Charged Extracellular Residues, Conserved throughout a G-protein-coupled Receptor Family, Are Required for Ligand Binding, Receptor Activation, and Cell-surface Expression^{*}

Received for publication, August 10, 2006, and in revised form, September 8, 2006 Published, JBC Papers in Press, September 21, 2006, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M607639200

Stuart R. Hawtin^{‡1,2}, John Simms^{‡1}, Matthew Conner[‡], Zoe Lawson[‡], Rosemary A. Parslow[‡], Julie Trim[§], Andrew Sheppard[§], and Mark Wheatley^{‡3}

From the [‡]School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom and [§]Ferring Research Ltd., Southampton Science Park, 1 Venture Road, Southampton SO16 7NP, United Kingdom

For G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) in general, the roles of extracellular residues are not well defined compared with residues in transmembrane helices (TMs). Nevertheless, extracellular residues are important for various functions in both peptide-GPCRs and amine-GPCRs. In this study, the V_{1a} vasopressin receptor was used to systematically investigate the role of extracellular charged residues that are highly conserved throughout a subfamily of peptide-GPCRs, using a combination of mutagenesis and molecular modeling. Of the 13 conserved charged residues identified in the extracellular loops (ECLs), Arg¹¹⁶ (ECL1), Arg¹²⁵ (top of TMIII), and Asp²⁰⁴ (ECL2) are important for agonist binding and/or receptor activation. Molecular modeling revealed that Arg¹²⁵ (and Lys¹²⁵) stabilizes TMIII by interacting with lipid head groups. Charge reversal (Asp¹²⁵) caused re-ordering of the lipids, altered helical packing, and increased solvent penetration of the TM bundle. Interestingly, a negative charge is excluded at this locus in peptide-GPCRs, whereas a positive charge is excluded in amine-GPCRs. This contrasting conserved charge may reflect differences in GPCR binding modes between peptides and amines, with amines needing to access a binding site crevice within the receptor TM bundle, whereas the binding site of peptide-GPCRs includes more extracellular domains. A conserved negative charge at residue 204 (ECL2), juxtaposed to the highly conserved disulfide bond, was essential for agonist binding and signaling. Asp²⁰⁴ (and Glu²⁰⁴) establishes TMIII contacts required for maintaining the β -hairpin fold of ECL2, which if broken (Ala²⁰⁴ or Arg²⁰⁴) resulted in ECL2 unfolding and receptor dysfunction. This study provides mechanistic insight into the roles of conserved extracellular residues.

tertiary structure comprising seven transmembrane helices (TMs) linked by extracellular loops (ECLs) and intracellular loops. The atomic detail of this general GPCR fold has been elucidated for bovine rhodopsin (bRho) using x-ray crystallography (1). This confirmed that the chromophore 11-cis-retinal is covalently linked to Lys^{296(7.43)} in transmembrane helix VII (TMVII) via a protonated Schiff-base and projects into a binding pocket formed within the TM bundle where it interacts with amino acid side chains and water molecules (1, 2).⁵ Likewise, the binding pocket for small biogenic amine neurotransmitters such as acetylcholine and norepinephrine is buried deep within the TM bundle (3). Nevertheless, it is known from the bRho x-ray structure that the extracellular domains possess defined structure and are orientated to interact with each other and with the TM helices. Indeed ECL2 of bRho forms a β -hairpin that plunges down into the helical bundle to form a plug over the chromophore. Furthermore, the orientation of ECL2 in the majority of GPCRs is restrained by a conserved disulfide bond between ECL2 and the top of TMIII (1, 2). The neurohypophysial peptide hormones vasopressin (AVP)

G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs)⁴ exhibit a common

and oxytocin (OT) generate a wide range of physiological effects, including vasopressor and antidiuretic and uterotonic actions (4, 5). The effects of AVP/OT are mediated by a family of receptors ($V_{1a}R$, $V_{1b}R$, V_2R , and OTR), which together with the vasotocin receptor (VTR), mesotocin receptor, and isotocin receptor from lower vertebrates constitute a subfamily of the rhodopsin/β-adrenergic receptor class of GPCRs (family A). The $V_{1a}R$, $V_{1b}R$, and OTR couple to phospholipase C thereby generating inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate and diacylglycerol as second messengers, whereas the V_2R stimulates adenylyl cyclase. The $V_{1a}R$ is widely distributed and mediates nearly all of the actions of AVP with the exceptions of antidiuresis (V_2R)



^{*} This work was supported by grants (to M. W.) from the Wellcome Trust, the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, and Ferring Research. The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ Both authors should be considered as joint first authors.

² Present address: Novartis Pharma AG, WSJ-386.9.59, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed: School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. Tel.: 44-121-4143981; Fax: 44-121-414-5925; E-mail: m.wheatley@bham.ac.uk.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; AVP, [Arg⁸]vasopressin; bRho, bovine rhodopsin; CA, cyclic peptide antagonist; ECL, extracellular loop; HA, hemagglutinin; InsP, inositol phosphate; InsP₃, inositol trisphosphate; LA, linear peptide antagonist; OT, oxytocin; OTR, oxytocin receptor; PhAc, phenylacetyl; TM, transmembrane helix; V_{1a}R, V_{1a} vasopressin receptor; V_{1b}R, V_{1b} vasopressin receptor; V₂R, V₂ vasopressin receptor; VTR, vasotocin receptor; BSA, bovine serum albumin; PrBCM, propylbenzylcholine mustard.

⁵ Residues in the TMs are referred to by residue number and the nomenclature of Ballosteros and Weinstein (53).

and ACTH secretion ($V_{1b}R$). Activation of the OTR stimulates contraction of the uterine myometrium during labor and causes lactation. In addition to the characteristic architecture of GPCRs, members of the neurohypophysial peptide hormone receptor family share certain sequence motifs and exhibit related pharmacologies (5–7). The hormone-binding site of these receptors includes residues in the TM bundle (8) and ECL1 (9–11). It has also been reported that the N termini of the $V_{1a}R$ and OTR are required for agonist binding (12, 13). In particular, two charged residues (Arg⁴⁶ and Glu⁵⁴) in the $V_{1a}R$ N terminus are required for high affinity agonist binding but not antagonist binding (14, 15). Likewise, Arg³⁴ in the N terminus of the OTR is required for agonist binding (16).

For GPCRs in general, the roles of extracellular residues are not well understood compared with residues in the TM domain. Nevertheless, extracellular residues are important for binding both amine (17) and peptide (18) ligands and have been implicated in ligand receptor-subtype specificity (19), binding allosteric modulators (20), switching ligand agonist/antagonist properties (21), and human immunodeficiency virus co-receptor activity (22). The aim of this study was to use the $V_{1a}R$ to systematically investigate the function of extracellular charged residues that are highly conserved throughout a subfamily of peptide GPCRs. By using a combination of mutagenesis and molecular modeling, our results indicate that specific conserved charged residues in ECL1, ECL2, and ECL3 fulfill important roles in ligand binding, receptor activation, domain conformation, and cell-surface expression.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials—AVP was purchased from Sigma. The cyclic peptide antagonist (CA) 1-(β -mercapto- β , β -cyclopentamethylenepropionic acid), 2-(O-methyl)tyrosine AVP (d(CH₂)₅Tyr(Me)²AVP), and linear peptide antagonist (LA) phenylacetyl (PhAc)-D-Tyr(Me)²Arg⁶Tyr(NH₂)⁹AVP were from Bachem (St. Helens, UK). SR 49059 was a gift from Sanofi Recherche (Toulouse, France). Cell culture media, buffers, and supplements were purchased from Invitrogen. Restriction enzymes were obtained from MBI Fermentas (Sunderland, UK).

