Pure

Scotland's Rural College

The effect of dietary addition of nitrate or increase in lipid concentrations, alone or in combination, on performance and methane emissions of beef cattle

Duthie, C-A; Troy, SM; Hyslop, JJ; Ross, DW; Roehe, R; Rooke, JA

Published in: Animal

DOI: 10.1017/S175173111700146X

First published: 13/07/2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Duthie, C-A., Troy, SM., Hyslop, JJ., Ross, DW., Roehe, R., & Rooke, JA. (2017). The effect of dietary addition of nitrate or increase in lipid concentrations, alone or in combination, on performance and methane emissions of beef cattle. Animal, 12(2), 280 - 287. https://doi.org/10.1017/S175173111700146X

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	The effect of dietary addition of nitrate or increase in lipid concentrations,
2	alone or in combination, on performance and methane emissions of beef cattle
3	
4	C-A. Duthie ¹ , S.M. Troy ^{1,a} , J.J. Hyslop ² , D.W. Ross ¹ , R. Roehe ¹ and J.A. Rooke ¹
5	¹ Beef and Sheep Research Centre, SRUC, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JG,
6	UK
7	² Beef and Sheep Select, SAC Consulting Ltd., SRUC, Kings Buildings, West Mains
8	Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK
9	^a present address: Blueball, Tullamore, Co. Offaly, Ireland.
10	
11	Corresponding author: John Rooke. E-mail: john.rooke@sruc.ac.uk
12	
13	Short title: Methane mitigation strategies for finishing steers
14	

16 Abstract

Adding nitrate to or increasing the concentration of lipid in the diet are established 17 strategies for reducing enteric methane (CH₄) emissions, but their effectiveness 18 19 when used in combination has been largely unexplored. This study investigated the effect of dietary nitrate and increased lipid included alone or together on CH₄ 20 emissions and performance traits of finishing beef cattle. The experiment was a 2×4 21 factorial design comprising two breeds (AAx, cross-bred Aberdeen Angus; LIMx, 22 cross-bred Limousin steers) and four dietary treatments (each based on 550 g 23 24 forage: 450 g concentrate /kg DM). The four dietary treatments were assigned according to a 2 x 2 factorial design where the control treatment contained rapeseed 25 meal as the main protein source which was replaced either with nitrate (21.5 g 26 27 nitrate/kg DM); maize distillers dark grains (MDDG, which increased diet ether extract from 24 to 37 g/kg DM) or both nitrate and MDDG. Steers (n = 20 /dietary 28 treatment) were allocated to each of the four treatments in equal numbers of each 29 30 breed with feed offered ad libitum. After 28 days adaptation to dietary treatments, individual animal intake, performance and feed efficiency were recorded for 56 days. 31 Thereafter, CH₄ emissions were measured over 13 weeks (six steers / week). 32 Increasing dietary lipid did not adversely affect animal performance and showed no 33 interactions with dietary nitrate. In contrast, addition of nitrate to diets resulted in 34 poorer live-weight gain (P<0.01) and increased feed conversion ratio (P<0.05) 35 compared with diets not containing nitrate. Daily CH₄ output was lower (P<0.001) on 36 nitrate-containing diets but increasing dietary lipid resulted in only a non-significant 37 reduction in CH₄. There were no interactions associated with CH₄ emissions between 38 dietary nitrate and lipid. AAx steers achieved greater live-weight gains (P<0.01), but 39 had greater DM intakes (P<0.001), greater fat depth (P<0.01) and poorer residual 40

41 feed intakes (P<0.01) than LIMx steers. AAx steers had higher daily CH₄ outputs (P<0.001) but emitted less CH₄ per kg DM intake than LIMx steers (P<0.05). In 42 conclusion, inclusion of nitrate reduced CH₄ emissions in growing beef cattle 43 although the efficacy of nitrate was less than in previous work. When increased 44 dietary lipid and nitrate inclusion were combined there was no evidence of an 45 interaction between treatments and therefore combining different nutritional 46 treatments to mitigate CH₄ emissions could be a useful means of achieving 47 reductions in CH₄ while minimizing any adverse effects. 48

49 **Keywords**: beef cattle, greenhouse gas, methane, nitrate, dark grains.

50

51 Implications

The ability of individual nutritional strategies to reduce methane (CH₄) emissions from cattle is limited by potential adverse consequences such as reduction in fibre digestion for increased lipid or toxicity for added nitrate. The reduction in CH₄ emissions when dietary nitrate was fed was not influenced by the presence of lipid. Combining different nutritional strategies to mitigate CH₄ emissions could be a useful means of achieving reductions in CH₄ while minimizing any adverse effects on cattle health and performance.

59

60 Introduction

Methane emissions arising from the enteric fermentation of feed by ruminant livestock contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. In the United Kingdom (Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2016), enteric CH₄ emissions were estimated to account for 23.8 Mt carbon dioxide equivalents or 48% of total greenhouse gas emissions from the agriculture sector in 2014. Strategies to mitigate

CH₄ emissions have been classified (Hristov *et al.,* 2013) as addressing enteric
 fermentation, manure management or animal husbandry (where animal husbandry
 included genetics, health and fertility).

69 Many nutritional strategies which target CH₄ emissions have been tested but convincing evidence for long-term efficacy in vivo for many is lacking. Increasing 70 dietary lipid and inclusion of nitrate in the diet are effective mitigation strategies 71 (Hristov et al., 2013) and their use has been recently reviewed (Martin et al., 2010; 72 Patra, 2014; Lee and Beauchemin, 2014; Yang et al., 2016). However, the extent to 73 74 which either strategy can be included in the diet is limited by potential adverse effects: a reduction in fibre digestion and consequently feed intake from increased 75 lipid in the diet and nitrate / nitrite toxicity from adding nitrate. As the mechanisms by 76 which lipid (reduction in fermentable carbohydrate intake, inhibition of micro-77 organisms; Martin et al., 2010; Patra, 2013) and nitrate (alternative hydrogen 78 acceptor; Yang *et al.* 2016) reduce CH₄ emissions are different, it may be practically 79 80 useful to combine these mitigation strategies.

