

Pure

Scotland's Rural College

The interplay of dietary nutrient level and varying calcium to phosphorus ratios on efficacy of bacterial phytase: 2. Ileal and total tract nutrient utilization

Olukosi, OA; Fru-Nji, F

Published in:
Poultry Science

DOI:
[10.3382/ps.2014-03979](https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2014-03979)

Print publication: 01/01/2014

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Olukosi, OA., & Fru-Nji, F. (2014). The interplay of dietary nutrient level and varying calcium to phosphorus ratios on efficacy of bacterial phytase: 2. Ileal and total tract nutrient utilization. *Poultry Science*, 93(12), 3044 - 3052. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2014-03979>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

DIET FACTORS AND PHYTASE EFFECT ON NUTRIENTS

The interplay of dietary nutrient level and varying Ca to phosphorus ratios on efficacy of a bacterial phytase: 2. Ileal and total tract nutrient utilization

10 **ABSTRACT** A 14-d broiler experiment was conducted to assess the effects of two
11 dietary variables on efficacy of a bacterial 6-phytase expressed in *Aspergillus oryzae* on nutrient
12 and phytate phosphorus (PP) utilization. Diets were formulated with or without nutrient matrix
13 values (matrix) for phytase as negative control (NC) or positive control (PC), respectively and
14 with two Ca:tP levels (2:1 or 2.5:1). The diets were supplemented with 0, 1,000 or 2,000 FYT/kg
15 phytase thus producing a 2×2×3 factorial arrangement. Excreta were collected on d 19 to 21 and
16 ileal digesta on d 21. There was no three-way interaction on digestibility of any nutrient. There
17 was matrix × phytase ($P < 0.01$) interaction for Ca and DM digestibility and Ca:tP × phytase
18 interaction ($P < 0.05$) for acid hydrolyzed fat, Ca and P digestibility. Pre-cecal flow of Mn, Zn
19 and Na was greater ($P < 0.05$) in NC diets whereas phytase increased ($P < 0.05$) pre-cecal flow
20 of Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn but decreased ($P < 0.05$) pre-cecal Na flow. Total tract PP disappearance
21 and total tract Ca retention increased ($P < 0.05$) with phytase supplementation in diets with 2:1
22 Ca:tP whereas there was no effect of phytase supplementation on PP disappearance or Ca
23 retention in diets with 2.5:1 Ca:tP. Total P and Ca retention were reduced ($P < 0.05$) in PC and
24 NC diets when Ca:tP increased to 2.5:1 but the depression was more pronounced in the NC diet.
25 In addition, PP disappearance decreased ($P < 0.05$) with increasing Ca:tP in the PC diets but
26 there was no effect of widening Ca:tP on PP disappearance in NC diets. It was concluded from
27 the current study that the effect of phytase supplementation on P utilization is reduced when diets
28 contain adequate P as exemplified in the PC diets and that the negative impact of wide Ca:tP is
29 more pronounced in diets with phytase matrix allowance as exemplified in the NC diets.

30

31 **Key words:** broilers, calcium:phosphorus, nutrient utilization, phytase matrix

32

INTRODUCTION

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

The use of phytase in non-ruminant diets and the effects of different nutritional variables on the efficacy of phytase have been well studied in recent years (Adeola and Cowieson, 2011). However, there is continued interest in understanding the various factors that mitigate the efficacy of phytase or that may improve its effect in poultry diets because of the sheer amount of phytate present in typical poultry diets. A typical corn-soybean meal diet for poultry formulated using conventional (i.e. non low-phytate varieties) may contain up to 4 g/kg phytate-P (Selle and Ravindran, 2007) which are largely unavailable to birds without the action of phytase (endogenous and exogenous). Liberation of 60% of the P tied up in phytate will be a considerable saving in terms of reducing both the cost for inorganic P supplementation and environmental impact of P excretion. Therefore it is imperative to understand factors that may hinder or enhance the efficacy of phytase.

The negative effect of wide Ca:P on phytase efficacy is well known (Tamim et al., 2004; Adeola et al., 2006) and this is related to the formation of recalcitrant calcium-phytate (Taylor, 1965, Nelson and Kirby, 1987) or Ca-phosphate complexes (Long et al., 1984). In addition it is common practice to reduce dietary levels of inorganic P, Ca, Na, energy and some digestible amino acids (phytase matrix) in phytase-supplemented diets (Shelton et al., 2004). It would seem that supplementation of phytase to diets that already meet birds' requirements for these minerals can be both wasteful and counterproductive. However, supplementation of phytase at high levels (Shirley and Edwards, 2003; Cowieson et al., 2006) or to diets that already meet nutrient requirements of broilers has produced improvement in animal performance presumably via mitigation of anti-nutritive effects of phytate rather than supply of limiting nutrients (Walk et al., 2013).

56 The current study examines the interplay of the variation in Ca:tP (tp, **total P**) and dietary
57 nutrient levels on efficacy of phytase added at low and high doses. There have been considerable
58 amount of investigations on the former and much less on the latter. Therefore, the objective of
59 the current experiment was to investigate how the use of a nutrient replacement values for
60 phytase (phytase matrix) affects phytase efficacy on nutrient utilization (with particular focus on
61 utilization of Ca, tP and phytate P), and especially within the context of variable dietary Ca:tP.
62 The companion article considers how these dietary factors influence growth performance and
63 bone mineralization in broilers.