Mutant Receptor Constructs-Mutation of the V_{1a}R was made using a PCR approach as described previously (15). The mutant receptor constructs [D112A]V_{1a}R, [R116A]V_{1a}R, $[R118A]V_{1a}R$, $[D121A]V_{1a}R$, and $[R125A]V_{1a}R$ were engineered using the antisense oligonucleotides as follows: 5'-GGC-AAA-C-AC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-GCG-GTA-GGT-GAT-GGC-C-CA-GC-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-C-AC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-GG-C-GTA-GG-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-C-AC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GGC-GA-A-GCG-G-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CA-C-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GGC-GGG-CCC-3'; and 5'-G-GC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GGC-G-CA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-3', respectively. Each primer contained a unique SdaI restriction site (underlined) and base changes (shown in boldface) to generate each individual Ala substitution plus base changes to create a silent ApaI restriction site for diagnostic purposes (shown in italics). The same cloning

Conserved Exofacial Charged Residues

strategy was employed to generate the mutant constructs $[D112E]V_{1a}R$, $[D112K]V_{1a}R$, $[D112R]V_{1a}R$, $[R116D]V_{1a}R$, $[R116E]V_{1a}R$, $[R116K]V_{1a}R$, $[R125D]V_{1a}R$, and $[R125K]V_{1a}R$ using the antisense oligonucleotides as follows: 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-C-CA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-GCG-GTA-GGT-GAT-C-TC-CCA-GC-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-GCG-GTA-GGT-GAT-TTT-CCA-GC-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-C-AG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-GCG-GTA-GGT-G-AT-GCG-CCA-GC-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-C-CC-GCG-GAA-GTC-GTA-GGT-G-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-CTC-GTA-GGT-G-3'; 5'-GG-C-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GCG-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-GCG-GAA-TTT-GTA-GGT-G-3'; 5'-GGC-AAA-CAC-CTG-CAG-GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-GTC-GCA-CAG-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-3'; and 5'-GGC-AA-A-CAC-CTG-CAG- GTG-CTT-CAC-CAC-TTT-GCA-CA-G-CCA-GTC-GGG-CCC-3', respectively. PCR products were subcloned into the HA epitope-tagged rat V1aR coding sequence in the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen) utilizing unique HindIII and SdaI restriction sites.

The mutations $[E193A]V_{1a}R$, $[E195A]V_{1a}R$, $[K201A]V_{1a}R$, and [D204A]V_{1a}R were made using antisense oligonucleotides as follows: 5'-GGC-GCG-GGT-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTG-GAT-GAA-GGT-AGC-CCA-GCA-GTC-TTG-GGT-TTT-AGT-GCC-AT-T-GTT-CAC-CTC-GAT-TGC-GAT-CAC-AGA-G-3'; 5'-GG-C-GCG-GGT-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTG-GAT-GAA-GGT-AGC-CCA-GCA-GTC-TTG-GGT-TTT-AGT-GCC-ATT-GTT-CA-C-CGC-GAT-TTC-G-3'; 5'-GGC-GCG-GGT-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTG-GAT-GAA-CGT-TGC-CCA-GCA-GTC-TTG-G-GT-TGC-AGT-GCC-ATT-G-3'; and 5'-GGC-GCG-GGT-AC-C-CCA-GGG-CTG-GAT-GAA-GGT-AGC-CCA-GCA-GGC-TTG-GGT-TTT-AGT-GC-3', respectively. These primers contained the base changes (shown in boldface) to incorporate the Ala mutations and unique KpnI restriction site (underlined) used for subcloning. The [D204E]V_{1a}R and [D204R]V_{1a}R mutations were also engineered using this strategy using antisense oligonucleotides as follows: 5'-GGC-GCG-GGT-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTG-G-AT-GAA-GGT-AGC-CCA-GCA-CTC-TTG-GGT-TTT-AGT-GC-3' and 5'-GGC-GCG-GGT-ACC-CCA-GGG-CTG-GAT-GAA-GGT-AGC-CCA-GCA-GCG-TTG-GGT-TTT-AGT-G-C-3'. The construct [R216A]V1aR was made using sense oligonucleotide 5'-G-CCC-TGG-GGT- ACC-GCC-GCG-TAC-GTG-ACC-TGG-ATG-ACC-TCA-GGT-GTC-TTC-GTG-G-3'. This primer contained five base changes in the $V_{1a}R$ sequence (shown in boldface) that created the Ala mutation (shown in italics) unique silent Pfl23II and Eco81I restriction sites (for diagnostic purposes) and a KpnI restriction site (underlined) for subcloning into the $V_{1a}R$.

The [E332A]V_{1a}R mutation was made by PCR using both sense and antisense oligonucleotides. The sense primer was 5'-C-GAT-TCA-*GCA*-AAC-CC<u>A</u>-<u>TCGATA</u>-ACA-ATC-ACG-GCG-3'. This primer contained four base changes in the V_{1a}R sequence (indicated in boldface) that created a unique func-



tional ClaI restriction site (underlined) without altering the amino acid sequence and incorporated the $Glu^{332} \rightarrow Ala$ mutation (shown in italics). A KpnI/EcoRI digest of this PCR fragment was subcloned into the V1aR. The constructs [D323A]V_{1a}R and [D330A]V_{1a}R were made by PCR with pcDNA3-[E332A]V1aR as template. Mutant antisense oligonucleotides were 5'-CGT-GAT-TGT-TAT-CGA-TGG-GTT-T-TC-TGA-ATC-GGT-CCA-GAT-GAA-ATT-CTC-AGC-CC-A-GAC-TGA-CC-3' and 5'-CGT-GAT-TGT-TAT-CGA-TG-G-GTT-TTC-TGA-AGC-GGT-CCA-GAT-GAA-ATT-CTC-ATC-C-3' for [D323A]V_{1a}R and [D330A]V_{1a}R mutations, respectively. These primers contained base changes (shown in boldface) for the required Ala substitution and unique ClaI restriction site (underlined). The PCR products were subcloned into pcDNA3-[E332A]V1aR utilizing unique SdaI and ClaI restriction sites. All receptor constructs were confirmed by automated fluorescent sequencing (University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK).

Cell Culture and Transfection—HEK 293T cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum in humidified 5% (v/v) CO₂ in air at 37 °C. Cells were seeded at a density of $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ cells/100-mm dish and transfected after 48 h using a calcium phosphate precipitation protocol with 10 µg of DNA/dish (16).

Radioligand Binding Assays—A washed cell membrane preparation of HEK 293T cells, transfected with the appropriate receptor construct, was prepared as described previously (23), and the protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Pierce) using bovine serum albumin as standard. Radioligand binding assays were performed as described previously (24) using either the natural agonist [Phe³-3,4,5-³H]AVP (0.5–1.5 nM), (64.2 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) or the V_{1a}R-selective peptide antagonist [Phe³-3,4,5-³H]d(CH₂)₅Tyr(Me)²AVP (0.5-1.5 nm) (99 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) (25) as tracer ligand. Binding data were analyzed by nonlinear regression to fit theoretical Langmuir binding isotherms to the experimental data using PRISM Graphpad (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego). Individual IC₅₀ values obtained for competing ligands were corrected for radioligand occupancy as described (26) using the radioligand affinity (K_d) experimentally determined for each construct.

Whole Cell Vasopressin V_{1a} Receptor Binding —HEK 293T cells were plated onto 12-well plates at a density of 2.5×10^5 cells/ well in poly-D-lysine-coated 12-well plates and transfected after 24 h using TransfastTM (Promega Corp., Southampton, UK). After 36 h, each well received 0.5 ml of binding buffer (described above) containing 2% (w/v) BSA, 1-2 nM V1aR-selective peptide antagonist PhAc-D-Tyr(Me)²Arg⁶(3,4[³H]Pro)(3,5[³H]Tyr)⁹NH₂-AVP (22 Ci/mmol; custom synthesis Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, INC. Belmont, CA) as tracer ligand in the presence (nonspecific) or absence (total) of 1 μ M LA. Plates were incubated for 90 min at 37 °C, before removal of the medium by aspiration. After three rinses with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, 0.5 ml of 0.1 M NaOH was added to each well to extract radioactivity. After 15 min of incubation at 37 °C, the fluid from the plates was transferred to scintillation vials containing 10 ml of HiSafe3 scintillant mixture for counting. Cell-surface expression values were corrected for radioligand occupancy as described (26) using the radioligand affinity (K_d) experimentally determined for each construct.

Determination of Cell-surface Expression Using Enzymelinked Immunosorbent Assay -All receptor constructs incorporated an HA epitope tag in the N terminus that enabled cell surface expression to be determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (27). Briefly, HEK 293T cells were seeded at a density of 1×10^5 cells/well in poly-D-lysine-coated 12-well plates and transfected after 24 h using TransfastTM (Promega Corp., Southampton, UK). After 36 h, cells were fixed with 3.7% (v/v) formaldehyde in TBS (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) for 15 min at 37 °C and then washed three times with TBS. Nonspecific binding was blocked with 1% (w/v) BSA in TBS for 45 min. Anti-HA primary antibody (HA-7; Sigma) was diluted to 1:1000 in TBS containing 1% (w/v) BSA for 60 min at room temperature with occasional shaking, followed by three gentle washes with TBS. Cells were briefly re-blocked with 1% (w/v) BSA in TBS for 15 min, prior to incubation with secondary antibody (alkaline phosphataseconjugated goat anti-mouse; Bio-Rad), and diluted to 1:3000 in 1% (w/v) BSA/TBS for 60 min with occasional shaking. Cells were washed three times with TBS before a colorimetric alkaline phosphate substrate (Bio-Rad) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. A 100-µl aliquot from each well was mixed with an equal volume of 0.4 M NaOH prior to measuring absorbance at 405 nm. Results were normalized against a wild-type control processed in parallel. Nontransfected cells were used to determine background. All experiments were performed in guadruplicate.