Klop et al. (2016) fed lipid and nitrate alone or in combination to dairy cows 81 and found no evidence for any negative interactions between strategies for either 82 CH₄ emissions or animal performance. Similarly in non-lactating dairy cows 83 (Guyader et al., 2015), there were no interactions between nitrate and tea saponins. 84 85 Interactions between mitigation strategies have not been explored to date in beef cattle. The main hypotheses addressed in this study were that the effects on CH₄ 86 emissions of increasing lipid or including nitrate in diets of finishing beef cattle would 87 be additive and that there would be no adverse effects upon animal performance. 88 The nutritional strategies used were based on those reported previously (Troy et al., 89 2015; Duthie et al., 2016). 90

91

92 Materials and Methods

This experiment was conducted at Scotland's Rural College (SRUC) Beef and Sheep Research Centre in Edinburgh in 2014. The experimental protocol was approved by SRUC's Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body, the Animal Experiments Committee and was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986.

98

99 Experimental design, animals and diets

The experiment was a 2×4 factorial (breed \times dietary treatment) design. The basal 100 diet contained 550 forage (grass and whole crop barley silages): 450 concentrate 101 102 (g/kg DM). The four dietary treatments were assigned according to a 2 x 2 factorial arrangement where te control diet (CTL) contained rapeseed meal as the main 103 protein source which was replaced either with nitrate (NIT, 21.5 g nitrate/kg DM) or 104 maize distillers dark grains (MDDG) to increase diet lipid concentration or both 105 nitrate and MDDG (COMB). Forage to concentrate ratio was maintained constant by 106 varying the amounts of barley included in the diets. Nitrate was added in the form of 107 calcium ammonium nitrate (Calcinit; Yara, Oslo, Norway). The ingredient and 108 nutritional composition of each dietary treatment are given in Table 1. The steers 109 110 were offered diets ad libitum. Feed samples were analysed for DM, ash, CP, ADF, NDF, starch and ether extract (Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food, 1992), and 111 gross energy (GE) by adiabatic bomb calorimetry. 112

The 80 cross-bred steers (13 to 15 months of age at start of performance trial) used were from a rotational cross between pure-bred Aberdeen Angus or Limousin sires and cross-bred dams of those genotypes and are referred to as AAx and LIMx,

respectively. Thus, 20 steers (10 of each breed) were allocated to each dietary 116 treatment. To avoid animals not adapted to nitrate gaining access to dietary nitrate, 117 each treatment was allocated to one pen (four pens in total). Treatments were 118 balanced for sire within each breed and BW at the start of the experiment. Fresh 119 water was provided ad libitum using a water trough, and diets were offered using 32 120 electronic feeders (eight per pen, HOKO, Insentec, Marknesse, The Netherlands) to 121 record individual animal feed intakes. All steers were bedded on wood fibre and 122 sawdust to ensure that consumption of bedding did not contribute to nutrient intake. 123

Steers were adapted to the experimental diets in two stages. In stage one (days -64 to -36 in relation to the start of the performance test on day 0), the animals were all adapted to the control diet and trained to use the electronic feeders. In stage two (days -35 to 0), steers were adapted to the treatments over a 5 week period. Dietary treatments (nitrate and MDDG) were progressively incorporated into the NIT, MDDG and COMB treatments at 25% (day -35), 50% (day -28), 75% (day -21) and 100% (day -14) of the final dietary inclusion.

131

132 Blood met-haemoglobin (MetHb) measurements

Blood MetHb is formed when nitrite, arising from reduction of nitrate in the rumen, 133 reacts with haemoglobin to form MetHb which is incapable of oxygen transport and 134 135 responsible for acute toxicity (Bruning-Fann and Kaneene, 1993). Blood MetHb concentrations were monitored in all steers receiving dietary nitrate. Blood samples 136 were taken 3 h after fresh feed was offered, when MetHb concentrations were 137 expected to be greatest (van Zijderveld et al., 2010). Samples were taken on days -138 34 (25%), -27 (50%), -13 (100%), -6 (100%) and 1 (100%) where 100% is the final 139 dietary inclusion of nitrate. Blood samples were taken from the caudal vein into two 140

evacuated tubes (Vacuette 9 ml LH Lithium Heparin, Vacuette, Griener Bio One Ltd.,
Gloucestershire, UK). The samples were immediately combined to give one tube
from which air was excluded, sealed and kept on ice until blood MetHb
concentrations were measured within 2 h of sampling by co-oximetry (Stat Profile
Critical Care Xpress, Nova Biomedical U.K., Cheshire, UK).

146

147 56 day performance test

After full adaptation to the experimental diets, performance and feed efficiency were 148 149 characterised for all steers over a 56 day test period (days 0 to 56). Steers were maintained under controlled conditions, where group sizes within the pen remained 150 constant. Individual DM intake (DMI, kg/day) was recorded for each animal using the 151 electronic feeding equipment and BW was measured weekly, before fresh feed was 152 offered, using a calibrated weigh scale. For all steers, ultrasonic fat depth was 153 obtained at the 12th/13th rib at the start (FD0) and end (FD1) of the 56 day test 154 using industry-standard equipment (Aloka 500, BCF Technology LTD, UK). Images 155 were analysed using Matrox Inspector 8 software (Matrox Video and Imaging 156 Technology Europe Ltd., Middlesex, UK). 157

158

159 Respiration chamber measurements

Seventy-two of the steers, balanced for breed and treatment were chosen for respiration chamber measurements. The steers remained on the same diets and in the same pens as described above prior to entering the respiration chamber facility. The steers were allocated to six respiration chambers over a 12 week period using a 4 (dietary treatment) x 6 (chamber) randomised block design which was replicated three times such that each dietary treatment was measured in each respiration

chamber three times over the 12 week period. Prior to entering the respiration 166 chambers the steers were housed in training pens, identical in size and shape to the 167 pens inside the chambers, for a period of one week, to adapt to individual housing. 168 The steers were allocated to chambers to minimise variation in BW on entry into the 169 respiration chambers between blocks; thus the heaviest steers for each treatment 170 were included in the first block. The steers remained in the respiration chambers for 171 3 days, during which time they were fed ad libitum once daily. Data for DMI during 172 the 3 day chamber measurement period were averaged per animal. One chamber 173 174 malfunctioned from weeks 1 to 6, which resulted in the requirement for a thirteenth week of chamber analysis to obtain measurements from each of 72 steers. Full 175 details of the methods are described in Troy et al. (2015). 176

