Pure

Scotland's Rural College

Impact of adding nitrate or increasing the lipid content of two contrasting diets on blood methaemoglobin and performance of two breeds of finishing beef steers Duthie, C-A; Rooke, JA; Troy, SM; Hyslop, JJ; Ross, DW; Waterhouse, A; Roehe, R

Published in: Animal

DOI: 10.1017/S1751731115002657

Print publication: 01/01/2015

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Duthie, C-A., Rooke, JA., Troy, SM., Hyslop, JJ., Ross, DW., Waterhouse, A., & Roehe, R. (2015). Impact of adding nitrate or increasing the lipid content of two contrasting diets on blood methaemoglobin and performance of two breeds of finishing beef steers. Animal, 10(5), 786 - 795. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731115002657

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	
2	Impact of adding nitrate or increasing the lipid content of two contrasting diets
3	on blood methaemoglobin and performance of two breeds of finishing beef
4	steers
5	
6	
7	C-A. Duthie ¹ , J. A. Rooke ¹ , S. Troy ¹ , J. J. Hyslop ² , D. W. Ross ¹ , A. Waterhouse ¹ and
8	R. Roehe ³
9	
10	¹ Beef and Sheep Research Centre, Future Farming Systems Group, SRUC, Kings
11	Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK
12	² Beef and Sheep Select, SAC Consulting Ltd., SRUC, Kings Buildings, West Mains
13	Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK
14	³ Animal Breeding and Development, Animal and Veterinary Sciences Group, SRUC,
15	Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK
16	
17	
18	Corresponding author: Carol-Anne Duthie. E-mail: Carol-Anne.Duthie@sruc.ac.uk
19	
20	
21	Short title: Blood methaemoglobin and performance of cattle
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 Abstract

Adding nitrate to the diet or increasing the concentration of dietary lipid are effective 27 strategies for reducing enteric methane emissions. This study investigated their 28 29 effect on health and performance of finishing beef cattle. The experiment was a two x two x three factorial design comprising two breeds (CHX, crossbred Charolais; LU, 30 Luing); two basal diets consisting of (g/kg dry matter (DM), forage to concentrate 31 ratios) 520:480 (Mixed) or 84:916 (Concentrate); and three treatments: (i) control 32 with rapeseed meal as the main protein source replaced with either (ii) calcium 33 34 nitrate (18 g nitrate/kg diet DM) or (iii) rapeseed cake (increasing acid hydrolysed ether extract from 25 to 48 g/kg diet DM). Steers (n = 84) were allocated to each of 35 the six basal diet x treatments in equal numbers of each breed with feed offered ad 36 37 *libitum*. Blood methaemoglobin (MetHb) concentrations (marker for nitrate poisoning) were monitored throughout the study in steers receiving nitrate. After dietary 38 adaptation over 28 days, individual animal intake, performance and feed efficiency 39 40 were recorded for a test period of 56 d. Blood MetHb concentrations were low and similar up to 14 g nitrate/kg diet DM but increased when nitrate increased to 18 g 41 nitrate/kg diet DM (P < 0.001). An interaction between basal diet and day (P < 0.001) 42 indicated that MetHb% was consistently greater in Concentrate- than Mixed-fed 43 steers at 18 g nitrate/kg diet DM. Maximum individual MetHb% was 15.4% (of total 44 Hb), which is lower than considered clinically significant (30%). 45 MetHb concentrations for individual steers remained consistent across time. Concentrate-46 fed steers were more efficient (lower residual feed intake (RFI) values) than Mixed-47 fed steers (P < 0.01), with lower dry matter intake (DMI) (kg/d) (P < 0.001) and 48 similar average daily gain (ADG). CHx steers were more efficient (lower RFI; P < 49 0.01) than LU steers with greater ADG (P < 0.01), lower DMI (/kg BW; P < 0.01) and 50

lower fat depth (P < 0.001). ADG, BW or DMI did not differ across dietary treatments (P > 0.05). Neither basal diet nor treatment affected carcass quality (P > 0.05), but CHX steers achieved a greater killing out proportion (P < 0.001) than LU steers. Thus, adding nitrate to the diet or increasing the level of dietary lipid through the use of cold-pressed RSC, did not adversely affect health or performance of finishing beef steers when used within the diets studied.

57

58 Keywords: beef cattle, lipid, methaemoglobin, nitrate, performance

59

60 Implications

Adding nitrate to the diet or increasing the level of dietary lipid has been shown to 61 62 reduce methane from cattle. These strategies should not adversely affect animal health or performance. The use of nitrate in ruminant diets has been limited due to 63 the potential adverse effects on health and productivity. Following four weeks 64 adaptation, neither the addition of nitrate to the diet (18 g nitrate/kg diet dry matter) 65 nor increased dietary lipid (48 g acid hydrolysed ether extract/kg diet dry matter) 66 adversely affected steer health or performance. These strategies provide the 67 potential for reducing the environmental impact of beef enterprises. 68

69

70 Introduction

Livestock systems, in particular ruminant production, are under increasing political pressure to reduce their greenhouse gas outputs. Breeding, enterprise and systems management and diet formulation are all possible strategies to reduce methane (CH₄) from cattle (Cottle *et al.*, 2011), with diet formulation representing one of the most practical and promising approaches. In addition to determining the

effectiveness of dietary strategies on CH₄, it is important to report their implications
on health, overall performance and efficiency.

Recent interest in the controlled feeding of nitrate has been stimulated 78 because the reduction of nitrate to ammonium in the rumen of adapted animals 79 provides an alternative hydrogen sink to the production of CH₄ (van Zijderveld et al., 80 2010). The reduction of nitrate to nitrite and then to ammonium provides an 81 energetically more favourable route for disposal of metabolic hydrogen produced 82 during fermentation of feed carbohydrates in the rumen than the production of CH₄. 83 84 Although nitrate has been shown in many studies to reduce CH₄ emissions from ruminants (Nolan et al., 2010; van Zijderveld et al., 2010; van Zijderveld et al., 2011, 85 Hulshof et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012), the potential for its use has been hindered due 86 87 to the toxicity of the intermediate product (nitrite). In the rumen, microbes rapidly reduce nitrate to nitrite and then reduce nitrite to ammonia. However, in an animal 88 that has not been previously exposed to nitrate, the rate of reduction of nitrite to 89 90 ammonia is slower than the reduction of nitrate to nitrite resulting in the accumulation of nitrite in the rumen (van Zijderveld et al., 2010; Jeyanathan et al., 2014). Absorbed 91 nitrite binds to haemoglobin (Hb) in the blood converting it to methaemoglobin 92 (MetHb) which is not capable of transporting oxygen to tissues. High concentrations 93 of MetHb can cause methaemoglobinaemia, in which the functional oxygen carrying 94 95 capacity of the blood is reduced. Blood MetHb is used as a marker for nitrate poisoning with a value of 30% of total Hb associated with clinical symptoms 96 (Bruning-Fann and Kaneene, 1993). Nitrate toxicity may reduce animal performance 97 (feed intake, growth, loss of BW), but in more severe cases may be fatal (Cockburn 98 et al., 2013). Therefore, the use of nitrate in ruminant diets requires careful 99 consideration. 100

