Pure

Scotland's Rural College

Identification of the onset of disease within a potato crop using a UAV equipped with un-modified and modified commercial off-the-shelf digital cameras

Gibson-Poole, S; Humphris, Sonia; Toth, Ian K; Hamilton, A

Published in: Advances in Animal Biosciences

DOI: 10.1017/S204047001700084X

Print publication: 01/07/2017

Document Version Early version, also known as preprint

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Gibson-Poole, S., Humphris, S., Toth, I. K., & Hamilton, A. (2017). Identification of the onset of disease within a potato crop using a UAV equipped with un-modified and modified commercial off-the-shelf digital cameras. Advances in Animal Biosciences, 8(2), 812-816. https://doi.org/10.1017/S204047001700084X

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This is the authors original copy (final edit before review) and the full article has been published in Advances in Animal Biosciences (Cambridge University Press) and is available at:

https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/advances-in-animalbiosciences/issue/B6269341942FB500EA392C74D957C60C

Advances in Animal Biosciences: Precision Agriculture (ECPA) 2017, (2017), 8:2, pp 812–816 © The Animal Consortium 2017

doi:10.1017/S204047001700084X

Identification of the onset of disease within a potato crop using a UAV equipped with unmodified and modified commercial off-the-shelf digital cameras

S. Gibson-Poole¹, S. Humphris², I. Toth², A. Hamilton¹ ¹Scotland's Rural College, Peter Wilson Building, Kings Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK. ²The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie Dundee DD2 5DA, UK. Simon.Gibson-Poole@sruc.ac.uk

Abstract

The rapid development of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) has resulted in these aircraft being much easier to operate via the use of portable computers or phones, using fully automated flight paths and at a ready to fly price point that's within the financial reach of most consumers. UAVs are potentially very useful tools for farmers as they allow an overhead view of crops and field boundaries and although they are typically only equipped with commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) digital cameras, recent photogrammetry techniques allow the creation of orthorectified visual data as well as a digital elevation model of the observed scene. This paper investigates the effectiveness of using a UAV with dual COTS cameras, one un-modified and one modified to sense near infra-red (NIR) wavelengths of light, in order to identify the onset of disease within a trial crop of potatoes. The trial was composed of 2 plots of 16 drills containing 12 tubers that had been exposed to the blackleg disease-causing bacterial pathogen Pectobacterium atrosepticum in order to demonstrate best practise tuber storage and haulm destruction methods. 11 sets of aerial data were gathered between $27/5/2016 \sim 29/7/2016$ and then compared with ground truth data collected on 14/7/2016. Visual analysis of the data could only detect the onset of disease and not the specific infection and resulted in a users accuracy (UA) of 83% and producers accuracy (PA) of 78% in detecting the onset of disease, with a total accuracy (TA) of 91% and Kappa coefficient (K) of 0.75. The building blocks of an automated classification routine have been constructed using pixel and object based image analysis (OBIA) methods, which have shown promising first results (UA 65%, PA 73%, TA 87%, K 0.61) but requires further refinement to achieve an equivalent level of accuracy as that of the visual analysis.

Keywords: UAV, COTS, OBIA, blackleg

Introduction

Blackleg disease of potato plants and tubers is caused by different bacterial pathogens belonging to the genera *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya*, formerly *Erwinia* species (Charkowski, 2015). In Scotland, the disease is largely caused by *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* (Pba), via contaminated seed tubers (Perombelon, 2002). Worldwide, blackleg disease is a major contributor to the loss of potato crops, and in some countries is the main cause of seed tuber failure and downgrading, e.g. in the Netherlands strict tolerances for certification have led to direct losses of up to \in 30M annually (Toth *et al.* 2011). Unlike infections by fungi, oomycetes and insects, there are no chemical treatments for these pathogens, and breeding for disease resistance has been minimal, making it necessary to control disease through crop inspections and certification of the resulting seed potatoes (Czajkowski *et al.* 2011). Crop inspection requires a person(s) to walk through

potato fields and visually identify and record plants showing signs of disease. However, this is very time consuming, it increases the chance of damage to the crop canopy, disease plants can be difficult to identify (especially if symptoms are not yet developed or in the early stages), and their spatial distribution is difficult to assess. The latter, which is currently not carried out, would be particularly useful in modelling disease spread (Skelsey *et al.* 2016). The outcome of a crop inspection is central to certification and determines the overall grade (and therefore the price) of a seed crop, and allows growers to make decisions regarding the best way to store and subsequently sell the crop, as well as manage it in future generations.

