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#### Abstract

For a graph $F$, a graph $G$ is $F$-free if it does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to $F$. For two graphs $G$ and $H$, an $H$-coloring of $G$ is a mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that for every edge $u v \in E(G)$ it holds that $f(u) f(v) \in E(H)$. We are interested in the complexity of the problem $H$-Coloring, which asks for the existence of an $H$-coloring of an input graph $G$. In particular, we consider $H$-Coloring of $F$-free graphs, where $F$ is a fixed graph and $H$ is an odd cycle of length at least 5 . This problem is closely related to the well known open problem of determining the complexity of 3-Coloring of $P_{t}$-free graphs.

We show that for every odd $k \geq 5$ the $C_{k}$-Coloring problem, even in the precoloring-extension variant, can be solved in polynomial time in $P_{9}$-free graphs. On the other hand, we prove that the extension version of $C_{k}$-Coloring is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs whenever some component of $F$ is not a subgraph of a subdivided claw.
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[^0]
## 1 Introduction

For graphs $G$ and $H$, a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$ is a mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $f(u) f(v) \in E(H)$ for every edge $u v \in E(G)$. It is straightforward to see that if $H$ is a complete graph with $k$ vertices, then every homomorphism to $H$ is in fact a $k$-coloring of $G$ (and vice versa). This shows that homomorphisms can be seen as a generalization of graph colorings. Because of that, a homomorphism to $H$ is often called an $H$-coloring, and vertices of $H$ are called colors. We also say that $G$ is $H$-colorable if $G$ has an $H$-coloring.

In what follows, the target graph $H$ is always fixed. We are interested in the complexity of the $H$-Coloring problem, which asks whether the input graph $G$ has an $H$-coloring.

### 1.1 Complexity of variants of $\boldsymbol{H}$-Coloring

Since $H$-Coloring is a generalization of $k$-Coloring, it is natural to try to extend results for $k$-Coloring to target graphs $H$ which are not complete graphs. For example, it is well-known that $k$-Coloring enjoys a complexity dichotomy: it is polynomial-time solvable if $k \leq 2$, and NP-complete otherwise. The complexity dichotomy for $H$-Coloring was described by Hell and Nešetřil in their seminal paper [23]: they proved that the problem is polynomial-time solvable if $H$ is bipartite, and NP-complete otherwise.

Since then, there have been numerous studies on variants of $H$-Coloring. Our main focus will be on the $H$-Precoloring Extension problem, in which we are given a triple $(G, W, h)$, where $G$ is a graph, $W$ is a subset of $V(G)$, and $h$ is a mapping from $W$ to $V(H)$. The problem is to decide if $h$ can be extended to an $H$-coloring of $G$, that is, if there is an $H$-coloring $f$ of $G$ such that $f \mid W=h$.

Note that this problem is closely related to the List $H$-Coloring problem, where the input consists of a graph $G$ with an $H$-list assignment, which is a function $L: V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)}$ that assigns a subset of $V(H)$ (called list) to each vertex of $G$. We ask if there is an $H$-coloring $f$ of $G$ such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$. In such a case we say that $(G, L)$ is $H$-colorable and $f$ is an $H$-coloring of $(G, L)$. Clearly $H$-Precoloring Extension can be seen as a restriction of List $H$-Coloring, in which every list is either a singleton, or contains all vertices of $H$. This is the reason why it is sometimes called one-or-all list homomorphism (coloring) problem [13].

In general, variants of $H$-Coloring can be seen in a wider context of Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSP). A full complexity dichotomy for this family of problems has been a long-standing open question, known as the CSP dichotomy conjecture of Feder and Vardi [15]. After a long series of partial results, the problem was finally solved very recently, independently by Bulatov [5] and by Zhuk [33].

A natural approach in dealing with computationally hard problems is to consider restricted instances, in hope to understand the boundary between easy and hard cases. For example, it is known that $H$-Coloring can be solved in polynomial time for perfect graphs, because it suffices to test whether $\omega(G)>\omega(H)$, which can be done in $O\left(|V(G)|^{|V(H)|}\right)$ time. If $\omega(G)>\omega(H)$, then the answer is no, as there is no way to map the largest clique of $G$ to $H$. Otherwise the answer is yes, since $\omega(G)$-coloring of $G$ can be translated to a homomorphism of $G$ to the largest clique of $H$, and thus to $H$. The situation changes when we consider the more general setting of $H$-Precoloring Extension and List $H$-Coloring. For any fixed graph $H$, List $H$-Coloring (and thus $H$-Precoloring Extension and $H$-Coloring) can be solved in polynomial time for input graphs with bounded tree-width. Combining this with an observation that any graph with a clique larger than $\omega(H)$ has no $H$-coloring, we obtain polynomial-time algorithms for chordal graphs [14]. For permutations graphs, LIST
$H$-Coloring can also be solved in polynomial time via a recursive branching algorithm [11]. For bipartite input graphs, however, 3-Precoloring Extension (i.e., $K_{3}$-Precoloring Extension) is already NP-complete [29]. Other restricted inputs have been studied too, e.g. bounded-degree graphs [16]. For more results on graph homomorphisms, we refer to the monograph by Hell and Nešetřil [22].

### 1.2 Graphs with forbidden induced subgraphs

A rich family of restricted graph classes comes from forbidding some small substructures. For graphs $G$ and $F$, we say that $G$ contains $F$ if $F$ is an induced subgraph of $G$. By $F$-free graphs we mean the class of graphs that do not contain $F$. Note that this class is hereditary, that is, it is closed under taking induced subgraphs.

The complexity of $k$-Coloring for hereditary graph classes has received much attention in the past two decades and significant progress has been made. Of particular interest is the class of $F$-free graphs for a fixed graph $F$. For any fixed $k \geq 3$, the $k$-Coloring problem remains NP-complete for $F$-free graphs whenever $F$ is not a linear forest (a collection of disjoint paths) $[25,31]$. The simplest linear forests are paths, and the complexity of $k$-Coloring in $P_{t}$-free graphs has been studied by many researchers.

On the positive side, Hoàng, Kamiński, Lozin, Sawada, and Shu [24] gave a recursive algorithm showing that $k$-Coloring can be solved in polynomial time for $P_{5}$-free graphs for any fixed $k$. Bonomo, Chudnovsky, Maceli, Schaudt, Stein, and Zhong [4] showed that 3-Coloring can be solved in polynomial time in $P_{7}$-free graphs. Moreover, very recently, Chudnovsky, Spirkl, and Zhong proved that 4-Coloring is polynomial-time solvable in $P_{6}$-free graphs [7, 8, 9].