AVP-induced Inositol Phosphates Production—HEK 293T cells were seeded at a density of 2.5×10^5 cells/well in poly-D-lysine-coated 12-well plates and transfected after 24 h using TransfastTM (Promega). AVP-induced accumulation of inositol phosphates (InsPs) was assayed as described previously (12). Briefly, following pre-labeling of transfected cells with 2 μ Ci/ml *myo*-[2-³H]inositol (22.0 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in inositol-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 1% (v/v) fetal calf serum, a mixed fraction containing mono-, bis-, and trisphosphates (InsP-InsP₃) was collected following stimulation by AVP, at the concentrations indicated, in the presence of 10 mM LiCl.

Molecular Modeling of the $V_{1a}R$ —The $V_{1a}R$ sequence was aligned against the sequence corresponding to the crystal structure coordinates of bRho using ClustalW (28). The alignment was then used to generate homology models using MODELLER version 6.2 (29). A collection of 200 model structures was generated and ranked based on an objective function score provided by MODELLER version 6.2. From this ensemble, a single structure was selected for further analysis. Further refinement of the homology model was achieved through molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of the receptor embedded in a hydrated 1,2dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine bilayer. MD simulations were carried out using the GROMOS96 force-field parameters, with minor modifications, as implemented in GROMACS (30). Partial charges for the heavy atoms of Lys and Arg side chains were determined using the 6-31G basis set as implemented in GAMESS US.



A) ECL1

Ŀ	L/

ECL3

	11	2	116	5	11	8	12	1	125	1	95	5 3	20	4	21	16		32	3	33	0 3	332	2
rV _{la} R mV _{la} R vV _{la} R sV _{la} R huV _{la} R	W D W D W D W D W D	ITY ITY ITY ITY	(R R R R R R R	FFFF	R R R R	GP GP GP GP GP		WLC WLC WLC GLC WLC	R R R R	IEI IEF VEF I	EEEE	VNNGTKTQ VNNGTKAQ VNNGTKAQ VSNVTKTY VNNVTKAR		CWATFIQPWGT CWATFIPPWGT CWATFIPPWGT CWANFIHPWGL CWATFIQPWGS	R R P R	AYVT AYVT AYVT AYVT AYVT	WSVW WSVW WSVW WSAW WSVW		ENFIWT TNFVWT TNFVWT KNFSWV PMSVWT	D D D E E	000000	EEEE	NPSII NPSTI NPSTI NPATA NPTII
rOTR mOTR vOTR sOTR huOTR pOTR bOTR mkyOTR	W D W D W D W D W D D W D W D W D	ITH ITH ITH ITH ITH ITH ITH	F R F R F R F R F R F R F R F R	FFFFFFF	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	GP GP GP GP GP GP GP GP		LTC TTC TTC TTC TTC	R R R R R R R	R R R R R R	EHEHEHE	VADGVF VADGVF VADGVF VADGVF VADGVF VADGVF VADGVF		CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP CWAVFIQPWGP	K K K K K K K K K K K K	AYVT AYVT AYVT AYIT AYIT AYIT AYIT AYIT	WSVW WSVW WSVW WSVW WSVW WSVW WSVW		VNA VNA VDA VDA VDA VDA VNA	PPPPPPP	K K K K K K K	EEEEEEE	ASAFI ASAFI ASAFI ASAFI ASAFI ASAFI ASPFI ASAFI
rV ₂ R huV ₂ R bV ₂ R pV ₂ R dV ₂ R	W D W D W D D D D D D	ATI ATI ATI ATI ATI	R R R R R R R R	FFFFF	H R R R	GP GP GP GP GP	D D D D D	ALC ALC ALC ALC ALC	R R R R	-QR -QR -QR -QR -QR	DNDDD	VGNGSGVF VEGGSGVT V-DGSGVL VGDGSGVL VGNGSGVF		CWARFAEPWGL CWACFAEPWGR CWARFAEPWGL CWASFAEPWGL CWARFAEPWGL	R R R R R R	AYVT TYVT AYVT AYVT AYVT	WAAW WAAW WSVW WSVW		РЕА РЕА РКА РКА РЕА	P P P P P	L R R L	EEEE	RPPFV GAPFV GPPFV GPPFV RPPFV
rV _{1b} R mV _{1b} R	W D W D W D	ITY ITY ITY	(R R R R	F F F	QQQ	GS GP GP	D D D	LLC LLC	R R R	R R R	E E E	VIQGSGVL VIQGSGVL VIQGSGVL	D D D	CWADFYFSWGP CWADFYFSWGP CWADFGFPWGP	R R R	AYIT AYIT AYLT	WSVW WSVW WSVW	D D D	PNAPNE PNAPNE KNAPDE	D D D	5 5 5	T T T	NVAFT NVAFT NVAFT
Mesotocin Isotocin Vasotocin	W D W D W E	ITH ITH ITY	F R F R K	F F F	Y Y F	AP GP GP	D D D	WLC FLC FLC	R R R	T R S	E E	VGNGVY IGNGVY VKNGSTVK	D D D	CRADFIQPWGP CWGDFVQPWGA CWAHFIEPWGA	K R	AYIT AYIT AYIT	WSVW WSAW WSVW	D D D	PNP PEA ENFQYA	P P D	K R S	E E	ASLFI AMPFI NTAVT



FIGURE 1. **The extracellular face of neurohypophysial hormone receptors.** *A*, sequence alignment of the extracellular loop regions of vasopressin and oxytocin receptors cloned from different species. The sequences of the extracellular loop regions (ECL1, ECL2, and ECL3) of the $V_{1a}R$, OTR, $V_{1b}R$, and $V_{2}R$ from different species have been aligned. The species of origin is indicated by a single letter code preceding the receptor subtype: *r*, rat; *m*, mouse; *v*, vole; *s*, sheep; *h*, human; *p*, pig; *b*, cow; *mky*, rhesus monkey; *d*, dog. Also shown is the sequence of the vasotocin and isotocin receptors from teleost fish and an amphibian mesotocin receptor. Conserved charged residues within these domains that were investigated in this study are *boxed* and *numbered* according to the $V_{1a}R$. Residues shown as *white text* on a *black circle* are the conserved charged residues investigated in this study.

RESULTS

Role of Charged Residues in the First Extracellular Loop (ECL1) of the $V_{Ia}R$ —The sequences of the extracellular loops, plus the extracellular boundaries, of the neurohypophysial peptide hormone subfamily of GPCRs are aligned in Fig. 1A. Within ECL1 (including the extracellular regions of TMII and

TMIII), there are five conserved charged residues as follows: Asp¹¹², Arg¹¹⁶, Arg¹¹⁸, Asp¹²¹, and Arg¹²⁵ (Fig. 1*B*, residue number is based on rV_{1a}R). Residues Arg¹¹⁶, Asp¹²¹, and Arg¹²⁵ are absolutely conserved across all VPR/OTRs cloned to date (Fig. 1*A*). Asp¹¹² is also conserved with the exception of the human V₂R (Lys) and the VTR (Glu). Arg¹¹⁸ is only conserved



in V_{1a} Rs, although positively charged residues are present at this locus in all V_2 Rs (Fig. 1A).

To assess the importance of these conserved residues in $V_{1,2}R$ function, each residue was substituted individually by Ala and then pharmacologically characterized using the natural agonist AVP and three different structural classes of antagonist as follows: (i) CA, [d(CH₂)₅Tyr(Me)²]AVP (25); (ii) LA ([PhAc-D-Tyr(Me)²Arg⁶Tyr(NH₂)⁹]AVP (31)); and (iii) nonpeptide antagonist (SR 49059; (32)). The K_d values are presented in Table 1, corrected for radioligand occupancy. Mutating Arg¹¹⁶, Arg¹¹⁸, Asp¹²¹, or Arg¹²⁵ to Ala had only a slight effect on the binding of the agonist AVP or the three different classes of antagonist (Table 1 and Fig. 2). [D112A]V_{1a}R was also essentially wild type, although the K_d value for LA was slightly (5-fold) increased. Furthermore, the mutations [D112A]V_{1a}R, [R118A]V_{1a}R, and [D121A]V_{1a}R had little effect on signaling, with EC₅₀ values for AVP-stimulated inositol phosphate (InsP-InsP₃) accumulations comparable with wild-type $V_{1a}R$ (Table 1). In contrast, [R116A]V_{1a}R and [R125A]V_{1a}R had a marked effect on signaling, increasing the EC₅₀ value 70- and 16-fold, respectively, compared with the wild type (Fig. 3, A and B).

