Pure

Scotland's Rural College

Causes of keel bone damage and their solutions in laying hens

Harlander-Matauschek, A; Rodenburg, TB; Sandilands, V; Tobalske, BW; Toscano, MJ

Published in: World's Poultry Science Journal

DOI: 10.1017/S0043933915002135

First published: 01/01/2015

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA): Harlander-Matauschek, A., Rodenburg, TB., Sandilands, V., Tobalske, BW., & Toscano, MJ. (2015). Causes of keel bone damage and their solutions in laying hens. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 71(3), 461 - 472. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933915002135

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1

2	Abbreviated title		
3	Keel Bone Damage: Causes and solutions		
4	Summary (100-300 words)		
5	Keel bone damage (KBD) is a critical issue facing the contemporary laying hen industry		
6	due to the likely pain leading to compromised welfare and reduced productivity. Recent reports		
7	suggest that KBD, while highly variable and likely dependent on a host of factors, extends to all		
8	housing systems (including traditional battery cages, furnished cages and non-cage systems),		
9	genetic lines, and management styles. Despite the extent of the problem, the research		
10	community remains uncertain as to the causes and influencing factors of KBD. To combat		
11	these issues, the current review was produced following discussions from the 1 st International		
12	Keel Bone Damage Workshop held in Switzerland in April 2014. This endeavor sought to		
13	assess current knowledge, foster novel collaborations, propose unique methodologies and		
14	highlight the key areas where innovative research is needed. The current paper is based on the		
15	content of those discussions and presents nine recommendations for future research efforts.		
16	Keywords: Keel, fracture, damage, welfare, bone, laying hen		
17	Introduction		
18	The high frequency of damage seen in the keel bone (a bone extending from the sternum)		
19	of laying hens within commercial systems represents one of the greatest welfare problems		
20	facing the industry as suggested by the UK's Farm Animal Welfare Committee (FAWC, 2010,		
21	2013). Beyond the obvious welfare issue of gross skeletal deformity, concern stems from the		
22	likely associated pain indicated by the decreased latency to descend from a perch (Nasr et al.,		
23	2012a; c, 2014). One type of KBD, keel fractures (KF), also referred to as old breaks, can be		
24	defined as breaks in the bone that will typically manifest as a callus around the fracture site after		

25	a few days; KF may also involve sharp, unnatural deviations, or bending, of the bone (Wilkins
26	et al., 2004). The problem of keel damage is clearly widespread with similar yet highly variable
27	levels reported in various countries (such as: Switzerland (Kappeli et al., 2011); UK (Wilkins et
28	al., 2011); The Netherlands, Belgium, Germany (Rodenburg et al., 2008; Heerkens et al.,
29	2013); and Canada (Petrik et al., 2014)). Keel bone damage (KBD) extends across genetic lines
30	(Kappeli et al., 2011) and all types of housing systems (Wilkins et al., 2011; Petrik et al., 2015)
31	including organic production systems (Bestman and Wagenaar, 2014). Despite their ubiquity,
32	the causes and influencing factors of KBD remain largely unknown to the research community,
33	a circumstance that severely handicaps the development of effective strategies to reduce their
34	occurrence and severity.
35	To identify critical areas where research is needed and coordinate the multiple ongoing and
36	future research efforts of laboratories, the International Keel Bone Damage Workshop was
37	organized by the University of Bern in April 2014. This manuscript serves to highlight the
38	workshop dialogue and harness the collective energies of the research community towards
38 39	workshop dialogue and harness the collective energies of the research community towards reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research.
39	reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research.
39 40	reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with
39 40 41	reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with relevant criteria to ensure reliability of results.
39 40 41 42	 reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with relevant criteria to ensure reliability of results. Currently, evaluating KBD in live birds is principally done by palpation; a method that
39 40 41 42 43	 reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with relevant criteria to ensure reliability of results. Currently, evaluating KBD in live birds is principally done by palpation; a method that originated primarily from two papers (Wilkins <i>et al.</i>, 2004; Scholz <i>et al.</i>, 2008) which have
39 40 41 42 43 44	 reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with relevant criteria to ensure reliability of results. Currently, evaluating KBD in live birds is principally done by palpation; a method that originated primarily from two papers (Wilkins <i>et al.</i>, 2004; Scholz <i>et al.</i>, 2008) which have since been adopted by a variety of labs. While broadly similar in their classification of damage,
 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	reducing KBD in laying hens by formulating key recommendations for forthcoming research. Recommendation 1 – A uniform methods of evaluating KBD should be employed with relevant criteria to ensure reliability of results. Currently, evaluating KBD in live birds is principally done by palpation; a method that originated primarily from two papers (Wilkins <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Scholz <i>et al.</i> , 2008) which have since been adopted by a variety of labs. While broadly similar in their classification of damage, key differences exist and require resolution. Most critically, Wilkins <i>et al.</i> (2004) only

