highly 669 branched isoprenoids (HBIs): identification of the most common and abundant 670 sedimentary isomers. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 64, 3839–3851. 671 672 Belt, S.T., Brown, T.A., Navarro-Rodriguez, A., Cabedo-Sanz, P., Tonkin, A., 673 Ingle, R., 2012. A reproducible method for the extraction, identification and 674 quantification of the Arctic sea ice proxy IP₂₅ from marine sediments. Analytical 675 Methods 4, 705-713. 676 677 Belt, S.T., Cabedo-Sanz, P., Smik, L., Navarro-Rodriguez, A., Berben, S.M.P., 678 679 Knies, J., Husum, K., 2015. Identification of paleo Arctic winter sea ice limits and the marginal ice zone: Optimised biomarker-based reconstructions of late 680 Quaternary Arctic sea ice. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 431, 127–139. 681 682 Belt, S.T., Brown, T.A., Smik, L., Tatarek, A., Wiktor, J., Stowasser, G., Assmy, 683 P., Allen, C.S., Husum, K., 2017. Identification of C₂₅ highly branched isoprenoid 684 (HBI) alkenes in diatoms of the genus Rhizosolenia in polar and sub-polar marine 685 phytoplankton. Organic Geochemistry 110, 65–72. 686 687 Berben, S., Husum, K., Cabedo-Sanz, P., Belt, S., 2014. Holocene sub-688 centennial evolution of Atlantic water inflow and sea ice distribution in the western 689 Barents Sea. Climate of the Past 10, 181–198. 690 691

- Berben, S.M.P., Husum, K., Navarro-Rodriguez, A., Belt, S.T., AagaardSørensen, S., 2017. Semi-quantitative reconstruction of early to late Holocene
 spring and summer sea ice conditions in the northern Barents Sea. Journal of
 Quaternary Science 32, 587–603.
- Brown, T.A., Belt, S.T., Cabedo-Sanz, P., 2014. Identification of a novel diunsaturated C₂₅ highly branched isoprenoid in the marine tube-dwelling diatom *Berkeleya rutilans*. Environmental Chemistry Letters, *12*, 455–460.
- Brown, T.A., Belt, S.T., 2017. Biomarker-based H-Print quantifies the composition
 of mixed sympagic and pelagic algae consumed by *Artemia* sp. Journal of
 Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 488, 32–37.
- Cabedo-Sanz, P., Belt, S.T., 2016. Seasonal sea ice variability in eastern Fram
 Strait over the last 2000 years. Arktos 2, 22.
- Cavalieri, D. J., Parkinson, C. L., Gloersen, P., Zwally, H.J. (1996, updated
 yearly). Sea ice concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS
 passive microwave data, version 1.1. NASA DAAC at the National Snow and Ice
 Data Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA. doi:
- 712 https://doi.org/10.5067/8GQ8LZQVL0VL (accessed 11.03.2019).
- Cochrane, S.K.J., Denisenko, S.G., Renaud, P.E., Emblow, C.S., Ambrose Jr,
 W.G., Ellingsen, I.H., Skarðhamar, J., 2009. Benthic macrofauna and productivity
 regimes in the Barents Sea Ecological implications in a changing Arctic.
 Journal of Sea Research 61, 222–233.
- Dalpadado, P., Arrigo, K.R., Hjøllo, S.S., Rey, F., Ingvaldsen, R.B., Sperfeld, E.,
 van Dijken, G.L., Stige, L.C., Olsen, A., Ottersen, G., 2014. Productivity in the
 Barents Sea Response to recent climate variability. PLoS ONE 9(5), e95273.
- Divine, D.V., Dick, C., 2006. Historical variability of sea ice edge position in the
 Nordic Seas. Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans 111, C01001.
- Dylmer, C., 2013. Late Holocene surface water changes in the eastern Nordic
 Seas: the message from carbonate and organic-walled phytoplankton
 microfossils. Ph.D thesis, University of Bordeaux.
- Fetterer, F., Knowles, K., Meier, W.N. and Savoie, M. (2016) Sea Ice Index. ver.
 2. NSIDC: National Snow and Ice Data Center. Boulder, Colorado. (url: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.7265/N5736NV7</u>) [Digital Media, updated daily].
- Hopkins, J., Henson, S.A., Painter, S.C., Tyrrell, T., Poulton, A.J., 2015.
 Phenological characteristics of global coccolithophore blooms. Global
 Biogeochemical Cycles 29, 239–253.
- Knies, J., Pathirana, I., Cabedo-Sanz, P., Banica, A., Fabian, K., Rasmussen,
 T.L., Forwick, M., Belt, S.T., 2017. Sea-ice dynamics in an Arctic coastal polynya
 during the past 6500 years. Arktos 3, 1.
- 741