64 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

65 All the animal experimentation procedures used in the current study were approved by
66 the Scotland's Rural College's Animal Experimentation Committee.

67 *Diets and experimental design*

68 A total of 576 birds were used for the 14-d experiment to study the influence of nutrient
69 specification and Ca:tP on efficacy of phytase on nutrients and minerals utilization in broilers.
70 The birds were brooded together in a floor pen for the first 7 days of age during which they
71 received a standard diet that meets NRC (1994) nutrient requirement for broilers. On day 7, the
72 birds were weighed and allocated to 12 dietary treatments in a randomized complete block
73 design and a 2×2×3 factorial arrangement of treatments. Each treatment had 8 replicate cages
74 and 6 birds per replicate cage. The factors were two levels of nutrient specifications (explained
75 below), two levels of Ca:tP (2:1 and 2.5:1) and three levels of phytase supplementation (0, 1,000
76 and 2,000 FYT/kg). Excreta were collected on d 19 to 21 of the birds' age and ileal digesta were
77 collected on d 21 after euthanasia of the birds.

78 The composition of the experimental diets is presented in Table 1. Nutrient specification
79 was used to define the diets that were formulated to meet all the nutrient requirements for
80 broilers (full nutrient specification without phytase matrix or positive control, **PC**) and another

81 set of diets with reduced nutrient specification formulated to be deficient in P, Ca, crude protein
82 (**CP**), amino acids, and energy (down specification or negative control, **NC**). The nutrients and
83 energy levels in the NC diets were reduced relative to the PC diets on the basis of the amount of
84 nutrients and energy that the phytase was expected to release (nutrient matrix values for
85 phytase). The matrix values used per kg feed for 1,000 FYT were approximately, 75 kcal ME,
86 1.5 g for available P, 1.8 g for Ca, 0.26 g for CP, 0.11, 0.07, 0.04, and 0.07 g for digestible
87 lysine, total sulphur amino acids, methionine, and threonine, respectively. One phytase (FYT)
88 unit is defined as the activity that releases 1 μmol inorganic phosphate from 5.0 mM phytate per
89 minute at pH 5.5 and 37°C.

90 *Chemical analysis*

91 Diets, ileal digesta and excreta were analyzed for dry matter, N, gross energy, Ti, and
92 minerals. Dry matter was determined by drying the samples in a drying oven (Uniterm, Russel-
93 Lindsey Engineering Ltd., Birmingham, England, UK) at 105°C for 24 hours (AOAC Method
94 934.01; AOAC, 2006). Total N content was determined by the combustion method (Method
95 968.06; AOAC, 2006). Gross energy was determined in an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (Model
96 6200, Parr Instruments, Moline, IL) using benzoic acid as an internal standard. Titanium
97 concentration in the samples was determined using the method of Short *et al.* (1996). Minerals
98 content was determined using Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy
99 (AOAC Method 990.08; AOAC, 2006) following digestion, in turn, in concentrated HNO₃ and
100 HCl. Free fat was determined using extraction by petroleum ether in a Soxhlet apparatus for six
101 hours whereas acid hydrolyzed fat (**AHF**) was determined by acid hydrolysis using 30% HCl
102 followed by ether extraction.

103 *Statistical analysis*

104 The data were analyzed by the MIXED procedure of SAS as appropriate for a
105 randomized complete block design and a factorial treatment arrangement. For ease of reference,
106 the two types of control diets (NC and PC) were coded as matrix (i.e. nutrient matrix for phytase)
107 in the factorial arrangement with PC (as diets without phytase matrix) and NC (as diets with
108 phytase matrix). The three-way interactions were investigated first in the analysis. Where the 3-
109 way interactions were not significant they were dropped from the model and the data re-
110 analyzed. Non-significant interactions were dropped for more thorough investigation of the main
111 effects means. Because the two-way interactions were significant for most of the responses even
112 though the three-way interactions were not, the simple effects means are presented in the tables.
113 Because of the hierarchical arrangement of main effects and interactions, only the interactions
114 are discussed for responses in which all the two-way interactions are significant, whereas main
115 effects means are also discussed in cases where one or more of the two-way interactions are not
116 significant.

117 RESULTS

118 The analyzed nutrients compositions of the experimental diets are shown in Table 2 and
119 show that expected nutrient compositions were met despite some slightly higher recoveries of the
120 phytase.

121 The data on ileal nutrient digestibility response to the dietary treatments are presented in
122 Table 3. There were no three-way interaction effects on digestibility of any of the nutrients.
123 There were matrix \times Ca:tP ($P < 0.05$) interaction for DM and AHF digestibility explained by
124 lower ($P < 0.01$) DM and AHF digestibility in the NC diets with narrow Ca:tP whereas there
125 was no effect of Ca:tP on DM and AHF digestibility in the PC diets. There was also matrix \times
126 phytase ($P < 0.01$) interaction for Ca and DM digestibility with lower ($P < 0.01$) DM and Ca

127 digestibility (drastic reduction observed for Ca digestibility) in phytase-supplemented NC diets
128 whereas such effect was not observed in the PC diets. Ca:tP \times phytase interaction was observed
129 ($P < 0.05$) for AHF, Ca and P digestibility with phytase at 2,000 FYT/kg increasing ($P < 0.05$)
130 AHF digestibility in the diets with narrow Ca:tP whereas phytase supplementation had no effect
131 on AHF digestibility in diets with wide Ca:tP. The Ca:tP \times phytase interaction for Ca
132 digestibility was characterized by drastic and stepwise reduction ($P < 0.05$) in Ca digestibility
133 with increasing phytase supplemental level in the diets with wide Ca:tP but a less drastic
134 reduction in Ca digestibility at 1,000 FYT/kg in diets with narrow Ca:tP. For P digestibility, the
135 Ca:tP \times phytase interaction was manifested in reduced ($P < 0.05$) P digestibility at 1,000 FYT/kg
136 and an increase ($P < 0.05$) at 2,000 FYT/kg in diets with narrow Ca:tP but a reduction ($P < 0.05$)
137 in P digestibility at both 1,000 and 2,000 FYT/kg in diets with wide Ca:tP.