177

178 Rumen sampling and analysis

Rumen fluid samples were taken to assess long term changes in rumen volatile fatty 179 acid (VFA) molar proportions from each animal on five occasions: before adaptation 180 to dietary treatments (day -42); during adaptation (day -28); pre-performance test 181 (day -11); end of performance test (day 56); immediately after leaving respiration 182 chambers. Samples, approximately 50 ml rumen liquid, were taken before fresh feed 183 was offered, by inserting a stomach tube (16 x 2700 mm Equivet Stomach Tube, 184 JørgenKruuse A/S, Langeskov, Denmark) nasally and aspirating manually. This 185 liquid was filtered through two layers of muslin. A 5 mL sample of the filtered liquid 186 was deproteinised by adding 1 mL metaphosphoric acid (215 g/l) and 0.5 ml 187 methylvaleric acid (10 g/l) was added as an internal standard. These samples were 188 stored at -20 °C between collection and analysis. Volatile fatty acid concentrations 189 were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (Rooke et al. 1990). 190

191

192 Calculations and statistical analyses

Data from one steer from the 56 day test period was discarded as the steer was 193 removed from the trial for health reasons unconnected to the diets and treatments 194 imposed, leaving data from 79 steers available for analysis. Growth was modelled by 195 linear regression of BW against test date, to obtain average daily gain (ADG), mid-196 test BW (mid-BW) and mid-test metabolic BW (mid-MBW, BW^{0.75}). Mean DMI over 197 the 56 day period was expressed as kg per day or as a proportion of mid-BW and 198 199 mid-MBW. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as average DMI (kg/day) / ADG. Residual feed intake (RFI) was calculated as deviation of actual DMI (kg/day) 200 from DMI predicted based on linear regression of actual DMI on ADG, mid-MBW and 201 202 FD1 (Basarab et al., 2003).

Statistical analyses of performance data were conducted using the mixed 203 procedure of SAS software (SAS 9.3 for Windows; SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, USA) with 204 the fixed effects of breed, nitrate and lipid. In addition, in the analysis of FD1 and 205 FD2, the deviation from the breed mean of FD0 was included as a covariate. In the 206 analysis of the respiration chamber data, fixed effects were breed, nitrate and lipid, 207 while the random effects were week of chamber measurement and chamber. The 208 interactions, breed x nitrate, nitrate x lipid, breed x lipid and breed x nitrate x lipid 209 210 were included as fixed effects in each model when these effects proved significant (P < 0.05). 211

212 Changes in MetHb concentration were analysed using a repeated measures 213 design where the fixed effects were breed, lipid and time and their interactions. As 214 significant time x breed x lipid interactions were found, a two factor (breed x lipid) 215 ANOVA was then performed for each time to characterise this interaction. Where a

significant breed x lipid interaction was detected, differences between individual
treatments were characterized using LSD.

Molar proportions of VFA in rumen fluid when steers left the respiration 218 chamber were analysed using fixed effects of breed, nitrate and lipid and their 219 interactions with week of measurement as a random effect. For differences in the 220 ratio, acetate to propionate, between samples taken at different stages of the 221 experiment, a split plot ANOVA was used where sample was a split plot within steer 222 and the effects of breed, nitrate, lipid and sample and their interactions were 223 224 included in the model. Data for samples taken prior to introduction of dietary treatments (day -42), were included as a covariate in the model to control for pre-225 existing differences between steers in VFA pattern. For all analyses data are 226 227 reported as means with their standard errors of the mean unless indicated otherwise. Probability values of *P*<0.05 were deemed to be significant, while probability values 228 *P*>0.05 and *P*<0.1 were deemed to indicate a tendency. 229

230

231 **Results**

232 Met-haemoglobin response to dietary nitrate

MetHb concentrations were low (<1% total haemoglobin (Hb), Figure 1) when nitrate 233 was included at 25 and 50% of the maximum inclusion. Adding 100% nitrate 234 235 increased MetHb concentrations (P<0.001); mean values were greater on day -6 (7.9% total Hb) than on day -13 (2.9% total Hb) or day 1 (2.2% total Hb). There was 236 a significant time x breed x nitrate interaction (P<0.01). On day -13, Met-Hb 237 concentrations were greater (P<0.01) on the COMB than the NIT treatment. 238 However, on day -6, AAx steers on treatment COMB had greater MetHb 239 concentrations (4.1% SEM 0.65) than other treatments (1.6% SEM 0.25). For 240

individual steers, the highest values for MetHb concentration recorded were 13.0,
20.5 and 7.6 % total Hb on days -13, -6 and 1 respectively. Clinical signs of toxicity
are considered to become apparent at MetHb values of 30 to 40% total Hb (BruningFann and Kaneene, 1993).

245

As there were no interactions between breed and dietary treatment for any measurement, for clarity, results in Tables 2 to 4 are presented as main effects of breed and dietary treatment.

