Pure

Scotland's Rural College

Litter chemistry influences earthworm effects on soil carbon loss and microbial carbon acquisition

Zheng, Y; Wang, S; Bonkowski, M; Chen, X; Griffiths, BS; Hu, F; Liu, M

Published in: Soil Biology and Biochemistry

DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.05.012

First published: 29/05/2018

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Zheng, Y., Wang, S., Bonkowski, M., Chen, X., Griffiths, BS., Hu, F., & Liu, M. (2018). Litter chemistry influences earthworm effects on soil carbon loss and microbial carbon acquisition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 123, 105 - 114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.05.012

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Highlights

- 1. Earthworms reduce POC and SOC but have no effects on PON and TN under low lignin litters.
- 2. Earthworms decrease resource availability under low lignin litters therefore stimulate microbial competition for C.
- 3. Earthworms induce C loss mainly due to decreasing soil fungi abundance.

1	Type of co	ntribution:	Original	research	paper
-	- /				

- 2 Date of resubmission: 10th May 2018
- 3 Text pages: 32; Tables: 3; Figures: 8
- 4 Lines: 704; Words: 7743
- 5

6 Litter chemistry influences earthworm effects on soil carbon loss and microbial

- 7 carbon acquisition
- 8 Yong Zheng ^{a,b}, Shuai Wang ^{a,b}, Michael Bonkowski ^c, Xiaoyun Chen ^{a,b}, Bryan
- 9 Griffiths ^d, Feng Hu^{a,b}, Manqiang Liu^{a,b,*}

10

- ^a Soil Ecology Lab, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing
 Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China
- 14 ^b Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource
- 15 Utilization & Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Solid Organic Waste Utilization, China
- ^c Center of Excellence in Plant Sciences (CEPLAS), Terrestrial Ecology, Institute of
- 17 Zoology, University of Cologne, D-50674 Köln, Germany
- ^d SRUC, Crop and Soil Systems Research Group, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK
- 19

20 Abbreviations: NL, no litter; CL, clover; MA, maize stover; WH, wheat straw; RU,

21 Rumex; BA, bagasse fiber; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; DON, dissolved organic

- 22 nitrogen; POC, particulate organic carbon; PON, particulate organic nitrogen; SOC,
- 23 soil organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; MBC, microbial biomass carbon; MBN,
- 24 microbial biomass nitrogen.
- 25 *Corresponding author: Manqiang Liu
- 26 Email address: liumq@njau.edu.cn and manqiang-liu@163.com

27 Abstract

Earthworms could affect soil C and N cycling process to balance their energy and 28 nutrients requirements, and they could also regulate soil microbial community 29 structure and microbial acquisition for C and N. However, the connection between 30 faunal and microbial stoichiometry in the coupling soil C and N cycling remains 31 poorly understood. In a controlled laboratory experiment, we amended soil with five 32 33 litters differing in litter chemistry (clover, maize stover, wheat straw, Rumex and bagasse fiber) including a no litter control and treated them without or with 34 35 earthworms (Metaphire guillelmi). After 90 d incubation, we examined changes in earthworm tissue and microbial stoichiometry and different soil C and N fractions. 36 Earthworm tissue C content was rather stable compared with the fluctuation in tissue 37 38 N, implying that C is under stronger control and associated with higher demand than N. The presence of earthworm significantly enhanced CO₂ emissions and decreased 39 particulate organic carbon (POC) and soil organic carbon (SOC) contents in the low 40 lignin litter species clover, maize stover and wheat straw. Meanwhile, earthworm 41 presence increased N₂O cumulative emissions but exerted negligible effects on 42 particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and soil total nitrogen (TN) contents irrespective 43 of litter species. Correspondingly, earthworm regulated microbial C and N acquisition 44 as C to N-degrading enzyme activity ratio were nearly doubled in the low lignin litter 45 46 species clover, maize stover and wheat straw, while it was decreased in the high lignin litter species *Rumex* and bagasse fiber. However, the structural equation modeling 47 indicated C loss induced by earthworms was mainly attributed to their effects on soil 48 49 fungi and bacteria abundance, while much less related to C-degrading enzyme activities. In conclusion, litter species controlled earthworm effects on soil C and N 50 loss and associated microbial acquisition for C and N, highlighting the pivotal role of 51

52	resource chemistry in the regulation of soil fauna impact on soil functioning an	ıd
53	ecosystem services.	

54

- 55 Keywords: Soil fauna; Litter chemistry; C and N fractions; Earthworm-microbe
- 56 competition; Enzyme activities
- 57

59 **1. Introduction**

Litter is an important resource providing one of the main sources of energy and 60 nutrients for the soil food web (Wardle et al., 2004). Litter chemistry regulates growth 61 62 and metabolism of soil biota and associated energy flows and nutrient cycling in terrestrial ecosystems (Scheu and Schaefer, 1998; Cornwell et al., 2008; Ott et al., 63 2014; Bradford et al., 2016; Cesarz et al., 2016). Litter species with high 64 concentration of accessible organic compounds could significantly stimulate 65 microbial activities and accelerate C and N mineralization (Hobbie, 2015). Therefore, 66 67 soil fauna are likely to be most beneficial for the decomposition of litter species with high recalcitrant compounds. Nevertheless, earlier studies have indicated that higher 68 resource availability litters could favor soil fauna utilization (Yatso and Lilleskov, 69 70 2016). So far, the interactions between soil fauna and litter chemistry and the 71 consequences for C and N turnovers are not well understood.

It is well-known that burrowing, feeding and casting activities of earthworms 72 73 affect C and N cycling by regulating soil microbial and biochemical process (Lavelle, 1988; Edwards, 2004; Blouin et al., 2013; van Groenigen et al., 2014; Bertrand et al., 74 2015). Earthworms can stimulate a small proportion of C and N gaseous loss by their 75 respiration and gut-associated process (Scheu, 1991; Horn et al., 2003; Edwards, 76 77 2004). More importantly, earthworms facilitate microbial mineralization of labile 78 organic substrates and greenhouse gas emissions by releasing C and N locked away in plant litter and soil organic matter (Bernard et al., 2012; Lubbers et al., 2013). Besides, 79 earthworms showed stoichiometric invariability according to an investigative research 80 81 conducted on different experimental plantations (Marichal et al., 2011). To balance their requirements for C and N, earthworms might have distinct strategies for C or N 82 83 mining. Few studies applied stoichiometric principles when interpreting the combined

effects of earthworms and microorganisms on biogeochemical cycling (Tiunov and Scheu 2004; Marichal et al. 2011; Fahey et al., 2013). Understanding the role of soil faunal stoichiometry would improve our knowledge about the functional roles of earthworm in soil C and N cycling.