On the negative side, Woeginger and Sgall [32] demonstrated the NP-completeness of 5-Coloring for $P_{8}$-free graphs and 4 -Coloring for $P_{12}$-free graphs. Later on, these NP-completeness results were improved by various researchers and the strongest result is due to Huang [26] who proved that 4-Coloring is NP-complete for $P_{7}$-free graphs and 5 -Coloring is NP-complete for $P_{6}$-free graphs. These results settle the complexity of $k$-Coloring for $P_{t}$-free graphs for all pairs $(k, t)$, except for the complexity of 3 -Coloring for $P_{t}$-free graphs when $t \geq 8$. Interestingly, all polynomial-time results carry over to the list variant, except for the case of List 4-Coloring of $P_{6}$-free graphs, which was shown to be NP-complete by Golovach, Paulusma, and Song [18]. We refer the reader to the survey by Golovach, Johnson, Paulusma, and Song [17] for more information about coloring graphs with forbidden subgraphs.

Understanding the complexity of 3 -Coloring in $P_{t}$-free graphs seems a hard problem - on the one hand, algorithms even for small values of $t$ are difficult to construct, and on the other hand all our hardness reductions appear to introduce long induced paths. Let us mention a problem whose complexity is equally elusive: Independent Set. Alekseev [1] observed that Independent SEt is NP-complete in $F$-free graphs whenever $F$ is not a path or a subdivided claw. For $P_{t}$-free graphs, polynomial-time algorithms are known only for small values of $t$ : currently, the best result is the recent polynomial-time algorithm for $P_{6}$-free graphs by Grzesik, Klimošova, Pilipczuk, and Pilipczuk [20, 21]. On the other hand, the problem is not known to be NP-hard for any fixed $t$.

A natural question to ask is if the similar behavior of 3-Coloring and Independent SET in $P_{t}$-free graphs is a part of a more general phenomenon. Recently, Groenland, Okrasa, Rzążewski, Scott, Seymour, and Spirkl [19] shed some light on this question by showing that if $H$ does not contain two vertices with two common neighbors, then a very general, weighted variant of $H$-Coloring can be solved in time $2^{O(\sqrt{t n \log n})}$ for $P_{t}$-free graphs. Clearly $K_{3}$
does not have two vertices with two common neighbors. Moreover, Independent Set can be expressed as a weighted homomorphism to 8 , which has the same property, and thus, for every $t$, both 3-Coloring and Independent Set can be solved in subexponential time in $P_{t}$-free graphs (we note that a subexponential algorithm for Independent Set in $P_{t}$-free graphs was known before [2]). This implies that if one attempts to prove NP-completeness of any of these problems in $P_{t}$-free graphs, then, assuming the Exponential Time Hypothesis [27, 28], such a reduction should be sufficiently complicated to introduce at least a quadratic blow-up of the instance.

In this paper, we study the complexity of variants of $H$-Coloring when $H$ is an odd cycle of length at least five. Note that by the result of Groenland et al. [19], this problem can be solved in subexponential time in $P_{t}$-free graphs. We are interested in better classification of polynomial and NP-hard cases.

### 1.3 Our contribution

The contribution of the paper is twofold: First, we show that the $C_{k}$-Precoloring ExtenSION problem can be solved in polynomial time in $P_{9}$-free graphs.

- Theorem 1.1. Let $k \geq 5$ be odd, $G$ be a $P_{9}$-free graph of order $n$, $W$ be a subset of its vertices, and $h$ be a mapping from $W$ to $V\left(C_{k}\right)$. Then one can determine in $O\left(n^{12 k+3}\right)$ time if $h$ can be extended to a $C_{k}$-coloring of $G$, and find such a $C_{k}$-coloring if one exists.

The algorithm is described in detail in Section 3. It builds on the recent work on 3Coloring $P_{7}$-free graphs [4]. The high-level idea of the algorithm is the following: First, we partition the graph into a so-called layer structure and guess the colors of a constant number of vertices. This precoloring propagates to other vertices using the layer structure, reducing the lists of possible colors. We keep guessing the colors of other vertices, transforming the input instance into a set of $n^{O(k)}$ subinstances of List $H$-Coloring, such that:
(i) $(G, W, f)$ is a yes-instance of $C_{k}$-Precoloring Extension if and only if one of these subinstances is a yes-instance of List $C_{k}$-Coloring; and
(ii) each subinstance can be solved in polynomial time by a reduction to 2 -SAT.

In Section 4, we study the complexity of variants of $H$-Coloring in $F$-free graphs and prove the following theorem.

- Theorem 1.2. Let $F$ be a connected graph. If $F$ is not a subgraph of a subdivided claw, then for every odd $k \geq 5$ the $C_{k}$-Precoloring Extension problem is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs.

We prove the theorem in several steps, analyzing the possible structure of $F$ and trimming the hard cases. Observe that the statement of Theorem 1.2 is similar to the previously mentioned result of Alekseev for Independent Set [1]. In most cases, we actually prove hardness for the more restricted $C_{k}$-Coloring problem.

Finally, in Section 5, we state some open questions for future research.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $G$ be a simple graph. For $X \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G \mid X$ the subgraph induced by $X$, and denote by $G \backslash X$ the graph $G \mid(V(G) \backslash X)$. We say that $X$ is connected if $G \mid X$ is connected. For two disjoint subsets $A, B \subset V(G)$, we say that $A$ is complete to $B$ if every vertex of $A$ is adjacent to every vertex of $B$, and that $A$ is anticomplete to $B$ if every
vertex of $A$ is nonadjacent to every vertex of $B$. If $A=\{a\}$ we write $a$ is complete (or anticomplete) to $B$ to mean that $\{a\}$ is complete (or anticomplete) to $B$. For $X \subseteq V(G)$, we say that $e \in E(G)$ is an edge of $X$ if both endpoints of $e$ are in $X$. For $v \in V(G)$ we write $N_{G}(v)$ (or $N(v)$ when there is no danger of confusion) to mean the set of vertices of $G$ that are adjacent to $v$. Observe that since $G$ is simple, $v \notin N(v)$. For $X \subseteq V(G)$ we define $N(X)=\left(\bigcup_{v \in X} N(v)\right) \backslash X$. We say that the set $S$ dominates $X$, or $S$ is a dominating set of $X$ if $X \subseteq S \cup N(S)$. We write that $S$ dominates $G$ when we mean that it dominates $V(G)$. A component of $G$ is trivial if it has only one vertex and nontrivial otherwise.