249

250 Performance traits

At the start of the experiment the treatments were balanced for age and BW. Thus, 251 age at the start of the test period (AgeST) and Mid-BW did not differ across dietary 252 treatments (P>0.05, Table 2). DMI was not affected by the inclusion of nitrate or lipid 253 (*P*>0.05). However, steers receiving dietary nitrate achieved poorer ADG throughout 254 the 56 day test (P<0.01). The inclusion of nitrate or lipid did not affect fat depth at the 255 end of the 56 day test (FD1; P>0.05). Steers receiving dietary nitrate were less 256 efficient (greater FCR; P<0.05) than those not receiving nitrate, although this 257 difference was not observed for RFI. In contrast dietary lipid did not affect feed 258 efficiency (P>0.05). AgeST and Mid-BW did not differ between breeds (P > 0.05). 259 260 AAx steers achieved greater ADG compared to LIMx steers (P<0.01). DMI was greater in AAx compared to LIMx steers, whether expressed daily (P<0.001), or as a 261 proportion of BW (P<0.001). FD1 was greater in AAx compared to LIMx steers (P < 262 0.05). Due to the higher levels of DMI and FD1, AAx steers were less efficient with 263 greater RFI scores than LIMx steers (*P*<0.01). 264

265

266 Methane and hydrogen emissions

Steers receiving treatments which included nitrate produced less CH₄ and more 267 hydrogen (Table 3) than those treatments without added nitrate, expressed on either 268 a daily or on a DMI basis (P<0.001). Increasing the lipid content of the diets had no 269 effect on CH₄ or H₂ emissions. When expressed on a GE basis, CH₄ emissions 270 (kJ/MJ GE intake) were reduced when lipid was included in the diet. There were no 271 significant interactions between inclusion of nitrate and lipid on CH₄ emissions on 272 either a daily (P=0.59) or DMI (P=0.82) basis. There were no differences in CH₄ 273 274 emissions when calculated /kg ADG for any nutritional treatment. AAx steers were heavier than the LIMx steers (P<0.01) and had a higher DMI during the chamber 275 period (P<0.001). Therefore, they produced more CH₄ on a daily basis (P<0.001). In 276 277 contrast, the LIMx steers produced more CH_4 on a DMI basis (P<0.05). Breed had no effect on H₂ emissions on either a daily or DMI basis. 278

279

280 Volatile fatty acid molar proportions

When nitrate was included in the diets, acetate molar proportions were greater 281 (P<0.001), those of propionate less (P<0.01) and therefore acetate to propionate 282 ratio (APR) greater (P<0.001) in rumen samples taken when steers left the 283 respiration chambers (Table 4). Increasing the lipid concentration of the diet also 284 285 increased (P < 0.05) the molar proportions of acetate. There were greater molar proportions of acetate (P<0.01) and lesser propionate proportions (P<0.05) in LIMx 286 than AAx steers. The APR ratio differed (P<0.001) in samples taken at different 287 times during the experiment (Figure 2), increasing as the experiment progressed. 288 APR in samples taken prior to introduction of dietary treatments was a significant 289 covariate (P<0.01) in the model indicating that individual steer VFA pattern prior to 290

inclusion of treatments influenced VFA pattern throughout the experiment. The main effects of breed and treatment across the experiment were consistent with samples taken when steers left the respiration chambers (Table 4). Thus, LIMx steers had greater APR than AAx steers (P<0.05) and inclusion of both nitrate (P<0.001) and lipid (P<0.05) increased APR.

- 296
- 297

298 **Discussion**

This study extended those of Troy et al. (2015) and Duthie et al. (2016) by using a 299 factorial design to investigate whether the effects of individual treatments to reduce 300 CH₄ emissions were additive and to characterize the consequences for animal 301 302 performance. The diets were formulated from feedingstuffs practical for use in beef cattle systems. To maintain CP constant, MDDG and nitrate replaced dietary 303 rapeseed meal. Thus the increase in dietary lipid concentration achieved with MDDG 304 was modest. However, this was representative of what is practically achievable using 305 by-product feeds. To achieve higher lipid concentrations, it would have been 306 necessary to use materials from which oil could have been extracted for human food 307 consumption. Since a main objective was to investigate the combined effects of lipid 308 and nitrate, the COMB treatment inevitably contained a higher CP concentration than 309 310 the other treatments. However, there were no interactions for any performance measurement between lipid and nitrate and thus no adverse effects of the higher CP. 311

312

313 Diet effects

Nitrate. Addition of nitrate to the diet reduced CH₄ emissions, a consistent finding across many studies (see review by Lee and Beauchemin, 2014 and more recent

316 studies including: Newbold et al., 2014; Guyader et al., 2015, 2016; Lee et al., 2015; Troy et al., 2015; Veneman et al., 2015; Klop et al., 2016). Unexpectedly, the 317 reduction in CH₄ from added nitrate was only 10% or 2.2 g/kg DM less than for diets 318 319 not containing nitrate. As H₂ consumed in reduction of 1 mole nitrate is equivalent to that used in formation of 1 mole CH₄, the reduction in CH₄ was only 45% of the 320 theoretical maximum possible for the dietary nitrate inclusion. A 17% (4.4 g/kg DMI) 321 reduction in CH₄ yield (80% of theoretical maximum) was reported by Troy et al. 322 (2015) who used very similar experimental conditions to the present experiment. The 323 324 meta-analysis of Lee and Beauchemin (2014) predicted that the amount of nitrate used would have reduced CH₄ emissions by 18%. A more recent analysis of the 325 efficacy of nitrate, including the studies cited above (Rooke et al., 2016) found that a 326 327 mean inclusion of 21g nitrate / kg DMI, reduced mean CH₄ (g/kg DMI) by 21%.