Soil microbes produce extracellular enzymes to break down complex organic 88 matter compounds and acquire bioavailable C and N (Sinsabaugh et al., 2002; Waring 89 et al., 2013). The relative abundance of enzymes involved in C and N cycling reflects 90 the biogeochemical equilibrium between microbial biomass stoichiometry as well as 91 92 the quantity and quality of organic matter (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah, 2012). Recently, Hoang et al. (2016) described distinct strategies of earthworms for 93 re-allocating C- and N-related enzyme activities in order to acquire the resource in the 94 95 shortest supply relative to their requirements. However, there are still two seemingly contradictory mechanisms explaining how earthworms affect microbial enzyme 96 activities. As higher demand for the product can promote enzyme activities (Bell et al., 97 2013; Manzoni et al., 2017), earthworm could utilize available C and/or N, therefore 98 increase microbial C- and/or N-mining activities to compensate for earthworm 99 competition. On the other hand, earthworms could enhance substrate availability, 100 hence stimulate microbial C-mining activities, as Allison et al. (2014) indicated low 101 102 substrate availability could suppress the production of an enzyme. So far, there is still 103 a lack of knowledge regarding how earthworms influence microbial stoichiometry and the linkage with earthworm-induced C and N changes. 104

To explore whether faunal and microbial stoichiometry help to explain the mechanisms of earthworm-driven soil C and N turnover, we performed a factorial experiment with different litter species combined with or without earthworms. Different C and N fractions as well as the CO₂ and N₂O flux were measured.

109 Particulate organic C and N which are characteristic of intermediately decomposed plant litter, were used to express earthworm-induced litter C and N losses as they are 110 much more sensitive than total soil organic C and N (Cambardella and Elliott, 1992; 111 Benbi et al., 2014). Microbial biomass, microbial community structure and enzyme 112 activities were also determined to explore the stoichiometric mechanisms underlying 113 the effects of earthworms on soil C and N changes. The C-degrading enzyme 114 activities including α -1,4-glucosidase (AG), β -1,4-glucosidase (BG), and β -D-115 cellobiohydrolase (CB) and N-degrading enzyme activities 116 including 117 β -1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) and leucine aminopeptidase (LAP) were measured. The ratio of C- to N-degrading enzyme activities was used as indicators of 118 microbial resources demand between C and N (Waring et al., 2013). We hypothesized 119 120 that (i) earthworm effects on C and N pools vary with plant chemistry, for example high resource availability litters (low C:N ratio, low lignin content and high soluble 121 compounds) could favor earthworms utilization compared to the high recalcitrant 122 compounds litters (high C:N ratio, high lignin content and low soluble compounds), 123 therefore reinforce earthworm effects on C and N cycling process; (ii) increased 124 microbial mining for C (or N) will be reflected by shifts in the relevant enzyme 125 activities as well as shifts in microbial community structure to favor bacteria over 126 fungi (or vice versa). 127

128

129 2. Materials and methods

130 2.1. Experimental set-up

The endogeic earthworm *Metaphire guillelmi* was collected from an arable field rotated with soybean, maize and different kinds of vegetables each year in Rudong county (32°33′N, 121°15′E), Jiangsu, China. To avoid the earthworm cast from

diminishing the effects of earthworms in the following experiment, soil was collected from the top 5-20 cm layer. The background soil properties were soil pH (water:soil 2.5:1) 6.5, 30.0% sand, 63.5% silt, 6.5% clay, 13.9 g of organic C kg⁻¹ and 0.7 g of total N kg⁻¹. The soil was sieved (< 2 mm) and all visible debris and fauna were removed before the incubation experiment.

This experiment was set up with a two-way factorial design (earthworm \times litters), 139 with five litters including residues of clover (Trifolium repens L.), maize stover (Zea 140 mays L.), wheat straw (Triticum aestivum L.), Rumex (Rumex japonicus Houtt.), 141 bagasse fiber (Saccharum officinarum) and a control (no litter input) and across 142 earthworm treatments (with or without earthworms). Litters are abbreviated as 143 following: clover (CL), maize stover (MA), wheat straw (WH), Rumex (RU) and 144 145 bagasse fiber (BA). Each treatment had five replicates leading to 60 experimental units in total. The selected litters spanned a gradient of litter chemistry (Table 1). 146 Litters were collected from the same location as earthworms and subsequently dried at 147 60 °C for 24 h and milled and sieved (1 mm mesh). Each litter was added at a rate 148 equivalent to 10.0 g litter C kg⁻¹ dry soil. Litters were homogeneously mixed with soil 149 to separate the litter-mixing effect of earthworms from the stoichiometric effects. 150 After 10 d of pre-incubation, three adult earthworms with a total fresh weight of 7.5g 151 were added to each microcosm. Litter C and N concentrations were determined by 152 153 potassium dichromate oxidation-ferrous sulphate titration and the Kjeldahl digestion with sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide, respectively (Sparks et al, 1996). Litter 154 soluble C and N were obtained by extracting 3.0 g air-dried litter in 30 mL distilled 155 water (20°C, 30 min), then centrifuged (3500 rpm, 20 min) and filtered through 156 0.45-µm cellulose nitrate membrane filter (Ghani et al., 2003), then determined using 157 a TOC analyser (Elementar, Germany) and a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar, Breda, 158

The Netherlands), respectively. Cellulose and lignin were determined using a Fibertec
System 2021 FiberCap (Foss Tecator, Höganäs, Sweden) following the procedures
described by Soest et al. (1967).

The microcosms were incubated in a climate chamber at 25/15 °C day/nighttime 162 and 12/12 h light/dark periods. The microcosms were composed of a polyvinyl 163 chloride (PVC) pot (15 cm height, 15 cm diameter), holding 2.0 kg dry equivalent soil 164 165 which was adjusted to 60% water-holding capacity, and watered weekly to constant weight with distilled water to compensate for evaporation losses. The pots were 166 167 covered with nylon mesh (1 mm) to keep the earthworms in the microcosms (Fig. S1). Before introducing earthworms to the corresponding microcosms, earthworms were 168 placed in a plastic container spread with wet filter paper for 48 hours to evacuate their 169 170 guts (Dalby et al., 1996).

171 *2.2. Element content of earthworm tissue*

To calculate the survival rates and biomass changes relative to initial values, earthworms were washed in distilled water and again kept on wet filter paper for 48 h to void their guts. Earthworms of each microcosm were freeze-dried and ground into powder (Marichal et al., 2011). Earthworm body tissue C and N concentrations were determined at the end of the experiment, following the methods similarly to litter C and N.

178 2.3. Determination of C and N fractions

Nitrate (NO₃⁻-N) was extracted from soil with 50 mL of 2 M KCl after shaking (30 min) and filtering and determined using a continuous flow analyzer (Skalar, Breda,
The Netherlands). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON) were
extracted from fresh soil with ultrapure water (at 2:1 w/w water:soil) and centrifuged
at 3000 rpm for 5 min, then determined similar to litter soluble C and N. Particulate

organic matter was separated by dispersing 10 g air-dried soil in 30 mL of 5 g L^{-1} sodium hexametaphosphate solution, shaking for 15 h on a reciprocal shaker, then collected on a 53 µm sieve after thorough washing with distilled water. After drying (60 °C) and grinding by mortar and pestle, the powder was analysed for particulate organic C (POC) and N (PON) (Cambardella and Elliott, 1992). SOC and POC were measured using the Walkley and Black method, while TN and PON were measured by Kjeldahl digestion (Sparks et al, 1996).