138 The data on pre-cecal flow of micro-minerals in response to the dietary treatments are
139 presented in Table 4. Pre-cecal flow of Na, Mn and Zn was greater ($P < 0.05$) in NC diets; in
140 addition pre-cecal flow of K and Mn was greater ($P < 0.05$) in diets with wide Ca:tP. On the
141 other hand, phytase supplementation increased ($P < 0.05$) pre-cecal flow of Mg, Fe, Mn, and Zn,
142 decreased ($P < 0.01$) flow of Na and had no effect on K flow. There were significant Ca:tP \times
143 phytase interactions ($P < 0.05$) for pre-cecal flow of Mg and Mn with a decrease in the pre-cecal
144 flow of the minerals with phytase supplementation in diets with narrow Ca:tP. On the other hand
145 there was an increase in pre-cecal flow of the minerals with phytase supplementation in diets
146 with wide Ca:tP. Ca:tP \times matrix interaction was significant ($P < 0.01$) for pre-cecal flow of Na
147 and Mn. Generally pre-cecal flow of Na and Mn was greater ($P < 0.01$) in PC diets with wide
148 Ca:tP whereas Ca:tP had no effect on flow of the minerals in NC diets. Matrix \times phytase
149 interaction was observed ($P < 0.01$) for pre-cecal Na and K flow. Phytase supplementation

150 decreased ($P < 0.05$) pre-cecal Na flow but increased ($P < 0.05$) K flow in NC diets however
151 phytase supplementation had no effect on pre-cecal Na flow but decreased ($P < 0.05$) pre-cecal K
152 flow in PC diets.

153 The effects of the treatments on total tract nutrient retention are shown in Table 5. There
154 were Ca:tP \times phytase interaction ($P < 0.05$) for total tract retention of DM, fat, AHF, and N, as
155 well as AME. Dry matter and N retention as well as AME increased ($P < 0.05$) with phytase
156 supplementation in diets with narrow Ca:tP but DM retention decreased ($P < 0.05$) whereas
157 AME, N and fat retention were unaffected by phytase supplementation in diets with wide Ca:tP.
158 The interaction of Ca:tP \times matrix was significant ($P < 0.05$) for retention of DM, AHF, N, and
159 AME. In PC diets, retention of DM, AHF and N decreased ($P < 0.05$) whereas AME increased (P
160 < 0.05) with widening of Ca:tP. In NC diets, AHF and N retention increased ($P < 0.05$) whereas
161 there was no change in DM retention and AME with widening of Ca:tP.

162 The effect of the dietary treatments on total tract retention of Ca, P and PP are shown in
163 Table 6. Widening Ca:tP to 2.5:1 decreased ($P < 0.05$) total tract retention of Ca and tP as well as
164 PP disappearance. Ca:tP \times phytase interaction was significant ($P < 0.05$) for total tract retention
165 of Ca, tP and PP. In the diets with narrow Ca:tP, only 2,000 FYT phytase increased ($P < 0.05$) tP
166 retention. In the diets with wide Ca:tP, phytase supplementation at 1,000 FYT/kg improved tP
167 retention. Total tract PP disappearance and Ca retention increased ($P < 0.05$) with phytase
168 supplementation in diets with narrow Ca:tP but no effect was observed in diets with wide Ca:tP.
169 There was significant Ca:tP \times matrix ($P < 0.05$) on total tract retention of tP and Ca as well as PP
170 disappearance. Total P and Ca retention were reduced ($P < 0.05$) in both NC and PC with
171 widening of Ca:tP to 2.5:1 but the depression in P and Ca retention due to widening of Ca:tP was
172 more pronounced in the NC diets. In addition, PP disappearance decreased ($P < 0.05$) with

173 widening Ca:tP in the PC diets but there was no effect of widening Ca:tP on PP disappearance in
174 the NC diets. Matrix \times phytase was significant ($P < 0.05$) only for total tract P retention with
175 phytase supplementation increasing P retention only at 2,000 FYT/kg in PC diets and only at
176 1,000 FYT/kg in NC diets.

177 **DISCUSSION**

178 There is a preponderance of information on the effects of phytase on nutrient utilization
179 (Selle and Ravindran, 2007; Adeola and Cowieson, 2011) as well as the effect of Ca:P on
180 phytase efficacy (Qian et al, 1997; Selle et al., 2009). In addition, it is a usual practice to reduce
181 nutrient specification in phytase-supplemented diets or provide a nutrient matrix values for
182 phytase (Shelton et al., 2004; Silversides and Hruby, 2009). Therefore the objective of the
183 current experiment was to study the interactivity of varying Ca:tP in PC and NC diets on the
184 efficacy of phytase at low and high doses in promoting nutrient utilization in broilers. Although
185 the effects of the treatments in the current experiment were observed on energy and a large
186 number of nutrients, the main responses that will subsequently be focused on are phytate and
187 total P as well as Ca in view of their association with phytic acid.