We use $[k]$ to denote the set $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. We denote by $P_{t}$ the path with $t$ vertices. A path in a graph $G$ is a sequence $v_{1}-\cdots-v_{t}$ of pairwise distinct vertices such that for any $i, j \in[t], v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)$ if and only if $|i-j|=1$. The length of this path is $t$. We denote by $V(P)$ the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$. If $a, b \in V(P)$, say $a=v_{i}$ and $b=v_{j}$ with $i<j$, then $a-P-b$ is the path $v_{i}-v_{i+1}-\cdots-v_{j}$, and $b-P-a$ is the path $v_{j}-v_{j-1}-\cdots-v_{i}$.

Let $k \geq 3$ be an odd integer. We denote by $C_{k}$ a cycle with $k$ vertices $1,2, \ldots, k$ that appear along the cycle in this order. The calculations on vertices of $C_{k}$ will be preformed modulo $k$, with 0 unified with vertex $k$.

We say that $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ is a subinstance of $(G, L)$ if $L^{\prime}(v) \subseteq L(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Two $C_{k}$-list assignments $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of $G$ are equivalent if $(G, L)$ is $C_{k^{-}}$-colorable if and only if ( $G, L^{\prime}$ ) is $C_{k}$-colorable. A $C_{k}$-list assignment $L$ is equivalent to a set $\mathcal{L}$ of $C_{k}$-list assignments of a graph $G$ if there is $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $(G, L)$ is equivalent to $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $(G, L)$ be an instance of List $C_{k}$-Coloring. We say that the list $L(x)$ of a vertex $x$ is good if $|L(x)| \in\{1,2,3, k\}$ and in addition

- if $|L(x)|=2$, then $L(x)=\{i-1, i+1\}$ for some $i \in[k]$, and
- if $|L(x)|=3$, then $L(x)=\{i, i-2, i+2\}$ for some $i \in[k]$.

We say that $L$ is good if $L(v)$ is good for all $v \in V(G)$.
For an edge $v w \in E(G)$, we update $v$ from $w$ if one of the following is performed.

- If $L(w)=\{i\}$ for some $i \in[k]$, then replace the list of $v$ by $\{i-1, i+1\} \cap L(v)$.
- If $L(w)=\{i-1, i+1\}$ for some $i \in[k]$, then replace the list of $v$ by $\{i, i+2, i-2\} \cap L(v)$.
- If $L(w)=\{i, i-2, i+2\}, L(v)=\{j, j+2, j-2\}$ for some $i, j \in[k]$, then replace the list of $v$ by $\{i-1, i+1, i-3, i+3\} \cap L(v)$.
Clearly, any update creates an equivalent subinstance of $(G, L)$. Note that in the graph homomorphism literature this operation is usually referred to as edge (or arc) consistency and it is performed in the beginning of most algorithms solving variants of $H$-Coloring [22, 30]. However, we keep the name "update" to emphasize that we will only perform it at certain points in our algorithm. We say that an update of $v$ from $w$ is effective if the size of the list of $v$ decreases by at least 1 , and ineffective otherwise. Note that an update is effective if and only if there exists an element $c \in L(v)$ which is not an element of $\{i-1, i+1\}$, $\{i, i+2, i-2\}$ or $\{i-1, i+1, i-3, i+3\}$ depending on the case in the definition of an update. We observe that the update does not change the goodness of the list ${ }^{2}$.
- Lemma 2.1 ( $\mathbf{~})$. If the lists of $v$ and $w$ are good before updating $v$ from $w$, then the list of $v$ is good or empty after the update.

A $C_{k}$-list assignment $L$ is said to be reduced if no effective update can be performed. It is well-known that one can obtain a reduced list assignment in polynomial time.

[^1]- Lemma 2.2 ( $\mathbf{~})$. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$, and $L$ be a $C_{k}$-list assignment. There exists an $O\left(n^{3}\right)$-time algorithm to obtain an equivalent reduced subinstance $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ of $(G, L)$ or determine that $(G, L)$ has no $C_{k}$-coloring.

We now introduce two more tools that are important for our purpose. The first one is purely graph-theoretic and describes the structure of $P_{t}$-free graphs.

- Theorem 2.3 ([6]). Let $G$ be a connected $P_{t}$-free graph with $t \geq 4$. Then $G$ has a connected dominating set $D$ such that $G \mid D$ is either $P_{t-2}$-free or isomorphic to $P_{t-2}$.

The next observation generalizes the observation by Edwards [10] that List $k$-Coloring can be solved in polynomial time, whenever the size of each list is at most two. This was already noted by e.g. Feder and Hell [12].

- Theorem 2.4 (ヘ). Let $(G, L)$ be an instance of List H-Coloring where $G$ is of order $n$ and $|L(v)| \leq 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Then one can determine in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time if $(G, L)$ is $H$-colorable and find an $H$-coloring if one exists.


## 3 Polynomial algorithm for $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$-free graphs

In this section, we show that $C_{k}$-Precoloring Extension can be solved in polynomial time for $P_{9}$-free graphs.

- Theorem 1.1. Let $k \geq 5$ be odd, $G$ be a $P_{9}$-free graph of order $n$, $W$ be a subset of its vertices, and $h$ be a mapping from $W$ to $V\left(C_{k}\right)$. Then one can determine in $O\left(n^{12 k+3}\right)$ time if $h$ can be extended to a $C_{k}$-coloring of $G$, and find such a $C_{k}$-coloring if one exists.


## Outline of the proof

The overall strategy is to reduce the instance $(G, W, h)$, in polynomial time, to a set $\mathcal{I}$ of polynomially many instances of List $C_{k}$-Coloring, in which every list has size at most 2 , and $(G, W, h)$ is an yes-instance if and only if at least one instance from $\mathcal{I}$ is a yes-instance. We then apply Theorem 2.4 to solve each instance from $\mathcal{I}$ in polynomial time.