49 causing large forces vs. sustained and small forces, respectively), this lack of clarity represents a 50 major obstacle in combining results to allow for comprehensive interpretation of the problem. 51 The multiple methods in use are a challenge in resolving KBD, hindering our abilities to 52 compare findings and resulting in needless and inefficient replication. We recommend 53 development of a uniform assessment of KBD that assesses KF and deviations where each uses 54 a binary scale of whether damage is present. The system should be developed in concert with an 55 associated scoring sheet which should be made publicly available to facilitate the amalgamation 56 of data produced by the labs conducting related research. 57 Beyond defining the presence or absence of damage, identifying grades of severity is also 58 needed to determine the types of KBD that are a concern. Research that can provide reliable and 59 consistent markers to classify KBD in grades of severity that are grounded in meaningful 60 criteria relevant to animal welfare (e.g., pain caused by fracture) and/or other spheres of concern 61 (e.g. productivity) is essential. 62 We also recommend adoption of training criteria for persons assessing KBD (particularly 63 by palpation which is more subjective than dissection) to ensure greater reliability of results. A 64 system employed by assessors with appropriate training can produce results that are more useful 65 in determining true occurrence of damage and evaluating interventions. The method of 66 assessment, including free access to a developed scoring form, the described definitions for the 67 various forms of damage, as well as criteria and training for palpation, is described elsewhere

68 (Casey-Trott *et al.*, *submitted to Poultry Science*).

69 **Recommendation 2** – **Investigate low energy, non-collision events as a source of KF.**

High energy events within non-cage systems provide a likely mechanism for KF that have
been suggested to result from collisions with elements of animal housing such as perches (Scott *et al.*, 1997; Moinard *et al.*, 2004a; Sandilands *et al.*, 2009; Wilkins *et al.*, 2011). Counter-

73 intuitively, KF also frequently occur in cage systems where there exists seemingly limited 74 opportunities for dynamic loading as might occur during collision. Thus, improving our 75 understanding of the sources of KF will require insight of dynamic as well as static events. The 76 mechanisms of KF in cage systems are likely not exclusive to this environment, i.e., static 77 mechanisms are likely to cause damage in non-cage systems as well, and thus deserve 78 investigation to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the sources of KBD. 79 Although commercial laying hens kept in enriched cages and non-cage systems have 80 opportunities for weight-bearing activities, birds' skeletons remain fragile because of a 81 combination of nutritional, environmental and genetic factors (Whitehead, 2004a). It would be 82 worthwhile to test whether seemingly innocuous, quasi-static activities can induce KF. While 83 perching, hens place considerable forces on their keels which is in contact with the perch (Pickel 84 et al., 2011) and certain perch designs or material may result in severe keel bone deviations and 85 perhaps also KF (Pickel et al., 2010). This mechanism would be similar to compression fractures 86 in osteoporotic bones of humans, which have been shown to occur spontaneously or with 87 normally innocuous activities, such as sneezing or twisting (Kondo, 2008). Furthermore, 88 application of modeling techniques, including finite-element models (Tomaszewski et al., 2010) 89 that represent the keel bone, would be useful to provide an understanding of the static and 90 dynamic loading patterns in the bone.

More generally, a broader understanding of the keel, using a comparison of relevant bird
phylogenies, could be helpful to establish the morphological capacity of the keel and how
modern housing conditions exceed that capacity. It would be useful to assess keel integrity in
relation to the functional morphology of diverse avian clades that exhibit significant variation in
hindlimb/forelimb modules, keel morphology, flight style, and use of natural perches (Heers
and Dial, 2012).

9	7
0	

Recommendation 3 – Investigate the relationship between deviations and KF.

98 A keel with deviations may lead to unequal bone loading during wing-flapping and 99 concentration of strain energy in ways that increase the risk of fracture. The paired *pectoralis* 100 muscles are capable of generating enormous force, work and power output during normal flight 101 (Tobalske *et al.*, 2003) and these outputs increase dramatically during vigorous wing-flapping 102 (Tobalske and Dial, 2000; Jackson and Dial, 2011). Comparable wing-flapping is often observed 103 in commercial laying hens that slip from a perch or aviary tier and try to regain their footing 104 (personal observation, M Toscano). Three-dimensional force-balance calculations (Hutchinson et 105 al., 2005; Baier et al., 2006) should be used to test for effects of keel deformity upon bone 106 loading during *pectoralis* muscle contraction; particularly those observed during episodes where 107 balance is lost or panics (see Recommendation #5). Also, deviated keels may lead to KF 108 indirectly by complicating balance maneuvers, an additional topic which deserves investigation.

109

Recommendation 4 – Investigate the role of bird development in KBD susceptibility.

110 It is of prime importance to learn more about development of locomotor and cognitive skills 111 as these contribute towards the ability of hens to navigate within the home system. It is doubtful 112 that the traditional aviary rearing system, where chicks are kept confined to platforms for the first 113 four weeks after which the sides are opened, is the best system to prepare birds for aviary housing 114 (Kozak et al. 2015). Under natural conditions, locomotor capacity is critical in Galliformes as 115 they are confronted with immediate challenges to escape predators, search for food and seek 116 shelter (Dial and Jackson, 2011). Beginning at six days post-hatching, wild Galliformes will 117 readily flap their wings to produce aerodynamic forces that enhance hindlimb function while 118 moving up inclines, a behaviour called wing-assisted incline running (WAIR) (Dial, 2003; 119 Tobalske and Dial, 2007; Dial et al., 2008). Adult Galliformes may also prefer WAIR rather than 120 flight to reach an elevated area in a complex, natural habitat as well (Dial and Jackson, 2011). A