188 *Effects of use of nutrient matrix for phytase on phytase efficacy*

189 The use of a phytase matrix in phytase-supplemented feed enables a reduction in nutrient
190 specification, reduces nutrient excretion and increases the chance of being able to observe
191 phytase effects. Two lines of evidence are presented here to show that the use of a phytase matrix
192 differently affects phytase effects on PP and tP.

193 At the ileal level, the efficacy of phytase in promoting PP disappearance was the same in
194 both PC and NC diets but at the total tract level, phytase supplementation of PC diet marginally

195 reduced PP disappearance whereas phytase supplementation increased PP disappearance in the
196 NC diets. The PP level was virtually the same across all diets (average of 0.22%) and hence the
197 lower PP disappearance in PC diet without phytase suggests that the higher dietary non-phytate P
198 (**nPP**) level (providing greater quantities of readily available P) in the diet may provide a
199 feedback mechanism inhibiting the degradation of phytic acid. It is not clear if such a mechanism
200 exists, however others have similarly observed reduced PP disappearance in diets with high
201 levels of nPP (Ballam et al. 1982; Olukosi et al., 2013).

202 Ravindran et al. (2000) observed that hydrolysis of PP increases with an increase in
203 dietary PP level. This is intuitive, up to a point, as higher PP provides more substrate for phytase.
204 But it is also of interest to consider how PP hydrolysis is affected by nPP levels in diets with the
205 same content of PP. In the current study, ileal PP disappearance was similar in both PC and NC
206 diets supplemented with 2,000 FYT/kg even though ileal PP disappearance in the diets without
207 phytase was five percentage units greater in the NC diet. This shows that the effect of phytase on
208 PP disappearance, relative to the control, was greater in the PC diet. The observation that PP
209 disappearance was the same in the diets supplemented with 2,000 FYT/kg in both PC and NC
210 diets indicates that effect of phytase supplementation on PP disappearance did not depend on
211 dietary level of nPP as also observed by Plumstead et al. (2008).

212 Phytate P disappearance at the total tract level in response to phytase supplementation
213 was greater in both PC and NC diets compared with the disappearance at the ileal level. However
214 the difference in PP disappearance at both levels in diets supplemented with 2,000 FYT/kg was
215 greater for NC diet (11 %) compared with PC diet (5%). Phytase did not improve total tract PP
216 disappearance in the PC diet but improved PP disappearance in the NC diet. Increased PP
217 disappearance in the excreta compared with the ileal level is an indication of either that the

218 phytase continued to be effective post-ileal or of the possible effects of microorganisms on
219 phytic acid hydrolysis. Overall the observation on PP disappearance and P utilization indicate
220 that reducing the level of nPP is beneficial in promoting greater PP and total P utilization.

221 Phytase supplementation did not increase ileal P digestibility in both the NC and PC diets
222 but increased total tract P retention by 11% in the NC and had no effect in the PC diets. The
223 numerical increase of 4.3 percentage units for total tract P retention in phytase-supplemented PC
224 diet decreased retained P by 190 mg/kg. On the other hand, phytase supplementation of NC diet
225 increased P retention by 11 percentage units and increased retained P by 830 mg/kg. In spite of
226 the greater retained P in phytase-supplemented NC compared with PC diet, the total retained P at
227 2,000 FYT/kg was 3.74 and 3.62 g/kg for PC and NC diets, respectively. The hydrolyzed PP at
228 the total tract level for PC and NC diets supplemented with 2,000 FYT/kg were 1.66 and 1.84
229 g/kg, respectively. Taken together therefore, the data imply that the high nPP content of the PC
230 diets “hinders” phytase from exerting its full effect on phytate. Although the total retained P in
231 PC diet supplemented with 2,000 FYT/kg phytase was greater than retained P in comparable NC
232 diet, this extra retained P could have resulted from the higher dietary P in the PC diet because the
233 amount of PP hydrolyzed was actually lower in the phytase-supplemented PC diet.

234 *The interplay of Ca:tP and dietary nutrient levels (phytase matrix)*

235 The use of a phytase matrix in diet formulation enables a reduction in nutrient content of
236 phytase-supplemented diets. This may be a necessary dietary intervention in phytase-
237 supplemented diets in order to optimize phytase effect and maximize reduction in nutrient
238 excretion (Shelton et al., 2004; Silversides et al., 2009). In the current study, at both the ileal and
239 total tract levels, widening Ca:tP decreased P and Ca digestibility in both PC and NC diets but

240 the decrease produced by the wider Ca:tP was more pronounced in NC diets and the depression
241 in Ca utilization due to wide Ca:tP was greater at the total tract level. The decreased digestibility
242 values were also reflected in decreased digestible and retained Ca and P in the diets in response
243 to widening the Ca:tP. Two scenarios emerging from these observations are: 1) a decrease in Ca
244 and P utilization with a widening of Ca:tP irrespective of whether it was in PC or NC diet and, 2)
245 a more pronounced negative effect of widening Ca:tP in NC diets.