Remote sensing using UAVs offers an opportunity to overcome many of the limitations in crop inspection and as they have already been used in studies covering a wide range of crops (Zhang & Kovacs, 2012; Shahbazi *et al.* 2014), including canopy damage (Zhou *et al.* 2016) and disease detection in potatoes (Suigiura *et al.* 2016), so they could help to reduce the impacts of this economically important disease. UAVs equipped with COTS digital cameras are typically used and can be effective sensors when assessing green vegetation using vegetation indexes (Rasmussen *et al.* 2016) and due to their excellent spatial resolution (< 5cm per pixel) and price point, are more likely to be adopted for precision agriculture applications compared to more expensive traditional aerial platforms and satellites (Zhang & Kovacs, 2012). This paper investigates the effectiveness of using a UAV with dual COTS cameras, in order to identify the onset of disease within a trial crop of potatoes. It shows the initial results from a single set of data that was collected as part of a larger project conducted over the 2016 growing season.

Materials and methods

The trial plots used for this experiment were located to the west of Perth, Scotland and were part of a set of varied trial plots designed to show either different potato varieties or treatments as part the Potatoes in Practise 2016 display to the public that is held every year (http://www.hutton.ac.uk/events/potatoes-practice-2016). Planting occurred on 5/5/2016 and the trial was composed of 2 plots of 16 drills, each containing 12 tubers that had all been exposed to blackleg (*Pectobacterium atrosepticum*) bacteria in order to demonstrate best practise tuber storage and haulm destruction methods (Figure 1a). A visual assessment (ground truth) was carried out on 14/7/2016 to show the presence or absence of disease for each emerged plant and detailed the disease symptoms displayed at that time.

Aerial data acquisition

Aerial data was acquired in 2016 on May 27th (pre-emergence), June 2nd, 7th, 13th, 21st and 26th, July 6, 11th, 19th and 29th, at varying times of the day and environmental conditions (bright sunlight ~ overcast). Data was acquired using a pre-programed automatic flight at 35 m above ground level (AGL) in order to give data at 1 cm ground sampling distance (GSD). The imagery collected had an expected image overlap of 62% and side overlap of 87% and georectification of imagery was assisted by the placement of nine ground control points (GCP) surveyed using a Piksi (Swift Navigation, San Francisco, USA) real-time kinematic global positing system (GPS) with an expected accuracy of ± 13 cm. The UAV used was a custom built multi-rotor utilising eight rotors controlled via a 3DR Pixhawk autopilot running Arducopter (v3.2.1) firmware (3D Robotics, Berkeley, USA). The autopilot has an inbuilt inertial measurement unit, barometer, magnetometer and an external GPS for navigation. This was housed in a 1 m diameter Vulcan

octocopter frame (VulcanUAV, Mitcheldean, UK, Figure 1b) and powered by two 14.8 V, 10,000 mAh lithium polymer batteries to give flight endurance of 14 minutes whilst carrying the dual camera payload of \sim 320 g.



Figure 1. (a) The trial plot layout on 21/6/2016; (b) The custom built Vulcan multi-rotor UAV.

Camera system

The camera system comprised of two Canon A2200 (Canon, Tokyo, Japan) COTS cameras, one un-modified (RGB) and one modified to sense NIR wavelengths of light in its blue channel due to the through the removal of its internal NIR filter and the addition of an acrylic 585 nm long pass filter (Knight Optical, Harrietsham, UK). The spectral sensitivity of the cameras was tested to identify their spectral characteristics (Berra *et al.* 2015) and used the Canon hack development kit modified firmware (v1.2; <u>http://chdk.wikia.com/wiki/CHDK</u>) and the KAP UAV exposure control script (v3.1; <u>http://chdk.wikia.com/wiki/KAP_UAV_Exposure_Control_Script</u>) to enable RAW imagery to be acquired when commanded via the autopilot, allowing shutter speed and ISO to vary within a specified range (1/200~1/2000 s and 200~400 ISO respectively). The internal neutral density filter was not used, the aperture (f 2.8) and zoom level (default) were fixed with focus set to infinity and the white balance was calibrated against a grey card before each flight to give good visual results.