A Positive Charge Is Required at Residue 116 in ECL1—The charge requirements of residue 116 were investigated further by engineering [R116D]V_{1a}R, [R116E]V_{1a}R (incorporating a negative charge), and [R116K]V_{1a}R (maintaining a positive charge). A negative charge was not tolerated at this position, as the affinity of AVP decreased 1600- and 730-fold for $[R116D]V_{1a}R$ and $[R116E]V_{1a}R$, respectively, compared with wild-type $V_{1a}R$ (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the binding affinities of the three different antagonists to [R116D]V_{1a}R and [R116E]V_{1a}R were relatively unchanged, although a small decrease (~6-fold) was observed for CA (Table 1). Incorporating a negative charge at this locus also perturbed receptor activation, increasing the EC₅₀ value for [R116D]V_{1a}R and [R116E]V_{1a}R by 53- and 23-fold, respectively (Fig. 3A). In contrast, maintaining a positive charge at this position $([R116K]V_{1a}R)$ resulted in a receptor that exhibited essentially wild-type binding (Fig. 2A and Table 1) and signaling (Fig. 3A).

A Positive Charge Is Required at Residue 125 in ECL1—The charge requirements of residue 125 were investigated further. Retaining a positive charge ([R125K]V_{1a}R) resulted in a wildtype receptor profile (Table 1). In contrast, introduction of a negative charge at this locus ([R125D]V_{1a}R) ablated specific binding of the radio-tracers (agonist and antagonist) and impaired signaling, with a marked decrease in AVP potency compared with wild-type V_{1a}R (Fig. 3B). Molecular modeling of the $V_{1a}R$ indicated that Arg^{125} orientates into the lipid bilayer (Fig. 4A), with the side-chain methylene groups interacting with the lipid hydrocarbon tails and the guanidinium group interacting with the lipid phosphate head groups and solvent. These contacts are preserved in $[R125K]V_{1a}R$, consistent with the wild-type characteristics of this mutant receptor. In contrast, molecular dynamics of [R125D]V_{1a}R revealed a re-ordering of the phospholipids in this region resulting from mutual repulsion between the negatively charged lipid phosphate head group and the carboxyl of the Asp side chain. This re-ordering of the lipids increased solvent accessibility at the extracellular end of TMIII and TMIV (Fig. 4A).

Species-specific and Receptor Subtype-specific Differences at Position 112 in ECL1-An Asp is highly conserved at residue 112 throughout this family of GPCRs, with the exception of the VTR and the human V₂R that possess Glu and Lys, respectively (Fig. 1). Pharmacological differences arising from this sequence variation were assessed. Conservative substitution ($[D112E]V_{1a}R$) resulted in wild-type binding and intracellular signaling, with only a small change in affinity for the CA antagonist (3-fold). Reversing the charge in [D112K]V_{1a}R also slightly decreased the affinity of CA (5-fold) and reduced the affinity of the linear antagonist LA 8-fold (Table 1) but was otherwise wild type (Fig. 3C). However, in marked contrast to $[D112K]V_{1a}R$, the construct $[D112R]V_{1a}R$ exhibited low affinity for AVP (Fig. 2B) and perturbed signaling (Fig. 3C). These effects were not because of a nonspecific disruption of the receptor tertiary fold as the affinity of the three classes of antagonist was unchanged (Table 1).

Asp¹¹² is located at the membrane/solvent interface at the extracellular end of TMII. Molecular modeling shows that when residue 112 is Glu or Lys, they occupy a similar position to Asp¹¹², consistent with the near wild-type profile observed with these constructs. However, the increased side-chain length of Arg¹¹² compared with Lys¹¹² positions the positively charged guanidinium moiety of Arg¹¹² 3.0 Å from the carboxyl group of Glu^{54(1.35)} (top of TMI), resulting in a charge-charge interaction between these two residues (Fig. 4B). A comparable interaction between the amine of Lys¹¹² and Glu⁵⁴ is far less likely as the functional groups are further apart (4.8 Å). Furthermore, the guanidinium of Arg has higher partial charges on its heavy atoms compared with the amine of Lys, which increases the potential of the Arg guanidinium to establish ionic interactions compared with the amine of Lys. In addition, the planar nature of the guanidinium group may aid directive interactions.

Role of Charged Residues in the 2nd Extracellular Loop (ECL2) of the $V_{1a}R$ —The ECL2 domain (including the extracellular borders of TMIV and TMV) of the $V_{1a}R$ contains five charged residues Glu¹⁹³, Glu¹⁹⁵, Lys²⁰¹, Asp²⁰⁴, and Arg²¹⁶ (Fig. 1*B*). Sequence analysis of ECL2 revealed the following: (i) charged residues are well conserved at loci corresponding to Glu¹⁹⁵, Asp²⁰⁴, and Arg²¹⁶ throughout the vertebrate VPR/OTR family, whereas Glu¹⁹³ and Lys²⁰¹ are found only in the $V_{1a}R$ subtype; (ii) Asp²⁰⁴ is absolutely conserved with the single exception of the chick VTR, which has a Glu (33); (iii) a negative charge (usually a Glu but an Asp in V_2 Rs) is conserved at residue 195 with the exception of the human V_2 R, which has Asn; and (iv) a positive charge (Arg/Lys) is conserved at position 216 but is replaced by a Pro in the sheep $V_{1a}R$ (Fig. 1*A*).

To assess the functional importance of these conserved charged residues, each residue was mutated individually to Ala, and the pharmacological characteristics were compared with wild-type $V_{1a}R$ (Table 1). With the exception of [D204A] $V_{1a}R$, all the mutant constructs exhibited binding and signaling characteristics similar to wild type (Table 1). In marked contrast, [D204A] $V_{1a}R$ possessed a marked decrease in AVP affinity (2300-fold; Fig. 2*C* and Table 1) and impaired signaling (Fig. 3*D*). The affinity of [D204A] $V_{1a}R$ for the cyclic and nonpeptide antagonists remained unchanged (Table 1), indicating that the receptor protein was folded appropriately; nevertheless, the K_d

TABLE 1

Pharmacological profile of mutant V_{1a}Rs

Mutant V_{1a} Rs were expressed in HEK 293T cells and characterized pharmacologically. Dissociation constants (K_d) were calculated from IC₅₀ values and corrected for radioligand occupancy as described under "Experimental Procedures." Data shown are the mean \pm S.E. (n = 3) of three replicates. SR 49059 indicates nonpetide antagonist. EC₅₀ and E_{max} values of AVP-induced accumulation of InsP-InsP₃ in cells expressing wild-type (WT) and mutant receptors are shown. Values shown are the mean \pm S.E. (n = 3) of three replicates. SR 49059 indicates nonpetide antagonist. EC₅₀ and E_{max} values of AVP-induced accumulation of InsP-InsP₃ in cells expressing wild-type (WT) and mutant receptors are shown. Values shown are the mean \pm S.E. of three separate experiments performed in triplicate. NS indicates no stimulation. *, data were taken from Ref. 34. Basal values (mean \pm S.E.) were 1217 \pm 252, 1263 \pm 224, 1135 \pm 201, 1080 \pm 158, 1214 \pm 207, 1073 \pm 223, 984 \pm 198, 956 \pm 214, 1075 \pm 248, 1256 \pm 258, 1208 \pm 174, 1007 \pm 125, 1283 \pm 202, 1321 \pm 230, 1299 \pm 188, 1116 \pm 207, 1302 \pm 191, 1350 \pm 260, 956 \pm 239, 1150 \pm 176, 1045 \pm 115, 1263 \pm 239, 1371 \pm 206, 1298 \pm 117, 1228 \pm 223, 1008 \pm 182, and 1251 \pm 248 dpm for wild type, [D112A]V_{1a}R, [D112A]V_{1a}R, [D112R]V_{1a}R, [D116A]V_{1a}R, [D116D]V_{1a}R, [D116D]V_{1a}R, [D116D]V_{1a}R, [D116A]V_{1a}R, [D112A]V_{1a}R, [D12A]V_{1a}R, [R125A]V_{1a}R, [D1204A]V_{1a}R, [D204A]V_{1a}R, [D204A]V_{1a}R, [D204A]V_{1a}R, [R216A]V_{1a}R, [R126A]V_{1a}R, [R46D)V_{1a}R, [R125A]V_{1a}R, [R125A]V_{1a}R, [R125A]V_{1a}R, [D30A]V_{1a}R, and [E332A]V_{1a}R respectively. None of the mutant receptors displayed constitutive activity. Cell surface expression was determined, mean \pm S.E. (n = 3), in parallel experiments as a percentage relative to wild-type V_{1a} R using an ELISA-based assay, or as pmol/mg protein (B_{max}) using whole cell ³H-labeled LA binding where possible, as described under "Experimental Procedures."