246 In the first scenario, the decreased Ca utilization with increased Ca:tP can be associated
247 with increased relative dietary concentration of Ca in the diets with wide Ca:tP because the
248 analyzed Ca in these diets was 27% higher than in diets with narrow Ca:tP. This greater dietary
249 Ca content produced correspondingly higher Ca intake and hence reduced Ca retained as a
250 percentage of intake. Similar observations have been reported in rats (Hoek et al., 1988), pigs
251 (Qian et al., 1996) and chickens (Qian et al., 1997). Thus it seems that the decreased Ca
252 utilization in diets with wide Ca:tP can be largely explained by the presence of an abundance of
253 Ca in the intestine, than can be utilized by the birds, leading to excessive Ca excretion or reduced
254 efficiency of Ca absorption.

255 The decrease in P utilization in the diets with wide Ca:tP ratio is also primarily driven by
256 dietary Ca content because tP content was similar in diets with wide and narrow Ca:tP. Hoek et
257 al. (1988) similarly observed high P excretion in rats receiving diets with high Ca level. This
258 reduced P utilization in diet with wide Ca:tP can be explained by the fact that high concentration
259 of Ca relative to P increases the possibility for negative interaction of Ca and P, leading to
260 greater chances for formation of calcium phosphate (Hurwitz and Bar, 1971). Al-Masri (1995)
261 observed that P digestibility, absorption and endogenous excretion in chickens decreased with
262 increasing Ca:P ratio. Similar effect has been reported by Edwards and Veltmann (1983) and

263 Qian et al. (1997). Clearly, an increase in Ca:tP increases the concentration of Ca relative to P
264 and hence increases the chances of more Ca being chemically bound and becoming indigestible.

265 It has been suggested that another way by which high Ca:P reduces P and Ca utilization is
266 by the formation of recalcitrant Ca-phytate complex (Wise, 1983; Maenz et al., 1999). The effect
267 of Ca:tP on PP disappearance was not consistently observed in the current study. It was only at
268 the total tract level that high Ca:tP decreased PP disappearance in the PC diet. The analyzed PP
269 was the same in all diets in the current experiment and the only differences among diets were Ca
270 and P levels. In addition, the depressed PP disappearance observed in the current study was not
271 dependent on Ca:tP per se but rather on dietary concentration of both Ca and P, i.e. the diet with
272 high contents of both Ca and P had depressed PP disappearance.

273 For the second scenario, it is possible that the reason for the decrease in Ca and P
274 utilization in NC diets with wide Ca:tP relative to similar diets in PC diets was due to the lower
275 Ca and P contents of the NC diets compared with PC diets. Phytate P made up a greater
276 proportion of total P in NC compared with the PC (additional P in the PC diet was supplied by
277 dicalcium phosphate) and hence the P will be less digestible in NC than the more readily
278 digestible P in the inorganic P sources used in the PC diet. Consequently the current data show
279 that the dietary content of Ca and P, not just the ratio, need to be considered in interpretation of
280 the effect of Ca:tP.

281 In light of the observations in the current experiment, it can be concluded that the effects
282 of wide Ca:tP are more likely to be severe in diets in which nutrient matrix for phytase is used
283 (as exemplified by the NC diets in this experiment) especially as it relates to Ca utilization; and

284 that the negative effect of high Ca:tP on P and Ca utilization could be mediated via mechanisms
285 independent of phytic acid degradation.

286

287 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

288 The authors acknowledge the help of Derek Brown and Irene Yuill of Avian Science Research
289 Centre, Scotland's Rural College, Auchincruive, Ayr for the care of the animals used in the
290 study.

291 **REFERENCES**

292 Adeola, O., and A. J. Cowieson. 2011. Opportunities and challenges in using exogenous
293 enzymes to improve nonruminant animal production. *J. Anim. Sci* 89: 3189-3218.

294 Adeola, O., O. A. Olukosi, J. A. Jendza, R. N. Dilger, and M. R. Bedford. 2006. Response of
295 growing pigs to *Peniophora lycii*- and *Escherichia coli*-derived phytases or varying ratios
296 of calcium to total phosphorus. *Anim. Sci.* 82: 637-644.

297 Al-Masri, M. R. 1995. Absorption and endogenous excretion of phosphorus in growing broiler
298 chicks, as influenced by calcium and phosphorus ratios in feed. *Br. J. Nutr.* 74: 407-415.

299 AOAC. 2006. Official methods of analysis. 18th ed. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. Washington, DC.

300 Ballam, G. C., T. S. Nelson and L. K. Kirby. 1982. Effect of fiber and phytate source and of
301 calcium and phosphorus level on phytate hydrolysis in the chick. *Poult. Sci.* 63:333-338.

302 Cowieson, A. J., T. Acamovic, and M. R. Bedford. 2006. Supplementation of corn-soy-based
303 diets with an *Escherichia coli*-derived phytase: effects of broiler chick performance and the

304 digestibility of amino acids and metabolizability of minerals and energy. *Poult. Sci.* 85:
305 1389-1397.

306 Edwards Jr., H. M., and J. R. Veltmann, 1983. The role of calcium and phosphorus in the
307 etiology of tibial dyschondroplasia in young chicks. *J. Nutr.* 113: 1568-1575.

308 Hoek, A. C., A. G. Lemmens, J. W. M. A. Mullink, and A. C. Beynen. 1988. Influence of dietary
309 calcium:phosphorus ratio on mineral excretion and nephrocalcinosis in female rats. *J. Nutr.*
310 118: 1210-1216.