More specifically, our algorithm, at a high level, consists of five phases. In the first three of them, we focus on processing the graph $G^{\prime}:=G \backslash W$. First, we apply Theorem 2.3 to show that the vertex set of $G^{\prime}$ can be partitioned into four sets $(S, X, Y, Z)$ such $S$ is connected and dominates $X, X$ dominates $Y$, and $Y$ dominates $Z$. Second, we branch on every possible $C_{k}$-coloring of $G^{\prime} \mid S$. For each of these colorings of $G^{\prime} \mid S$, we propagate the coloring of $S$ to the vertices of $G^{\prime} \backslash S$ via updates. After updating, the vertices in $S \cup X$ will have lists of size at most 2 , but the vertices in $Y \cup Z$ may still have larger lists. In the third phase, we reduce the instance to polynomially many subinstances via branching in such a way that each of the subinstances avoids certain configurations, which we call bad paths. Finally, using the fact that each subinstance has no bad paths, in the last two phases we reduce the list size of vertices in $Y \cup Z$ to at most 2, restore the set $W$, creating a set of instances, which is equivalent to $(G, W, h)$, and use Theorem 2.4 to solve the created instances in polynomial time.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We view ( $G, W, h$ ) as an equivalent instance ( $G, L$ ) of List $C_{k^{-}}$ Coloring where $L(v)=\{h(v)\}$ if $v \in W$ and $L(v)=[k]$ otherwise.

Clearly, $h$ can be extended to an $C_{k}$-coloring of $G$ if and only if $(G, L)$ is $C_{k}$-colorable. Moreover, observe that if $G$ contains a triangle, then we can immediately report a no-instance. Checking for existence of triangles can clearly be done in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time, so from now on we assume that $G$ is triangle-free.

For the first three phases we consider the graph $G^{\prime}:=G \backslash W$. We may assume that $G^{\prime}$ is connected, for otherwise we can apply the same reasoning to every connected component.

## Phase I. Obtaining a layer structure

Let us start with imposing some structure on the vertices of $G^{\prime}$.
$\triangleright$ Claim 3.1. There exists $S \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $|S| \leq 7$, the graph $G^{\prime} \mid S$ is connected, and $S \cup N(S) \cup N(N(S))$ dominates $G^{\prime}$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.3 to $G^{\prime}$ : $G^{\prime}$ has a connected dominating set $D$ that induces a subgraph that is either $P_{7}$-free or isomorphic to a $P_{7}$. If $G^{\prime} \mid D$ is isomorphic to a $P_{7}$, then $D$ is the desired set $S$. Otherwise we apply Theorem 2.3 on $G^{\prime} \mid D$ to conclude that $G^{\prime} \mid D$ has a connected dominating set $D^{\prime}$ that induces a subgraph that is either $P_{5}$-free or isomorphic to a $P_{5}$. If $G^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to a $P_{5}$, then $D^{\prime}$ is the desired set $S$. Otherwise $G^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime}$ is $P_{5}$-free. We again apply Theorem 2.3 on $G^{\prime}\left|D^{\prime}: G\right| D^{\prime}$ has a connected dominating set $D^{\prime \prime}$ that induces a subgraph that is either $P_{3}$-free or isomorphic to $P_{3}$. Then $D^{\prime \prime} \cup N\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right) \cup N\left(N\left(D^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ dominates $G^{\prime}$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is triangle-free, if $G^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime \prime}$ is $P_{3}$-free, then $D^{\prime \prime}$ is a clique of size at most 2. It follows that $\left|D^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 3$ and thus we can choose $D^{\prime \prime}$ for $S$.

Let $S$ be the set given by Claim 3.1. Define $X=N(S), Y=N(N(S)) \backslash S$ and $Z=V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash(X \cup Y \cup Z)$. Then $(S, X, Y, Z)$ is a partition of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, where $S$ dominates $X$, $X$ dominates $Y$ and $Y$ dominates $Z$, and there is no edge between $S$ and $Y \cup Z$ or between $X$ and $Z$. Moreover, $S$ is connected. Such a quadruple $\mathcal{P}=(S, X, Y, Z)$ is called a layer structure of $G^{\prime}$. The set $S$ is called the seed of $\mathcal{P}$.

## Phase II. Obtaining a canonical $C_{k}$-list assignment via updates

We now branch on every possible $C_{k}$-coloring of $G^{\prime} \mid S$, there are at most $k^{7}$ such colorings since $|S| \leq 7$. Note that $k^{7}$ is a constant since $k$ is a fixed number. To prove the theorem, therefore, it suffices to determine whether there is a branch, in which the precoloring of $S \cup W$ can be extended to a $C_{k}$-coloring of $(G, L)$ in polynomial time.

In the following, we consider a fixed coloring $f: S \rightarrow[k]$, and we continue with the instance $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ of List $C_{k}$-Coloring, where $L^{\prime}(v)=\{f(v)\}$ if $v \in S$ and $L^{\prime}(v)=[k]$ otherwise.

We further partition the sets $S, X$, and $Y$ as follows. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i}:=\{s \in S: L(s)=\{i\}\}, \\
& X_{i}:=\left\{x \in X \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} X_{j}\right): N(x) \cap S_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\}, \\
& Y_{i}:=\left\{y \in Y \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} Y_{j}\right): N(y) \cap X_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)$ is a partition of $X$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right)$ is a partition of $Y$.
We now perform the following updates for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ in the following order.

- For every edge $s x$ with $s \in S_{i}$ and $x \in X_{i}$, we update $x$ from $s$.
- For every edge $x y$ with $x \in X_{i}$ and $y \in Y_{i}$, we update $y$ from $x$.

We continue to denote the resulting $C_{k}$-list assignment by $L^{\prime}$. Then $\left|L^{\prime}(s)\right|=1$ for every $s \in S, L^{\prime}(x) \subseteq\{i-1, i+1\}$ for every $x \in X_{i}$ and $L^{\prime}(y) \subseteq\{i, i-2, i+2\}$ for every $y \in Y_{i}$. We call such a $C_{k}$-list assignment $L^{\prime}$ canonical for $\mathcal{P}=\left(S, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}, Z\right)$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.2(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. If $X_{i}$ is not stable, then $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is not $C_{k}$-colorable.
Note that one can determine in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time if there exists an $X_{i}$ that is not stable. If so, we stop and correctly determine that $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is not $C_{k}$-colorable by Claim 3.2. Otherwise, we may assume that $X_{i}$ is stable for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ from now on.