Recepto	r 📃	Bind	ding affin	ities K _d (n	M)	Stimula InsP -	ation of - InsP ₃	Cell-surface				
Construc	ts AVP		CA	LA	SR 49059	EC ₅₀ values (nM)	E _{max} values (fold)	(%WT) (pmol/mg)				
WT	$1.0 \pm$	0.1	0.7 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.2	8 ± 1.9	$100 (1.7 \pm 0.5)$				
ECL1	<i></i>				;	2						
ſ	A 2.2 ±	0.9	2.1 ± 0.7	2.6 ± 0.7	2.0 ± 1.4	1.3 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 1.0	$102 \pm 6 \ (1.9 \pm 0.6)$				
Asp ¹¹²	E 2.3 ±	0.5	2.3 ± 0.5	0.6 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 1.2	1.1 ± 0.4	6.4 ± 0.5	87 ± 9 (1.3 ± 0.5)				
	K 2.0±	0.7	3.9 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.5	3.4 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.3	$109 \pm 1 \ (1.7 \pm 0.6)$				
	R 390 ±	58	1.4 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.2	4.6 ± 1.6	7.8 ± 1.6	$98 \pm 4 \ (1.6 \pm 0.5)$				
ſ	A 3.4 ±	0.7	1.8 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.3	28 ± 1.2	5.4 ± 1.8	89±3 (1.3±0.3)				
Arg ¹¹⁶	D 1600 ±	250	4.6 ± 1.8	1.5 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.1	21 ± 1.6	4.2 ± 0.6	92±4 (1.4±0.6)				
	E 730 ±	90	4.7 ± 1.1	0.5 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.6	9 ± 1.8	6.8 ± 1.3	$95 \pm 2 \ (1.8 \pm 0.7)$				
L	K 3.8±	0.7	1.6 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 1.0	0.7 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 1.2	$99 \pm 2 \ (1.6 \pm 0.5)$				
R118	3A 2.6 ±	0.5	0.7 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.5	6.9 ± 1.4	$110 \pm 3 \ (2.0 \pm 0.7)$				
D12	A 0.8 ±	0.4	0.7 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 1.2	$100 \pm 3 \ (1.7 \pm 0.6)$				
125	A 3.4 ±	0.1	1.7 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.7	6.5 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 0.3	$56 \pm 2 \ (0.7 \pm 0.3)$				
Arg	D No [³ H]AV	⁷ P, [³ H]CA, [³ H]LA bindin	g detected	41 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 0.1	47 ± 2				
L	K 1.1 ±	0.2	0.7 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.7	$104 \pm 2 \ (1.7 \pm 0.5)$				
ECL2	Ċ.							්ට				
E193	3A 1.9 ±	0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1	$110 \pm 5 \ (2.1 \pm 0.5)$				
E19:	5A 1.3 ±	0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.7	$100 \pm 1 \ (1.8 \pm 0.4)$				
204	A 2300 ±	240	2.0 ± 0.4	10 ± 3.4	1.3 ± 1.2	7.0 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 0.5	56 ± 1				
Asp ²⁰⁴	E 1.6 ±	0.4	0.6 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2	$74 \pm 3 \ (1.0 \pm 0.4)$				
L	R 2600 ±	680	4.4 ± 0.8	12 ± 1.2	1.6 ± 0.1	39 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 0.8	114 ± 5				
K20	IA 1.4 ±	0.3	0.4 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 1.5	$66 \pm 3 \ (1.3 \pm 0.3)$				
R210	5A 1.3 ±	0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.3	$101 \pm 4 \ (1.4 \pm 0.4)$				
R461	D* 1800 ±	380	0.5 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.8	164 ± 49	2.4 ± 0.5	$106 \pm 6 \ (1.9 \pm 0.5)$				
R46D/D204	4R 2500 ±	880	5.7 ± 2.6	15 ± 2.5	2.9 ± 0.8	>10 µM	NS	20 ± 3				
R125D/D204	4R No [³	H]AVF	P, [³ H]CA or	[³ H]LA bindi	ng detected	>10 µM	NS	13±7				
ECL3												
D323	3A 1.2 ±	0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.5	$52 \pm 3 \ (0.6 \pm 0.2)$				
D330	DA 1.8 ±	0.1	1.5 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.1	6.4 ± 0.4	91 ± 4 (1.9 ± 0.5)				
E332	2A 2.3 ±	0.4	0.7 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2	7.6 ± 0.1	$102 \pm 5 \ (1.7 \pm 0.3)$				



FIGURE 2. **Pharmacological characterization of ECL mutant receptors.** Radioligand binding studies with AVP as competing ligand were performed using a membrane preparation of HEK 293T cells transiently transfected as follows. *A*, wild-type (Arg¹¹⁶) V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [R116]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D112A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D12A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D12A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); Data are the mean ± S.E. of three separate experiments each performed in triplicate using [³H]AVP (0.5–1.5 nM) or ³H-labeled CA (0.5–1.5 nM) as tracer. Values are expressed as percent specific binding, where nonspecific binding was defined by d(CH₂)₅Tyr(Me)²AVP (1 μ M). A theoretical Langmuir binding isotherm has been fitted to the experimental data as described under "Experimental Procedures."

value for LA was increased 20-fold relative to wild type (Table 1). The charge requirements at position 204 were investigated. Retaining a negative charge ($[D204E]V_{1a}R$) resulted in wild-type ligand binding and signaling (Figs. 2*C* and 3*D* and Table 1), whereas reversing the charge ($[D204R]V_{1a}R$) markedly decreased both AVP affinity (Fig. 2*C*) and signaling (Fig. 3*D*)

and to a lesser extent LA and CA affinity (24- and 6-fold, respectively; Table 1). The binding of the nonpeptide antagonist to $[D204R]V_{1a}R$ was wild type.

Investigating a Possible Interaction between Asp²⁰⁴ and Arg¹²⁵ or Arg⁴⁶-Mutagenesis of Asp²⁰⁴ or Arg¹²⁵ generated similar effects. Consequently, substitution by Ala ([D204A]V_{1a}R and [R125A]V_{1a}R) decreased the potency of AVP signaling by \sim 20-fold compared with wild-type V_{1a}R (Fig. 3, D and B, respectively), and reversing the charge $([D204R]V_{1a}R \text{ and } [R125D]V_{1a}R)$ increased the EC₅₀ 100-fold compared with wild-type V_{1a}R. Although Arg¹²⁵ (top of TMIII) and Asp²⁰⁴ (ECL2) are in different domains, they are located at opposite ends of the highly conserved disulfide bond and therefore in close proximity and spatially constrained. It was possible that a mutual charge interaction existed between these two residues, which was required for receptor activation. However, the double-reciprocal mutant [R125D/D204R]V1aR did not bind ³H-labeled tracer ligands, did not signal when challenged with AVP (>10 μ M), and was poorly expressed (Table 1). Consequently, these data do not support a mutual interaction between Arg¹²⁵ and Asp²⁰⁴.

We have established previously that a single residue (Arg⁴⁶) located within the distal N terminus of the V_{1a}R is critical for binding AVP but not peptide or nonpeptide antagonists (14) and that reversing the charge at this locus ([R46D] $V_{1a}R$ or $[R46E]V_{1a}R$) impaired receptor function (34) in a similar manner to that observed for [D204R]V_{1a}R in this study. Given that high affinity agonist binding required both ${\rm Arg^{46}}$ and ${\rm Asp^{204}}$, it was feasible that a direct intra-molecular ionic interaction between Arg⁴⁶ and Asp²⁰⁴ may contribute to high affinity agonist binding and receptor activation. However, the double-reciprocal mutant [R46D/D204R]V_{1a}R bound AVP with very low affinity ($K_d = 2500$ nM), a similar affinity to [R46D]V_{1a}R or $[D204R]V_{1a}R$ (Table 1), and the signaling capability of [R46D/D204R]V_{1a}R was also severely compromised. The overall tertiary fold of the receptor was nevertheless good as the nonpeptide antagonist bound with wild-type affinity, and the peptide antagonists CA and LA also bound with high affinity, albeit less than wild type (Table 1). Cell-surface expression of [R46D/ D204R]V_{1a}R was only \sim 20% of wild type. These data do not provide evidence for a direct interaction between Arg^{46} and Asp²⁰⁴.