Image processing

The RGB **CHDKPTP** and NIR RAW imagery was initially processed using (http://chdk.wikia.com/wiki/PTP_Extension) to remove hot pixels and then processed using a custom script in ImageJ (v1.49k; Fiji, 2012) that converted each image to a 16 bit linear tagged image file format (TIFF) file (white balance set to 1, no gamma correction) using DCRAW (v9.25; Coffin, 2016), which utilised a dark image of the same ISO and shutter speed in order to reduce dark current signal noise. Each image was the smoothed using ImageJs despeckle filter to further remove noise before PTLens (v9.0; Niemann, 2016) was used to correct lens distortion and crop each image to reduce the worst areas of vignetting. The RGB RAW imagery was processed a second time to produce a better visual set of data (VIS) in 16 bit TIFF format (gamma corrected, white balance as set for each flight, utilised highlight recovery options) and sharpened using ImageJs sharpen filter. The TIFF files were geotagged using the GPS

information from the UAVs flight log and all three sets of data were then processed using Agisoft Photoscan (v1.2.5; Agisoft LLC, St. Petersburg, Russia), using high settings with mild depth filtering to produce a georeferenced orthomosaic for each dataset (RGB, NIR and VIS) plus a digital surface model (DSM) derived from the RGB dataset.

Manual image analysis method

ArcGIS (v10; ESRI, Redlands, USA) was used to assess the data in both true and false colour. For each date a plant emergence point was added if the assessor was satisfied it was valid (not noise) and that plant was then marked as diseased at a later date if the assessor believed that signs of disease were showing (discolouration, canopy loss or stunting). The assessor could go back in time through the data but not forward beyond the date they were currently investigating.

Automatic emergence analysis

A region of interest (ROI) was created across the top of each drill from a 15 cm buffer of a line drawn along the centre of each drill that covered each point of planting. A normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI; Rouse *et al.* 1973) layer was created and thresholded manually for each date to delineate vegetation from soil. A model was formed in ArcGIS that created vegetation and soil polygons from the NDVI layer of the date being processed, clipped to the ROI. Any vegetation polygons not classed as vegetation in the following sensing date were erased (as these were most likely invalid), before the centre of each remaining vegetation polygon was marked as an emergence point. A buffer of 2 cm per day (between the current and following date) was then applied to the remaining vegetation polygons to simulate the growth of that plants canopy between sensing dates. Each subsequent date was processed using the same method, except that any new emergence points were ignored if they were within the vegetation buffer of the previous sensing date (as these were most likely the same plant). This process was repeated until no new emergence points were discovered across all of the drills.

Automatic disease detection

The data for each date was classified using the OBIA software InterImage (v1.43; Camargo et al. 2012), using the NDVI thresholds already set and utilising the TA Arithmetic operator to denote vegetation (=> NDVI threshold), areas of shadow (Red+Green+Blue+NIR $\setminus 4 \le 2000$) and potato flowers (< NDVI threshold, Brightness => 15000). Vegetation and potato flowers were combined and shadows removed to give the total vegetation for each sensing date. All of the emergence points previously detected were buffered by 7.5 cm in order to create emergence point regions of interest (eROI) and as each eROI could contain more than one emergence point, the centre of each eROI was marked as the location of a plant. Plant regions of interest (pROI) were then created by delineating an area around each plant point using Thiessen polygons, clipped to a maximum of 60 cm from each plant point (pROI are essentially the growing space allocated to each plant). Another model was built in ArcGIS that identified the change in vegetation within each pROI between successive sensing dates and the total vegetation cover within each eROI for each sensing date. A plant was marked as diseased if it showed a reduction of 6% or more within its pROI between successive dates, or if it showed a change greater than -6% but less than 12% in its pROI and its eROI showed less than 99.9% cover (a possible indicator of the breakup of the canopy).

Results

Measures of accuracy for detecting emergence and disease used error matrices to produce Kappa coefficient values that consider the actual agreement between classes whilst taking into consideration the possibility of agreement by chance, with values of 0.85 or higher being considered as an effective level of accuracy (Foody, 2002).

Emergence

The ground truth conducted on the 14/7/2016 identified two extra plants (left over tubers had been planted) and one non-emerged plant (potentially caused by early black leg infection), giving an expected 385 emerged plants. 385 emerged plants were detected using both methods however two cases of non-emergence and three extra plants were discovered. From the manual method 69% of the plants had emerged by the 2/6/2016 and all plants had emerged by the 13/6/2016. The automatic method differed slightly as it identified 13 plants at an earlier date and 7 later (the last on the 21/6/2016) but the emergence identification per date accuracy was high, with a TA of 95%, and K of 0.88.