101 Increasing the concentration of dietary lipid has been shown to reduce CH₄ emissions from ruminants (Martin et al., 2010; Grainger and Beauchemin, 2011; 102 Patra, 2013). This is achieved through various mechanisms: fatty acids are not 103 104 fermented in the rumen and therefore increasing dietary lipid concentration reduces the proportion of feed which is fermentable within the rumen; lipids can also reduce 105 CH₄ production by coating fibre particles, reducing their digestibility, and by reducing 106 the numbers and activity of the rumen methanogens and protozoa responsible for 107 methanogenesis (Johnson and Johnson, 1995; Patra, 2013). Dietary lipid can be 108 109 increased through the addition of pure fats or oils to the diet or through the use of byproducts from distilleries, breweries or plant oil extraction as ingredients in the diet 110 (Brask et al., 2013). At concentrations greater than 6% (DM basis), lipid can 111 112 negatively affect feed intake and productivity, but lipid concentrations lower than 6% can be used with no adverse effects (Brask et al., 2013). 113

When considering mitigation strategies for beef cattle, studies have been 114 mainly focussed on breeds that are managed more intensively, with less focus on 115 breeds suited to extensive systems. The performance characteristics of hill and 116 upland breeds, when managed more intensively, may be considerably different to 117 that of intensively managed breeds, although the availability of performance data is 118 limited. For example, baseline performance data of Luing cattle, a hill and upland 119 120 breed, is unavailable in the literature, even though their popularity as suckler cows is increasing in the UK and consequently the numbers of Luing calves reaching 121 finishing units for more intensive finishing is also rising. Calf registrations of Luing 122 and crossbred Luing calves in the UK has increased from 6165 in 2011 to 6525 in 123 2014 and is likely to increase further in 2015 (Agriculture and Horticulture 124 Development Board, UK, 2015, personal communication). The large differences in 125

performance are likely a result of considerable genetic and physiological differences.
It is important to consider the effect of mitigation strategies across different breeds,
alongside their implications for health and productivity. If this differs across different
breeds, the industry and beef producers need to understand this if a real change in
CH₄ output is to be delivered in commercial practice.

The primary objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of adding nitrate, or increasing the concentration of dietary lipid, within two contrasting diets which are typical of industry practice, on the performance and carcass quality of finishing beef steers of two breeds. Due to the risks associated with feeding nitrate, a further objective was to investigate the effect of dietary nitrate on blood MetHb concentrations.

137

138 Materials and methods

This study was conducted at the Beef and Sheep Research Centre, SRUC, UK. The experiment was approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of SRUC and was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

143

144 Experimental design, animals and diets

The experiment was of a two × two × three factorial design, comprising two breeds (CHX, crossbred Charolais; LU, purebred Luing), two basal diets (concentrate-straw or silage-based) and three treatments selected for their potential CH_4 mitigation effects (Control, Nitrate or Rapeseed Cake (RSC)). The breed types were selected to represent two commercially relevant breeds where CHX cattle represent a common continental sired beef breed in the UK well known for fast growth and

excellent carcass conformation, whilst the LU breed is typical of a more extensively 151 managed hardy hill and upland breed. The steers were fed one of two basal diets (as 152 total mixed rations) using a diet mixing wagon, consisting of (g/kg dry matter (DM)) 153 forage to concentrate ratios of either 520:480 (Mixed) or 84:916 (Concentrate). 154 Within each basal diet the steers were offered one of three treatments: (i) Control 155 containing rapeseed meal as the main protein source which was replaced with either 156 (ii) Nitrate in the form of calcium nitrate (Calcinit, Yara, Oslo, Norway; 18 g nitrate/kg 157 diet DM) or (iii) an added source of lipid in the form of pelleted RSC which is a by-158 product from cold-pressing rapeseed (acid hydrolysed ether extract (AHEE) 159 increased from 25 to 48 g AHEE/kg diet DM). The ingredient and chemical 160 composition of the experimental diets are given in Table 1. The chemical 161 162 composition of individual components is given in Table 2. The DM contents of individual components were determined on duplicate samples twice weekly and 163 bulked feed samples (two per component) were analysed. Feed samples were 164 analysed for DM, ash, CP, ADF, NDF, AHEE, starch and neutral cellulase and 165 gammanase digestibility (Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food, 1992) and gross 166 energy by adiabatic bomb calorimetry. For the Nitrate and RSC-containing diets, 167 calcium nitrate and RSC were incorporated firstly into a premix which contained the 168 concentrate portion of the diet alongside minerals and molasses. Each batch of 169 170 premix was mixed using a diet mixing wagon to produce a consistent premix. On a daily basis each premix was then mixed with the forage portion of the diet using the 171 same mixing wagon to generate a consistent total mixed ration. Diets were mixed for 172 173 a minimum duration of 20 minutes.

174 In total, 84 steers (42 of each breed) were used. Thus, 14 animals (seven of 175 each breed) were allocated to each of the six basal diet × treatment combinations.

Due to the high risk of ill-health of unadapted animals gaining access to dietary 176 nitrate, and the risks of forage-fed animals gaining access to large quantities of 177 concentrate (e.g. acidosis), each diet x treatment combination was allocated to one 178 pen (six pens in total). Treatments were balanced for sire within each breed, farm of 179 origin and BW and were balanced across basal diets and treatment groups at the 180 start of the experiment. Fresh water was provided ad libitum using a water trough, 181 and diets were offered ad libitum to all steers using 32 electronic feeders (HOKO, 182 Insentec, Marknesse, The Netherlands). Electronic feeders allow expression of 183 184 performance in an environment close to on-farm conditions. All steers were bedded on wood fibre and sawdust to ensure that consumption of bedding did not contribute 185 to nutrient intake. 186

187 Steers were adapted to the experimental diets in two stages. In stage one (day -56 to day -29), the animals were adapted to the basal diets. All steers were 188 being fed the Mixed diet at the start of the adaptation period. Steers which were 189 allocated the Concentrate diet, were adapted to the full concentrate inclusion over 4 190 weeks. This was undertaken at weekly intervals where diets comprising (g/kg DM) 191 forage to concentrate ratios of 38:62, 25:75, 13:87 and 8:92 were offered during 192 weeks 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. During this period, steers were trained to use the 193 electronic feed intake recording equipment. In stage two (day -28 to day 0), steers 194 195 were adapted to the treatments over a second 4 week period. Treatments (Nitrate and RSC) were progressively incorporated into the diets at 25%, 50%, 75% and 196 100% of the required level, on days -28, -21, -14 and -7, respectively. 197