121 better understanding of this process in commercial strains could be helpful to design juvenile 122 and/or adult hen housing systems that improve locomotor abilities (Le Blanc et al. 2015). For 123 instance, variable-engineered systems would be suitable for accommodating the birds as they 124 develop, using more ramps and adjustable angles with increasing age. A potential advantage of 125 WAIR compared with flight may be that whole-body kinetic energy is less during WAIR 126 (Tobalske and Dial, 2000, 2007), a benefit that could reduce the risk of KBD due to accidental 127 impact with the housing environment. Additionally, increased wing-flapping during development 128 may assist in improving balancing abilities (Filipa et al. 2010) while greater activity is known to 129 correlate with bone strength (Rath et al., 2000). Beyond musculo-skeletal development, juvenile 130 birds using WAIR will likely learn neuromuscular coordination that will be useful for negotiating 131 three-dimensional structures in adulthood, an impairment suggested by Gunnarsson et al. (2000). 132 Research in this area will help to identify optimum rearing conditions likely to protect birds from 133 cognitive impairment in adulthood.

134 **Recommendation 5 - Investigate the role of escape reactions as a source of KF.**

135 Efforts should also be made to understand the damage resulting from sudden escape 136 reactions, or panics. Escape is normally triggered by a situation that is, or is perceived to be, life-137 threatening and thus is not "normal" in the context of commercial poultry husbandry, though has 138 been documented (Richards et al., 2012). Escapes resemble the pattern seen in Galliformes 139 involving take-off using high-frequency, high-amplitude wing beats that feature enormous power 140 output (Tobalske and Dial, 2000; Tobalske et al., 2003), a quick return to the ground using a 141 glide, and then resumption of walking or running. Given that the response is one of last resort, its 142 manifestation is likely to exceed the keel's morphological capacity. Additionally, escape flights 143 will not allow for the precise navigation required in housing systems. A more thorough

144 understanding of the causes of escapes is necessary, including the roles of genetic selection and 145 stockmanship, and the effects of dim lighting conditions and intra-bird spacing (Tillmann, 2009).

146 A comparative evolutionary approach could further aid investigation regarding the role of 147 escape reactions as a source of damage. A museum survey of wild birds of different species 148 showed that 4.5% out of a sample size of 6,212 specimens had sustained and survived bone 149 injuries of which clavicle injuries were the greatest in number, especially in smaller birds, 150 andwere attributed to collisions with solid objects (Tiemeier, 1941). The sample included 45 151 birds in the Phasianidae, the family that includes the chicken, with an incidence rate of 10% in 152 this family. These percentages are far lower than incidence rates of KBD in layer hens, but they 153 do suggest that the escape flight of birds in the Phasianidae (Tobalske and Dial, 2000) may be 154 correlated with bone damage. An alternative explanation, however, is that some damage reported 155 by Tiemeier (1941) was due to gunshot, as many species in the Phasianidae are gamebirds.

156

Recommendation 6 - Investigate genetic capacity to reduce KBD.

157 The role of genetics as a contributing factor in KBD dates back to work by Hyre (1955) 158 who showed that the tendency to develop keel deformities was heritable by successfully 159 selecting for and against KBD over six generations. Even earlier, Warren (1937) showed that 160 crooked keel bones (in comparison to straight keels) had a reduced ash content which he 161 suggested was a causal factor. In considering the scope for genetic selection against KBD, we 162 must first determine what traits should be selected where possibilities include: stronger bones, 163 improved physical ability, and increased docility. Alternatively, as KBD is a relatively complex 164 trait with a number of genetic and environmental factors playing a role, genomic selection 165 should be considered (Fulton, 2012) by carefully monitoring the incidence of KBD in a large 166 population of laying hens and then comparing genomic information of hens with and hens 167 without KBD. One of the strengths of this approach is that it does not target a single factor, e.g.

168 bone strength, but focuses on the actual presence or absence of damage. In theory, the 169 associated mechanism(s) is (are) selected in the process of identifying birds with the desired 170 trait. A challenge with the genomic approach is that it requires a large sampling population 171 (e.g., >5,000) of which a clear KBD phenotype is needed. However, once the genomic 172 fingerprint of a hen with no KBD is acquired, no further phenotypic measurements are required 173 for the selection program (Eggen, 2012). Although breeding for reduced KBD is attractive, one 174 should be aware of possible linkages and trade-offs with other traits, e.g., reduced egg shell 175 thickness and egg breaking strength (Stratmann et al., in prep). Whitehead (2004b) provides an 176 excellent review of the relationship between skeletal integrity and egg quality.

177

Recommendation 7 – Investigate housing adaptations that affect frequency of KBD.