311 Hurwitz, S., and A. Bar. 1971. Calcium and phosphorus interrelationships in the intestine of the
312 fowl. *J. Nutr.* 101: 677-686.

313 Long, P. H., S. R. Lee, G. N. Rowland, and W. M. Britton. 1984. Experimental rickets in
314 broilers: gross, microscopic, and radiographic lesions. I. phosphorus deficiency and
315 calcium excess. *Avian Dis.* 28: 460-474

316 Maenz, D. D., C. M. Engele-Schaan, R. W. Newkirk, and H. L. Classen. 1999. The effect of
317 minerals and mineral chelators on the formation of phytase-resistant and phytase-
318 susceptible forms of phytic acid in solution and in a slurry of canola meal. *Anim. Feed Sci.*
319 *Technol.* 81: 177-192.

320 National Research Council. 1994. *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*. 9th rev. ed. Natl. Acad.
321 Press, Washington, DC.

322 Nelson, T. S., and L. K. Kirby. 1987. The calcium binding properties of natural phytate in chick
323 diets. *Nutr. Rep. Int.* 35:949-956.

- 324 Olukosi, O. A., C. Kong, F. Fru-Nji, K. M. Ajuwon, and O. Adeola. 2013. Assessment of a
325 bacterial 6-phytase in the diets of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 92: 2101-2108
- 326 Plumstead P. W., A. B. Leytem, R. O. Maguire, J. W. Spears, P. Kwanyuen, and J. Brake. 2008.
327 Interaction of calcium and phytate in broiler diets. 1. Effects on apparent prececal
328 digestibility and retention of phosphorus. *Poult. Sci.* 87:449–458.
- 329 Qian, H., E. T. Kornegay, and D. E. Conner Jr. 1996. Adverse effects of wide
330 calcium:phosphorus ratios on supplemental phytase efficacy for weanling pigs fed two
331 dietary phosphorus levels. *J. Anim. Sci.* 74:1288-1297.
- 332 Qian, H., E. T. Kornegay, and D. M. Denbow. 1997. Utilization of phytate phosphorus and
333 calcium as influenced by microbial phytase, cholecalciferol, and the calcium:total
334 phosphorus ratio in broiler diets. *Poult. Sci.* 76: 37-46.
- 335 Ravindran, V., S. Cabahug , G. Ravindran, P.H. Selle, W. L. Bryden. 2000. Response of broiler
336 chickens to microbial phytase supplementation as influenced by dietary phytic acid and
337 non-phytate phosphorous levels. II. Effects on apparent metabolisable energy, nutrient
338 digestibility and nutrient retention. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41:193-200.
- 339 Selle, P. H., A. J. Cowieson, and V. Ravindran. 2009. Consequences of calcium interactions with
340 phytate and phytase for poultry and pigs, *Livest. Sci.* 124: 126-141
- 341 Selle, P. H., and V. Ravindran. 2007. Microbial phytase in poultry nutrition. *Anim. Feed Sci.*
342 *Technol.* 135: 1-41.
- 343 Shelton, J. L., L. L. Southern, L. A. Gaston, and A. Foster. 2004. Evaluation of the nutrient
344 matrix values for phytase in broilers. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 13:213–221.

- 345 Shirley, R. B., and H. M. Edwards Jr. 2003. Graded levels of phytase past industry standards
346 improves broiler performance. *Poult. Sci.* 82: 671-680.
- 347 Short, F. J., P. Gorton, J. Wiseman, and K. N. Boorman. 1996. Determination of titanium dioxide
348 added as an inert marker in chicken digestibility studies. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 59:
349 215-221.
- 350 Silversides, F. G., and M. Hruby. 2009. Feed formulation using phytase in laying hen diets. *J*
351 *Appl. Poult. Res.* 18:15-22
- 352 Tamim, N. M., R. Angel, and M. Christman. 2004. Influence of dietary calcium and phytase on
353 phytate phosphorus hydrolysis in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 83: 1358-1367.
- 354 Taylor, T. G. 1965. The availability of the calcium and phosphorus of plant materials for
355 animals. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 24:105–112.
- 356 Walk, C. L., M. R. Bedford, T. S. Santos, D. Paiva, J. R. Bradley, H. Wlodecki, C. Honaker, and
357 A. P. McElroy. 2013. Extra-phosphoric effects of superdoses of a novel microbial phytase.
358 *Poult. Sci.* 92: 719-725.
- 359 Wise, A. 1983. Dietary factors determining the biological activities of phytate. *Nutr. Abstr. Rev.*
360 53:791-806.