The lower than expected reduction in CH₄ by nitrate was accompanied by 328 reduced FCR in nitrate-fed animals. Again, the reduction in animal performance was 329 330 unexpected as in none of the studies reviewed by Lee and Beauchemin (2014) or most recent studies (Li et al., 2013; de Raphelis-Soissan et al., 2014; Lee et al., 331 2015; Veneman et al., 2015; Duthie et al., 2016; Klop et al., 2016) has animal 332 performance been compromised by inclusion of nitrate. However, Guyader et al. 333 (2016) reported reduced fat and protein corrected milk yield when both nitrate and 334 335 extruded linseed were added to the diet and Hegarty et al. (2016) in a feedlot study using high grain diets (700 g / kg DM) reported reduced DMI, ADG and FCR when 336 nitrate replaced urea. In the current experiment, since DMI was not changed when 337 nitrate was added to the diet, then the poorer FCR must have been due to alterations 338 in nutrient supply or utilization. 339

Although MetHb concentrations of greater than 15% total Hb were observed 340 during adaptation to nitrate, these were isolated occurrences and were substantially 341 less than the 30 to 40% total Hb considered to lead to clinical toxicity (Bruning-Fann 342 and Kaneene, 1993). As reduced performance is the most likely response to mild or 343 subclinical toxicity, then this cannot be excluded as a reason for the poorer FCR in 344 nitrate-fed animals. Another possibility is that rumen microbial protein synthesis and 345 therefore host animal amino acid supply may have been less than expected. The 346 reduction in CH₄ attributed to nitrate was only 45% of the theoretical maximum. This 347 implies that there was a corresponding reduction in the conversion of nitrate to 348 ammonia. When the degradable protein supply to the rumen (ERDP) was estimated 349 according to AFRC (1993) for diets CTL and NIT, ERDP supply was greater than 350 351 requirement (1.14 and 1.18-fold respectively; 9.9 and 10.6 g ERDP/MJ fermentable ME, FME). When the ERDP supply from nitrate was reduced to 0.45 of that supplied 352 by nitrate on diet NIT, ERDP supply was reduced to 0.99 of requirement or 9.0 g 353 354 ERDP/MJ FME. However, estimated metabolisable protein supply to the animal was in excess of requirement (1.40 (CTL), 1.35 (NIT) and 1.30 fold (NIT with reduced 355 ERDP supply) and therefore overall no reduction in performance as a result of 356 reduced ERDP supply would have been expected as a consequence of a reduction 357 in conversion of nitrate to ammonia. A further possibility is that as nitrate, a non-358 359 protein nitrogen source of ERDP replaced rapeseed meal in the diet then protein supply may have been impaired. However, this is unlikely as the reduction in FCR 360 was a main effect of nitrate and so was also observed in diet COMB where both 361 nitrate and MDDG were included in the diet and protein supply to both rumen and 362 animal would have been in excess. 363

Lipid. Because inclusion of MDDG in diets was limited by the need to avoid excess CP intake, the increase in lipid content of the diet was modest (12 g/kg DMI) and the overall reduction in CH₄ emissions was not significant (P=0.12) on a g/kg DMI basis and only became significant on a kJ/MJ basis. However, the numerical decrease in CH₄ emissions (g/kg DMI) of 4% (3% for a 10 g/kg diet DM increase in lipid) was consistent with the meta-analysis of Martin *et al.* (2010) of a reduction in CH₄ (g/kg DMI) of 3.8% for a 10 g/kg DM addition of supplementary fat.

Nitrate and lipid. A primary aim of the experiment was to investigate whether the 371 372 effectiveness of different nutritional methods for reducing CH₄ were additive. Since the interaction between treatments was not significant (P=0.82) for CH₄ yield (g/kg 373 DMI) then there was no evidence that the effects of nitrate and lipid were not 374 375 additive. Most in vivo studies investigating different strategies for reducing CH₄ have either compared different treatments (e.g. van Zijderveld et al., 2011a; El-Zaiat et al., 376 2014) or the combined effects of treatments (van Zijderveld et al., 2011b; Li et al., 377 378 2013; Caetano et al., 2016) but have not adopted the factorial design necessary to quantify interactions between treatments. Van Zijderveld et al. (2010) compared 379 nitrate and sulphate when fed to sheep and found the effects of treatments on CH₄ 380 emissions were additive. Similarly de Raphelis-Soissan et al. (2014) fed nitrate and 381 Propionibacterium acidipropionici to sheep and Klop et al. (2016) nitrate and 382 383 docosahexaenoic acid to dairy cows and again treatment effects on CH₄ emissions were additive. Thus in agreement with the current study, there is no evidence that 384 the effects of nutritional mitigation strategies for reducing CH₄ are not additive. 385

The additive nature of mitigation treatments is of practical importance. The extent to which lipid-containing feeds can be incorporated into diets is limited by the need to avoid reductions in fibre digestion when lipid concentrations are greater than

³⁸⁹ 70 g/kg DM (Patra 2013). During adaptation to nitrate, in both the current experiment ³⁹⁰ and Duthie *et al.* (2016), blood Met-Hb concentrations did not increase until 15 g ³⁹¹ nitrate / kg diet DM was included in the diet. This is likely because the apparent ³⁹² efficiency of CH_4 reduction by nitrate decreases as nitrate inclusion increases (Leng ³⁹³ 2014). Thus, potential adverse effects of mitigation strategies such as toxicity and ³⁹⁴ impaired animal performance could be avoided by feeding lesser amounts of nitrate ³⁹⁵ than in the present experiment.

396 Breed effects

397 Total CH₄ emissions were greater in AAx than LIMx steers as noted before (Rooke et al., 2014) primarily because of greater DMI. However unlike Rooke et al. (2014), 398 CH₄ yield (g/kg DMI) was lower in AAx than LIMx steers. This was probably because 399 400 of a faster rumen turnover rate in the AAx steers, an effect that has been well documented and included in empirical prediction equations for CH₄ yield (Sauvant 401 and Giger-Reverdin 2009; Ramin and Huhtanen 2013). Similarly the smaller 402 403 proportion of acetate in rumen fluid samples from AAx steers was consistent with the meta-analysis of Nozière et al. (2011). In the performance trial, the greater DMI of 404 AAx steers noticed when CH₄ was measured was also evident and resulted in 405 greater ADG in AAx steers. However when the RFI of the steers was assessed, the 406 LIMx steers were more efficient (smaller RFI) than the AAx steers. The lower CH₄ 407 408 emissions (g/kg DMI) and higher propionic molar proportion achieved with the AAx steers is not consistent with the differences in RFI. There is no obvious reason for 409 these discrepancies but it should be noted that the performance and respiration 410 chambers measurements were made sequentially. 411

In conclusion, inclusion of nitrate in the diet reduced CH_4 emissions in growing beef cattle although the efficacy of nitrate was less than in previous work

414 (Troy et al., 2015). Whereas Duthie et al. (2016) recorded no changes in animal performance when nitrate was fed, in the present experiment, growth rate and feed 415 conversion ratio were poorer when nitrate was included in the diet. However, when 416 both increased dietary lipid and nitrate inclusion were combined there was no 417 evidence of any interaction between treatments in CH₄ emissions or performance 418 traits. Therefore, combining different nutritional treatments to mitigate CH₄ emissions 419 could be a useful means of achieving reductions in CH₄ emissions without adverse 420 effects. 421

422

423

424 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the technical staff at the Beef Research Centre for their skilled assistance during the experiment. This research was funded by AHDB Beef and Lamb, the Scottish Government and by DEFRA and the devolved administrations through the UK Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Inventory Research Platform.