198

199 Blood methaemoglobin measurements

200 All steers receiving dietary nitrate had blood samples taken weekly throughout the second treatment adaptation phase to monitor blood MetHb concentrations. Blood 201 samples were taken when MetHb was expected to be greatest, i.e., 3 h after fresh 202 feed was offered (van Zijderveld et al., 2010), on the day after dietary nitrate was 203 increased (days -27 (25%), -20 (50%), -13 (75%) and -6 (100%)) and then 15 days 204 after maximum nitrate inclusion was achieved (day 8). To assess the long-term 205 effects of feeding nitrate, blood samples were obtained at day 87 and day 101 (128 206 days after initial inclusion of nitrate). Blood samples were taken from the caudal vein 207 208 into an evacuated tube (Vacuette, Griener Bio One Ltd., Gloucestershire, UK) containing heparin. MetHb concentration in blood was measured within 2 h of 209 sampling by co-oximetry (Stat Profile Critical Care Xpress, Nova Biomedical U.K., 210 211 Cheshire, UK). For each steer, dry matter intake (DMI) (kg/d) and weekly BW were assessed throughout adaptation. 212

213

214 56-d performance test

After full adaptation to the experimental diets, performance and feed efficiency were 215 characterised for all steers over a 56 d test period (day 0 to 56). Steers were 216 maintained under controlled conditions, where group sizes within the pen remained 217 constant. Individual DMI (kg/d) was recorded for each animal using the electronic 218 219 feeding equipment and BW was measured weekly using a calibrated weigh scale. Measurements of BW were obtained before fresh feed was offered. For all steers, 220 ultrasonic fat depth was obtained at the 12th/13th rib at the start (FD0) and end (FD1) 221 of the 56 d test using an industry-standard Aloka 500 machine (BCF technology ltd., 222 Scotland, UK). Images were analysed using Matrox Inspector 8 software (Matrox 223 Video and Imaging Technology Europe Ltd., Middlesex, UK). Hyslop et al. (2012) 224

assessed the consequences of alternative test lengths on the precision of average
daily gain (ADG) and demonstrated that a 56-day measurement period, with weekly
weighing is sufficient for characterising ADG of finishing beef cattle.

228

229 Pre-slaughter measurements and carcass quality

Steers remained within the same pens and on the same diets from the end of the 56 230 d test to slaughter. During this period CH₄ measurements were obtained from 76 of 231 these steers and reported in Troy et al. (2015). On the day before slaughter, 232 ultrasonic fat depth (FD2) at the 12th/13th rib was measured in all steers as described 233 above. Steers were slaughtered in four batches of 17, 18, 21 and 25 steers on days 234 85, 106, 127 and 148, respectively. Steers were selected for slaughter based on BW 235 236 and visual assessment of fatness. The steers were transported (approximately 1 h) to a commercial abattoir and slaughtered within 2 h of arrival. Cattle were stunned 237 using a captive bolt, exsanguinated and subject to low voltage electrical stimulation. 238 Following hide removal, carcasses were split in half down the mid-line and dressed 239 to UK specification (see Meat and Livestock Commercial Services Limited beef 240 authentication manual, www. mlcsl.co.uk, for full description). EUROP conformation 241 and fat classifications (Fisher, 2007), based on the UK scale, were allocated to all 242 carcasses through visual assessment using a trained assessor. 243

Video Image Analysis (VIA) was used to estimate EUROP classifications (conformation and fat), total lean (kg) and total fat (kg) content of the whole carcass. The VIA systems in use in the EU are automatic machines that perform carcass evaluation based on images of the half carcass. The VBS 2000 system used in this study (E+V technology GmbH, Oranienburg, Germany) has been approved by the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) for use in the UK since

2010. The system operated at the end of the slaughter line after all necessary 250 dressing and trimming had been completed. A pneumatically operated cradle 251 presented the left half side of each carcass for imaging. The VIA camera took two 252 253 images of the half carcass, a 2-dimensional image and a pseudo 3-dimensional image using structured light (Craigie et al., 2012). The VBS 2000 required 254 information on the category of the carcass (i.e., steer) and hot carcass weight (kg) 255 and, by combining this information with data automatically captured by the VIA 256 system (i.e., carcass dimensions, angles, areas, colour), predicted EUROP 257 258 classification and total lean and fat content of the whole carcass.

259

260 Calculations and statistical analysis

MetHb data were analysed using the mixed procedure of SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) using a repeated measures ANOVA including the effects of basal diet, sampling day and their interactions. Data are reported as means and standard errors of the mean (s.e.m.).

Data from three steers were unavailable as the animals were removed from 265 the trial during the 56-d test period for health reasons unconnected to the diets and 266 treatments imposed. Growth was modelled by linear regression of BW against test 267 date, to obtain ADG, mid-test BW (mid-BW) and mid-test metabolic BW (mid-MBW = 268 BW^{0.75}). Mean DMI over the 56 d period was expressed as kg per day or as a 269 proportion of mid-BW and mid-MBW. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as 270 average DMI per day (kg/d)/ADG. Residual feed intake (RFI) was calculated as 271 deviation of actual DMI (kg/d) from DMI predicted based on linear regression of 272 actual DMI on ADG, mid-MBW and FD1 (Basarab et al., 2003). Cold carcass weight 273 (CCW) was calculated as a percentage of slaughter BW (SBW) to determine killing 274

out percentage (KO). To allow for statistical comparison, the EUROP carcass 275 classification values were expressed on the equivalent 15 point scale (Kempster et 276 al., 1986). Statistical analyses of performance and carcass data were conducted 277 using the mixed procedure of SAS software with the fixed effects of breed, basal diet 278 and treatment, and the random effect of pen (and slaughter batch for carcass traits). 279 In addition, in the analysis of FD1 and FD2 the deviation from the breed mean of 280 FD0 was included as a covariable. The interaction effects of breed x basal diet, 281 basal diet x treatment, breed x treatment and breed x basal diet x treatment were 282 included in the model when these effects proved significant (P < 0.05). Data are 283 reported as means with their s.e.m. Differences between means were tested using a 284 least square means comparison test (PDIFF option of SAS). Probability values were 285 286 deemed significant where P < 0.05 and indicated a tendency when probability values were between P = 0.05 and P = 0.1. 287

288

289 **Results**

290 Blood met-haemoglobin response to dietary nitrate

During the adaptation period (Table 3), blood MetHb concentrations were similar when feed contained up to 75% of total nitrate (up to -13 d) but increased when nitrate was included at the 100% level (18 g nitrate/kg diet DM) on both basal diets. During adaptation there was no difference (P > 0.05) in MetHb between the basal diets but blood MetHb concentrations of steers offered the Concentrate diet were consistently greater (day × basal diet interaction, P < 0.001) than those offered the Mixed diet from day 8 onwards.