^rMolecular modeling indicated that Asp²⁰⁴ lies at the center of a pocket defined by residues Lys^{128(3.29)} (TMIII), Gln^{131(3.32)} (TMIII), Trp²⁰⁶ (ECL2), Phe^{283(6.51)} (TMVI), and Gln^{287(6.55)} (TMVI). Asp²⁰⁴ forms a salt bridge with Lys^{128(3.29)} and hydrogen bonds with Gln^{131(3.32)}, both in TMIII (Fig. 4*C*). These interactions with Lys^{128(3.29)} and Gln^{131(3.32)} are preserved in the conservative substitution [D204E]V_{1a}R (not shown), consistent with the wild-type pharmacological profile (Table 1). Removal of the negative charge at this locus ([D204A]V_{1a}R) resulted in a decrease in both AVP affinity and signaling potency (Fig. 3*D* and Table 1). MD simulation of [D204A]V_{1a}R revealed that removal of the negative charge breaks the wildtype contacts between ECL2 and TMIII (Fig. 4*D*). This leads to a partial unfolding of the β -hairpin within ECL2 (Fig. 4*E*). In addition, the side chain of Lys¹²⁸ rotates away from its wild-type position and orientates toward TMVI (Fig. 4*D*). A similar per-



Within the ECL domains of the

 $V_{1a}R$, the charged residues were

subdivided into the following two

groups: (i) those that are conserved

in all members of the subfamily, and (ii) those that are conserved within a

specific subtype. Thirteen conserved charged residues were identified in the ECL domains and associated TM

boundaries, with five in ECL1, five in ECL2, and three in ECL3. Ala sub-

stitution within ECL1 had little

effect on ligand binding. However,

[R116A]V_{1a}R and [R125A]V_{1a}R

exhibited impaired intracellular sig-

naling (70- and 16-fold, respectively) indicating a role in receptor

activation. Although $[R125A]V_{1a}R$ was expressed at 56% of wild type,

this was unlikely to be responsible

for the impaired signaling of

 $[R125A]V_{1a}R$, as $[D323A]V_{1a}R$ was expressed at 52% of wild type but retained essentially wild-type signaling capability (Table 1). A posi-

tive charge is essential at residue



FIGURE 3. **Intracellular signaling by ECL mutant receptors.** AVP-induced accumulation of mono-, bis-, and trisphosphates in HEK 293T cells transiently transfected as follows. *A*, wild-type (Arg¹¹⁶) V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [R116A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [R116E]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [R125A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112K]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112K]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112R]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112R]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D112R]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D126A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D126A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D126A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); [D204A]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); and [D204R]V_{1a}R (\bigcirc); Data are the mean ± 5.E. of three separate experiments each performed in triplicate. Values are stimulation induced by AVP at the stated concentrations expressed as percent maximum.

turbation was observed with the construct $[D204R]V_{1a}R$, again leading to re-organization of ECL2. However, the introduction of an Arg at residue 204 also created an alternative hydrogen bonding network involving new interactions between Arg²⁰⁴ in ECL2 and residues in TMII (Gln^{104(2.57)} and Gln^{108(2.61)}) and TMVII (Ala^{272(7.42)} and Ser^{273(7.43)}) (Fig. 4*F*).

Role of Charged Residues in ECL3 of the V_{1a}R-ECL3 (including the extracellular borders of TMVI and TMVII) of the V_{1a}R contains three conserved charged residues Asp³²³, Asp³³⁰, and Glu³³² (Fig. 1B). Analysis of sequence alignments of ECL3 of the vertebrate VPR/OTR family revealed the following: (i) an Asp is completely conserved at the locus corresponding to Asp³²³; (ii) a negative charge (usually an Asp) is conserved at residue 330 in V_{1a}Rs, V_{1b}Rs, and VTRs but is replaced by Pro in OTRs and V₂Rs; and (iii) a Glu is conserved at the locus corresponding to Glu^{332} with the exception of $V_{1b}Rs$ that possess a Thr (Fig. 1A). To determine the functional importance of these residues, each residue was mutated individually to Ala. Pharmacological characterization established that [D323A]V_{1a}R, [D330A]V_{1a}R, and $[E332A]V_{1a}R$ were almost identical to wild-type $V_{1a}R$ with respect to binding all four classes of ligand (Table 1) and intracellular signaling (Table 1), indicating that the conserved charged residues in ECL3 had little or no role in these functions. However, it was noteworthy that [D323A]V_{1a}R exhibited reduced cell-surface expression (~50%) compared with wildtype V_{1a}R (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to use the $V_{1a}R$ to systematically investigate the function of extracellular charged residues that are highly conserved throughout a subfamily of peptide GPCRs.

116, as retaining a positive charge ([R116K]V_{1a}R) preserved wild-type signaling, and reversing the charge ([R116D]V_{1a}R and [R116E]V_{1a}R) not only compromised signaling but also profoundly decreased agonist affinity. This loss of AVP binding was agonist-specific and not because of aberrant assembly of the receptor as the binding of antagonists (peptide and nonpeptide) was unaffected. Consequently, Arg¹¹⁶ is required to stabilize the active R^{*} conformation of the V_{1a}R and is absolutely conserved throughout the vertebrate neurohypophysial hormone subfamily of GPCRs cloned to date (Fig. 1*A*).

Arg¹²⁵ is located close to the extracellular end of TMIII, immediately adjacent to the conserved disulfide bond, where it interacts with lipids. This Arg-lipid interaction has been referred to as "snorkeling" (35). The absolute conservation of this Arg throughout the neurohypophysial peptide hormone receptor family (Fig. 1A) implies functional importance. This is supported by a report that the naturally occurring mutation R113W in the human V_2R (which corresponds to Arg^{125} in the V_{1a}R) causes the receptor dysfunction responsible for nephrogenic diabetes insipidus in some patients (36). Furthermore, an alignment of 717 sequences of family A GPCRs, which bind peptide ligands, revealed that a positively charged residue is conserved at this position in 85% of receptors and that Asp and Glu are excluded (see the GPCR data base). This is indicative of a generic role for this residue in signaling by peptide-GPCRs, a notion supported by mutagenesis studies on the CXCR2 and angiotensin II type 1 receptors (37, 38). It is now well established that relative movement between TMIII, TMVI, and TMVII is central to the $R \rightarrow R^*$ transition of GPCRs (39). The location of Arg¹²⁵ at the extracellular extremity of TMIII may allow it to act as a structural support for TMIII during receptor



FIGURE 4. **Molecular modeling of wild-type and mutant receptors.** *A*, the overlapped positions of solvent molecules are presented for wild-type V_{1a}R (yellow) and [R125D]V_{1a}R (blue) simulations. It can be seen that the mutation [R125D]V_{1a}R increased the solvent-accessible surface as a result of re-ordering of the phospholipids surrounding the extracellular end of TMIII. *B*, the mutant [D112R]V_{1a}R enabled inappropriate hydrogen bonds (*dotted green lines*) to be formed between this residue and Glu⁵⁴ in TMI. *C*, in the wild-type V_{1a}R, Asp²⁰⁴ in ECL2 hydrogen bonds (*dotted green lines*) with Lys¹²⁸ and Gln¹³¹ in TMIII. These contacts are broken in the mutant [D204A]V_{1a}R (*D*) which results in partial unfolding of the *β*-hairpin structure of ECL2. *E*, the partially unfolded ECL2 *β*-hairpin structure of [D204A]V_{1a}R (*blue*) is revealed when superimposed onto that of wild-type V_{1a}R (*yellow*). *F*, the mutant [D204R]V_{1a}R inappropriately hydrogen bonds (*dotted green*] with residues in TMVII and TMII. See text for details.

activation. Reversing the charge at this locus in $[R125D]V_{1a}R$ was very detrimental because of charge-charge repulsion between the side-chain carboxyl and the membrane lipid phosphate head groups. This repulsion resulted in re-ordering of the surrounding phospholipids, increased solvent accessibility at the extracellular end of TMIII/TMIV, and altered local conformation that could have ramifications along the length of TMIII. These conformational changes observed *in silico* would explain why Asp/Glu are excluded from this locus in peptide-binding GPCRs. In marked contrast, GPCRs for biogenic amines actually favor a negatively charged residue at the position corre-

The substitutions $[D112A]V_{1a}R$, $[D112E]V_{1a}R$, and $[D112K]V_{1a}R$ had little effect on receptor function; introducing Arg¹¹², however, impaired agonist binding and signaling but had little effect on any antagonist binding. Although Arg and Lys are superficially similar, $[D112K]V_{1a}R$ and $[D112R]V_{1a}R$ exhibited very different affinity for AVP. Molecular modeling revealed that Arg^{112} formed a stable ionic interaction with $Glu^{54(1.35)}$ at the top of TMI, which was absent with the shorter side chain of Lys^{112} (and also absent in wild type and $[D112E]V_{1a}R$). $Glu^{54(1.35)}$ has recently been identified as a key residue for high affinity agonist binding and signaling (15); consequently, an inappropriate interaction with Arg^{112}

sponding to Arg¹²⁵. Analysis of an

alignment of 371 sequences of

amine-GPCRs from different species revealed that \sim 70% have Glu/

Asp at this locus (GPCR data base) with the exclusion of Arg/Lys.