430 **References**

431 AFRC (1993) Energy and protein requirements of ruminants. An advisory manual prepared

432 by the AFRC Technical Committee on Responses to Nutrients. CAB International,

433 Wallingford, UK.

Basarab JA, Price MA, Aalhus JL, Okine EK, Snelling WM and Lyle KL 2003. Residual feed
intake and body composition in young growing cattle. Canadian Journal of Animal Science
83, 189-204.

437 Bruning-Fann, CS and Kaneene JB 1993. The effects of nitrate, nitrite, and N-nitroso

438 compounds on animal health. Veterinary and Human Toxicology 35, 237–253.

Caetano M, Wilkes M, Pitchford W, Lee S and Hynd P 2016. Efficacy of methane-reducing
supplements in beef cattle rations. Animal Production Science 56, 276-281.

441 Department of Energy and Climate Change 2016. 2014 UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions

442 Final Figures – Statistical release. London: Department of Energy and Climate Change.

de Raphelis-Soissan V, Li L, Godwin IR, Barnett MC, Perdok HB and Hegarty RS 2014. Use

444 of nitrate and Propionibacterium acidipropionici to reduce methane emissions and increase

445 wool growth of Merino sheep. Animal Production Science 54, 1860-1866.

446 Duthie C-A, Rooke JA, Troy S, Hyslop JJ, Ross DW, Waterhouse A and Roehe R 2016.

447 Impact of adding nitrate or increasing the lipid content of two contrasting diets on blood

448 methaemoglobin and performance of two breeds of finishing beef steers. Animal 10, 786-

449 795.

450 El-Zaiat HM, Araujo RC, Soltan YA, Morsy AS, Louvandini H, Pires AV, Patino HO, Correa

451 PS and Abdalla AL 2014. Encapsulated nitrate and cashew nut shell liquid on blood and

452 rumen constituents, methane emission, and growth performance of lambs. Journal of Animal

453 Science 92, 2214-2224.

454 Guyader G, Eugène M. Doreau M, Morgavi DP, Gérard C, Loncke C and Martin C 2015.

455 Nitrate but not tea saponin feed additves decreased enteric methane emissions in

456 nonlactating cows. Journal of Animal Science 93, 5367-5377.

457 Guyader J, Doreau M, Morgavi DP, Gerard C, Loncke C and Martin C 2016. Long-term

effect of linseed plus nitrate fed to dairy cows on enteric methane emission and nitrate andnitrite residuals in milk. Animal 10, 1173-1181.

460 Hegarty RS, Miller J, Oelbrandt N, Li L, Luijben JPM, Robinson DL, Nolan JV and Perdok

461 HB 2016. Feed intake, growth, and body and carcass attributes of feedlot steers

supplemented with two levels of calcium nitrate or urea. Journal of Animal Science 94, 5372-

463 5381

- 464 Hristov AN, Oh J, Lee C, Meinen R, Montes F, Ott T, Firkins J, Rotz A, Dell C, Adesogan A,
- 465 Yang W, Tricarico J, Kebreab E, Waghorn G, Dijkstra J and Oosting S 2013. Mitigation of
- 466 greenhouse gas emissions in livestock production A review of technical options for non-
- 467 CO2 emissions. Eds Gerber PJ, Henderson B and Makkar HPS. FAO Animal Production
- 468 and Health Paper No. 177. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- 469 Klop G, Hatew B, Bannink A and Dijkstra J 2016. Feeding nitrate and docosahexaenoic acid
- 470 affects enteric methane production and milk fatty acid composition in lactating dairy cows.
- Journal of Dairy Science 99, 1161-1172.
- 472 Lee C, Araujo R, Koenig K and Beauchemin K 2015. Effects of encapsulated nitrate on
- 473 enteric methane production and nitrogen and energy utilization in beef heifers. Journal of
- 474 Animal Science 93, 2391-2404.
- Lee C and Beauchemin KA 2014. A review of feeding supplementary nitrate to ruminant
- 476 animals: nitrate toxicity, methane emissions, and production performance. Canadian Journal477 of Animal Science 94, 557-570.
- 478 Leng RA 2014. Interactions between microbial consortia in biofilms: a paradigm shift in
- rumen microbial ecology and enteric methane mitigation. Animal Production Science 54,
- 480 519-543
- Li L, Silveira C, I, Nolan J, V, Godwin I, Leng R and Hegarty R 2013. Effect of added dietary
 nitrate and elemental sulfur on wool growth and methane emission of Merino lambs. Animal
- 483 Production Science 53, 1195-1201.
- Martin C, Morgavi DP and Doreau M 2010. Methane mitigation in ruminants: from microbe to
 the farm scale. Animal 4, 351-365.
- 486 Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food 1992. Analysis of Agricultural Materials, 2nd Ed.
- 487 Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London.
- Newbold JR, van Zijderveld SM, Hulshof RBA, Fokkink WB, Leng RA, Terencio P, Powers
 WJ, van Adrichem PSJ, Paton ND and Perdok HB 2014 The effect of incremental levels of
 - 20

- 490 dietary nitrate on methane emissions in Holstein steers and performance in Nelore bulls.
- 491 Journal of Animal Science 92, 5032-5040.