742 743 744	Köseoğlu, D., Belt, S.T., Husum, K., Knies, J., 2018a. An assessment of biomarker-based multivariate classification methods versus the PIP ₂₅ index for paleo Arctic sea ice reconstruction. Organic Geochemistry 125, 82–94.
745 746 747 748 749 750	Köseoğlu, D., Belt, S.T., Smik, L., Yao, H., Panieri, G., Knies, J., 2018b. Complementary biomarker-based methods for characterising Arctic sea ice conditions: A case study comparison between multivariate analysis and the PIP ₂₅ index. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 222, 406–420.
751 752 753	Laberg, J. S., Vorren, T. O., Mienert, J., Bryn, P. and Lien, R., 2002. The Trænadjupet Slide: A large slope failure affecting the continental margin of Norway 4,000 years ago, Geo-Marine Letters, 22, 19–24.
754 755 756 757	Legendre, L., 1990. The significance of microalgae blooms for fisheries and for the export of particulate organic carbon in oceans. Journal of Plankton Research 12, 681–699.
758 759 760 761 762	Leu, E., Søreide, J.E., Hessen, D.O., Falk-Petersen, S., Berge, J., 2011. Consequences of changing sea-ice cover for primary and secondary producers in the European Arctic shelf seas: Timing, quantity, and quality. Progress in Oceanography 90, 18–32.
763 764 765 766	Lind, S., Ingvaldsen, R.B., Furevik, T., 2018. Arctic warming hotspot in the northern Barents Sea linked to declining sea-ice import. Nature Climate Change 8, 634–639.
768 769 770	Loeng, H., 1991. Features of the physical oceanographic conditions of the Barents Sea. Polar Research 10, 5–18.
771 772 773	Mahadevan, A., D'Asaro, E., Lee, C., Perry, MJ., 2012. Eddy-driven stratification inititates North Atlantic spring phytoplankton blooms. Science. 337, 54–58.
774 775 776 777 778 779	Müller, J., Wagner, A., Fahl, K., Stein, R., Prange, M., Lohmann, G., 2011. Towards quantitative sea ice reconstructions in the northern North Atlantic: A combined biomarker and numerical modelling approach. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 306, 137–148.
780 781	Navarro-Rodriguez, A., 2014. Reconstruction of recent palaeo sea ice conditions in the Barents Sea. Ph.D. thesis, Plymouth University.
782 783 784 785 786	Pathirana, I., Knies, J., Felix, M., Mann, U., Ellingsen, I., 2015. Middle to late Holocene paleoproductivity reconstructions for the western Barents Sea: a model-data comparison. Arktos 1, 20.
787 788 789 790	Polyak, L., Best, K.M., Crawford, K.A., Council, E.A., St-Onge, G., 2013. Quaternary history of sea ice in the western Arctic Ocean based on foraminifera. Quaternary Science Reviews 79, 145–156.

- Ragueneau, O., Tréguer, P., Leynaert, A., Anderson, R.F., Brzezinski, M.A.,
 DeMaster, D.J., Dugdale, R.C., Dymond, J., Fisher, G., François, R., Heinze, C.,
 Maier-Reimer, E., Martin-Jézéquel, V., Nelson, D.M., Quéguiner, B., 2000. A
 review of the Si cycle in the modern ocean: recent progress and missing gaps in
 the application of biogenic opal as a paleoproductivity proxy. Global and
 Planetary Change 26, 317–365.
- Renaut, S., Devred, E., Babin, M., 2018. Northward expansion and intensification
 of phytoplankton growth during the early ice-free season in Arctic. Geophysical
 Research Letters 45, 10590–10958.
- Rontani, J.-F., Belt, S.T., Vaultier, F., Brown, T.A., Massé, G., 2014. Autoxidative
 and Photooxidative Reactivity of Highly Branched Isoprenoid (HBI) Alkenes.
 Lipids 49, 481–494.
- Rowland, S.J., Allard, W.G., Belt, S.T., Massé, G., Robert, J.M., Blackburn, S.,
 Frampton, D., Revill, A.T., Volkman, J.K., 2001. Factors influencing the
 distributions of polyunsaturated terpenoids in the diatom, *Rhizosolenia setigera*.
 Phytochemistry 58, 717–728.