191 CO_2 and N_2O samples were collected after earthworms were introduced to the 192 microcosm on average every four days during the 90 d incubation by capping the 193 microcosm by a lid with a septum, and taking gas samples from the chamber 194 headspace 0 min and 45 min after closure (Fig. S1). The 20 ml collected gas samples 195 were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, USA) equipped with a 196 63Ni electron capture detector. The gas chromatograph setup and configuration were 197 described in detail by Wu et al. (2015).

198 2.4. Microbial community indices

Soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) were determined by the fumigation-extraction method. Briefly, soil samples were divided into two subsamples, of which one subsample was extracted with 0.5M K₂SO₄ directly and another subsample was extracted after 24 hours chloroform fumigation. MBC and MBN were calculated from the extracted organic C and N by multiplication factors of 0.38 and 0.45, respectively (Brookes et al., 1985; Vance et al., 1987).

Microbial community structure was determined by analysis of phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) based on the method described by Bossio and Scow (1998). Lipids were extracted from 10.0 g freeze-dried soil with a chloroform-methanol-citrated buffer mixture (25 mL at a 1:2:0.8 by volume). The lipid extract was separated into neutral 209 lipids, glycolipids and phospholipids on silicic acid columns. Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAMEs) were quantified with nonadecanoic acid as internal standard and 210 analyzed with a gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA), and 211 212 MIDI Sherlock software (MIDI, Inc., Newark, DE, USA) was used to identify peaks. A total of 22 different PLFAs were detected and identified. The biomass of bacteria 213 was determined using the combined weights of fatty acids i-14:0, i-15:0, a-15:0, 16:0, 214 i-16:0, i-17:0, a-17:0, 16:1007c, cy-17:0007c, 18:1007c, while the two PLFA biomarkers 215 10me-16:0 and 10me-18:0 were used to quantify actinomycetes (Ruess and 216 217 Chamberlain, 2010). Fungal PLFA was determined as the sum of $18:1\omega9c$ and $18:2\omega6$ (Frostegård and Bååth, 1996). 218

219 2.5. Enzyme activities

220 Potential extracellular enzyme activities related to total C- and N-cycling were quantified by high throughput fluorometric assay in 96-well microtiter plates (Bell et 221 al., 2013). Briefly, a homogenized soil slurry was prepared by shaking 2.75 g of field 222 moist soil in 91 ml of 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 6.8) in an Erlenmerver flask 223 for 1 h. 800 µl soil slurry each were pipetted into a 96-deep-well (2 ml) micro-plate. 224 Additional quench control replicates of soil slurry and 4-methylumbellfferone (MUB) 225 or 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (MUC) standard curves (0-100 µM concentrations) 226 were included with each sample. α -1,4-glucosidase (AG), β -1,4-glucosidase (BG), and 227 enzymesand 228 β-Dcellobiohydrolase (CB)represented C-degrading leucine β -1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG) and aminopeptidase (LAP) 229 represented N-degrading enzymes (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah, 2012). Soil slurries 230 231 with fluorometric substrates were sealed and incubated at 25°C for 3 h, centrifuged for 3 min at 2900 g, and 250 µl from each well transferred into corresponding wells of 232 a black, flat-bottomed, 96-well plate and scanned on a TECAN Infinite M200 233

microplate reader at 365 nm and emission at 450 nm. Excitation values were converted to nmol enzyme activity g^{-1} dry soil h^{-1} as units. The sum of AG + BG + CB was calculated as a measure of overall C-degrading enzyme activity and the sum of NAG + LAP was used to reflect overall N-degrading enzyme activity (Bell et al., 2013).

239 2.6. Data analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out in R Version 3.3.0 (Team, 2013). To test our 240 first hypothesis, a two-way ANOVA was performed to test for the main and 241 242 interactive effects of earthworms and litter species on soil properties, followed by Turkey's HSD test. The earthworm respired C were roughly estimated at 1.1% of 243 earthworm C per day according to Scheu, (1991). Structure equation modeling was 244 245 performed using package lavaan (Rosseel, 2012) in R to evaluate how earthworms affect soil respiration by influcing resource availability and microbial communities. 246 The biomass of earthworm at the end of incubation and litter C:N ratio were used as 247 independent variable. Microbial community structure was indicated using fungal and 248 bacterial PLFA and microbial activity was indicated by AG, BG and CB. The 249 adequacy of models was determined using Chi-squared (χ^2) test, the comparative fit 250 index (CFI) and the standardised root mean square residual (SRMR). To test the 251 second hypothesis that earthworms-induced soil C or N losses were related to enzyme 252 253 activities, ln(AG + BG + CB):ln(NAG + LAP) for each litter was calculated as an index of microbial C:N acquisition effort (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah, 2012). A 254 ratio of C- to N-degrading enzyme activities greater than one indicated that microbe 255 256 had to increase their enzymatic activity to obtain C relatively to N. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) on Bray-Curtis distances of microbial communities 257 was performed under the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2018) to distinguish soil 258

259 microbial community structure influenced by earthworms and litters. Data were 260 natural log- or square root-transformed to achieve normality and homoscedasticity 261 when necessary. Results were expressed by means and standard errors (SE).

262

263 **3. Results**

264 *3.1. Earthworm growth and tissue element content*

All earthworms survived after 90 d and their biomass increased from 101.3% to 148.1% 265 with litter amendment compared to their initial weight, but only remained 70.6% of 266 267 their initial weight in the no litter treatment (Table 2). Earthworm biomass was the highest when the maize stover was mixed into soil (Table 2). Earthworm C content 268 varied in a narrow range from 29.5 \pm 0.5 to 30.5 \pm 0.2 % dry mass and was not 269 270 affected by litter treatments, while earthworm N content was significantly higher under clover than the other litters (Table 2). Earthworm tissue C:N ratio ranged from 271 3.52 ± 0.09 to 3.89 ± 0.08 , and the slope of the earthworm C:N to soil C:N 272 significantly deviated from the 1:1 line (P < 0.05; Fig. 1). 273

274 3.2. Effects of earthworms on soil C and N fractions

The presence of earthworms increased cumulative CO_2 emissions between (14.3 % to 275 64.8%) and N₂O emissions (between 3.2% to 48.7%) across all litter species (Fig. 2). 276 $NO_3^{-}-N$ was generally in the presence of earthworms regardless of litter species, while 277 278 DON was decreased in the presence of earthworms except the two high lignin litters *Rumex* and bagasse fiber (Table S1). Earthworms further decreased DOC under maize 279 stover compared to the corresponding no earthworm treatment (P < 0.05; Table S1). 280 281 Compared to the earthworm free control, earthworm presence decreased SOC and POC, leading to a decreased SOC:TN ratio when clover, maize stover and wheat 282 straw were amended to the soil (Table 3, Fig. 3). Meanwhile, the presence of 283

earthworms had negligible effects on PON and TN irrespective of litter species (Table3, Fig. 3).