492 Noziere P, Glasser F and Sauvant D 2011. In vivo production and molar percentages of

- volatile fatty acids in the rumen: a quantitative review by an empirical approach. Animal 5,403-414.
- 495 Patra AK 2013. The effect of dietary fats on methane emissions, and its other effects on
- digestibility, rumen fermentation and lactation performance in cattle: A meta-analysis.
- 497 Livestock Science 155, 244-254.
- 498 Patra AK 2014. A meta-analysis of the effect of dietary fat on enteric methane production,
- digestibility and rumen fermentation in sheep, and a comparison of these responses
- 500 between cattle and sheep. Livestock Science 162, 97-103.
- Ramin M and Huhtanen P 2013. Development of equations for predicting methane
 emissions from ruminants. Journal of Dairy Science 96, 2476-2493.
- 503 Rooke JA, Borman AJ and Armstrong DG 1990. The effect of inoculation with Lactobacillus
- 504 plantarum on fermentation in laboratory silos of herbage low in water-soluble carbohydrate.
- 505 Grass and Forage Science 45, 143-152.
- 506 Rooke JA, Wallace RJ, Duthie C-A, McKain N, de Souza SM, Hyslop JJ, Ross DW,
- 507 Waterhouse T and Roehe R 2014. Hydrogen and methane emissions from beef cattle and
- their rumen microbial community vary with diet, time after feeding and genotype. British
- 509 Journal of Nutrition 112, 398–407
- 510 Rooke JA, Miller G, Flockhart J, McDowell M and MacLeod M 2016. Nutritional strategies to
- 511 reduce enteric methane emissions. climateXchange Retrieved on 17 March 2017, from
- 512 http://www.climatexchange.org.uk/reducing-emissions/emissions-livestock-production/
- 513 Sauvant D and Giger-Reverdin S 2009. Modelling of digestive interactions and methane
- production in ruminants. Productions Animales 22, 375-384.

Troy S, Duthie CA, Hyslop J, Roehe R, Ross D, Wallace R, Waterhouse A and Rooke J
2015. Effectiveness of nitrate addition and increased oil content as methane mitigation
strategies for beef cattle fed two contrasting basal diets. Journal of Animal Science 93, 18151823.

van Zijderveld S, Gerrits W, Apajalahti J, Newbold J, Dijkstra J, Leng R and Perdok H 2010.

520 Nitrate and sulfate: Effective alternative hydrogen sinks for mitigation of ruminal methane

521 production in sheep. Journal of Dairy Science 93, 5856-5866.

van Zijderveld SM, Fonken B, Dijkstra J, Gerrits WJJ, Perdok HB, Fokkink W and Newbold

523 JR 2011a. Effects of a combination of feed additives on methane production, diet

digestibility, and animal performance in lactating dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 94,

525 1445-1454.

van Zijderveld SM, Gerrits WJJ, Dijkstra J, Newbold JR, Hulshof RBA and Perdok HB

527 2011b. Persistency of methane mitigation by dietary nitrate supplementation in dairy cows.

528 Journal of Dairy Science 94, 4028-4038.

529 Veneman JB, Muetzel S, Hart KJ, Faulkner CL, Moorby JM, Perdok HB and Newbold CJ

530 2015. Does Dietary Mitigation of Enteric Methane Production Affect Rumen Function and

531 Animal Productivity in Dairy Cows? Plos One 10, e0140282.

532 Yang CJ, Rooke JA, Cabeza I and Wallace RJ 2016. Nitrate and Inhibition of Ruminal

533 Methanogenesis: Microbial Ecology, Obstacles, and Opportunities for Lowering Methane

534 Emissions from Ruminant Livestock. Frontiers in Microbiology 7, 132.

535 DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00132.

Treatment	Control	Nitrate	MDDG	Combined					
Ingredient Composition									
Barley	336	388	289	263					
Grass silage	210	211	209	210					
Whole crop barley silage	347	347	346	346					
Rapeseed meal	79	0	0	0					
Calcinit ¹	0	25	0	24					
Maize distiller's dark grains	0	0	128	127					
Molasses	19	20	19	19					
Minerals ²	9	9	9	9					
Chemical Composition									
DM, g/kg	533	531	533	533					
Ash	52	48	51	51					
CP	135	141	136	162					
ADF	184	166	184	183					
NDF	308	295	317	313					
Starch	281	308	264	250					
Ether extract	25.0	23.4	36.7	35.9					
GE, MJ/kg DM	18.1	17.6	18.5	18.0					
Estimated ME, MJ/kg DM	11.7	11.5	12.0	11.7					

Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition (g/kg DM) of the experimental diets

¹Contained (g/kg DM): nitrate, 757; Ca, 225.

²Contained (mg/kg): Fe, 6036; Mn, 2200; Zn, 2600; Iodine, 200; Co, 90; Cu, 2500; Se 30; (μg/kg): vitamin E, 2000; vitamin B12, 1000; vitamin A, 151515; vitamin D, 2500.

Table 2. Effect of breed and dietary treatment on growth, fat depth, feed intake and feed efficiency of Aberdeen Angus- (AAx) and Limousinsired (LIMx) steers fed four dietary treatments in which rapeseed meal (CTL) was replaced by nitrate (NIT) or lipid (MDDG) alone or in combination (COMB)

	Breed		Significance ²		Treatment					Significance ²	
Treatment	AAx	LIMx		CTL	NIT	MDDG	COMB	SEM ¹	Nitrate	Lipid	
AgeST (days)	417	411	NS	414	414	413	415	5.3			
Mid-BW (kg)	542	539	NS	547	543	538	534	17.5			
Mid-MBW (kg ^{0.75})	112	112	NS	113	112	112	112	2.7			
ADG (kg/day)	1.75	1.56	**	1.74	1.54	1.72	1.63	0.076	**		
DMI (kg/day)	12.15	11.07	***	11.78	11.43	11.76	11.47	0.425			
DMI/BW(g/kg)	22.44	20.58	***	21.60	21.08	21.90	21.47	0.483			
DMI/MBW(g/kg ^{0.75})	108.1	99.1	***	104.3	101.6	105.3	103.1	2.31			
FCR (kg, kg)	7.02	7.21	NS	6.85	7.52	6.90	7.18	0.269	*		
RFI (kg)	0.24	-0.24	**	-0.08	0.06	-0.02	0.04	0.231			
FD1 (mm) ³	9.14	8.05	**	8.40	8.86	8.81	8.31	0.663			

¹SEM for 10 observations.