Exceptions to this trend are the H₃

histamine receptor and trace amine

receptors that do possess a positive charge. It is possible that the charge difference at this single locus between peptide-GPCRs and amine-GPCRs

may reflect differences in the binding mode between these ligands.

Biogenic amines access a binding site enclosed within the TM bundle, whereas peptides bind to extracellu-

lar domains plus TM helices. If Glu/ Asp at the top of TMIII in amine-

GPCRs (corresponding to Arg¹²⁵ in

V₁,R) increases solvent penetration

into the TM bundle (analogous to the mutant $[R125D]V_{1a}R$), it may facilitate

ligand access to the binding site. Support for such a mechanism is perhaps provided by [³H]propylbenzylcholine mustard ([³H]PrBCM) labeling of the

 $\rm M_1$ mAChR. In addition to alkylating Asp 105 in TMIII (the "classical"

amine counter-ion), [³H]PrBCM

also labeled Asp^{99} (corresponding to Arg^{125} in the $V_{1a}R$) (40). Furthermore, mutation of this Asp^{99} to Asn

moderately decreased the affinity of

a range of ligands and strongly decreased both alkylation by

 $[^{3}H]$ PrBCM and agonist-induced second messenger generation (41). Asp¹¹² in the V_{1a}R is conserved

throughout the neurohypophysial

hormone receptor family with the

exception of the human V₂R and the VTR that possess Lys and Glu,

respectively. It has been reported

that this locus is important for bind-

ing some V₂R-selective agonists (42).

may prevent $Glu^{54(1.35)}$ from adopting an optimal conformation for AVP binding and signaling.

ECL2 is usually the longest ECL in GPCRs and in bRho forms a β -hairpin that projects into the binding crevice allowing the β 4-strand to contact retinal (1, 2). There is also evidence that this ECL2 fold is not restricted to bRho and occurs in other GPCRs (43). Of the five conserved charged residues substituted by Ala in ECL2 of the $V_{1a}R$, only [D204A] $V_{1a}R$ had a marked effect on receptor function, with a profound decrease in AVP affinity, decreased LA affinity, and impaired signaling potency. Molecular modeling revealed that Asp²⁰⁴ provides interactions between ECL2 and TMIII by hydrogen bonding with Gln^{131(3.32)} and forming a salt bridge with Lys^{128(3.29)}. Substitution by Ala in [D204A]V_{1a}R disrupted this contact network resulting in partial unfolding of ECL2 and re-arrangement of the Lys^{128(3.29)} side chain. Substitution of Lys^{128(3.29)} or Gln^{131(3.32)} by Ala also disrupted AVP binding and signaling (8), consistent with the proposed role for Asp²⁰⁴. The reduction in affinity of both AVP and LA was similar following either removal of the negative charge ([D204A]V_{1a}R) or charge reversal ([D204R]V_{1a}R) (Table 1). Although [D204R]V_{1a}R and $[D204A]V_{1a}R$ had the same affinity for AVP, the decrease in potency of AVP-stimulated InsP-InsP₃ production was greater with Arg²⁰⁴ than with Ala²⁰⁴ (Table 1), suggesting that Arg²⁰⁴ stabilized the receptor ground state. Molecular modeling indicated that for both of these constructs the interactions between ECL2 and TMIII were disrupted in a similar manner leading to re-organization of ECL2. However, the introduction of the longer side chain of $\rm Arg^{204}$ also created new interactions between ECL2-TMII (Gln^{104(2.57)} and Gln^{108(2.61)}) and ECL2-TMVII (Ala^{272(7.42)} and Ser^{273(7.43)}), which reduced the $R \rightarrow R^*$ transition. Interestingly, Ser^{273(7.43)} corresponds to the retinal attachment site in bRho, and this locus has been implicated in activation of other GPCRs (44, 45). In addition, Ala substitution of both of the TMII contacts, Gln^{104(2.57)} and Gln^{108(2.61)}, has been reported previously to perturb both ligand binding and intracellular signaling (8). Consequently, the inappropriate new contacts established by Arg²⁰⁴ are with residues required for receptor activation and explain the perturbed pharmacological profile observed with [D204R]V_{1a}R. In contrast, the conservative substitution [D204E]V_{1a}R, which occurs naturally in a chick VTR (33), maintains the normal ECL2-TMIII contacts and exhibits wild-type characteristics. Our investigations establish the importance of an acidic residue at position 204 and provide an explanation for the absolute conservation of Asp(Glu) at this locus throughout a subfamily of GPCRs. In addition, our study also provides a feasible mechanism for the naturally occurring "loss-of-function" mutation D191G in the human V_2R (corresponding to Asp²⁰⁴ in the $V_{1a}R$) that has been identified as a cause of nephrogenic diabetes insipidus in some families (46). Asp²⁰⁴ is juxtaposed to the disulfide bridge (Cys²⁰⁵), conserved in the majority of GPCRs, and is therefore under positional restraint. Interestingly, the residue corresponding to Asp²⁰⁴ has been reported to be functionally important in other GPCRs. For example, Met¹⁹⁵ of the cholecystokinin-A receptor is required for interaction with cholecystokinin (47), and the mutation I185A affected CXCR4 co-receptor activity for some human immunodeficiency virus strains (48).

The functional importance of Asp²⁰⁴ for agonist binding and signaling by $V_{1a}R$ is a property shared by Arg^{125} (this study) and also Arg^{46} in the N terminus (14). It was therefore possible that a charge-charge interaction between Arg^{125} - Asp^{204} or Arg^{46} - Asp^{204} was required for high affinity agonist binding. Interaction between these two charge pairs was theoretically possible as Arg^{125} - Asp^{204} is located at opposite ends of the same disulfide bond, and in bRho the N terminus has been shown to make multiple contacts with ECL2 (1, 2). However, the double-reciprocal mutants [R125D/D204R] $V_{1a}R$ and [R46D/D204R] $V_{1a}R$ were both severely compromised; therefore, our data do not support a direct interaction of Asp^{204} with either Arg^{125} or Arg^{46} .

Although ECL3 charged residues are important for peptide ligands binding to some GPCRs (49–51), substitution of the three conserved charged residues in ECL3 of the V_{1a}R did not affect either ligand binding or activation of the receptor. However, the mutant [D323A]V_{1a}R did exhibit decreased cell-surface expression (~50% of wild type). It is noteworthy that Asp³²³ is the only ECL3 charged residue absolutely conserved throughout the vertebrate neurohypophysial hormone receptors cloned to date, suggesting that it may fulfill an important role in maintaining cell-surface expression that is common to all members of this family. Our data do not support the suggestion (52) that ECL3 acidic residues might be implicated in binding AVP and vasotocin.

In conclusion, we have shown that key charged residues located throughout the extracellular face of the $V_{1a}R$ are required for normal receptor function, identifying Arg¹¹⁶ (ECL1), Arg¹²⁵ (top of TMIII), and Asp²⁰⁴ (ECL2) as important for high affinity agonist binding and/or receptor activation and Asp³²³ (ECL3) as important for cell-surface expression. Consistent with their fundamental role in receptor function, these charged residues are highly conserved throughout the neurohypophysial hormone receptor subfamily of GPCRs.

Acknowledgment—We are grateful to Dr. Claudine Serradeil-Le Gal (Sanofi Recherche, France) for providing a sample of SR 49059.