361 **Table 1.** Ingredient composition (g/kg) of the experimental basal diets

Basal diet	1	2	3	4
Ca:tP ¹	2:1		2.5:1	
Control (phytase matrix)	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
Corn	482.6	477.4	466.6	499.4
Wheat	-	50.0	-	-
Soybean meal	397.5	382.5	400.5	394.5
Soybean oil	58.0	40.0	60.0	45.0
Corn Starch	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Dicalcium phosphate	17.5	9.0	17.5	10.0
Limestone	17.0	15.5	28.0	24.0
Titanium dioxide	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
L-Lysine·HCl	1.0	0.4	1.0	0.7
DL-Methionine	2.8	1.9	2.8	2.8
Threonine	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.6
Vitamin-mineral premix ²	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Salt	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Phytase premix ³	To 1,000	To 1,000	To 1,000	To 1,000
Total	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Calculated nutrients and energy, %				
Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg	3,185	3,125	3,154	3,127
Crude protein	22.9	22.8	22.9	22.9
Total P	0.71	0.56	0.70	0.57
Non-phytate P	0.45	0.30	0.45	0.31
Ca	1.06	0.82	1.43	1.13

362

363 ¹Ca:tP based on analyzed chemical composition

364 ²Supplied the following per kilogram of diet: vitamin A, 5,484 IU; vitamin D3, 2,643 ICU;
 365 vitamin E, 11 IU; menadione sodium bisulfite, 4.38 mg; riboflavin, 5.49 mg; d-pantothenic
 366 acid, 11 mg; niacin, 44.1 mg; choline chloride, 771 mg; vitamin B12, 13.2 µg; biotin, 55.2
 367 µg; thiamine mononitrate, 2.2 mg; folic acid, 990 µg; pyridoxine hydrochloride, 3.3 mg; I,
 368 1.11 mg; Mn, 66.06 mg; Cu, 4.44 mg; Fe, 44.1 mg; Zn, 44.1 mg; Se, 300 µg.

369 ³Phytase premix containing 100 phytase units (FYT)/g replaced corn starch to provide
 370 1,000 or 2,000 FYT/kg.

Table 2. Analyzed nutrient composition (% , dry matter basis) and phytase activity in the experimental diets

Diets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Ca:tP	2:1						2.5:1					
Matrix	Positive Control			Negative Control			Positive Control			Negative Control		
GE, kcal/kg	4,452	4,681	4,652	4,672	4,619	4,603	5,720	5,782	4,610	4,641	4,572	4,580
Ether extract	10.2	10.3	8.80	7.11	7.83	6.84	11.4	11.3	8.94	7.67	8.26	7.95
Acid hydrolysed fat	11.1	9.49	9.80	8.03	7.96	8.03	12.1	12.9	9.70	8.30	9.37	8.37
N	3.99	3.86	4.13	3.84	3.90	3.80	5.11	4.70	4.15	4.04	3.73	3.88
Ca	1.37	1.63	1.40	1.31	1.18	1.17	2.23	2.24	1.87	1.06	1.47	1.38
P	0.73	0.81	0.71	0.65	0.61	0.57	0.93	0.91	0.74	0.48	0.60	0.62
Phytate P	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.24	0.23	0.29
non-phytate P ¹	0.47	0.57	0.48	0.40	0.38	0.33	0.65	0.63	0.45	0.24	0.37	0.33
Na	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.28	0.23	0.17	0.23	0.19
Mg	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.23	0.22	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.19
Cu, mg	11.3	15.8	14.7	12.5	10.2	10.2	28.2	18.2	12.4	10.2	13.6	15.8
Fe, mg	82.4	87.0	79.2	90.7	78.5	71.3	111.3	106.5	83.3	56.6	81.4	79.0
Mn, mg	81.3	94.9	87.1	100.9	84.2	83.8	107.1	105.1	83.3	61.1	83.7	86.9
Zn, mg	79.1	96	99.5	92.9	84.2	81.5	101.4	99.5	83.3	58.9	75.7	79.0
K	1.16	1.14	1.00	1.16	1.19	0.96	1.47	1.43	1.14	0.97	1.15	1.28
Phytase, FTY/kg ²	BD	1,121	2,977	BD	1,406	2,400	BD	1,717	2,537	BD	1,011	2,640

¹non-phytate phosphorus level was determined by difference (total P – phytate P)

²BD – below detection limit

Table 3. Simple effects means for ileal nutrient digestibility response to varying levels of phytase supplementation, dietary Ca:total P broiler diets with or without nutrient matrix values for phytase¹

Diet	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	P-values for interactions ^{2,3}			
Ca:tP	2:1						2.5:1						SEM	Ca:tP × M	Ca:tP × Ph	M × Ph
M ³	Positive Control			Negative Control			Positive Control			Negative Control						
Ph ³	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000				
DM	69.9	67.8	68.6	66.1	62.7	68.5	66.2	65.4	65.4	68.2	66.3	63.1	0.897	0.003	0.635	0.001
EE ³	89.5	88.3	87.2	83.2	83.6	83.0	87.2	88.0	86.7	85.5	86.2	85.0	1.12	0.058	0.794	0.821
AHF ³	82.0	79.6	82.4	76.4	74.4	78.0	81.3	81.8	81.0	78.1	81.9	78.4	1.31	0.043	0.003	0.768
N	76.9	73.8	77.1	73.9	69.9	75.0	73.5	71.4	74.8	73.8	70.3	70.0	1.12	0.636	0.156	0.447
Ca	42.8	32.5	35.9	61.7	45.9	44.9	34.0	31.9	25.8	53.5	34.8	25.9	2.56	0.062	0.029	0.001
P	43.8	38.7	47.2	48.5	37.9	46.9	34.0	32.3	33.0	37.6	31.4	30.2	1.97	0.358	0.005	0.071
PP ³	48.5	42.7	58.7	57.7	43.3	65.4	36.0	44.1	58.6	39.3	51.1	51.7	6.03	0.493	0.088	0.784