There was a consistent individual animal response across sampling days in MetHb concentrations when animals were offered the maximum dietary nitrate

300 (100%, day -6 to 101). Of 28 steers, six always had MetHb concentrations less than the median MetHb for each sampling day whilst nine steers consistently had MetHb 301 concentrations greater than the upper quartile. Figure 1 shows individual values for 302 five steers with the smallest mean MetHb concentration and the five steers with the 303 greatest mean concentrations and demonstrates consistency of steer response 304 across time (from day -6 onwards, when 100% nitrate was offered). Maximum values 305 for blood MetHb concentration (Table 3) were always less than 30% of total Hb. The 306 greatest individual MetHb concentration value was 15.4%. There was no significant 307 effect of breed on blood MetHb concentrations (P > 0.05). 308

309

321

310 56-d performance test

311 Neither age at the start (AgeST) nor Mid-BW differed between basal diets (P > 0.05; Table 4). Although not significant, the greater ADG in Mixed-fed steers (1.54 v. 1.41 312 kg/d; P > 0.05) was associated with greater daily DMI than Concentrate-fed steers 313 (12.0 v. 11.0 kg/day; P < 0.001). Basal diet did not affect DMI per kg BW (P > 0.05). 314 Concentrate-fed steers were more efficient (lower RFI) than Mixed-fed steers (-0.24 315 v. 0.22 kg; P < 0.01) due to lower daily DMI. Basal diet did not affect FD1 (P > 0.05). 316 Mid-BW, ADG, DMI and FD1 (kg/day or g/kg BW) did not differ across 317 treatments (P > 0.05). An interaction between basal diet and treatment was identified 318 for FCR and RFI (P < 0.05). For concentrate-fed steers, FCR did not differ between 319 RSC and Control treatments (P > 0.05). There was, however, a tendency for steers 320

Control (7.40 *v.* 8.17 kg,kg; P = 0.07). Similarly nitrate-fed steers achieved lower RFI values than steers offered the Control treatment but this was not significant (P >

offered Nitrate to have improved (lower) FCR values compared to steers offered the

324 0.05). When offered the Mixed basal diet, neither Nitrate nor RSC treatments differed 325 to the Control for FCR or RFI (P > 0.05).

To balance for BW, CHX steers were younger than LU steers at the start of 326 test (442 v. 476 d; P < 0.001). Mid-BW did not differ between breeds (P > 0.05). CHX 327 steers achieved greater ADG than LU steers (1.56 v. 1.39 kg/day; P < 0.01) with 328 similar levels of daily DMI (11.4 v. 11.7 kg/day; P > 0.05) and lower DMI per kg BW 329 (18.98 v. 19.98 g/kg BW; P < 0.01) to LU steers. Furthermore, FD1 was lower in CHx 330 steers than LU steers (6.41 v. 8.28 mm; P < 0.001). Thus, CHx steers were more 331 efficient than LU steers with lower FCR (7.39 v. 8.57 kg, kg; P < 0.001) and RFI (-0.2 332 v. 0.22 kg; P < 0.01) values. 333

334

335 Pre-slaughter measurements and carcass traits

Carcass traits were not affected by basal diet (Table 5), except for fat score (determined by VIA) where Concentrate-fed steers had lower fat scores than Mixedfed steers (8.02 *v*. 9.08; *P* < 0.001). There was no difference between treatments for any carcass quality trait other than for FD2, where steers offered the RSC treatment had greater FD2 compared to the Control treatment (10.07 *v*. 8.48 mm; *P* < 0.05).

Compared to LU steers, CHX steers had lower FD2 (6.99 v. 10.79 mm; P < 341 0.001), greater SBW (723 v. 701 kg; P = 0.051), greater CCW (415 v. 369 kg; P < 342 0.001) and greater KO (57.5 v. 52.8%; P < 0.001). LU steers offered the Concentrate 343 diet had lower CCW than those offered the Mixed diet (357 v. 379 kg; P < 0.05). For 344 visually assigned EUROP classifications, CHX steers achieved greater conformation 345 grades (9.90 v. 8.05; P < 0.001) and lower fat grades (9.50 v. 11.03; P < 0.001) 346 compared to the LU steers which are in agreement with the VIA data. LU steers had 347 greater total fat content (51.4 v. 40.4 kg; P < 0.01) and lower total meat content 348

349 (258.8 *v*. 305.7 kg; P < 0.001) determined by VIA than CHX steers. There were 350 neither any treatment nor breed × treatment interaction effects for any performance 351 or carcass-related trait (P > 0.05).

352

353 Discussion

354 Methaemoglobin response to dietary nitrate

Blood MetHb concentrations were monitored in Nitrate-fed steers for 128 d after 355 introduction of nitrate to the diet: mean concentrations ranged from 2-7% of total Hb 356 357 over this period with values in Concentrate-fed steers being consistently greater than in Mixed-fed steers. No individual MetHb measurement was greater than 15% of 358 total Hb which was substantially less than 30% total Hb, the value associated with 359 360 clinical symptoms of methaemoglobinemia (Bruning-Fann and Kaneene, 1993). This agrees with most studies in which animals were adapted slowly to dietary nitrate by 361 increasing nitrate intakes over a period of weeks (cattle, Hulshof et al., 2012, van 362 Zijderveld et al., 2011; sheep, Li et al., 2012, van Zijderveld et al., 2010). Slow 363 adaptation to dietary nitrate allows the rate of reduction of nitrite to ammonia by the 364 rumen microflora to increase and prevents accumulation of nitrite in the rumen and 365 absorption from the rumen and thereby avoids conversion of haemoglobin to MetHb 366 (Lee and Beauchemin, 2014). Only where nitrate was administered directly into the 367 368 rumen (Takahashi et al., 1998; Sar et al., 2004) were greater MetHb concentrations than those found in the present study observed (34.3% and 18.37% in each of the 369 studies, respectively), presumably because of transiently high concentrations of 370 nitrite in the rumen generated by the method of administration. Recently, Newbold et 371 al. (2014) removed steers from an experiment because MetHb concentrations in 372 excess of 20% were observed during adaptation to nitrate. Although most steers 373

removed (8 of 9) were fed higher dietary nitrate concentrations (24 and 30 g nitrate/kg diet DM) than used in the present study, one steer removed was fed 18 g nitrate/kg diet DM. There was no evidence in the current experiment for adverse effects of dietary nitrate over the 128 d monitoring period.

Measurement of blood MetHb over a 128 d period in the present study has 378 demonstrated (i) that after adaptation to nitrate, MetHb concentrations remained 379 elevated and (ii) that individual steers were consistent in their response to nitrate 380 across time, i.e., some steers always had elevated MetHb concentrations. Thus, 381 382 although there was no association between MetHb and animal performance in the present study, in assessing the risk of methaemoglobinemia, this consistent 383 difference in response to nitrate between individual animals, together with the 384 385 observations of Newbold et al. (2014) should be noted. There was however no evidence for any association between meal size and MetHb concentrations in the 386 present experiment and therefore the differences between individual animals in 387 MetHb response to nitrate are more likely to be explained by differences between 388 animals in rumen microflora, rates of absorption of nitrite from the rumen and the 389 metabolism of absorbed nitrite. 390

391

392 Basal diet and treatment effects

Feeding diets containing a high proportion of cereals has been shown to reduce enteric CH₄ production compared to forage-based diets (Johnson and Johnson, 1995; Moss *et al.*, 1995; Mc Geough *et al.*, 2010; Rooke *et al.*, 2014). This strategy is attractive, in that accompanying improvements in animal performance and efficiency has been demonstrated (Lovett *et al.*, 2003; Mc Geough *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, steers offered a higher proportion of concentrate in the diet

expressed better feed efficiency (RFI) than those offered a mixed forage:concentrate
diet. These steers were also shown to have lower levels of CH₄ production
compared to steers offered higher quantities of forage (Troy *et al.*, 2015).