286 3.3. Effects of earthworms on microbial stoichiometry and the microbial community

The MBC:MBN ratio varied two-fold between the no litter treatment and the bagasse 287 fiber treatment in absence of earthworms (Fig. 4). The presence of earthworms 288 generally enhanced all measured C- and N-related enzyme activities (Fig. 5). 289 Specifically, total C-degrading enzyme activity was increased 69% to 97% by 290 earthworms under clover, maize stover and wheat straw, while total N-degrading 291 292 enzyme activity was generally enhanced by earthworms from 3% to 33% across all litters species (Fig. S3). Earthworms increased the ratio of C- to N-degrading enzyme 293 activities when clover, maize stover and wheat straw mixed into soil, while the ratio 294 295 was decreased by earthworms under *Rumex* and bagasse fiber (Fig. 6).

Earthworms changed microbial community structure by influencing the relative abundance of gram positive bacteria, actinomycetes, and fungi (Table S3). Earthworm presence decreased the fungi:bacteria ratio under maize stover, wheat straw and *Rumex*, but increased it under bagasse fiber (P < 0.05; Table S3). NMDS analysis confirmed a significant effect of earthworms on microbial community structure (P < 0.01, Fig. 7).

302 *3.4. Structural equation modeling results*

The final model adequately fit the data on soil respiration (χ^2_{11} =36.565, CFI= 0.906, SRMR= 0.080). It explained 98% and 49% of resource availability and C-degrading enzyme activity, respectively. Fungi, Bacteria and C loss were explained 51%, 23% and 83%, respectively (Fig. 8). Earthworm had a direct positive effect on C-degrading enzyme activity and a negative effect on resource availability, and the presence of earthworms showed an opposite effect on fungi and bacteria abundance (Fig. 8). Soil C loss was mainly attributed to the reduction of soil fungi abundance (Fig. 8).

310

311 **4. Discussion**

312 This study focused on how litter chemistry modify earthworm effects on soil C and N turnover as well as associated microbial process. The presence of earthworms 313 translated into higher C-degrading enzyme activity, greater C mineralization and C 314 315 loss, except in the two low soluble compound and high lignin litter species (Rumex and bagasse fiber). The SEM indicated that earthworm effects on C loss was mainly 316 317 attributed to their effects on soil microbial community structure, while much less related to C-degrading enzyme activity. However, earthworm controlled microbial 318 C:N acquisition effort as C to N-degrading enzyme activity ratio were significantly 319 320 increased by earthworms in the low lignin litter species (clover, maize stover and wheat straw), while it was decreased in the high lignin litter species (Rumex and 321 bagasse fiber). This highlights the role of litter chemistry in regulating earthworm 322 impact on C and N cycling as well as related microbial stoichiometry. 323

4.1. Litter chemistry affected earthworm growth and tissue stoichiometry

Litter chemistry is a primary controller of earthworm utilization, with litter 325 characterized by low N and high lignin content generally described as recalcitrant. 326 Our study confirmed the significant role of litter chemistry in driving earthworm 327 328 biomass, as indicated by earlier studies (Yatso and Lilleskov, 2016; Halvorson et al., 2017; Sauvadet et al., 2017). Five different litter species from clover to bagasse fiber 329 generally showed an increasing trend for C:N ratio, cellulose and lignin concentration 330 331 and a declining trend for soluble C and N. However, contrary to our prediction that earthworm growth would show an linear correlation from clover to bagasse fiber. 332 Earthworm biomass increased to a lesser extent with the most N rich and the lowest 333

lignin concentration clover litter in comparison to the other litter species. One possible
explanation is that beyond nutrient concentration earthworm growth might also
constrained by other elements (such as P, Ca and Mg) or plant secondary metabolites
(such as phenolics and condensed tannins) (Hättenschwiler and Jørgensen, 2010;
Cesarz et al., 2016).

Stoichiometric homeostasis of organisms refers to a relatively stable elemental 339 340 composition regardless of environmental imbalances in nutrient availability (Elser and Urabe, 1999). The linear relationship between earthworm tissue C:N ratio relative to 341 342 soil C:N ratio indicated the plasticity of earthworm tissue stoichiometry. More interestingly, earthworm tissue C content was rather stable compared with the 343 fluctuation in tissue N (Table 2), which implied that C is under stronger control and 344 345 associated with higher demand than N (Persson et al., 2010). Earthworms were not be able to increase feeding rates to compensate for the physiological costs for acquiring 346 C and N under bagasse fiber. Meanwhile, litter species with greater content of 347 available resource favored earthworm effects on C and N cycling compared to high 348 lignin litter species. In brief, the fluctuation in earthworm tissue N suggesting 349 earthworm could have a greater influence on soil C compared to N, while the high 350 lignin litters constrained earthworm utilization and therefore might diminish 351 earthworm effects on C cycling process. 352

4.2. Earthworm-driven loss of different soil C fractions

The changes of different soil C and N fractions revealed clear patterns of the earthworms in acquiring necessary C and/or N under different litter species. Earthworm presence increased N₂O gas emissions and this is consistent with earlier studies showing that earthworms enhance nitrification, subsequent NO_3^- -N levels in soil, and further stimulate N₂O emissions (Scheu, 1994; Whalen and Parmelee, 2000;

Drake and Horn, 2007; Wu et al., 2015). Although earthworms increased gaseous N 359 losses, they did not decrease PON and TN, further strengthening the argument that 360 earthworms did not incorporate these N pools as indicated by Lubbers et al. (2013). 361 Moreover, as dissolved organic matter could deliver bioavailable C and N to soil biota 362 (Cleveland et al., 2004; Dittman et al., 2007), the reduction in readily available C and 363 N sources by earthworms in the clover, maize stover and wheat straw treatment 364 bolstered the functional role of earthworm as bioavailable C- and N-consumer. 365 Meanwhile, in the presence of bagasse fiber, earthworm mineralized plant litter and 366 367 soil organic matter therefore enhanced DOC and DON levels, indicating earthworm effects on labile organic C and N were dependent on litter chemistry. 368

So far, there is still uncertainty regarding to earthworm impacts on soil C pools. 369 370 Earthworms might concomitantly enhance C stabilization as well as mineralization process (Bossuyt et al., 2005; Bernard et al., 2012). For example, earthworm could 371 incorporate labile organic matter into stable micro-aggregates in their casts thereby 372 promoting C sequestration (Zhang et al., 2013). Other studies in contrast 373 demonstrated a stimulation of microbial C mineralization and a loss of SOC by 374 earthworms when the earthworms mixed litter into soil (Crumsey et al., 2013; 375 Groffman et al. 2015). The paradox might result from the fact that the published 376 studies did not distinguish earthworm influence on the labile and recalcitrant C pool 377 378 which could explain the magnitude of earthworm-induced C mineralization or stabilization (Bossuyt et al., 2005; Bernard et al., 2012; Crumsey et al., 2013; Zhang 379 et al., 2013). It was recently shown that earthworm assimilated more litter-derived C 380 381 than they defecated in soil aggregates (Lubbers et al., 2017). In a meta-analysis, Lubbers et al. (2013) found that earthworms significantly increase CO₂ emission, but 382 there were no indications that earthworms affect soil C pool due to the large 383

background of soil C. In contrast to our study, the earthworm-induced C loss was significant in the presence of low lignin litter species. Such conflict can be explained by the abundant litters mixed into the soil therefore providing a huge amount of relatively less stable C.