¹Means were obtained from 8 replicate cages of 6 birds per replicate cage

²The main effect for Ca:tP was significant ($P < 0.05$) for all nutrients except EE and PP; The main effect for nutrient matrix was significant for all nutrients except P and PP; The main effect for phytase was significant for all nutrients except EE and AHF; The three-way interaction was not significant for any nutrient

³M = nutrient matrix for phytase; Ph = phytase; EE = crude fat; AHF = acid hydrolysed fat; PP = phytate phosphorus

Table 4. Simple effects means for pre-cecal flow (g/100 g dry matter intake) of micro-minerals in response to varying levels of phytase supplementation, dietary Ca:total P broiler diets with or without nutrient matrix values for phytase¹

Diet	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	P-values for interactions ^{2,3}			
Ca:tP	2:1						2.5:1						SEM	Ca:tP × Ph	Ca:tP × M	M × Ph
M ³	Positive Control			Negative Control			Positive Control			Negative Control						
Ph ³	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000				
Na	0.271	0.235	0.232	0.409	0.346	0.292	0.257	0.242	0.260	0.362	0.290	0.294	0.017	0.119	0.032	0.008
Mg	0.155	0.166	0.158	0.168	0.190	0.157	0.164	0.178	0.171	0.156	0.171	0.186	0.006	0.003	0.096	0.620
Fe, mg	65.8	73.6	74.5	64.7	70.9	86.9	73.3	75.3	85.5	71.7	78.0	86.6	7.55	0.965	0.804	0.717
Mn, mg	88.0	92.7	84.5	94.0	99.4	89.4	92.6	96.9	94.2	91.6	91.9	101.0	2.20	< 0.001	0.028	0.309
Zn, mg	76.7	85.2	77.1	84.2	89.0	83.1	80.6	86.6	81.9	79.8	87.7	88.7	3.45	0.448	0.418	0.676
K	0.158	0.153	0.146	0.141	0.158	0.142	0.191	0.149	0.169	0.161	0.174	0.172	0.023	0.152	0.747	0.006

¹Means were obtained from 8 replicate cages of 6 birds per replicate cage

²Phytase matrix effect only significant (P < 0.05) for Na, Mn and Zn; Ca:tP effect only significant for Mn and K; Phytase effect significant (P < 0.05) for all except K; The three-way interaction was not significant for any nutrient

³M = nutrient matrix for phytase; Ph = phytase

Table 5. Simple effects means for total tract nutrient retention response to varying levels of phytase supplementation, dietary Ca:total P broiler diets with or without nutrient matrix values for phytase¹

Diet	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	P-values for interactions ^{2,3}			
Ca:tP	2:1						2.5:1						SEM	Ca:tP × Ph	Ca:tP × M	M × Ph
M ³	Positive Control			Negative Control			Positive Control			Negative Control						
Ph ³	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000				
DM	71.0	68.8	71.4	67.5	66.8	70.2	69.1	67.5	66.2	68.8	70.1	67.2	0.581	0.001	0.020	0.001
AME	3,321	3,557	3,535	3,516	3,469	3,458	3,515	3,540	3,519	3,514	3,453	3,455	5.81	0.001	0.001	0.001
EE	91.7	90.4	90.0	86.7	86.5	86.4	90.6	88.7	89.7	89.0	90.3	88.4	0.636	0.001	0.063	0.876
AHF	89.5	84.4	87.2	83.4	81.8	83.3	86.1	85.0	84.9	85.9	87.8	83.5	0.818	0.001	0.014	0.001
N	63.7	57.7	65.2	53.0	54.8	58.3	62.8	58.2	60.0	56.8	59.0	57.3	1.07	0.001	0.001	0.001

¹Means were obtained from 8 replicate cages of 6 birds per replicate cage

²Matrix (M) effect was significant for all nutrients except DM; Ca:tP effect was significant for all nutrients except AHF and N; Phytase (Ph) effect was significant for all nutrients except DM and AME; P-values for three-way interaction was not significant for any of the nutrients

³M = nutrient matrix for phytase; Ph = phytase; EE = crude fat; AHF = acid hydrolysed fat

Table 6. Simple effects means for total tract retention response of calcium, total and phytate phosphorus to varying levels of phytase supplementation, dietary Ca:total P broiler diets with or without nutrient matrix values for phytase¹

Diet	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	P-values for interactions ^{2, 3}			
Ca:tP	2:1						2.5:1						SEM	Ca:tP × Ph	Ca:tP × M	M × Ph
M ³	Positive Control			Negative Control			Positive Control			Negative Control						
Ph ³	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000	0	1000	2000				
P	52.1	49.6	56.8	56.1	61.1	69.1	42.4	46.5	46.5	42.5	54.6	52.5	1.32	< 0.001	0.004	<.0001
PP ³	68.4	68.6	68.6	63.6	60.7	71.8	64.7	62.7	58.5	62.9	66.8	67.2	2.41	0.018	0.019	0.096
Ca	39.9	36.5	42.1	42.3	38.3	46.4	25.2	22.1	22.0	17.8	25.2	16.1	1.59	< 0.001	0.002	0.067

¹Means were obtained from 8 replicate cages of 6 birds per replicate cage

²Phytase matrix effect only significant for P; Ca:tP effect significant for all the nutrients; Phytase effect only significant for P only; P-values for three-way interaction was not significant for any of the nutrients

³M = nutrient matrix for phytase; Ph = phytase; PP = phytate phosphorus