178 Large differences between housing systems in the incidence KF indicate that housing 179 design and/or management plays a key-role (Rodenburg et al., 2008; Wilkins et al., 2011). 180 Perches have received particular attention. As an indication that perches have a causal role in the 181 occurrence of KBD, higher rates were reported at end of lay for hens in conventional cages with 182 (92%) compared to those without (83%) metal perches (Hester et al., 2013). Similarly, Wilkins et 183 al., (2011) reported a 10-34% increase in KF when perches were added in an organic mobile 184 system. Often, round metal perches are used, which may not offer hens adequate support for their 185 grip. Perches with slightly larger diameters and those made from more flexible materials (wood, 186 rubber) have been suggested to be more capable of absorbing forces during impact and 187 preventing KBD (Pickel et al., 2010, 2011). Perches covered with a soft rubber layer were 188 successful in reducing the number of keel fractures within a commercial aviary suggesting the 189 benefit of this option (Stratmann et al., Accepted to PlosONe), possibly not only by reducing the 190 pressure on the keel, but also by providing a cushion and improved grip when landing (Scholz et 191 al., 2014). Perches as a source of fracture is discussed in more detail by Sandilands et al. (2009).

192 Apart from perches, the three-dimensional environment of the hens has to be designed so 193 that it allows the hens to navigate between the different parts of the system. In most commercial 194 aviary systems, improvements are possible that would improve hens' possibilities for safely 195 navigating through the system. One option is to add ramps to aid transition between tiers. 196 Stratmann et al. (2015) showed that adding ramps to a commercial aviary system reduced falls 197 by 55%, collisions by 41% and keel fractures by 24%, while movements between tiers 198 increased by 44%. The width of the corridors between the different rows within systems also 199 needs further attention. If the corridor width is at the limit of the birds' navigational ability, it 200 may cause increased collisions due to misjudged jumps (Heerkens et al., 2014). 201 Another factor to be considered when determining optimum housing is lighting. In many 202 commercial laying hen operations, light intensity is kept at a relatively low level, especially in 203 flocks that are prone to develop feather pecking. Birds need sufficient light and contrast to make 204 an appropriate jump and safe landing (Moinard *et al.*, 2004b). The timing of the light transition 205 also seems important: Stratmann et al. (2013) reported that vertical movements occurred mainly 206 during a dusk phase when hens usually move to a perching spot for the night. A sudden switch 207 from light to dark periods may increase the risk of KF during this time. Hence, a gradual dawn-208 and dusk should be investigated as a possible means of reducing KF. Lastly, Heerkens et al.

209 (2014) found flooring type to also be a factor where wire flooring had greater frequency of KF

compared with plastic flooring, though the underlying causes need to be explored.

211

Recommendation 8 – Investigate nutritional solutions to reduce KBD.

Solutions should also include changes in bird management and nutrition. The high
incidence of KF in cages (Hester *et al.*, 2013) could point to a calcium shortage. Egg shell
formation takes place during the night and hens need a large amount of calcium at this time to
produce an eggshell each day. Hens can mobilize this calcium partly from their bones, but they

216 need to restore their supply, otherwise the risk osteoporosis (Whitehead and Fleming, 2000). 217 One way to supply hens with calcium during the night is to give them daytime access to calcium 218 sources with larger particle sizes, such as grit or shells, which will then be digested during the 219 night. Larger particle sizes of calcium has been shown to benefit skeletal health (Cheng and 220 Coon, 1990; Guinotte et al., 1995) including that of the keel (Fleming et al., 1998), though 221 usage of this technique varies due to multiple factors including damage to feeding equipment 222 and birds selectively eating the larger particles. Thus, there may be a benefit in supplying grit 223 separately, or developing other sources of calcium that help the hens to restore their supplies 224 and prevent bone weakness. Other nutritional changes could involve incorporation of omega-3 225 content into the diet which has been shown to result in reduced fracture incidence (Toscano et 226 al., Accepted to Poultry Science; Tarlton et al., 2013) possibly by modulating bone metabolism 227 and modeling (Liu et al., 2003; Watkins et al., 2003; Baird et al., 2008).

228 Recommendation 9 – Investigate and quantify KBD and production losses

229 Physical conditions that are associated with pain can, if severe enough, induce redistribution 230 of endogenous resources and derail physiological processes that ensure long-term survival, a 231 classical criterion for compromised welfare (Moberg, 1985; Broom, 1991). More specifically, 232 Prunier et al., (2013) advocated changes in productivity as an indication of pain and potentially 233 for compromised welfare. As mentioned before, recent work has shown that individual birds 234 with fractures housed in large groups (~350 birds/group) produced eggs that were characterized 235 with reduced breaking strength and thinner shells (Toscano, *in prep*). This response may 236 represent a diversion of resources where minerals (e.g. calcium) and energy, normally directed 237 towards egg production, must consequently be reallocated to the process of healing bone 238 (Thiruvenkadan et al., 2010). Similar results for altered egg production in individual birds were 239 found by Nasr et al. (2012b, 2013), although this was assessed in non-commercial conditions