## Phase III. Eliminating bad paths via branching ( $O\left(n^{12 k}\right)$ branches)

In this phase, we shall reduce the instance $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ to an equivalent set of polynomially many subinstances so that every subinstance has no bad paths, which we define now.

An induced path $a-b-c$ is a bad path in $\mathcal{P}=(S, X, Y, Z)=\left(S, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}, Z\right)$ if for some $i \in[k]$ we have $a \in Y_{i}, b, c \in(Y \cup Z) \backslash Y_{i}$, and $\{b, c\}$ is anticomplete to $X_{i}$. We call $a$ the starter of $a-b-c$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ be the set of all bad paths with starters in $Y_{i}$, clearly $\left|\mathcal{Q}_{i}\right|=O\left(n^{3}\right)$.

A vertex $v \in Y_{i}$ is of depth at least $\ell$ in $\mathcal{P}$ if for every $x \in N(v) \cap X_{i}$, there exists an induced path $v-x-P$ of length at least $\ell$ such that $V(P) \subseteq S$. Observe that every vertex in $Y$ is of depth at least 3 to $S$ (because we may assume that $|S| \geq 2$ and so no vertex in $X$ is complete to $S$ since $G$ is triangle-free), and that the starter of a bad path is of depth at most 7 to $S$ since $G^{\prime}$ is $P_{9}$-free.

Note that for any $C_{k}$-coloring of ( $G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ) and every $i \in[k]$, either there exists a bad path in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ whose starter is colored with a color in $\{i-2, i+2\}$ or the starters of all bad paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ are colored with $i$. This leads to the following branching scheme, which only updates the lists.

## Branching.

- ( $2^{k}=O(1)$ branches.) For every subset $I \subseteq[k]$, we have a branch $B_{I}$ intended to find possible colorings such that there exists a bad path in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ whose starter is colored with a color in $\{i-2, i+2\}$ if $i \in I$, and all starters of bad paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ are colored with color $i$ if $i \notin I$. Clearly, $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is $C_{k}$-colorable if and only if at least one of the $B_{I}$ is a yes-instance. In the following, we fix a branch $B_{I}$.
- $\left(O\left(2^{k} n^{3 k}\right)=O\left(n^{3 k}\right)\right.$ branches.) We further branch to obtain a set of size $O\left(n^{3 k}\right)$ of subinstances within $B_{I}$ by guessing, for each $i \in I$, a bad path in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$, and guessing the color of its starter from $\{i-2, i+2\}$. The union over all branches $B_{I}$ of these subinstances is equivalent to $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$.
Specifically, for each element $\left(a_{i}-b_{i}-c_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\Pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{Q}_{i}$, we have one branch where we set $L^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{i}\right):=L^{\prime}\left(a_{i}\right) \cap\{i-2, i+2\}$ for every $i \in I$, and we set $L^{\prime \prime}(a):=L^{\prime}(a) \cap\{i\}$ for every starter $a$ of a bad path in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ for every $i \notin I$. We denote the resulting $C_{k}$-list assignment by $L^{\prime \prime}$. For each such branch and for every element $\left(q_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\Pi_{i \in I} L^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{i}\right)$, we have one branch where $L^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{i}\right)=\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ for all $i \in I$. It follows that for all $i \in I$ and $x \in X_{i} \cap N\left(a_{i}\right)$, the only possible color for $x$ is $\left(q_{i}+i\right) / 2$, and so we set $L^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left\{\left(q_{i}+i\right) / 2\right\}$. Since $L^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{i}\right) \subseteq\{i-2, i+2\}$ for all $i \in I$, it follows that there are $2^{|I|} \leq 2^{k}$ branches. Let us fix one such branch and denote the resulting instance by ( $G^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}$ ).
- $\left(O\left(k^{3 k}\right)=O(1)\right.$ branches.) We let $I^{*}$ be the subset of $[k] \backslash I$ of indices $i$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ contains a bad path. For each $i \in I^{*}$, we choose a bad path $a_{i}-b_{i}-c_{i}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$ such that $\left|N\left(a_{i}\right) \cap X_{i}\right|$ is minimum, where the minimum is taken over all bad paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{i}$. Choose a vertex $x_{i} \in N\left(a_{i}\right) \cap X_{i}$ for each $i \in I^{*}$. Define

$$
Q:=\bigcup_{i \in I}\left\{b_{i}, c_{i}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I^{*}}\left\{b_{i}, c_{i}, x_{i}\right\},
$$

where for $i \in I, b_{i}, c_{i}$ are two vertices on the bad path we guessed in the previous bullet. We branch on every possible coloring of $Q$. Since $|Q| \leq 3 k$, the number of branches is at most $k^{3 k}$. In the following, we fix a coloring $g$ of $Q$ and denote the resulting subinstance by $\left(G^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, where $L^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)=\{g(v)\}$ if $v \in Q$ and $L^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)=L^{\prime \prime}(v)$ otherwise.

Obtaining a new layer structure with a canonical $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$-list assignment. We now deal with ( $\left.G^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. Define

$$
A=\bigcup_{i \in I}\left(\left(N\left(a_{i}\right) \cap X_{i}\right) \cup\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}\right\}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I^{*}}\left\{x_{i}, a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}\right\},
$$

and note that in $L^{\prime \prime \prime}$, every vertex in $A$ has a list of size at most 1 . We update all vertices of $G^{\prime}$ from all vertices in $A$ and continue to denote the resulting $C_{k}$-list assignment by $L^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We now obtain a new partition $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left(S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ of $G^{\prime}$ as follows.

- Let $S^{\prime}:=S \cup A$.
- For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $K_{j}:=\emptyset$. For each vertex $v \in Y \cup Z$, if $v$ has a neighbor in $S^{\prime}$, let $j$ be the smallest integer in $[k]$ such that there exists a vertex $s \in N(v) \cap S^{\prime}$ with $L(s)=\{j\}$, and add $v$ to $K_{j}$. For each $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $X_{j}^{\prime}=\left(X_{j} \cup K_{j}\right) \backslash A$. Let $X^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}^{\prime}$.
- For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ be the set of vertices in $V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(S^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime} \cup\left(\bigcup_{j<i} Y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ that have a neighbor in $X_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $Y^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}^{\prime}$.
- Let $Z^{\prime}:=V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(S^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}\right)$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.3(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. The new partition $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}:=\left(S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ is a layer structure of $G^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a canonical $C_{k}$-list assignment for $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.4(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. For every $i \in[k]$ it holds that

1. $X_{i}^{\prime} \backslash X_{i} \subseteq Y \cup Z$.
2. If a vertex in $Y^{\prime} \cup Z^{\prime}$ is anticomplete to $X_{i}^{\prime}$, then it is anticomplete to $X_{i}$.
3. $Y_{i}^{\prime} \backslash Y_{i}$ is anticomplete to $X_{i}$.