388 4.3. Earthworm changed microbial acquisition for C

Earthworms changed the soil C- and N-degrading enzyme activities and microbial 389 community structure, but microbial biomass remained relatively constant in the 390 presence and absence of earthworms. Several studies have shown significant 391 392 enhancement of microbial biomass by earthworms, while others have found the opposite effect (Ferlian et al., 2017). This is largely due to these studies either focused 393 on differences between earthworm casts and bulk soil or the mixing of soil layers by 394 395 earthworms, as suggested by Groffman et al. (2015). The SEM revealed that earthworm effects on C loss were mainly attributed to earthworm-induced reduction 396 of soil fungi abundance, as soil fungi characterized by higher microbial growth 397 efficiency and slower degradation rate of organic matter than bacteria (Six et al., 398 2006). 399

It is generally acknowledged that earthworm stimulates the relatively inactive 400 microbial communities (as expressed in soil enzymatic activity) and accelerates soil C 401 402 and N cycling (Ferlian et al., 2017). Contrary to the common assumptions that 403 earthworms mainly facilitate microbial mineralization by releasing available C and N locked away in organic matter. In our study, we found dissolved organic C and N 404 were decreased in the presence of earthworms when clover, maize stover and wheat 405 406 straw were mixed into the soil (Table S1). Furthermore, earthworm presence significantly increased the enzyme activity per unit microbial biomass when clover, 407 maize stover and wheat straw were mixed into soil (Fig S3). In general, these results 408

409 indicated that earthworms decreased resource availability therefore stimulated microbial competition for C and N. There are three possibilities in explaining 410 earthworm could enhance C competition with soil microbes. First, earthworms 411 412 directly utilize available C in the soil by respiration and assimilation (Table S2). Second, earthworms facilitate C incorporation in soil aggregate fractions which 413 decrease accessibility to soil microbes (Bossuyt et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2016). 414 Third, earthworms increase the proportion of active microbes by the gut-associated 415 process (Drake and Horn, 2007; Bernard et al., 2012). In contrast to earlier studies 416 417 that earthworms could increase the decomposition rates of surface-applied litter therefore stimulating enzyme activity in the mineral soil, here we considered the fate 418 of plant litter once it was incorporated into the soil. In addition, in the presence of 419 420 bagasse fiber, earthworm effects on enzyme production bolstered earlier studies that 421 earthworm stimulated enzyme production by enhancing resource availability. Earthworm presence increased the ratio of C- to N- degrading enzyme activities under 422 423 clover, maize stover and wheat straw, indicating an increased enzymatic activity to obtain C relatively to N, while these effects were reversed under *Rumex* and bagasse 424 fiber. This suggested the litter chemistry controlled earthworm effects on the direction 425 of microbial investments in enzyme production. The increased index of microbial C:N 426 acquisition effort can be explained if we assume that earthworms and microbes 427 428 compete for the labile fraction of the C pool. According to our findings, earthworms would accelerate soil C turnover as found in litters like clover, maize stover and maize 429 stover, but high recalcitrant compound litters (Rumex and bagasse fiber) constrained 430 431 earthworm effects on soil C turnover and microbial C acquisition.

432

433 **5.** Conclusions

434 In our study, earthworm showed negligible effects on soil N, while litter chemistry modified earthworm effects on soil C as earthworms reduced POC and SOC under 435 high soluble compounds litter species but no significant effects under high lignin litter 436 species. The result of earthworm tissue stoichiometry also supported the idea that C 437 was under stronger control and associated with higher demand than N. The SEM 438 indicated that earthworm effects on C loss was mainly attributed to 439 earthworm-induced soil fungi abundance decrease, while much less related to 440 C-degrading enzyme activity. However, earthworm controlled microbial C:N 441 acquisition effort as C to N-degrading enzyme activity ratio was significantly 442 increased by earthworms in the low lignin litter species (clover, maize stover and 443 wheat straw), while such effect was reversed in the high lignin litter species (Rumex 444 445 and bagasse fiber). In conclusion, it is important to distinguish a recalcitrant and labile C pool in determining the functional role of soil fauna in soil biogeochemical cycling 446 (Buchkowski et al., 2017; Dias et al., 2017). 447

448

449 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (41371263 and 450 41671255), National Key R&D program (2016YFD0200305), Fundamental Research 451 Funds for the Central Universities (KYTZ201404) and Innovative Foreign Experts 452 Introduction Plan for National Key Discipline of Agricultural Resources and 453 Environment (B12009). Bryan Griffiths acknowledges funding from the Scottish 454 Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division. We 455 are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers for insightful reviews of the manuscript. 456 We also thank Dr. Di Wu and Mr. Ruihao Wu for their help in the laboratory and 457 helpful comments from Dr. Ting Liu and Professor Joann K. Whalen. 458

459

460 **References**

- Allison, S.D., Chacon, S.S., German, D.P., 2014. Substrate concentration constraints
 on microbial decomposition. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 79, 43-49.
- 463 Bell, C.W., Fricks, B.E., Rocca, J.D., Steinweg, J.M., McMahon, S.K., Wallenstein,
- M.D., 2013. High-throughput fluorometric measurement of potential soil
 extracellular enzyme activities. Journal of Visualized Experiments e50961.
- Benbi, D.K., Boparai, A.K., Brar, K., 2014. Decomposition of particulate organic
 matter is more sensitive to temperature than the mineral associated organic
 matter. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 70, 183-192.
- 469 Bernard, L., Chapuis-Lardy, L., Razafimbelo, T., Razafindrakoto, M., Pablo, A.L.,
- Legname, E., Poulain, J., Bruls, T., O'Donohue, M., Brauman, A., Chotte, J.L.,
 Blanchart, E., 2012. Endogeic earthworms shape bacterial functional
 communities and affect organic matter mineralization in a tropical soil. ISME J 6,
 213-222.
- Bertrand, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Whalen, J., de Oliveira, T., Roger-Estrade, J.,
 2015. Earthworm services for cropping systems. A review. Agronomy for
 Sustainable Development 35, 553-567.
- Blouin, M., Hodson, M.E., Delgado, E.A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt, K.R., Dai, J.,
 Dendooven, L., Peres, G., Tondoh, J.E., Cluzeau, D., Brun, J.J., 2013. A review
 of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. European Journal
 of Soil Science 64, 161-182.
- Bossio, D.A., Scow, K.M., 1998. Impacts of carbon and flooding on soil microbial
 communities: Phospholipid fatty acid profiles and substrate utilization patterns.
 Microbial Ecology 35, 265-278.