402 Consistent results in the literature demonstrate, over the short-term, that dietary nitrate can be successfully administered at levels capable of reducing CH₄ 403 with no adverse effects on performance in sheep (van Zijderveld et al., 2010; Li et 404 al., 2012; El-Zaiat et al., 2014), goats (Nguyen et al., 2010), dairy cows (van 405 Zijderveld et al., 2011) and beef cattle (Ngoc Huyen et al., 2010). However, a 406 407 comprehensive review by Bruning-Fann and Kaneene (1993) reported a reduction in feed intake when nitrate was included in the diet at 10 g nitrate/kg DM (cattle) and 30 408 409 g nitrate/kg DM (sheep). In agreement, Hulshof et al. (2012) reported a tendency for 410 calcium nitrate (fed at 22 g nitrate/kg DM) to reduce DMI by 6% in beef cattle fed a sugarcane-based diet. In contrast, Sangkhom et al. (2012) reported improved growth 411 rates and feed conversion efficiency in growing cattle when potassium nitrate (fed at 412 413 36.8 g nitrate/kg DM) was included in the diet. In agreement with most studies to date, feeding 18 g nitrate/kg diet DM had no adverse effects on DMI or ADG in the 414 present study. Furthermore, the Nitrate treatment tended to improve FCR of steers 415 offered the Concentrate basal diet but not the Mixed diet. 416

Van Zijderveld *et al.* (2011) reported the persistency of the effects of dietary nitrate in dairy cows fed a mixed forage and concentrate diet, in which measurements were obtained over four successive 24 d periods. No adverse effects on milk yield or energy balance were identified, but the reductions in energy losses as CH_4 were not associated with any improvements in productivity. In the present study steers received the experimental diets for a minimum of 120 d and maximum of 176 d. No differences in SBW, CCW, carcass grades or yields were observed

424 between treatments, and thus long-term feeding of nitrate did not adversely affect425 the level of production.

In the wider study from which this experiment is drawn, nitrate was shown to reduce CH_4 (i.e., reduced energy loss) from steers offered the Mixed diet (Troy *et al.*, 2015); however no response was observed in the present study on FCR or RFI. The benefits in reduced energy loss as CH_4 observed by Troy *et al.* (2015) may have been counter-balanced by sub-clinical effects of nitrate. In contrast, nitrate improved the FCR of steers offered the Concentrate diet, but with no aligned reduction in CH_4 (Troy *et al.*, 2015).

Although increased concentrations of dietary lipid has been shown to reduce 433 CH₄ from ruminants (Martin et al., 2010; Grainger and Beauchemin, 2011; Patra, 434 435 2013), at high concentrations in the diet lipid can negatively affect DMI and productivity (Brask et al., 2013). Based on a meta-analysis, Patra (2013) 436 demonstrated that dietary lipid concentrations in excess of 6% cause problems with 437 438 productivity. Such diets with high lipid levels which negatively affect productivity are unsuitable for livestock producers due to their adverse consequences on the 439 profitability of the enterprise. However, since the AHEE level in the RSC treatment 440 was only 48 g/kg DM this dietary lipid concentration did not suppress DMI and no 441 adverse effects were observed for any performance or carcass-related trait. The 442 443 RSC treatment was shown to positively reduce CH₄ production by 7.5% from steers offered the Mixed basal diet but no reduction in CH4 was observed on the 444 Concentrate diet (Troy et al., 2015). 445

446

447 Breed effects

Data on the performance and efficiency of native hill breeds in direct comparison to 448 the more common breeds of finishing cattle are sparse in the literature. The 449 performance of the LU breed on the two finishing basal diets and dietary treatments 450 451 considered here have not been reported to date, and thus provides novel insight into the performance of this breed when managed within indoor finishing units. When 452 given diets typical of indoor finishing systems in the UK, considerable differences in 453 performance characteristics between LU and CHx steers were determined. CHx 454 steers expressed greater rates of ADG, consumed lower DMI (/day and /kg BW), 455 456 thus had better feed efficiency compared to the LU steers. This inefficiency will have considerable impact on profitability. Although both breeds reached similar SBW, CHx 457 cattle yielded greater CCW and better EUROP classifications, both of which are 458 459 incorporated into current payment schemes in the UK. These differences are not unexpected given the selection history of these breeds. Here LU cattle are being 460 compared with a breed intensively selected for fast growth, however in comparison 461 to the average 2014 Scottish figures for ADG of cereal-based finishing enterprises 462 (1.34 kg/d) (QMS, 2014), the Concentrate-fed LU cattle performed well (1.32 kg/d). 463 Given the animal performance results reported here, it is anticipated that the dietary 464 mitigation strategies considered will not adversely affect health or performance of 465 either breed type. Consequently, the same practical advice with regard to dietary 466 mitigation strategies can be given to commercial beef finishers looking to reduce 467 CH₄ regardless of the breed types being finished. 468

469

470 Conclusions

This study demonstrated that (i) the addition of nitrate to the diet or (ii) increasing the level of dietary lipid through the use of cold-pressed RSC, does not adversely affect

either the performance or feed efficiency of finishing beef steers when used within 473 either a Mixed forage/concentrate diet or a high Concentrate diet. The use of nitrate 474 in the diet of ruminants has been limited to date due to the potential toxicity of the 475 476 intermediate product (nitrite) which, at high levels, can severely impact animal health and productivity. The present study demonstrated that, following an appropriate 477 adaptation period (four weeks), feeding of nitrate at the level considered here (18 g 478 nitrate/kg diet DM) together with the basal diet types studied did not provide 479 measureable adverse effects, in terms of blood MetHb response (where the 480 maximum level reached was 15% of total Hb), animal performance and carcass 481 characteristics. This study demonstrated that the use of RSC to increase the level of 482 dietary lipid from 25 to 48 g AHEE/kg diet DM did not suppress DMI or ADG. 483 484 Furthermore, based on the same steers Troy et al. (2015) demonstrated the effectiveness of these dietary treatments within a Mixed diet for reducing CH₄ (CH₄ 485 yield was reduced by 17% and 7.5% through the use of nitrate and RSC treatments, 486 487 respectively). Therefore, it is concluded that these are appropriate strategies on Mixed diets. Although the use of these mitigation strategies within a high concentrate 488 diet was shown in the present study to provide no adverse effects on performance, 489 they were not effective at reducing CH₄ yield (Troy *et al.*, 2015) and therefore cannot 490 be recommended for use within high concentrate diets. 491

492

493 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to all support staff at SRUC's Beef and Sheep Research Centre for their technical assistance. SRUC receives funding from Scottish Government's Rural and Environmental Science and Analytical Services Division. The research was funded by EBLEX (A Division of the Agriculture and Horticulture

Development Board), the Scottish Government and the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) and the devolved administrations through the UK Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Inventory Research Platform (http://www.ghgplatform.org.uk).