The following claim is the key to our branching algorithm.
$\triangleright$ Claim 3.5. Let $a$ be a starter of a bad path in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. If the depth of the starter of any bad path in $\mathcal{P}$ is at least $\ell$, then the depth of $a$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is at least $\ell+1$.

Proof. Let $a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ be a bad path in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ with $a^{\prime} \in Y_{i}^{\prime}$. Consider the following cases.
Case 1: $a^{\prime} \in Y_{i} \cap Y_{i}^{\prime}$. Then $\emptyset \neq N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i} \subseteq X_{i}^{\prime}$. By item 2. in Claim 3.4, $\left\{b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right\}$ is anticomplete to $X_{i}$ and so $a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ is also a bad path in $\mathcal{P}=(S, X, Y, Z)$. This implies that $\mathcal{Q}_{i} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exist $a, b, c, x \in S^{\prime}$ such that $a-b-c$ is a bad path in $\mathcal{P}$ with $a \in Y_{i}$ and $x \in N(a) \cap X_{i}$.
We first claim that it is possible to pick a vertex $x^{\prime} \in N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}$ that is not adjacent to $a$. Recall that the branch we consider corresponds to a set $I \subseteq[k]$. If $i \in I$, then all vertices in $N(a) \cap X_{i}$ are in $A$ and hence are now in $S^{\prime}$. So every vertex in $N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}$ is not adjacent to $a$, and our claim holds. If $i \notin I$, then $i \in I^{*}$, and so $a=a_{i}$. By the choice of $a_{i}$, it follows that $\left|N(a) \cap X_{i}\right| \leq\left|N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}\right|$. Since $a^{\prime} \in Y_{i}^{\prime}$, it follows that $a^{\prime}$ is not adjacent to $x$. Therefore, there exists a vertex $x^{\prime} \in N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}$ such that $x^{\prime}$ is not adjacent to $a$.
Note that $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are not adjacent by Claim 3.2. Moreover, $x^{\prime}$ is anticomplete to $\left\{b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, b, c\right\}$ by the definition of bad path. Let $P^{\prime}$ be the shortest path from $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ with internal vertices contained in $S$. Note that $P^{\prime}$ exists since $S$ is connected. Then $P^{\prime}$ is an
induced path. Since $V\left(P^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq S$, it follows that $V\left(P^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}$ is anticomplete to $\left\{a, b, c, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right\}$. Therefore, $c-b-a-x-P^{\prime}-x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}-b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}$ is an induced path of order at least 9 , a contradiction.
Case 2: $a^{\prime} \in Y_{i}^{\prime} \backslash Y_{i}$. It follows from Claim 3.4, item 3. that $N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{i}^{\prime} \backslash X_{i}$. Pick a vertex $x^{\prime} \in N\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{i}^{\prime}$. Since $x \in X_{i}^{\prime} \backslash X_{i}, x^{\prime}$ has a neighbor $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ by the definition of $X_{i}^{\prime}$. By Claim 3.4, item 1., $x^{\prime} \in Y \cup Z$ and so $s^{\prime} \in S^{\prime} \backslash S=A$. Thus there exists $j \in I$ such that $x^{\prime}$ is not anticomplete to $Q=\left\{x_{j}, a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}\right\}$, where $x_{j} \in N\left(a_{j}\right) \cap X_{j}$. Let $a_{j}-x_{j}-P$ be an induced path of length $\ell$ with $V(P) \subseteq S$. Note that $x^{\prime} \in Y \cup Z$ is anticomplete to $V(P) \subseteq S$. Let $x^{\prime}-P^{\prime \prime}-x_{j}$ be the shortest path from $x^{\prime}$ to $x_{j}$ such that $V\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq Q$. Since $a^{\prime}$ is anticomplete to $\{x\} \cup V(P) \cup V\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq S^{\prime}$, it follows that $a^{\prime}-x^{\prime}-P^{\prime \prime}-x_{j}-P$ is an induced path of length at least $\ell+1$. This proves the claim.

Therefore, we have obtained an equivalent set of subinstances of size $O\left(n^{3 k}\right)$. For each such subinstance, the minimum depth of the starter of a bad path has increased by at least 1 compared to $\mathcal{P}$ due to Claim 3.5. Note that the depth of any starter of a bad path in $\mathcal{P}$ is at least 3. Moreover, since $G^{\prime}$ is $P_{9}$-free, the depth of any starter of a bad path is at most 7 .

By branching 4 times, therefore, we obtain an equivalent set of $O\left(n^{12 k}\right)$ subinstances such that each subinstance has no bad paths.

## Phase IV. Reducing the list size of vertices in $Z$

Now we go back to processing the graph $G$. Let us fix an instance of List $C_{k}$-Coloring on $G^{\prime}$, created in the previous phase, and let $(G, L)$ denote the instance obtained by restoring the vertices of $W$. By $\mathcal{P}=(S, X, Y, Z)$ we denote the layer structure of $G^{\prime}$ with no bad paths and $L$ is canonical for $\mathcal{P}$. We first reduce the list size of vertices in $Z$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.6(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. The set $Z$ is stable and each $z \in Z$ has neighbors in at most one of $\left\{Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}\right\}$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.7(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. Let $z \in Z$ be anticomplete to $W$ and have a neighbor in $Y_{i}$. If $(G, L)$ has a $C_{k}$-coloring, then $(G, L)$ has a $C_{k}$-coloring $c$ such that $c(z) \in\{i-1, i+1\}$.

We now modify the lists of vertices in $Z$ : let $L(z):=L(z) \cap\{i-1, i+1\}$ for every $z \in Z$ that is anticomplete to $W$ and has a neighbor in $Y_{i}$. It follows from Claim 3.7 that the resulting list is equivalent to the original one. We still denote by the resulting list $L$.