REFERENCES

- Palczewski, K., Kumasaka, T., Hori, T., Behnke, C. A., Motoshima, H., Fox, B. A., Le Trong, I., Teller, D. C., Okada, T., Stenkamp, R. E., Yamamoto, M., and Miyano, M. (2000) *Science* 289, 739–745
- Li, J., Edwards, P. C., Burghammer, M., Villa, C., and Schertler, G. F. X. (2004) J. Mol. Biol. 343, 1409–1438
- Lu, Z. L., Saldanha, J. W., and Hulme, E. C. (2002) *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 140–146
- Thibonnier, M., Coles, P., Thibonnier, A., and Shoham, M. (2001) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 41, 175–202
- 5. Gimpl, G., and Fahrenholz, F. (2001) *Physiol. Rev.* 81, 629-683
- 6. Howl, J., and Wheatley, M. (1995) Gen. Pharmacol. 26, 1143-1152
- Hibert, M., Hoflack, J., Trumpp-Kallmeyer, S., Mouillac, B., Chini, B., Mahe, E., Cotte, N., Jard, S., Manning, M., and Barberis, C. (1999) *J. Recept. Signal. Transduct. Res.* 19, 589–596
- Mouillac, B., Chini, B., Balestre, M.-N., Elands, J., Trump-Kallmeyer, S., Hoflack, J., Hibert, M., Jard, S., and Barberis, C. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 25771–25777
- 9. Howl, J., and Wheatley, M. (1996) Biochem. J. 317, 577-582



- Kojro, E., Eich, P., Gimpl, G., and Fahrenholz, F. (1993) *Biochemistry* 32, 13537–13544
- Chini, B., Mouillac, B., Ala, Y., Balestre, M.-N., Trump-Kallmeyer, S., Hoflack, J., Elands, J., Hibert, M., Manning, M., Jard, S., and Barberis, C. (1995) *EMBO J.* 14, 2176–2182
- Hawtin, S. R., Wesley, V. J., Parslow, R. A., Patel, S., and Wheatley, M. (2000) *Biochemistry* 39, 13524–13533
- Hawtin, S. R., Howard, H. C., and Wheatley, M. (2001) *Biochem. J.* 354, 465–472
- Hawtin, S. R., Wesley, V. J., Parslow, R. A., Simms, J., Miles, A., McEwan, K., and Wheatley, M. (2002) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 16, 600–609
- Hawtin, S. R., Wesley, V. J., Simms, J., Argent, C. C. H., Latif, K., and Wheatley, M. (2005) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 19, 2871–2881
- Wesley, V. J., Hawtin, S. R., Howard, H. C., and Wheatley, M. (2002) Biochemistry 41, 5086–5092
- Shi, L., and Javitch, J. A. (2002) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 42, 437–467
- Ding, X. Q., Pinon, D. I., Furse, K. E., Lybrand, T. P., and Miller, L. J. (2002) Mol. Pharmacol. 61, 1041–1052
- Zhao, M. M., Hwa, J., and Perez, D. M. (1996) Mol. Pharmacol. 50, 1118-1126
- Gnagey, A. L., Seidenberg, M., and Ellis, J. (1999) Mol. Pharmacol. 56, 1245–1253
- Ott, T. R., Troskie, B. E., Roeske, R. W., Illing, N., Flanagan, C. A., and Millar, R. P. (2002) *Mol. Endocrinol.* **10**, 1079–1088
- Brelot, A., Heveker, N., Montes, M., and Alizon, M. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 23736–23744
- Hawtin, S. R., Tobin, A., Patel, S., and Wheatley, M. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 38139–38146
- Howl, J., Langel, Ü., Hawtin, S. R., Valkna, A., Yarwood, N. J., Saar, K., and Wheatley, M. (1997) *FASEB J.* 11, 582–590
- Kruszynski, M., Lammek, B., Manning, M., Seto, J., Haldar, J., and Sawyer, W. H. (1980) *J. Med. Chem.* 23, 364–368
- 26. Cheng, Y., and Prusoff, W. H. (1973) *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 22, 3099–3108
- Conner, A. C., Hay, D. L., Simms, J., Howitt, S. G., Schindler, M., Smith, D. M., Wheatley, M., and Poyner, D. R. (2005) *Mol. Pharmacol.* 67, 20–31
 The Article Proceedings of the Article Proceeding o
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994) Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 4673–4680
- 29. Sali, A., and Blundell, T. L. (1993) *J. Mol. Biol.* **234**, 779 815
- 30. Lindahl, E., Hess, B., and van der Spoel, D. (2001) J. Mol. Med. 7, 306-317
- Schmidt, A., Audigier, S., Barberis, C., Jard, S., Manning, M., Kolodziejczyk, A. S., and Sawyer, W. H. (1991) *FEBS Lett.* 282, 77–81
- Serradeil-Le Gal, C., Wagnon, J., Garcia, C., Lacour, C., Guiraudou, P., Christophe, B., Villanova, G., Nisato, D., Maffrand, J. P., and Le Fur, G. P. (1993) *J. Clin. Investig.* 92, 224–231
- Tan, F.-L., Lolait, S. J., Brownstein, M. J., Saito, N., MacLeod, V., Baeyens, D. A., Mayeux, P. R., Jones, S. M., and Cornett, L. E. (2000) *Biol. Reprod.* 62,

8 - 15

- Hawtin, S. R., Wesley, V. J., Simms, J., Parslow, R. A., Simms, J., Miles, A., McEwan, K., Keen, M., and Wheatley, M. (2003) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 270, 4681–4688
- 35. Strandberg, E., and Killian, J. A. (2003) *FEBS Lett.* **544**, 69–73
- Birnbaumer, M., Gilbert, S., and Rosenthal, W. (1994) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8, 886-894
- Katancik, J. A., Sharma, A., and de Nardin, E. (2000) Cytokine 12, 1480-1488
- Monnot, C., Bihoreau, C., Conchon, S., Curnow, K. M., Corvol, P., and Clauser, E. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. 271, 1507–1513
- Schwartz, T. W., Frimurer, T. M., Holst, B., Rosenkilde, M. M., and Elling, C. E. (2006) Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 46, 481–519
- Kurtenbach, E., Curtis, C. A. M., Pedder, E. K., Aitken, A., Harris, A. C. M., and Hulme, E. C. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 13702–13708
- Fraser, C. M., Wang, C.-D., Robinson, D. A., Gocayne, J. D., and Venter, J. C. (1989) *J. Biol. Chem.* 36, 840–847
- 42. Cotte, N., Balestre, M. N., Phalipou, S., Hibert, M., Manning, M., Barberis, C., and Mouillac, B. (1998) *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 29462–29468
- 43. Shi, L., and Javitch, J. A (2004) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 440–445
- Marie, J., Richard, E., Pruneau, D., Paquet, J.-L., Siatka, C., Larguier, R., Ponce, C., Vassault, P., Groblewski, T., Maigret, B., and Bonnafous, J.-C. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 41100-41111
- Lu, Z.-L., Saldanha, J. W., and Hulme, E. C. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 34098–34104
- Arthus, M.-F., Lonergan, M., Crumley, M. J., Naumova, A. K., Morin, D., De Marco, L. A., Kaplan, B. S., Robertson, G. L., Sasaki, S., Morgan, K., Bichet, D. G., and Fujiwara, T. M. (2000) *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 11, 1044–1054
- Gigoux, V., Escrieut, C., Silvente-Poirot, S., Maigret, B., Gouilleux, L., Fehrentz, J. A., Gully, D., Moroder, L., Vaysse, N., and Fourmy, D. (1998) *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 14380–14386
- Brelot, A., Heveker, N., Adema, K., Hosie, M. J., Willett, B., and Alizon, M. (1999) J. Virol. 73, 2576–2586
- Gigoux, V., Escrieut, C., Fehrentz, J. A., Poirot, S., Maigret, B., Moroder, L., Gully, D., Martinez, J., Vaysse, N., and Fourmy, D. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 20457–20464
- 50. Fromme, B. J., Katz, A. A., Roeske, R. W., Millar, R. P., and Flanagan, C. A. (2001) *Mol. Pharmacol.* **60**, 1280–1287
- Feng, Y.-H., Noda, K., Saad, Y., Liu, X.-P., Husain, A., and Karnik, S. S. (1995) *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 12846–12850
- Hausmann, H., Richters, A., Kreienkamp, H.-J., Meyerhof, W., Mattes, H., Lederis, K., Zwiers, H., and Richter, D. (1996) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93, 6907–6912
- 53. Ballesteros, J. A., and Weinstein, H. (1995) Methods Neurosci. 25, 366-428

38488 JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY

Charged Extracellular Residues, Conserved throughout a G-protein-coupled Receptor Family, Are Required for Ligand Binding, Receptor Activation, and Cell-surface Expression

Stuart R. Hawtin, John Simms, Matthew Conner, Zoe Lawson, Rosemary A. Parslow, Julie Trim, Andrew Sheppard and Mark Wheatley

J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281:38478-38488. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M607639200 originally published online September 21, 2006

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M607639200

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 48 references, 21 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/281/50/38478.full.html#ref-list-1