Disease detection

As the emergence analysis detected anomalies with the ground truth and remotely sensed data that extended beyond the ground truth date, it was decided to show accuracies of disease detection against both the original (GT) and an amended ground truth (AGT) that accounted for the emergence anomalies and plants that showed obvious signs of disease on the 19/7/2016. By the 14/7/2016 the ground truth had identified 85 diseased plants, which rose to 98 after the amendments for the 19/7/2016, with both the manual method (MAN) identifying 80 and the automatic method (AUTO) identifying 75 by the 19/7/2016 (all excluding the cases of non-emergence). Disease detection accuracies for both methods can be seen in Table 1, but when comparing GT vs MAN, 14 plants were false positives and 19 diseased plants were missed, however there were 0 false positives and 18 missed diseased plants when comparing AGT vs MAN. The comparison between GT vs AUTO revealed 20 false positives and 30 missed diseased plants, which changed to 10 false positives and 33 missed plants when comparing AGT vs AUTO.

Table 1: Disease detection accuracy of manual (MAN) and automatic (AUTO) methods verses original (GT) and amended ground truth (AGT), showing expected number of diseased plants (E), observed number of diseased plants (O), correctly identified diseased plants (C), Producers (PA), Users (UA) and Total accuracy (TA), along with Kappa statistic.

Disease detection comparison	Е	0	С	PA	UA	ТА	Kappa
GT vs MAN by 07/19/2016	85	80	66	78 %	83 %	91 %	0.75
AGT vs MAN by 07/19/2016	98	80	80	82 %	100 %	95 %	0.87
GT vs AUTO by 07/19/2016	85	75	55	65 %	73 %	87 %	0.61
AGT vs AUTO by 07/19/2016	98	75	65	66 %	87 %	89 %	0.68

As the remotely sensed data extended until the 29/7/2016, comparisons were also made to determine how well the automatic method compared to the manual (with the manual method

effectively being the ground truth). When looking simply at the presence of diseased plants by the 29/7/2016, 18 false positives were detected and 29 diseased plants missed, with UA 83%, PA 75%, TA 88% and K 0.70. However, when looking at the presence of diseased plants per date the accuracy diminishes (TA 79%, K 0.57) as the automatic method tends to not detect disease until the date following manual method detection.

Discussion

From the emergence results we can say that a simple automatic method based on a detection threshold can prove effective, however in this trial the tubers had been placed by hand and so were well spaced, plus weed control had been effective with practically no non-potato vegetation present within the emergence period. Spacing of tubers and weed control are important factors to ensure effective growth within the crop (Bussan *et al.* 2007), but less optimal conditions in these factors may decrease the effectiveness of automatic detection. When visually assessing the imagery for emergence and diseased plants, the high resolution and ability to quickly view before and after images of the same drill aided the assessor and allowed judgements to be made with a good level of accuracy that would likely improve as the assessor became more experienced. However this would likely be a tedious over a much larger number of plots and is still not as effective as performing a ground assessment especially as only damage to the canopy can be detected, which are often symptoms shown in the later stages of disease such as blackleg (Czajkowski *et al.* 2011).

The automatic method for disease detection needs to be improved before it could be said that it could be truly useful, and one major area that was missing was the use of the DSM to show the heights of vegetation within each drill. Issues were encountered when trying to process the DSM into height data (too much variance) that could have been due to not using enough GCPs or the accuracy of the Piksi GPS not being sufficient but if it had been good enough to use then it may well have improved the accuracy of the automatic method as a loss in canopy height could also indicate the presence of disease. Height data could also help discriminate between two connected potato plant canopies and may be a more effective method than delineating hard boundaries using Thiessen polygons, especially as some of the missed diseased plants were due to their neighbours spreading into the pROI of a diseased plant, confusing the analysis. The operator used as part of the OBIA of the drills is essentially just thresholding the data and so barely used the potential power of analysis that is available, however this route was taken as the model is to be tested and improved against data from different trials that had been collected at the same time, where the presence of weeds poses a significant challenge compared to this trial.

Data collection using a UAV is fairly swift compared to visually inspecting a crop on the ground and ideally surveys should be carried out regularly, especially to capture the emergence period before canopy closure. However processing does take time and in this case the use of RAW imagery made for lengthier processing but RAW was preferred as it allows much more flexibility in how an image is reproduced, allowing linear transformations that are more applicable for quantitative analysis and much better highlight recovery for visual analysis (Verhoeven, 2008). Ideally surveys should be conducted on days with stable ambient light conditions (Rasmussen *et al.* 2016) however this is not always possible if trying to collect data regularly, meaning some datasets might be of lesser quality but still more useful than not having

any data at all. The datasets were manually thresholded as efforts to normalise brightness across datasets was not satisfactory so the use of automatic thresholding as demonstrated by Rabatel *et al.* (2014) will be investigated in the future to see if it can separate potato canopy form the soil effectively. As can be seen in Figure 2 (a, b), being able to visualise the spread of the disease over time within drills could be advantageous in understanding the start and spread of disease within a drill and its neighbours (Skelsey *et al.* 2016) and having image data covering the entire growing period allows for cross referencing with ground based data, potentially improving trials analysis.