## Phase V. Reducing the list size of vertices in $Y$

We now apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain a reduced $C_{k}$-list assignment $L^{\prime}$. Then $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ is an equivalent subinstance of $(G, L)$. If $L^{\prime}(v)=\emptyset$ for some $v \in V(G)$, we stop and report a no-instance. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime} & :=\left\{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right):\left|L^{\prime}(v)\right|=1\right\}, \\
X_{i}^{\prime} & :=\left\{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash S^{\prime}: L^{\prime}(v) \subseteq\{i-1, i+1\}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k, \\
Y_{i}^{\prime} & :=\left\{v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(S^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime} \cup \bigcup_{j<i} Y_{j}^{\prime}\right): L^{\prime}(v) \subseteq\{i, i-2, i+2\}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k, \\
X^{\prime} & :=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}^{\prime}, \\
Y^{\prime} & :=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $W \subseteq S^{\prime}$ and that $\left(S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \emptyset\right)$ is almost a layer structure of $G$ except that $S^{\prime}$ is not necessarily connected. It follows from the definition of $\left(S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 that $L^{\prime}(x)=\{i-1, i+1\}$ for every $x \in X_{i}^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}(y)=\{i-2, i, i+2\}$ for every $y \in Y_{i}^{\prime}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, we partition $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ into two subsets $Y_{i}^{1}$ and $Y_{i}^{2}$, where $Y_{i}^{2}$ is the set of isolated vertices in $G \mid Y_{i}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{i}^{1}=Y_{i}^{\prime} \backslash Y_{i}^{2}$. We prove a few properties for $S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$.
$\triangleright$ Claim $3.8(\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. The following hold for $S^{\prime}, X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$.

1. $V(G)=S^{\prime} \cup X^{\prime} \cup Y^{\prime}$.
2. For every $i \in[k]$ and $y \in Y_{i}^{\prime}$, we have $N(y) \cap X^{\prime} \subseteq X_{i}^{\prime}$.
3. For every $i \in[k]$, we have $X_{i} \subseteq X_{i}^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime}$ and $Y_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq Y_{i}$.
4. For every $i \in[k]$ and $y \in Y_{i}^{2}$, the vertex $y$ is anticomplete to $Y^{\prime} \backslash\left(Y_{i+1}^{2} \cup Y_{i-1}^{2}\right)$.
$\triangleright$ Claim 3.9. If $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ is $C_{k^{\prime}}$-colorable, then there exists a $C_{k}$-coloring $c$ of $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$ such that for each $1 \leq i \leq k, c(y)=i$ for all $y \in Y_{i}^{2}$ and $c(y) \in\{i-2, i+2\}$ for all $y \in Y_{i}^{1}$.
Proof. Suppose that $c^{\prime}$ is a $C_{k}$-coloring of $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$. Note that each $u \in Y_{i}^{1}$ has a neighbor $v \in Y_{i}^{1}$ by the definition. Since $L^{\prime}(u), L^{\prime}(v) \subseteq\{i-2, i, i+2\}$ and $c^{\prime}$ is a $C_{k}$-coloring of $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $c^{\prime}(u) \neq i$ and $c^{\prime}(v) \neq i$. So $c^{\prime}(u) \in\{i-2, i+2\}$.

Let $u \in Y_{i}^{2}$. Note that $u$ can only have neighbors in $X_{i}^{\prime}$ or in $Y_{i+1}^{2} \cup Y_{i-1}^{2}$ by item 2. and item 4. of Claim 3.8. Define $c: V(G) \rightarrow[k]$ such that $c(v):=i$ if $v \in Y_{i}^{2}$ and $c(v):=c^{\prime}(v)$ if $v \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}^{2}$.

Then $c$ is a $C_{k}$-coloring of $\left(G, L^{\prime}\right)$, since $c^{\prime}(x) \in\{i-1, i+1\}$ for every $x \in X_{i}^{\prime}$. This completes the proof.

Let us point out that the special treatment of the sets $Y_{i}{ }^{1}$ is needed only for the case $k=5$. For $k>5$, if one $Y_{i}$ contains two adjacent vertices, one can observe that there is no way to color them. Thus we can immediately report a no-instance (or let it be reported when we solve the corresponding 2-SAT instance).

Let us now modify the lists as follows. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$ and each $y \in Y_{i}^{\prime}$, let $L^{\prime}(y):=L^{\prime}(y) \cap\{i-2, i+2\}$ if $y \in Y_{i}^{1}$ and $L^{\prime}(y):=L^{\prime}(y) \cap\{i\}$ if $y \in Y_{i}^{2}$. By Claim 3.9, the new list assignment is equivalent to the original one. Now for each $v \in V(G)$ we have $\left|L^{\prime}(v)\right| \leq 2$ and so Theorem 2.4 applies.

This completes the proof of correctness of our algorithm. Clearly, the most expensive part of our algorithm is Phase III where we branch into $O\left(n^{12 k}\right)$ subinstances. Since each subinstance can be constructed in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time by Lemma 2.2 and each 2-SAT instance can be solved in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time by Theorem 2.4, the total running time is $O\left(n^{12 k+3}\right)$.

## 4 Hardness results

In this section we prove the following theorem.

- Theorem 1.2. Let $F$ be a connected graph. If $F$ is not a subgraph of a subdivided claw, then for every odd $k \geq 5$ the $C_{k}$-Precoloring Extension problem is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 in several steps in which we analyze possible structure of $F$. We start with the following simple observation that will be repeatedly used. For the rest of this section, let $k=2 s+1$ for $s \geq 2$.

- Observation 4.1. Let $s \geq 2$ be an integer and $P$ be a $2 s$-vertex path with endvertices $a$ and $b$. Then the following holds.
- In any $C_{2 s+1}$-coloring $h$ of $P$ we have $h(a) \neq h(b)$.
- For any distinct $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 s+1\}$, there exists a $C_{2 s+1}$-coloring $h$ of $P$ such that $h(a)=i$ and $h(b)=j$.


### 4.1 Eliminate cycles

The girth of a graph $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{girth}(G)$, is the length of a shortest cycle in $G$. A vertex in a graph is called a branch vertex if its degree is at least 3 . By $\Gamma_{p}$ we denote the class of graphs, in which the number of edges in any path joining two branch vertices is divisible by $p$.

We first show that the problem is NP-hard in $F$-free graphs, unless $F$ is a tree in $\Gamma_{2 s-1}$.

- Theorem 4.2. For each fixed integer $s \geq 2$ and each connected graph $F, C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs whenever $F$ contains a cycle or is not in $\Gamma_{2 s-1}$.