240 (i.e., individual hens isolated in separate cages) in order to link the egg and hen. More critically, 241 work by Nasr *et al.* (2012b, 2013), as well as that by Toscano (*in prep*), did not control for 242 natural variation in bird laying capacity. The lack of pre-KF data leaves open the possibility that 243 birds prone to KF may produce less and weaker eggs independent of whether KFs occurred. 244 Differences in egg character after fracture must be shown to be absent beforehand if the 245 measure is to be a valid indicator of welfare. Interestingly, others have been unable to 246 demonstrate a link between egg production and keel fracture at the flock level (Heerkens et al., 247 2013). The lack of a relationship could be due to high flock-level variance rather than the 248 absence of an effect, indicating the need for research at bird level responses. Alternatively, 249 Whitehead (2004b), reviewing several studies that examined individually housed birds bred for 250 different bone qualities, suggested that little correlation existed between egg production and 251 bone quality. The finding was supported by Gebhardt-Henrich and Fröhlich (2012) who 252 reported more fractures in hens which laid their first egg earlier. As an additional complication 253 in linking the occurrence of fractures with production data, the period in which fractures are 254 seen to most dramatically increase (25 -35 weeks of age) is also the one in which birds are 255 coming off peak of lay, thus a drop in production is expected independent of fractures. 256 Therefore, the predicted falloff in egg production resulting from KBD may be subsumed by the 257 drop in egg production as the hen exits the peak of lay period. 258 As discussed above, quantification of production endpoints and the loss of productivity 259 associated with KBD can be used as a powerful means to assess changes in animal welfare. 260 More so, because concern for animal welfare is not globally consistent (Lopez, 2007) with the 261 strongest interest in Europe and North America, framing the problems of KBD in terms of 262 productivity losses and compromised profit could provide alternative motivations that move

towards an ultimate goal of reducing KBD. This particular argument is powerful as it does not

264 diminish the reality that action on the grounds of compromised welfare is necessary, but rather 265 adds a supplementary dimension that will drive stakeholders to effect change.

266 Interestingly, it is often suggested that KF result from bone that is weakened by the process 267 of demineralization to provide adequate amounts of calcium for egg shell formation. If correct, 268 continued egg production should associate with a decrease in bone strength and an increase in 269 the occurrence of KF. While this appears to be the case for the first 20 weeks of egg production, 270 recent comparisons of several studies suggest that rates of fractures actually appear to flatten 271 and possibly fall after 45 wks of age (Stratmann et al., accepted to Applied Animal Behaviour 272 Science; Toscano et al., accepted to Poultry Science; Tarlton et al., 2013; Petrik et al., 2014). It 273 is possible that this decrease could be attributed to altered behaviour, though use of an *ex vivo* 274 impact testing protocol with dead hens (Toscano et al., 2013) identified a pattern of decreased 275 susceptibility to fracture (Toscano et al., 2014) that mirrored the on-farm observations of live 276 hens. Further research is needed to determine how this change in fracture occurrence relates to 277 altered bone physiology and egg production during this period.

278 **Overall Conclusions**

279 Keel bone damage represents a welfare and productivity problem for the laying hen 280 industry and, while achievements have been made in understanding the nature and cause of 281 occurrence, we remain far from resolving the issue. The current paper highlights areas of 282 research that would achieve the goal of reducing KBD, encourage adoption of methods to 283 improve the accuracy and reliability of reporting, and provide technical changes that could be 284 adopted.

285 References

286 BAIER, D. B., GATESY, S. M. and JENKINS, F. A. (2006) A critical ligamentous

287 mechanism in the evolution of avian flight. *Nature* Vol. 445: 307–310.

- 288 BAIRD, H. T., EGGETT, D. L. and FULLMER, S. (2008) Varying ratios of omega-6: omega-
- 289 3 fatty acids on the pre-and postmortem bone mineral density, bone ash, and bone breaking

strength of laying chickens. *Poultry Science* 87: 323–328

- 291 BESTMAN, M. and WAGENAAR, J. P. (2014) Health and Welfare in Dutch Organic Laying
- **292** Hens. *Animals* **4**: 374–390.
- BROOM, D. M. (1991) Animal Welfare: Concepts and measurements. *Journal of Animal Science* 69: 4167–4175.
- 295 CHENG, T. K. and COON, C. N. (1990) Effect of calcium source, particle size, limestone
- solubility in vitro, and calcium intake level on layer bone status and performance. *Poultry*
- 297 Science 69: 2214–2219.
- 298 DIAL, K. P. (2003) Wing-assisted incline running and the evolution of flight. *Science* 299: 402–
 404.
- 300 DIAL, K. P. and JACKSON, B. E. (2011) When hatchlings outperform adults: locomotor
- 301 development in Australian brush turkeys (Alectura lathami, Galliformes). Proceedings Royal
- 302 Society Biological Sciences 278: 1610–1616.
- 303 DIAL, K. P., JACKSON, B. E. and SEGRE, P. (2008) A fundamental avian wing-stroke
- 304 provides a new perspective on the evolution of flight. *Nature* **451**: 985–989.
- **EGGEN, A.** (2012) The development and application of genomic selection as a new breeding
- 306 paradigm. *Animal Frontiers* **2**: 10–15.
- 307 FAWC. (2010) Opinion on Osteoporosis and Bone Fractures in Laying Hens . *Farm Animal*308 Welfare Council, London.
- **309 FAWC**. (2013) An open letter to Great Britain Governments: Keel bone fracture in laying hens.