502

503 **References**

- Basarab JA, Price MA, Aalhus JL, Okine EK, Snelling WM and Lyle KL 2003. Residual feed
 intake and body composition in young growing cattle. Canadian Journal of Animal
 Science 83, 189–204.
- Brask M, Lund P, Weisbjerg MR, Hellwing ALF, Poulsen M, Larsen MK and Hvelplund T
 2013. Methane production and digestion of different physical forms of rapeseed as fat
 supplements in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 96, 2356–2365.
- 510 Bruning-Fann CS and Kaneene JB 1993. The effects of nitrate, nitrite, and N-nitroso 511 compounds on animal health. Veterinary and Human Toxicology 35, 237–253.
- 512 Cockburn A, Brambilla G, Fernández M-L, Arcella D, Bordajandi LR, Cottrill B, van
 513 Peteghem C and Dorne J-L 2013. Nitrite in feed: from animal health to human health.
 514 Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 270, 209–217.
- 515 Cottle DJ, Nolan JV and Wiedemann SG 2011. Ruminant enteric methane mitigation: a 516 review. Animal Production Science 51, 491–514.
- 517 Craigie CR, Navajas EA, Purchas RW, Maltin CA, Bunger L, Hoskin SO, Ross DW, Morris
 518 ST and Roehe R 2012. A review of the development and use of video image analysis
 519 (VIA) for beef carcass evaluation as an alternative to the current EUROP system and
 520 other subjective systems. Meat Science 92, 307-318.
- EI-Zaiat HM, Araujo RC, Soltan YA, Morsy AS, Louvandini H, Pires AV, Patino HO, Correa
 PS and Abdalla AL 2014. Encapsulated nitrate and cashew nut shell liquid on blood
 and rumen constituents, methane emission, and growth performance of lambs. Journal
 of Animal Science 92, 2214–2224.

525	Fisher, AL 2007. Beef carcass classification in the EU: an historical perspective. In:
526	Lazzaroni, C., S. Gigli, and D. Gabiña. (Eds.), Evaluation of Carcass and Meat Quality
527	in Beef and Sheep, Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, pp.19-30.
528	(EAAP publication No. 123).

- Grainger C and Beauchemin KA 2011. Can enteric methane emissions from ruminants be
 lowered without lowering their production? Animal Feed Science and Technology 166167, 308–320.
- Hulshof RBA, Berndt A, Gerrits WJJ, Dijkstra J, van Zijderveld SM, Newbold JR and Perdok
 HB 2012. Dietary nitrate supplementation reduces methane emission in beef cattle fed
 sugarcane-based diets. Journal of Animal Science 90, 2317–2323.
- Hyslop JJ, Duthie C-A, Ross DW, Rooke JA and Roehe R 2012. An assessment of
 alternative test length periods when measuring liveweight change in finishing cattle
 during feed efficiency studies. Advances in Animal Biosciences 3, 46.
- Jeyanathan J, Martin C and Morgavi DP 2014. The use of direct-fed microbials for mitigation
 of ruminant methane emissions: a review. Animal 8, 250–261.
- Johnson KA and Johnson DE 1995. Methane emissions from cattle. Journal of Animal Science 73, 2483–2492.
- 542 Kempster AJ, Cook GL and Grantley-Smith M 1986. National estimates of the body 543 composition of British cattle, sheep and pigs with special reference to trends in 544 fatness: a review. Meat Science 17, 107–138.
- Lee C and Beauchemin KA 2014. A review of feeding supplementary nitrate to ruminant animals: nitrate toxicity, methane emissions, and production performance. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 94, 557-570.
- Li L, Davis J, Nolan J and Hegarty R 2012. An initial investigation on rumen fermentation pattern and methane emission of sheep offered diets containing urea or nitrate as the nitrogen source. Animal Production Science 52, 653–658.

Lovett D, Lovell S, Stack L, Callan J, Finlay M, Conolly J and O'Mara FP 2003. Effect of forage/concentrate ratio and dietary coconut oil level on methane output and performance of finishing beef heifers. Livestock Production Science 84, 135–146.

554 Martin C, Morgavi DP and Doreau M 2010. Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to 555 the farm scale. Animal 4, 351–365.

556 Mc Geough EJ, O'Kiely P, Hart KJ, Moloney AP, Boland TM and Kenny DA 2010. Methane 557 emissions, feed intake, performance, digestibility, and rumen fermentation of finishing 558 beef cattle offered whole-crop wheat silages differing in grain content. Journal of 559 Animal Science 88, 2703–2716.

560 Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food 1992. Analysis of Agricultural Materials., 2nd 561 Edition . Her Majesty's Stationary Office., London.

Moss AR, Givens DI and Garnsworthy PC 1995. The effect of supplementing grass silage
 with barley on digestibility, in sacco degradability, rumen fermentation and methane
 production in sheep at two levels of intake. Animal Feed Science and Technology 55,
 9–33.

Newbold JR, van Zijderveld SM, Hulshof RBA, Fokkink WB, Leng RA, Terencio R, Powers
WJ, van Adrichem PSJ, Paton ND and Perdok HB 2014. The effect of incremental
levels of dietary nitrate on methane emissions in Holstein steers and performance in
Nelore bulls. Journal of Animal Science 92, 5032–5040.

Ngoc Huyen LTN, Do HQ, Preston TR and Leng RA 2010. Nitrate as fermentable nitrogen
supplement to reduce rumen methane production.T. R. Preston, R. S. Sansoucy, J. S.
Correa, and H. Oorio, editors. Livestock Research for Rural Development 22, 146.

Nguyen NA, Khuc TH, Duong NK and Preston TR 2010. Effect of calcium nitrate as NPN
source on growth performance and methane emissions of goats fed sugar cane
supplemented with cassava foliage. In: T. R. Preston and B. Ogle, editors. Mekarn
conference on livestock production, climate change and resource depletion. Pakes,
Laos. p. 8.

- Nolan JV, Hegarty RS, Hegarty J, Godwin IR and Woodgate R 2010. Effects of dietary
 nitrate on fermentation, methane production and digesta kinetics in sheep. Animal
 Production Science 50, 801–806.
- Patra AK 2013. The effect of dietary fats on methane emissions, and its other effects on
 digestibility, rumen fermentation and lactation performance in cattle: A meta-analysis.

583 Livestock Science 155, 244–254.

- Quality Meat Scotland (QMS) 2014. Cattle and Sheep Enterprise Profitability in Scotland.
 QMS, Edinburgh, UK.
- 586 Rooke JA, Wallace RJ, Duthie C-A, McKain N, de Souza SM, Hyslop JJ, Ross DW,

587 Waterhouse T and Roehe R 2014. Hydrogen and methane emissions from beef cattle

and their rumen microbial community vary with diet, time after feeding and genotype.