484	Bossuyt, H., Six, J., Hendrix, P.F., 2005. Protection of soil carbon by microaggregates
485	within earthworm casts. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 37, 251-258.
486	Bradford, M.A., Berg, B.O., Maynard, D.S., Wieder, W.R., Wood, S.A., 2016.
487	Understanding the dominant controls on litter decomposition. Journal of Ecology
488	104, 229-238.
489	Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G., Jenkinson, D.S., 1985. Chloroform
490	fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to
491	measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 17,
492	837-843.
493	Buchkowski, R.W., Bradford, M.A., Grandy, A.S., Schmitz, O.J., Wieder, W.R., 2017.
494	Applying population and community ecology theory to advance understanding of
495	belowground biogeochemistry. Ecology Letters 20, 231-245.
496	Cambardella, C.A., Elliott, E.T., 1992. Particulate soil organic matter changes across a
497	grassland cultivation sequence. Soil Science Society of America Journal 56,
498	777-783.
499	Cesarz, S., Craven, D., Dietrich, C., Eisenhauer, N., 2016. Effects of soil and leaf litter
500	quality on the biomass of two endogeic earthworm species. European Journal of
501	Soil Biology 77, 9-16.
502	Chang, CH., Szlavecz, K., Buyer, J.S., 2016. Species-specific effects of earthworms
503	on microbial communities and the fate of litter-derived carbon. Soil Biology &
504	Biochemistry 100, 129-139.
505	Cleveland, C.C., Neff, J.C., Townsend, A.R., Hood, E., 2004. Composition, dynamics,
506	and fate of leached dissolved organic matter in terrestrial ecosystems: results
507	from a decomposition experiment. Ecosystems 7, 275-285.
508	Cornwell, W.K., Cornelissen, J.H., Amatangelo, K., Dorrepaal, E., Eviner, V.T.,

- Godoy, O., Hobbie, S.E., Hoorens, B., Kurokawa, H., Perez-Harguindeguy, N.,
 Quested, H.M., Santiago, L.S., Wardle, D.A., Wright, I.J., Aerts, R., Allison, S.D.,
 van Bodegom, P., Brovkin, V., Chatain, A., Callaghan, T.V., Diaz, S., Garnier, E.,
 Gurvich, D.E., Kazakou, E., Klein, J.A., Read, J., Reich, P.B., Soudzilovskaia,
 N.A., Vaieretti, M.V., Westoby, M., 2008. Plant species traits are the predominant
 control on litter decomposition rates within biomes worldwide. Ecology Letters
 11, 1065-1071.
- Crumsey, J.M., Moine, J.M.L., Capowiez, Y., Goodsitt, M.M., Larson, S.C., Kling,
 G.W., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 2013. Community-specific impacts of exotic earthworm
 invasions on soil carbon dynamics in a sandy temperate forest. Ecology 94,
 2827-2837.
- Dalby, P.R., Baker, G.H., Smith, S.E., 1996. "Filter paper method" to remove soil
 from earthworm intestines and to standardise the water content of earthworm
 tissue. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 28, 685-687.
- Dias, A.T.C., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Berg, M.P., de Vries, F., 2017. Litter for life:
 assessing the multifunctional legacy of plant traits. Journal of Ecology 105,
 1163-1168.
- Dittman, J.A., Driscoll, C.T., Groffman, P.M., Fahey, T.J., 2007. Dynamics of nitrogen
 and dissolved organic carbon at the hubbard brook experimental forest. Ecology
 88, 1153-1166.
- Drake, H.L., Horn, M.A., 2007. As the worm turns: The earthworm gut as a transient
 habitat for soil microbial biomes. Annual Review of Microbiology 61, 169-189.
- Edwards, C., 2004. The importance of earthworms as key representatives of the soil
 fauna. In: Edwards, C.A. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology. CRC Press, Boca Raton,

USA, pp. 3-11.

533

- Elser, J.J., Urabe, J., 1999. The stoichiometry of consumer-driven nutrient recycling:
 theory, observations, and consequences. Ecology 80, 735-751.
- Fahey, T.J., Yavitt, J.B., Sherman, R.E., 2013. Earthworm effects on the incorporation
 of litter C and N into soil organic matter in a sugar maple forest. Ecological
 Applications 23, 1185-1201.
- Ferlian, O., Eisenhauer, N., Aguirrebengoa, M., Camara, M., Ramirez-Rojas, I.,
 Santos, F., Tanalgo, K., Thakur, M.P., 2017. Invasive earthworms erode soil
 biodiversity: A meta-analysis. Journal of Animal Ecology DOI:
 10.1111/1365-2656.12746.
- Frostegård, A., Bååth, E., 1996. The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to
 estimate bacterial and fungal biomass in soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 22,
 59-65.
- Ghani, A., Dexter, M., Perrott, K.W., 2003. Hot-water extractable carbon in soils: a
 sensitive measurement for determining impacts of fertilisation, grazing and
 cultivation. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 35, 1231-1243.
- 549 Groffman, P.M., Fahey, T.J., Fisk, M.C., Yavitt, J.B., Sherman, R.E., Bohlen, P.J.,
- Maerz, J.C., 2015. Earthworms increase soil microbial biomass carrying capacity
 and nitrogen retention in northern hardwood forests. Soil Biology &
 Biochemistry 87, 51-58.
- Halvorson, H.M., Sperfeld, E., Evans-White, M.A., 2017. Quantity and quality limit
 detritivore growth: mechanisms revealed by ecological stoichiometry and
 co-limitation theory. Ecology 98, 2995-3002.
- Hättenschwiler, S., Jørgensen, H.B., 2010. Carbon quality rather than stoichiometry
 controls litter decomposition in a tropical rain forest. Journal of Ecology 98,
 754-763.

- Hoang, D.T.T., Razavi, B.S., Kuzyakov, Y., Blagodatskaya, E., 2016. Earthworm
 burrows: Kinetics and spatial distribution of enzymes of C-, N- and P- cycles.
 Soil Biology & Biochemistry 99, 94-103.
- Hobbie, S.E., 2015. Plant species effects on nutrient cycling: revisiting litter
 feedbacks. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 30, 357-363.
- Horn, M.A., Schramm, A., Drake, H.L., 2003. The earthworm gut: An ideal habitat for
 ingested N₂O-producing microorganisms. Applied Environmental Microbiology
 69, 1662-1669.
- Lavelle, P., 1988. Earthworm activities and the soil system. Biology and Fertility of
 Soils 6, 237-251.
- Lubbers, I.M., Pulleman, M.M., Groenigen, J.W.V., 2017. Can earthworms
 simultaneously enhance decomposition and stabilization of plant residue carbon?
 Soil Biology & Biochemistry 105, 12-24.
- Lubbers, I.M., van Groenigen, K.J., Fonte, S.J., Six, J., Brussaard, L., van Groenigen,
- J.W., 2013. Greenhouse-gas emissions from soils increased by earthworms.
 Nature Climate Change 3, 187-194.
- 575 Manzoni, S., Capek, P., Mooshammer, M., Lindahl, B.D., Richter, A., Santruckova, H.,
- 576 2017. Optimal metabolic regulation along resource stoichiometry gradients.
 577 Ecology Letters 20, 1182-1191.
- Marichal, R., Mathieu, J., Couteaux, M.M., Mora, P., Roy, J., Lavelle, P., 2011.
 Earthworm and microbe response to litter and soils of tropical forest plantations
 with contrasting C:N:P stoichiometric ratios. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43,
 1528-1535.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D.,
 Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, B., Simpsons, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H.,

- Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2018. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package
 Version 2.4-5. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html.
- 586 Ott, D., Digel, C., Klarner, B., Maraun, M., Pollierer, M., Rall, B.C., Scheu, S., Seelig,
- 587 G., Brose, U., 2014. Litter elemental stoichiometry and biomass densities of 588 forest soil invertebrates. Oikos 123, 1212-1223.
- Persson, J., Fink, P., Goto, A., Hood, J.M., Jonas, J., Kato, S., 2010. To be or not to be
- 590 what you eat: regulation of stoichiometric homeostasis among autotrophs and 591 heterotrophs. Oikos 119, 741-751.
- Rosseel, Y., 2012. lavaan: an R package for structural equation modeling. Journal of
 Statistical Software 48, 1-36.
- Ruess, L., Chamberlain, P.M., 2010. The fat that matters: Soil food web analysis using
 fatty acids and their carbon stable isotope signature. Soil Biology & Biochemistry
 42, 1898-1910.
- Sauvadet, M., Chauvat, M., Brunet, N., Bertrand, I., 2017. Can changes in litter
 quality drive soil fauna structure and functions? Soil Biology & Biochemistry
 107, 94-103.
- Scheu, S., 1991. Mucus excretion and carbon turnover of endogeic earthworms.
 Biology and Fertility of Soils 12, 217-220.
- Scheu, S., 1994. There is an earthworm mobilizable nitrogen pool in soil.
 Pedobiologia 38, 1-7.
- Scheu, S., Schaefer, M., 1998. Bottom-up control of the soil macrofauna community
 in a beechwood on limestone: manipulation of food resources. Ecology 79,
 1573-1585.
- Sinsabaugh, R.L., Carreiro, M.M., Repert, D.A., 2002. Allocation of extracellular
 enzymatic activity in relation to litter composition, N deposition, and mass loss.

- Biogeochemistry 60, 1-24.
- Sinsabaugh, R.L., Shah, J.J.F., 2012. Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry and ecological
 theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 43, 313-343.
- 612 Six, J., Frey, S.D., Thiet, R.K., Batten, K.M., 2006. Bacterial and fungal contributions
- to carbon sequestration in agroecosystems. Soil Science Society of AmericaJournal 70, 555-569.
- Soest, v., P.J, Wine, R.H., 1967. Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds. IV.
- 616 Determination of plant cell-wall constituents. Journal Association Official617 Analytical Chemists 50, 50-55.
- Sparks, D.L., Page, A.L., Helmke, P.A., Loeppert, R.H., Soltanpour, P.N., Tabatabai,
 M.A., Johnston, C.T., Sumner, M.E., 1996. Methods of soil analysis. Soil
 Science Society of America, Madison.
- Team, R.C., 2013. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing R
 Fundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Tiunov, A.V., Scheu, S., 2004. Carbon availability controls the growth of detritivores
- 624 (*Lumbricidae*) and their effect on nitrogen mineralization. Oecologia 138, 83-90.
- van Groenigen, J.W., Lubbers, I.M., Vos, H.M., Brown, G.G., De Deyn, G.B., van
- 626 Groenigen, K.J., 2014. Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis.
- 627 Scientific reports 4. DOI: 10.1038/srep06365.
- Vance, E.D., Brookes, P.C., Jenkinson, D.S., 1987. An extraction method for
 measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 19, 703-707.
- 630 Wardle, D.A., Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Seta, H., Putten, W.H.v.d., Wall, D.H.,
- 631 2004. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. Science632 304, 1629-1633.
- Waring, B.G., Weintraub, S.R., Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2013. Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry

634	of microbial nutrient acquisition in tropical soils. Biogeochemistry 117, 101-113.
635	Whalen, J.K., Parmelee, R.W., 2000. Earthworm secondary production and N flux in
636	agroecosystems: a comparison of two approaches. Oecologia 124, 561-573.
637	Wu, D., Liu, M., Song, X., Jiao, J., Li, H., Hu, F., 2015. Earthworm ecosystem service
638	and dis-service in an N-enriched agroecosystem: Increase of plant production
639	leads to no effects on yield-scaled N2O emissions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry
640	82, 1-8.
641	Yatso, K.N., Lilleskov, E.A., 2016. Effects of tree leaf litter, deer fecal pellets, and soil
642	properties on growth of an introduced earthworm (Lumbricus terrestris):
643	Implications for invasion dynamics. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 94, 181-190.
644	Zhang, W., Hendrix, P.F., Dame, L.E., Burke, R.A., Wu, J., Neher, D.A., Li, J., Shao,
645	Y., Fu, S., 2013. Earthworms facilitate carbon sequestration through unequal
646	amplification of carbon stabilization compared with mineralization. Nature

647 Communication 4. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms3576

Table 1

649 Initial properties of different litters used as soil amendments in the experiment (Mean

650	\pm standard error, n = 5). Lit	ers are presented in th	ne order of increasing C	:N ratio.

	Clover	Maize stover	Wheat straw	Rumex	Bagasse fiber
Total C (%DM)	35.3 (± 0.3)	37.5 (± 0.5)	36.3 (± 0.6)	35.4 (± 0.7)	38.0 (± 0.4)
Total N (%DM)	1.75 (± 0.02)	0.84 (± 0.02)	0.67 (± 0.01)	0.56 (± 0.02)	0.25 (± 0.01)
Total C:N	20.4 (± 0.3)	44.2 (± 1.3)	54.6 (± 2.1)	63.4 (± 1.4)	150.8 (± 7.7)
Soluble C (g kg ⁻¹)	23.88 (± 0.95)	8.46 (± 0.29)	7.29 (± 0.33)	9.22 (± 0.23)	2.31 (± 0.10)
Soluble N (mg kg ⁻¹)	276.4 (± 11.0)	90.9 (± 3.0)	42.4 (± 0.6)	43.7 (± 0.8)	25.3 (± 2.2)
Soluble C:N	87.0 (± 6.9)	93.4 (± 5.9)	180.5 (± 11.8)	216.6 (± 8.3)	95.2 (± 6.8)
Cellulose (g kg ⁻¹)	89.2 (± 6.8)	103.8 (± 5.1)	226.7 (± 11.3)	195.0 (± 5.9)	385.4 (± 11.2)
Lignin (g kg ⁻¹)	44.8 (± 5.9)	187.9 (± 8.2)	152.6 (± 10.2)	233.2 (± 4.1)	250.6 (± 14.5)

652 **Table 2**

653 Percent of initial biomass and earthworm tissue stoichiometry under different litters

654 after 9	0 d incubation	(Mean \pm standard	error, $n = 5$).
-------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------------