310 FILIPA, A., BYRNES, R., PATERNO, M.V., MYER, G.D., AND HEWETT., T.E. (2010)

- 311 Neuromuscular training improves performance on the star excursion balance test in young female
- athletes. Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy 40: 551-558.
- 313 FLEMING, R. H., MCCORMACK, H. A. and WHITEHEAD, C. C. (1998) Bone structure
- and strength at different ages in laying hens and effects of dietary particulate limestone, vitamin
- 315 K and ascorbic acid. *British Poultry Science* **39**: 434–440.
- **FULTON, J. E.** (2012) Genomic selection for poultry breeding. *Animal Frontiers* **2**: 30–36.
- 317 GEBHARDT-HENRICH, S. and FRÖLICH, E. K. F. (2012) Auftreten von
- 318 Brustbeinfrakturen und individuelles Verhalten bei Legehennen. ERHARD, M., POLLMAN, U.,
- 319 PUPPE, B., REITER, K. and WAIBLINGER, S. (Eds)*KTBL*, pp. 52-60 (Freiburg, Germany).
- 320 GUINOTTE, F., GAUTRON, J., NYS, Y. and SOURMARMON, A. (1995) Calcium
- 321 solubilization and retention in the gastrointestinal tract in chicks (Gallus domesticus) as a
- 322 function of gastric acid secretion inhibition and of calcium carbonate particle size. *British*
- **323** *Journal of Nutrition* **73**: 125–139.

324 GUNNARSSON, S., YNGVESSON, J., KEELING, L. J. and FORKMAN, B. (2000) Rearing

- 325 without early access to perches impairs the spatial skills of laying hens. Applied Animal
- **326** *Behaviour Science* **67**: 217–228.

327 HEERKENS, J., DELEZIE, E., KEMPEN, I., ZOONS, J., RODENBURG, T. B. and

- 328 TUYTENS, F. (2013) Do keel bone deformations affect egg-production in end-of-lay housing
- hens housed in aviaries? TAUSON, R., BLOKHUIS, H. J., BERG, L., ELSON, A. (Eds) 9th
- 330 *European Poultry Conference*,pp.127 (Uppsala, Sweden).
- 331 HEERKENSM, J. L. T., KEMPEN, I., ZOONS, J., DELEZIE, E., RODENBURG, T. B.,
- 332 AMPE, B. and TUYTTENS, F. A. M. (2014) Effect of aviary housing characteristics on laying

- 333 hen welfare and performance. Proceedings of the 48th Congress of the International Society for
- 334 Applied Ethology, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain pp. 158.
- HEERS, A. M. and DIAL, K. P. (2012) From extant to extinct: locomotor ontogeny and the
- evolution of avian flight. *Trends in Ecology. and Evolution.* 27: 296–305.
- 337 HESTER, P. Y., ENNEKING, S. A., HALEY, B. K., CHENG, H. W., EINSTEIN, M. E. and
- **RUBIN, D. A.** (2013) The effect of perch availability during pullet rearing and egg laying on
- 339 musculoskeletal health of caged White Leghorn hens. *Poultry Science* 92: 1972–1980.

340 HUTCHINSON, J.R., ANDERSON, F. C., BLEMKER, S.S. and DELP, S. L. (2005)

- 341 Analysis of hindlimb muscle moment arms in Tyrannosaurus rex using a three-dimensional
- 342 musculoskeletal computer model: implications for stance, gait, and speed. *Paleobiology* **31**: 676–
- **343** 701.
- 344 HYRE, H. M. (1955) The effect of heredity and environment on keel deformities in White
- 345 Leghorns. West Virginia Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin. 381.
- 346 JACKSON, B. E. and DIAL, K. P. (2011) Scaling of mechanical power output during burst
- scape flight in the Corvidae. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **214**: 452–461.

348 KAPPELI, S., GEBHARDT-HENRICH, S. G., FROHLICH, E., PFULG, A. and

- 349 STOFFEL, M. H. (2011) Prevalence of keel bone deformities in Swiss laying hens. British
- **350** *Poultry Science* **52**: 531–536.
- 351 KONDO, K. L. (2008) Osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures and vertebral augmentation.
- 352 *Seminars in interventional radiology.* Thieme Medical Publishers pp. 413.

353 KOZAK, M., TOBALSKE, B., MARTINS, C., WERBEL, H., and HARLANDER-

- 354 MATAUSCHEK, A. (2015) Chick- locomotion in a multilayer environment, accepted in *Poultry*
- 355 Science Association 104rd Annual Meeting, Kentucky, USA.

356 LEBLANC, C., TOBALSKE, B., WUERBEL, H. and HARLANDER-MATAUSCHEK, A.

- 357 (2015) Locomotion skills of chicks over an inclined walkway. accepted in *Poultry Science*
- 358 Association 104rd Annual Meeting, Kentucky, USA.

359 LIU, D., VEIT, H. P., WILSON, J. H. and DENBOW, D. M. (2003) Long-term

- 360 supplementation of various dietary lipids alters bone mineral content, mechanical properties and
- 361 histological characteristics of Japanese quail. *Poultry Science* 82: 831–839.
- 362 LOPEZ, J. (2007) Animal Welfare: Global Issues, Trends and Challenges. Scientific and
- 363 Technical Review, Vol. 24 (2). Canadian. Veterinary. Journal. 48: 1163-1164.
- 364 MOBERG, G. P. (1985) Biological response to stress: Key to assessment of well-being, in:
- 365 MOBERG, G. P. (Ed) American Physiological Society, pp. 28-49 (Bethesda, MD).
- 366 MOINARD, C., STATHAM, P. and GREEN, P. R. (2004a) Control of landing flight by laying
- hens: implications for the design of extensive housing systems. *British Poultry Science* 45: 578–
 584.