AgeST, Age at start of test; Mid-BW, mid-test BW; Mid-MBW, mid-test metabolic BW; ADG, average daily gain at the end of the 56 day test;

FCR, feed conversion ratio; RFI, residual feed intake; FD1, fat depth at the 12/13th rib at the end of the 56 day test.

² There were no significant (P>0.05) interactions between breed and dietary treatment or between nitrate and lipid.

³Deviation from breed mean of FD0 (measured at start of 56 day performance test) fitted as covariate.

P*<0.05; *P*<0.01; *P*<0.001

	Bre	ed			Treatme		Significance ²			
	AAx	Limx	Significance	CTL	NIT	MDDG	COMB	SEM ¹	Nitrate	Lipid
BW (kg)	669	648	**	677	650	652	655	9.5		
DMI										
kg/day	11.0	9.3	***	10.3	9.8	10.2	10.2	0.51		
g/kg BW	16.4	14.3	***	15.3	15.0	15.6	15.6	0.65		
Methane										
g/day	241	214	***	246	219	238	210	12.2	***	
g/kg DMI	22.0	23.2	*	24.0	22.1	23.4	20.9	0.94	***	
g/kg ADG ³	154	163		161	163	161	147	7.9		
kJ/MJ GEI	67.5	71.1	*	73.3	69.5	70.2	64.2	2.9	**	*
Hydrogen										
g/day	0.86	0.67		0.45	0.99	0.40	1.04	0.095	***	
g/kg DMI	0.06	0.07		0.04	0.10	0.04	0.10	0.009	***	
kJ/MJ GEI	0.56	0.58		0.35	0.81	0.30	0.82	0.073	***	
H ₂ :CH ₄ molar ratio	0.025	0.025		0.015	0.035	0.01	0.04	0.003	***	

Table 3. Effect of breed and dietary treatment on methane and hydrogen emissions of Aberdeen Angus-sired (AAx) and Limousin-sired (LIMx) steers fed four dietary treatments in which rapeseed meal (CTL) was replaced by nitrate (NIT) or lipid (MDDG) alone or in combination (COMB)

DMI, DM intake; GEI, Gross Energy intake;

¹ SEM for 9 observations.

²There were no significant (P>0.05) interactions between breed and dietary treatments or between nitrate and lipid.

P*<0.05; *P*<0.01; ****P*<0.001

³ Calculated from methane (g/kg DMI) and DMI and ADG from Table 2.

Table 4. Effect of breed and dietary treatment on VFA (mmol/mol) molar proportions in rumen fluid from Aberdeen Angus-sired (AAx) and Limousin-sired (LIMx) steers fed four dietary treatments in which rapeseed meal (CTL) was replaced by nitrate (NIT) or lipid (MDDG) alone or in combination (COMB). Rumen samples taken when steers left respiration chambers

	Bre	ed	_	Treatment					Significance ²	
Treatment	AAx	Limx	Significance	CTL	NIT	MDDG	COMB	SEM ¹	Nitrate	Lipid
Acetate	672	689	***	664	685	676	696	7.4	***	*
Propionate	167	155	*	175	154	164	150	7.3	**	
Butyrate	126	123		124	127	125	123	5.8		
Valerate	13	11	†	13	12	12	10	1.0	†	†
Branched chain	34	35		40	34	29	34	2.8		**
Acetate: Propionate Ratio	4.0	4.5	**	3.9	4.5	4.2	4.7	0.33	***	

¹SEM given for 9 observations.

²There were no significant interactions (P>0.05) between breed and treatments

†, P<0.1; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001

Legends for Figures

Figure 1. Changes in blood met-haemoglobin (% total haemoglobin) during adaptation to nitrate-containing diets. Samples were obtained from cross bred Aberdeen Angus (AAx) or Limousin (LimX) steers offered diets containing nitrate alone (NIT) or nitrate and maize distillers dark grains (COMB). Blood samples were taken when 25% (day -34, where day 0 was the start of the performance test), 50% (day -27) and 100% (days -13, -6 and 1) of the dietary nitrate (100% = 21.5 g nitrate / kg DM) inclusion was offered.

Figure 2 Changes in the ratio (mol /mol) of acetate to propionate during experiment in rumen fluid from steers fed four dietary treatments in which rapeseed meal (CTL) was replaced by nitrate (NIT) or lipid (MDDG) alone or in combination (COMB). Samples were taken during adaptation to basal diet (Prelim, day -42); during introduction of experimental treatments (Adapt, day -28); prior to the start (Start, day -11) and at the end (End, day 56) of performance measurement and when steers left respiration chambers (Chamber). Figure 1. Changes in blood met-haemoglobin (% total haemoglobin) during adaptation to nitrate-containing diets. Samples were obtained from cross bred Aberdeen Angus (AAx) or Limousin (LimX) steers offered diets containing nitrate alone (NIT) or nitrate and maize distillers dark grains (COMB). Blood samples were taken when 25% (day -34, where day 0 was the start of the performance test), 50% (day -27) and 100% (days -13, -6 and 1) of the dietary nitrate (100% = 21.5 g nitrate / kg DM) inclusion was offered.



Figure 2 Changes in the ratio (mol /mol) of acetate to propionate during experiment in rumen fluid from steers fed four dietary treatments in which rapeseed meal (CTL) was replaced by nitrate (NIT) or lipid (MDDG) alone or in combination (COMB). Samples were taken during adaptation to basal diet (Prelim, day -42); during introduction of experimental treatments (Adapt, day -28); prior to the start (Start, day -11) and at the end (End, day 56) of performance measurement and when steers left respiration chambers (Chamber).

Deparment