Figure 2. (a) Disease detected from the ground truth (14/7/2016); (b) Disease detected per date until 29/7/2016 using the manual assessment method.

Conclusions

Visual analysis of UAV derived aerial imagery using COTS digital cameras is an effective method of detecting disease but not the specific infection. Automated analysis could help flag up potential points of disease but are not yet sensitive enough to be completely relied upon.

Acknowledgments

S Gibson-Poole would like to thank Scotland's Rural College for funding his PhD.

References

Berra, E., Gibson-Poole, S., MacArthur, A., Gaulton, R., & Hamilton, A. (2015). Estimation of the spectral sensitivity functions of un-modified and modified commercial off-the-shelf digital cameras to enable their use as a multispectral imaging system for UAVs. *The International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 40(1), 207.

Bussan, A. J., Mitchell, P. D., Copas, M. E., & Drilias, M. J. (2007). Evaluation of the effect of

density on potato yield and tuber size distribution. Crop Science, 47(6), 2462-2472.

- Camargo, F. F., Almeida, C. M., Costa, G. A. O. P., Feitosa, R. Q., Oliveira, D. A. B., Heipke, C., & Ferreira, R. S. (2012). An open source object-based framework to extract landform classes. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(1), 541-554.
- Coffin, D. (2016). DCRAW Application. Available at: http://www.cybercom.net/~dcoffin/dcraw/ (accessed 12/12/2016)
- Charkowski, A. O. (2015). Biology and control of *Pectobacterium* in potato. *American Journal* of *Potato Research*, 92(2), 223-229.
- Czajkowski, R., Perombelon, M. C., van Veen, J. A., & van der Wolf, J. M. (2011). Control of blackleg and tuber soft rot of potato caused by *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* species: a review. *Plant pathology*, 60(6), 999-1013.
- Foody, G. M. (2002). Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote sensing of environment*, 80(1), 185-201.
- Niemann, T. (2016). PTLens Application. Available at: http://epaperpress.com/ptlens/index.html (accessed 12/12/2016)
- Pérombelon, M. C. M. (2002). Potato diseases caused by soft rot erwinias: an overview of pathogenesis. *Plant Pathology*, 51(1), 1-12.
- Rabatel, G., Gorretta, N., & Labbé, S. (2014). Getting simultaneous red and near-infrared band data from a single digital camera for plant monitoring applications: Theoretical and practical study. *Biosystems Engineering*, 117, pp. 2-14
- Rasmussen, J., Ntakos, G., Nielsen, J., Svensgaard, J., Poulsen, R. N., & Christensen, S. (2016). Are vegetation indices derived from consumer-grade cameras mounted on UAVs sufficiently reliable for assessing experimental plots? *European Journal of Agronomy*, 74, 75-92.
- Rouse Jr, J., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., & Deering, D. W. (1974). Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. *NASA special publication*, 351, 309.
- Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T. & Tinevez, J. Y. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature methods*, 9(7), 676-682.
- Shahbazi, M., Théau, J., & Ménard, P. (2014). Recent applications of unmanned aerial imagery in natural resource management. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 51(4), 339-365.
- Skelsey P, Elphinstone JG, Saddler GS, Wale SJ and Toth IK. 2016. Spatial analysis of blacklegaffected seed potato crops in Scotland. *Plant Pathol*. 65, 570-576.
- Sugiura, R., Tsuda, S., Tamiya, S., Itoh, A., Nishiwaki, K., Murakami, N., & Nuske, S. (2016). Field phenotyping system for the assessment of potato late blight resistance using RGB imagery from an unmanned aerial vehicle. *Biosystems Engineering*, 148, 1-10.
- Toth, I. K., Van Der Wolf, J. M., Saddler, G., Lojkowska, E., Hélias, V., Pirhonen, M., & Elphinstone, J. G. (2011). *Dickeya* species: an emerging problem for potato production in Europe. *Plant Pathology*, 60(3), 385-399.
- Verhoeven, G.J.J. (2010). It's all about the format-unleashing the power of RAW aerial photography. Int. *J. Remote Sens.*, 31(8), pp. 2009-2042
- Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. (2012). The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: a review. *Precision agriculture*, 13(6), pp. 693-712
- Zhou, J., Pavek, M. J., Shelton, S. C., Holden, Z. J., & Sankaran, S. (2016). Aerial multispectral imaging for crop hail damage assessment in potato. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 127, 406-412.