589 British Journal of Nutrition 112, 398–407.

590 Sangkhom I, Preston TR, Khang DN and Leng RA 2012. Effect of potassium nitrate and

591 urea as fermentable nitrogen sources on growth performance and methane emissions

592 in local "Yellow" cattle fed lime (Ca(OH)2) treated rice straw supplemented with fresh

cassava foliage. Livestock Research for Rural Development 24, 27.

594 Sar C, Santoso B, Mwenya B, Gamo Y, Kobayashi T, Morikawa R, Kimura K, Mizukoshi H

and Takahashi J. 2004. Manipulation of rumen methanogenesis by the combination of

- 596 nitrate with β1-4 galacto-oligosaccharides or nisin in sheep. Animal Feed Science and
 597 Technology 115, 129–142.
- Takahashi J, Ikeda M, Matsuoka S and Fujita H 1998. Prophylactic effect of L-cysteine to
 acute and subclinical nitrate toxicity in sheep. Animal Feed Science and Technology
 74, 273–280.
- Thomas C 2004. Feed into Milk: an Advisory Manual. Nottingham: Nottingham University
 Press.
- Troy S, Duthie C-A, Hyslop JJ, Roehe R, Ross DW, Wallace RJ, Waterhouse A and Rooke
- 504 JA 2015. Effectiveness of nitrate addition and increased oil content as methane

- mitigation strategies for beef cattle fed two contrasting basal diets. Journal of Animal
 Science 93, 1-9.
- Van Zijderveld SM, Gerrits WJJ, Apajalahti JA, Newbold JR, Dijkstra J, Leng RA and Perdok
 HB 2010. Nitrate and sulfate: Effective alternative hydrogen sinks for mitigation of

ruminal methane production in sheep. Journal of Dairy Science 93, 5856–5866.

- Van Zijderveld SM, Gerrits WJJ, Dijkstra J, Newbold JR, Hulshof RBA and Perdok HB 2011.
- 611 Persistency of methane mitigation by dietary nitrate supplementation in dairy cows.
- Journal of Dairy Science 94, 4028–4038.

- _ _ _

	Mixed		Concentrate					
Control	Nitrate	RSC	Control	Nitrate	RSC			
189	193	192						
331	334	334						
			84	84	83			
328	374	287	740	797	700			
123	45	16	145	63	19			
		142			167			
	24			24				
19	21	20	21	21	21			
9	10	9	10	10	10			
543	539	541	863	860	865			
143	148	145	133	138	136			
252	240	253	145	130	143			
376	361	367	237	220	223			
234	257	211	430	458	408			
23.9	23.4	44.1	27.0	26.6	51.0			
48	44	50	36	31	37			
11.6	11.4	12.1	12.0	11.9	12.7			
17.7	17.2	18.1	18.1	17.7	18.7			
	Control 189 331 328 123 19 9 543 143 252 376 234 239 48 11.6 17.7	Mixed Control Nitrate 189 193 331 334 328 374 123 45 19 21 9 10 543 539 143 148 252 240 376 361 234 257 23.9 23.4 48 44 11.6 11.4	MixedControlNitrateRSC189193192331334334328374287123451614224192120910954353954114314814525224025337636136723425721123.923.444.148445011.611.412.117.717.218.1	MixedCControlNitrateRSCControl189193192 331 334334331334334 84 3283742877401234516145142241421921202191091054353954186314314814513325224025314537636136723723425721143023.923.444.127.04844503611.611.412.112.017.717.218.118.1	MixedConcentrateControlNitrateRSCControlNitrate18919319233133433432837428774079712345161456314224242419212021219109101054353954186386014314814513313825224025314513037636136723722023425721143045823.923.444.127.026.6484450363111.611.412.112.011.917.717.218.118.117.7			

631 **Table 1** Ingredient composition and calculated chemical composition of experimental diets

⁶³² ¹Ingredient composition is the mean of the daily diets received by the animals across the

633 experimental period.

²Contained (g/kg DM): nitrate, 769; Ca, 229.

³Contained (mg/kg): Fe, 6036; Mn, 2200; Zn, 2600; Iodine, 200; Co, 90; Cu, 2500; Se 30;

636 (µg/kg): vitamin E, 2000; vitamin B12, 1000; vitamin A, 151515; vitamin D, 2500

⁶³⁷ ⁴Chemical composition is the mean of 2 analyses per treatment, apart from DM which is the

638 mean of 32 analyses.

639 RSC, Rapeseed Cake; AHEE, acid hydrolysed ether extract; ME, metabolisable energy; GE,

640 gross energy

641

	Grass Silage	WCBS	Straw	Barley	RSM	RSC	Molasses
DM (g/kg)	273	557	807	867	896	901	971
СР	150	103	16	104	367	318	67
NDF	486	575	826	163	326	209	0
ADF	345	390	551	86	243	197	0
Starch	5.7	122	0	571	52	41	0
AHEE	36	12.6	14	30	27	170	0
Ash	80	41	63	22	79	75	147
NCGD (% DM)			44	88	73	78	0
ME (MJ /kg DM)	11.4	10.75	6.5	13.05	10.9	15.15	12.7
GE (MJ /kg DM)	19.1	16.0	15.0	18.7	19.3	22.4	14.25
рН	4.1	5.3					

643 **Table 2** Chemical composition of feeding stuffs (g/kg DM)

WCBS, whole crop barley silage; RSM, rapeseed meal; RSC, rapeseed cake; DM, dry
 matter; AHEE, acid hydrolysed ether extract; NCGD, neutral cellulase and gammanase
 digestibility; ME, metabolisable energy; GE, gross energy

647 ME values (Thomas, 2004), were either estimated from near infra red spectroscopy (silage

and WCBS), from NCDG and AHEE (barley, RSM and RSC) or from tabulated values for

649 feed composition (straw and molasses).