	No litter	Clover	Maize stover	Wheat straw	Rumex	Bagasse fiber
Earthworm growth						
Biomass	70.6 (± 2.3) d	104.0 (± 1.4) c	148.1 (± 2.7) a	128.9 (± 7.2) b	124.3 (± 5.8) b	101.3 (± 3.9) c
Earthworm tissue						
stoichiometry						
C (%DM)	29.8 (± 0.7) a	30.0 (± 0.1) a	29.5 (± 0.5) a	29.8 (± 0.4) a	30.0 (± 0.7) a	30.5 (± 0.2) a
N (%DM)	7.70 (± 0.13) b	8.52 (± 0.23) a	7.72 (± 0.12) b	7.72 (± 0.04) b	7.75 (± 0.11) b	7.83 (± 0.07) b
C:N	3.85 (± 0.12) a	3.52 (± 0.09) a	3.83 (± 0.05) a	3.86 (± 0.07) a	3.87 (± 0.13) a	3.89 (± 0.08) a

Different letters within the same row indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 by

656 Turkey's HSD test for each variable including earthworm biomass or element content

657 across different species litter.

659 **Table 3**

660 The *F*-value of two-way ANOVA results showing the effects of earthworms, litter and661 their interaction on soil C and N fractions.

	d.f. ^a	SOC	POC	DOC	MBC	TN	PON	DON	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	MBN
Earthworm (E)	1	39.0***	19.5***	5.2*	0.2 ns	2.2 ns	8.3**	3.0	15.7***	2.7 ns
Litter (L)	5	146.8**	145.5**	85.3***	48.5***	211.2***	23.5***	5.1***	105.0***	37.4***
$\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{L}$	5	4.4**	2.1 ns	4.8**	2.2 ns	0.5 ns	0.8 ns	0.8	5.2***	1.6 ns
Residuals	48									

662 *, ** and *** indicate significant effects at p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, 663 respectively. ns, non significant effect. Bolded *F*-value are significant (p < 0.05). 664 SOC = soil organic carbon, POC = particulate organic carbon, DOC = dissolved 665 organic carbon, MBC = microbial biomass carbon, TN = total soil nitrogen, PON = 666 particulate organic nitrogen, DON = dissolved organic nitrogen, NO₃⁻-N = nitrate 667 nitrogen, MBC = microbial biomass nitrogen 668 ^a d.f.: degree of freedom.

670 **Figure captions**

Fig. 1. Scatter plots of earthworm tissue C:N ratio relative to soil C:N ratio (SOC:TN).
The inserted subgraph presents the relative positions between earthworm tissue and
soil C:N relationship in comparison to the 1:1 line. Litters are labeled according to
clover (CL), maize stover (MA), wheat straw (WH), *Rumex* (RU) and bagasse fiber
(BA). The grey polygon indicates the 95% confidence interval.

- **Fig. 2.** Influences of earthworms and plant litter on cumulative CO_2 emissions (A) and N₂O emissions (B) during the 90 d incubation period. The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).
- Fig. 3. Influences of earthworms and plant litter on soil organic carbon (A), total
 nitrogen (B), particulate organic carbon (C), particulate organic nitrogen (D),
 SOC:TN (E) and POC:PON (F). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).
- **Fig. 4.** Influence of earthworms and plant litter on microbial biomass carbon (MBC), microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) and microbial biomass carbon to nitrogen ratio (MBC:MBN). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).
- **Fig. 5.** Influences of earthworms and plant litter on α-1,4-D-glucosidase (AG), β-1,4-glucosidase (BG), β-D-cellobiohydrolase (CB), β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), L-leucine aminopeptidase (LAP). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).
- **Fig. 6.** Relationships between enzyme stoichiometry and soil C:N ratio. The ln(AG + BG + CB):ln(NAG + LAP) is an indicator of microbial C:N acquisition effort. The horizontal dashed line indicates 1:1 enzyme stoichiometry, and the error bar represent standard errors (n = 5). The different color represented different litter, i.e. the yellow, green, red, purple, blue and dark green corresponded to NL, CL, MA, ST, RU and BA, respectively. The symbol \circ represent without earthworm, \blacksquare represent with earthworm.

Fig. 7. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis distance
analysis of relative abundances of phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) markers. Circles
represent 95% confidence intervals of microbial communities associated with distinct
litter species.

Fig. 8. Structural equation model showing potential causal effects of earthworm, resource availability and soil microbial communities on CO₂. Arrow thickness is scaled proportionally to the standardized path coefficients (numbers on arrows). Solid and dashed lines indicate significant (P < 0.05) and marginally significant effects (P < 0.1), respectively; Dotted lines represent non-significant paths. The proportion of variation explained by the model (R^2) are shown next to each endogenous variable.



Fig. 1. Scatter plots of the earthworm tissue C:N ratio relative to soil C:N ratio (SOC:TN). The inserted subgraph shows the linear relationship between the earthworm tissue C:N ratio relative to soil C:N ratio in comparison to the 1:1 line. Litters are labeled according to clover (CL), maize stover (MA), wheat straw (WH), rumex (RU) and bagasse fiber (BA). The grey polygon indicates the 95% confidence interval.



Fig. 2. Influences of earthworms and plant litter on cumulative CO_2 emissions (A) and N_2O emissions (B) during the 90 d incubation period. The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).



Fig. 3. Influences of earthworms and plant litter on soil organic carbon (A), total nitrogen (B), particulate organic carbon (C), particulate organic nitrogen (D), SOC:TN (E) and POC:PON (F). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).



Fig. 4. Influence of earthworms and plant litter on microbial biomass carbon (MBC), microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) and microbial biomass carbon to nitrogen ratio (MBC:MBN). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).



Fig. 5. Influences of earthworms and plant litter on α -1,4-D-glucosidase (AG), β -1,4-glucosidase (BG), β -D-cellobiohydrolase (CB), β -1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase (NAG), L-leucine aminopeptidase (LAP). The error bars represent standard errors (n = 5).



Fig. 6. Relationships between enzyme stoichiometry and Soil C:N ratio. The ratio ln(AG + BG + CB):ln(NAG + LAP) is an indicator of microbial C:N acquisition effort. The horizontal dashed line indicates 1:1 enzyme stoichiometry, and the error bars represent standard errors (n = 5). The different color represented different litter, i.e. the yellow, green, red, purple, blue and dark green corresponded to NL, CL, MA, ST, RU and BA, respectively. The symbol \circ represent without earthworm, \blacksquare represent with earthworm.



Fig. 7. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis distance analysis of relative abundances of phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) markers. Circles represent 95% confidence intervals of microbial communities associated with distinct litter species.



Fig. 8. Structural equation model showing potential causal effects of earthworm, resource availability and soil microbial communities on CO₂. Arrow thickness is scaled proportionally to the standardized path coefficients (numbers on arrows). Solid and dashed lines indicate significant (P < 0.05) and marginally significant effects (P < 0.1), respectively; Dotted lines represent non-significant paths. The proportion of variation explained by the model (R^2) are shown next to each endogenous variable.