369 MOINARD, C., STATHAM, P., HASKELL, M. J., MCCORQUODALE, C., JONES, R.B.

- and GREEN, P. R. (2004b) Accuracy of laying hens in jumping upwards and downwards
- 371 between perches in different light environments. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **85**: 77–92.

372 NASR, M. A. F., MURELL, J. and NICOL, C. J. (2013) The effect of keel fractures on egg

- production, feed, and water consumption in individual laying hens. *British Poultry Science* 54:
 165–170.
- 375 NASR, M. A. F., MURELL, J., WILKINGS, L. J. and NICOL, C. J. (2012a) The effect of
- two classes of opioid drug on the landing ability of laying hens with and without keel fractures.in
- 377 UFAW Animal Welfare Conference: Recent Advances in Animal Welfare Science III, York, UK.

- 378 NASR, M. A. F., MURELL, J., WILKINGS, L. J. and NICOL, C. J. (2012b) The effect of
- keel fractures on egg production parameters, mobility and behaviour in individual laying hens.
- **380** *Animal Welfare* **21**: 127–135.
- 381 NASR, M. A. F., MURELL, J., WILKINGS, L. J. and NICOL, C. J. (2012c) Do Laying Hens
- 382 with Keel Bone Fractures Experience Pain? *PLoS One* **7**:e42420.
- 383 NASR, M. A. F., MURELL, J., WILKINGS, L. J. and NICOL, C. J. (2015) The effects of
- two non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on the mobility of laying hens with keel bone
- 385 fractures. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia***42**: 197-204.
- 386 PETRIK, M. T., GUERIN, M. T. and WIDOWSKI, T. M. (2014) On-farm comparison of keel
- 387 fracture incidence in conventional cage and floor-housed laying hens. *Poultry Science*
- 388 Association 103rd Annual Meeting, Corpus Christi, pp. 71
- 389 PETRIK, M. T., GUERIN, M. T. and WIDOWSKI, T. M. (2015) On-farm comparison of keel
- 390 fracture prevalence and other welfare indicators in conventional cage and floor-housed laying
- 391 hens in Ontario, Canada. Accepted to *Poultry Science*.
- **392 PICKEL, T., SCSHOLZ, B. and SCHRADER, L.** (2010) Perch material and diameter affects
- 393 particular perching behaviours in laying hens. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **127**: 37–42.
- **394 PICKEL, T., SCSHOLZ, B. and SCHRADER, L.** (2011) Pressure load on keel bone and foot
- pads in perching laying hens in relation to perch design. *Poultry Science* **90**: 715–24.
- 396 PRUNIER, A., MOUNIER, L., LE NEINDRE, P., LETERRIER, C., MORMÈDE,
- 397 PAULMIER, V., PRUNET, P., TERLOUW, C. and GUATTEO, R. (2013) Identifying and
- 398 monitoring pain in farm animals: a review. Animal 7: 998–1010.
- 399 RATH, N. C., HUFF, G. R., HUFF, W. E. and BALOG, J. M. (2000) Factors regulating bone
- 400 maturity and strength in poultry. *Poultry Science* **79**: 1024–1032.

401 RICHARDS, G. J., BROWN, S. N., BOOTH, F., TOSCANO, M. J. and WILKINS, L. J.

402 (2012) Panic in free-range laying hens. Veterinary Record 170: 519RODENBURG, T. B.,

403 TUYTTENS, F. A. M., DE REU, K., HERMAN, L., ZOONS, J. and SONCK, B. (2008)

- 404 Welfare assessment of laying hens in furnished cages and non-cage systems : an on-farm
- 405 comparison. *Animal Welfare* **17**: 363–373.
- 406 SANDILANDS, V., MOINARD, C. and SPARKS, N. H. C. (2009) Providing laying hens with
- 407 perches: fulfilling behavioural needs but causing injury? *British Poultry Science* **50**: 395–406.

408 SCHOLZ, B., KJAER, J. B. and SRADER, L. (2014) Analysis of landing behaviour of three

409 layer lines on different perch designs. *British Poultry Science* 55: 419-426.

410 SCHOLZ, B., RÖNCHEN, S., HAMANN, H., HEWICKER-TRAUTWEIN, M. and DISTL,

- 411 **O.** (2008) Keel bone condition in laying hens : a histological evaluation of macro scopically
- 412 assessed keel bones. *Berliner und Munchener Tierarztliche Wochenschrift* **121**: 89–94.

413 SCOTT, G., LAMBE, N. R. and HITCHCOCK, D. (1997) Ability of laying hens to negotiate

- 414 horizontal perches at different heights, separated by different angles. *British Poultry Science* 38:
- **415** 48–54.

416 STRATMANN, A., FROHLICH, E. K. F., GEBHARDT-HENRICH, S., HARLANDER-

- 417 MATAUSCHEK, A., WÜRBEL, H. and TOSCANO, M. J. (2015) Modification of aviary
- 418 design reduces incidence of falls, collisions and keel bone damage in laying hens. *Applied Animal*
- 419 *Behaviour Science* **165**: 112-123.