Table 3 Changes in mean and maximum individual blood MetHb concentration (% total Hb) in relation to nitrate intake and long-term nitrate

652	feeding
-----	---------

	Day ¹	-27	-20	-13	-6	8	87	101		Significance					
	Nitrate (%) ²	25	50	75	100	100	100	100	SEM	Day	Diet	Day*Diet			
	Mixed	0.26 ^a	0.78 ^{ab}	0.80 ^{ab}	3.50 ^c	2.16 ^{bc}	1.29 ^{ab}	3.60 ^c	0.61	***	*	***			
	Concentrate	0.32 ^a	0.62 ^a	0.98 ^a	2.80 ^b	4.53 ^{bc}	6.46 ^d	4.61 [°]							
	Maximum	0.60	2.00	3.20	9.50	11.60	15.40	10.30							
653	Number of steers = 28														
654	¹ Day relative to start of 56 day performance period.														
655	² Nitrate as percentage of maximum level of intake (100% = 18 g/kg DM).														
656	Within a row, means without a common superscript differ ($P < 0.05$).														
657	*P < 0.05; ***P <0.001.														
658															
659															
660															
661															
662															
663															
664															
665															
666															
667															
668															
669															

Basal Diet Mixed					Conce												
Treatment	Contro	Control		RSC		Nitrate		Control		RSC		Nitrate		Significance ¹			
Breed	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	SEM	В	D	Т	
AgeST (days)	445	478	434	474	437	474	449	481	444	482	441	465	7.9	***	ns	ns	
Mid-BW (kg)	611	601	591	594	605	596	594	567	588	573	602	571	22.2	ns	ns	ns	
Mid-MBW (kg)	123	121	120	120	122	121	120	116	119	117	121	117	3.4	ns	ns	ns	
ADG (kg/day)	1.56	1.48	1.71	1.42	1.61	1.46	1.47	1.32	1.46	1.19	1.53	1.44	0.092	**	ns	ns	
DMI (kg/day)	11.4	12.8	11.7	11.8	12.1	12.2	11.1	11.2	11.1	10.9	10.7	11.0	0.50	ns	***	ns	
DMI/BW(g/kg)	18.7	21.2	19.8	19.9	19.9	20.5	18.8	19.8	18.8	19.0	17.8	19.1	0.49	**	ns	ns	
DMI/MBW(g/kg)	93.0	105.0	97.7	98.0	98.7	101.3	92.5	96.7	92.7	92.8	88.0	93.5	2.52	**	ns	ns	
FCR (kg, kg) ²	7.45	8.69	6.86	8.39	7.61	8.49	7.59	8.85	7.70	9.33	7.16	7.67	0.421	****	ns	ns	
RFI (kg) ³	-0.27	0.76	-0.15	-0.06	0.44	0.62	-0.27	0.12	-0.22	-0.10	-0.71	-0.18	0.228	**	**	ns	
FD1 (mm) ⁴	6.31	8.83	6.87	9.12	5.89	7.53	6.85	8.34	6.65	8.49	5.87	7.25	0.650	***	ns	ns	

Table 4 Effect of breed (B), basal diet (D) and treatment (T) on growth, feed intake and feed efficiency of Charolais-sired (CHX) and purebred

671 Luing (LU) steers fed either a Mixed- or Concentrate-based diet containing one of three treatments: Control, Nitrate or Rapeseed cake (RSC)

Number of animals = 81; AgeST, Age at start of test; Mid-BW, mid-test BW; Mid-MBW, mid-test metabolic BW; ADG, average daily gain at the

end of the 56 d test; FCR, feed conversion ratio; RFI, residual feed intake; FD1, fat depth at the 12/13th rib at the end of the 56 d test

¹Breed × Diet and Breed × Treatment interaction effects were not significant for all variables (P > 0.05)

²Diet x Treatment interaction (P < 0.05): Concentrate-Nitrate different to Concentrate-RSC (P < 0.05); Concentrate-Control different to

- 676 Concentrate-Nitrate (P = 0.07)
- ³Diet × Treatment interaction (P < 0.05): Mixed-Nitrate different to Mixed-RSC (P < 0.01)
- ⁴Deviation from breed mean of FD0 (measured at start of 56-d performance test) fitted as covariable

679 *P < 0.05; **P <0.01; ***P <0.001.

Basal Diet	Mixed						Concer									
Treatment	Control		RSC		Nitrate		Control	Control		RSC		Nitrate		Significance ¹		
Breed	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	CHX	LU	SEM	В	D	Т
FD2 (mm) ²	6.31	10.17	7.84	12.90	6.47	9.28	6.60	10.83	8.03	11.50	6.67	10.06	0.907	***	ns	*
CCW (kg) ³	430	370	406	385	408	382	417	365	411	361	418	346	9.4	***	ns	ns
KO (%)	58.4	52.3	56.7	53.7	57.0	53.1	58.4	52.8	57.3	53.0	57.4	51.5	0.88	***	ns	ns
SBW (kg)	738	710	717	719	717	720	713	694	718	682	729	672	19.5	ns	ns	ns
CONF	10.3	8.0	9.7	8.3	9.7	8.3	10.0	8.0	9.4	8.0	10.3	7.7	0.34	***	ns	ns
FAT	10.0	10.6	10.0	12.0	8.7	10.6	9.4	10.7	9.4	11.0	9.4	11.3	0.44	***	ns	ns
CONF (VIA)	10.7	8.0	9.8	8.0	9.6	7.6	10.3	7.4	9.9	6.9	9.8	6.7	0.53	***	ns	ns
FAT (VIA)	7.9	10.7	8.3	10.2	7.5	10.0	7.6	8.7	7.6	9.3	6.6	8.7	0.47	***	***	ns
TOTFat (kg)	46.4	51.3	42.1	70.7	38.1	50.1	41.8	44.5	34.7	45.9	37.8	42.8	5.95	**	ns	ns
TOTMeat (kg)	314.0	256.6	294.2	261.9	299.0	270.0	308.9	260.7	306.3	259.2	312.0	244.5	8.09	***	ns	ns

Table 5 Effect of breed (B), basal diet (D) and treatment (T) on carcass traits of Charolais-sired (CHX) and purebred Luing (LU) steers fed either a Mixed- or Concentrate-based diet containing one of three treatments: Control, Nitrate or Rapeseed cake (RSC)

Number of animals = 81; FD2, pre-slaughter fat depth at the 12/13th rib; CCW, cold carcass weight; KO, killing out %; SBW, slaughter BW;

683 CONF, EUROP conformation (15 pt scale) assigned by visual assessor; FAT, EUROP fatness (15pt scale) assigned by visual assessor; CONF 684 (VIA), conformation grade (15pt scale) assigned by VIA; FAT (VIA), fatness grade (15pt scale) assigned by VIA; TOTFat; total fat content 685 predicted by VIA; TOTMeat, total meat content predicted by VIA.

¹Breed × Treatment and Basal Diet × Treatment interaction effects were not significant for all variables (P > 0.05).

⁶⁸⁷ ²Deviation from breed mean of FD0 (measured at start of 56-d performance test) fitted as covariable

³Breed × Diet interaction (*P* < 0.05): CHX-Concentrate different from LU-Concentrate and LU-Mixed (*P* < 0.001); CHX-Mixed different from LU-

689 Concentrate and LU-Mixed (P < 0.001); LU-Mixed different from LU-Concentrate (P < 0.01). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

690 List of Figure Captions

Figure 1 Changes in Met-haemoglobin (MetHb) concentrations (% total blood Hb) when fed 100% dietary nitrate (18 g nitrate/kg DM) for 5 steers with overall smallest and overall greatest mean MetHb concentrations. Solid lines and dashed lines represent the Mixed and Concentrate basal diets, respectively. Samples 1 to 4 refer to sampling days -6, 8, 87 and 101, respectively. Each line represents an individual animal. Sample 4 was not present for 3 animals as had been already been sent for slaughter before day 101.