805

- Sakshaug, E., Johnsen, G., Kristiansen, S., von Quillfeldt, C., Rey, F., Slagstad,
 D., Thingstad, F., 2009. Phytoplankton and primary production, in: Sakshaug, E.,
 Johnsen, G., Kovacs, K. (Eds), Ecosystem Barents Sea. Tapir Academic Press,
 Trondheim, pp.167–208.
- Schmidt, K., Brown, T.A., Belt, S.T., Ireland, L.C., Taylor, K.W.R., Thorpe, S.E.,
 Ward, P., Atkinson, A., 2018. Do pelagic grazers benefit from sea ice? Insights
 from the Antarctic sea ice proxy IPSO₂₅. Biogeosciences 15, 1987–2006.
- 819
 820 Seidenkrantz, M.-S., 2013. Benthic foraminifera as palaeo sea-ice indicators in
 821 the subarctic realm examples from the Labrador Sea–Baffin Bay region.
 822 Quaternary Science Reviews 79, 135–144.
- Smedsrud, L.H., Esau, I., Ingvaldsen, R.B., Eldevik, T., Haugan, P.M., Li, C.,
 Lien, V.S., Olsen, A., Omar, A.M., Otterå, O.H., Risebrobakken, B., Sandø, A.B.,
 Semenov, V.A., Sorokina, S.A., 2013. The role of the Barents Sea in the Arctic
 climate system. Reviews in Geophysics 51, 415–449.
- 828
 829 Smik, L., Cabedo-Sanz, P., Belt, S.T., 2016. Semi-quantitative estimates of paleo
 830 Arctic sea ice concentration based on source-specific highly branched isoprenoid
 831 alkenes: A further development of the PIP₂₅ index. Organic Geochemistry 92, 63–
 832 69.
- 833
 834 Signorini, S.R., McClain, C.R., 2009. Environmental factors controlling the
 835 Barents Sea spring-summer phytoplankton blooms. Geophysical Research
 836 Letters 36, L10604.
- Søreide, J.E., Leu, E., Berge, J., Graeve, M., Falk-Petersen, S., 2010. Timing of
 blooms, algal food quality and Calanus glacialis reproduction and growth in a
 changing Arctic. Global Change Biology 16, 3154–3163.

841 842 843 844 845	Spielhagen, R.F., Werner, K., Sørensen, S.A., Zamelczyk, K., Kandiano, E.S., Budéus, G., Husum, K., Marchitto, T.M., Hald, M., 2011. Enhanced modern heat transfer to the Arctic by warm Atlantic Water. Science 331, 450–453.
846 847 848 849	Vare, L.L., Massé, G., Belt, S.T., 2010. A biomarker-based reconstruction of sea ice conditions for the Barents Sea in recent centuries. The Holocene, 40, 637–643.
850 851 852	Von Quillfeldt, C.H., 2000. Common diatom species in Arctic spring blooms: Their distribution and abundance. Botanica Marina 43, 499–516.
853 854 855 856	Wasmund, N., Göbel, J., Bodungen, B.v., 2008. 100-years-changes in the phytoplankton community of Kiel Bight (Baltic Sea). Journal of Marine Systems 73, 300–322.
857 858 859 860 861 862	Wassmann, P., Reigstad, M., Haug, T., Rudels, B., Carroll, M.L., Hop, H., Gabrielsen, G.W., Falk-Petersen, S., Denisenko, S.G., Arashkevich, E., Slagstad, D., Pavlova, O., 2006. Food webs and carbon flux in the Barents Sea. Progress in Oceanography 71, 232–287.





Figure Click here to download Figure: Figure 3_low res.pdf







Figure Click here to download Figure: Figure 6.pptx







Figure Click here to download Figure: Figure 8_low res.pdf

.





Figure (high-resolution) Click here to download Figure (high-resolution): Figure 3.docx

Figure (high-resolution) Click here to download Figure (high-resolution): Figure 8.docx

Table 1. Summary of core locations, water depths and age model methods for all the cores described in the study. Further information about the individual age models can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

Core ID	Short ID	Time interval	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Water depth (m)	Age model method
R248MC010	10	Recent centuries	70.31	12.88	1254	²¹⁰ Pb (Dylmer, 2013)
R406MC032	32	Recent centuries	72.32	15.38	1035	²¹⁰ Pb (Dylmer, 2013)
BASICC 1	1	Recent centuries	73.10	25.63	425	²¹⁰ Pb (Vare et al., 2010)
BASICC 8	8	Recent centuries	77.98	26.79	135	²¹⁰ Pb (Vare et al., 2010)
BASICC 43	43	Recent centuries	72.54	45.74	285	²¹⁰ Pb (Vare et al., 2010)
MSM5/5-712-1	712	Recent centuries	78.92	6.77	1491	¹⁴ C AMS (Spielhagen et al. 2011)
WOO/SC-3	3	Last ca. 3.0 kyr BP	67.40	8.52	1184	¹⁴ C AMS (Dylmer, 2013)
JM09-KA11-GC	11	Last ca. 9.5 kyr BP	74.87	16.48	345	¹⁴ C AMS (Belt et al., 2015)
NP05-11-70GC	70	Last ca. 9.5 kyr BP	78.40	32.42	293	¹⁴ C AMS (Berben et al., 2017)

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Figure 1_revised.pptx

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Figure 2_revised.docx

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Figure 3_revised.docx

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Table 1.xlsx

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Table 2.docx

Click here to download Supplementary material for online publication only: Supplementary Table 3.docx