420 STRATMANN, A., FROHLICH, E., WÜRBEL, H. and GEBHARDT-HENRICH, S. G.

- 421 (2013) Crashes of laying hens in aviary systems. *Proceedings of the Joint Meeting of the 33rd*
- 422 International Ethological Conference (IEC) & the Association for the Study of Animal Behavioir
- 423 (ASAB) Conference, Newcastle-Gateshead, UK.

424 STRATMANN, A., TOSCANO, M. J., FROHLICH, E. K. F., HARLANDER-

- 425 MATAUSCHEK, A. and GEBHARDT-HENRICH, S. Do soft perches reduce keel bone
- 426 fractures in laying hens? Accepted to *PlosONe*.

427 TARLTON, J. F., WILKINS, L. J., TOSCANO, M. J., AVERY, N. C. and KNOTT, L.

- 428 (2013) Reduced bone breakage and increased bone strength in free range laying hens fed omega-
- 429 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplemented diets. *Bone* 52: 578–586.

430 THIRUVENKADAN, A. K., PANNEERSELVAM, S. and PRABAKARAN, R. (2010) Layer

- 431 breeding strategies: an overview. *Worlds Poultry Science Journal* **66**: 477–502.
- **432 TIEMEIER, O. W.** (1941) Repaired bone injuries in birds. *Auk* **58**: 350–359.
- 433 TILLMANN, J. E. (2009) Fear of the dark: night-time roosting and anti-predation behaviour in
- 434 the grey partridge (Perdix perdix L.). *Behaviour* **146**: 999–1023.
- 435 TOBALSKE, B. W. and DIAL, K. P. (2000) Effects of body size on take-off flight performance
- 436 in the Phasianidae (Aves). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **203**: 3319–3332.
- 437 TOBALSKE, B. W. and DIAL, K. P. (2007) Aerodynamics of wing-assisted incline running in
- 438 birds. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **210**: 1742–1751.
- 439 TOBALSKE, B. W., HEDRICK, T. L., DIAL, K. P. and BIEWENER, A. A. (2003)
- 440 Comparative power curves in bird flight. *Nature* **421**: 363–366.

441 TOMASZEWSKI, P. K., VERDONSCHOT, N., BULSTRA, S. K. and VERKERKE, G. J.

- 442 (2010) A comparative finite-element analysis of bone failure and load transfer of osseointegrated
- 443 prostheses fixations. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* **38**: 2418–2427.

444 TOSCANO, M. J., BOOTH, F., WILKINS, L. J., AVERY, N. C., BROWN, S. B.,

- 445 RICHARDS, G. and TARLTON, J. F. The effects of long (C20/22) and short (C18) chain
- 446 omega-3 fatty acids on keel bone fractures, bone biomechanics, behaviour and egg production in
- 447 free range laying hens. Accepted to *Poultry Science*

- 448 TOSCANO, M. J., BOOTH, F., WILKINS, L. J., BROWN, S. B., RICHARDS, G. and
- 449 TARLTON, J. F. (2014) Use of an impact tester to assess the likelihood of fractures occuring
- 450 against key bird- and motion-related factors. Proceedings of the 2014 Poultry Science
- 451 Association Annual Meeting, Corpus Christi.
- 452 TOSCANO, M. J., WILKINS, L. J., MILBURN, G., THORPE, K.and TARLTON, J. F.
- 453 (2013) Development of an ex vivo protocol to model bone fracture in laying hens resulting from
- 454 collisions. (PE Witten, Ed.). *PLoS One* **8**:e66215.
- 455 WARREN, D. E. (1937) Physiological and genetic studies of crooked keels in chickens. Kansas
- 456 Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin:44.
- 457 WATKINS, B. A., LI, Y., LIPPMAN, H. E. and FENG, S. (2003) Modulatory effect of
- 458 omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on osteoblast function and bone metabolism. *Prostaglandins*
- 459 *Leukotrienes Essential Fatty acids* 68: 387–398.
- 460 WHITEHEAD, C. C. (2004a) Skeletal disorders in laying hens: the problem of osteoporosis and
- bone fractures. PERRY, G.C.. (Ed) *Welfare of the Laying Hen*, pp. 259-270 (Wallingford, CABI
- 462 Publishing).
- WHITEHEAD, C. C. (2004b) Overview of bone biology in the egg-laying hen. *Poultry Science*83: 193–199.
- 465 WHITEHEAD, C. C. and FLEMING, R. H. (2000) Osteoporosis in cage layers. *Poultry*
- **466** *Science* **79**: 1033–1041.
- 467 WILKINS, L. J., BROWN, S.N., ZIMMERMAN, P. H., LEEB, C. and NICOL, C. J. (2004)
- 468 Investigation of palpation as a method for determining the prevalence of keel and furculum
- damage in laying hens. *Veterinary Record* **155**: 547–549.

- 470 WILKINS, L. J., MCKINSTRY, J. L., AVERY, N.C., KNOWLES, T. G., BROWN, S. N.,
- 471 TARLTON, J and NICOL, C. J. (2011) Influence of housing system and design on bone
- 472 strength and keel bone fractures in laying hens. Veteterinary Record **169**: 414.