Proof. It is known (see e.g. [31]) that the $(2 s+1)$-Coloring problem is NP-complete for graphs of girth at least $g$ for each fixed $g \geq 3$. We reduce this problem to $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring. Given a graph $G$, we obtain a graph $G^{\prime}$ by replacing each edge of $G$ by a $(2 s-1)$-edge path. Then it follows from Observation 4.1 that $G$ is $(2 s+1)$-colorable if and only if $G^{\prime}$ is $C_{2 s+1}$-colorable. Clearly, $\operatorname{girth}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{girth}(G) \cdot(2 s-1) \geq g(2 s-1)$. Thus, if we choose $g \geq 3$ such that $g(2 s-1)>\operatorname{girth}(F)$, e.g., $g=|V(F)|+1$, it follows that all graphs of girth at least $g(2 s-1)$ are $F$-free. Moreover, it is easy to see that the number of edges in any path joining two branch vertices of $G^{\prime}$ is divisible by $2 s-1$, so if $F \notin \Gamma_{2 s-1}$, then $G^{\prime}$ does not contain $F$.

### 4.2 Eliminate vertices of degree at least 4

From now on it suffices to consider trees with branch vertices at distance divisible by $2 s-1$. We now show that $C_{k}$-Coloring is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs if $F$ contains a vertex of degree at least 4 . Note that in this case every subcubic graph is $F$-free.

- Theorem 4.3 ( $\boldsymbol{\oplus})$. For each fixed $s \geq 2, C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring is NP-complete for subcubic graphs.


### 4.3 Eliminate multiple branch vertices

Before we prove the main theorem we need one more intermediate step that allows us to eliminate those $F$ in which there are two branch vertices that are at distance not divisible by $s$. The proof is a reduction from the problem called Non-Rainbow Coloring Extension, whose instance is a 3 -uniform hypergraph $H$ and a partial coloring $f$ of some of its vertices with colors $\{1,2,3\}$. We ask whether $f$ can be extended to a 3 -coloring of $V(H)$ such that no hyperedge is rainbow (i.e., contains three distinct colors). This problem is known to be NP-complete [3].

- Theorem 4.4. For each fixed integer $s \geq 2, C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension is $N P$ complete for bipartite graphs in $\Gamma_{s}$.

Proof. We reduce from Non-Rainbow Coloring Extension. Let $H=(V, E)$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph and let $f$ be a partial 3 -coloring of $H$. We construct an instance of $C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension as follows.

- For each vertex $v \in V$, we introduce a variable vertex, denoted by $v^{\prime}$. If $v$ is precolored by $f$, we precolor $v^{\prime}$ with the color $f(v)$.
- For each $v$ that is not precolored by $f$, we introduce $2 s-2$ new vertices and precolor them with $4,5, \ldots, 2 s+1$, respectively. Then each of these new vertices is joined by a $(2 s-1)$-edge path to $v^{\prime}$. It follows from Observation 4.1 that each vertex $v^{\prime}$ can only be mapped to one of $1,2,3$, and any of these three choices is possible.
- For each hyperedge $e=\{x, y, z\} \in E$, we add a new vertex $v_{e}$ and three $s$-edge paths connecting $v_{e}$ to $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, and $z^{\prime}$, respectively. This whole subgraph is called an edge gadget.

Observe that if $x^{\prime}$ is mapped to $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then the possible colors for $v_{e}$ are $\{s+i, s+i-$ $2, \ldots, s+i-2\lfloor s / 2\rfloor\} \cup\{s+i+1, s+i+3, \ldots, s+i+1+2\lfloor s / 2\rfloor\}$. Thus, if each of $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ is mapped to a different vertex from $\{1,2,3\}$, then there is no way to extend this mapping to the whole edge gadget. On the other hand, such an extension is possible whenever $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}$ receive at most two distinct colors.

We denote by $G$ the resulting graph. By the properties of variable vertices and edge gadgets, $(H, f)$ is an yes-instance of Non-Rainbow Coloring Extension if and only if the precoloring of $G$ can be extended to a $C_{2 s+1}$-coloring of $G$. Clearly, $G$ is bipartite and belongs to $\Gamma_{s}$.

By Theorems 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, the $C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension problem is NPcomplete for $F$-free graphs unless $F$ is a tree in $\Gamma_{s(2 s-1)}$ (observe that $s$ and $2 s-1$ are relatively prime). We are now ready to show that the problem is NP-hard if $F$ has more than one branch vertex.

- Theorem 4.5. Let $s \geq 2$ be an integer and let $F$ be a tree. If $F$ contains two branch vertices, then $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring is $N P$-complete for $F$-free graphs.

Proof. Let $d$ be the distance between two closest branch vertices in $F$. We reduce from Positive Not-All-Equal Sat with all clauses containing exactly three literals. Consider an instance with variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and clauses $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{m}$.

- We start our construction by introducing one special vertex $z$.
- For each variable $x_{i}$, we introduce a vertex $v_{i}$, adjacent to $z$.
- For each clause $D_{\ell}=\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}\right\}$, we introduce three new vertices $y_{\ell, i}, y_{\ell, j}$, and $y_{\ell, k}$, and join each pair of them with a $(2 s-1)$-edge path. This guarantees that in every $C_{2 s+1}$-coloring, they get three distinct colors. These three paths constitute the clause gadget.
- For each variable $x_{i}$ belonging to a clause $D_{\ell}$, we join each $y_{\ell, i}$ to $v_{i}$ by a path $P_{\ell, i}$ with $2 d(2 s-1)+1$ edges. Let $v_{i}=p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{2 d(2 s-1)+2}=y_{\ell, i}$ be the consecutive vertices of $P_{\ell, i}$. We add edges joining $z$ and $p_{1+j(2 s-1)}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq 2 d$.
This completes the construction of a graph $G$. We claim that $G$ is $C_{2 s+1}$-colorable if and only if the initial formula is satisfiable, and that $G$ belongs to our class.
$\triangleright$ Claim $4.6(\boldsymbol{\oplus}) . \quad G$ is $C_{2 s+1}$-colorable if and only if the initial formula is satisfiable.
$\triangleright$ Claim 4.7 (内). $\quad G$ is $F$-free.
This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.
Now Theorem 1.2 comes from combining the Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5. We observe that all reductions in our hardness proofs are linear in the number of vertices (the target graph is assumed to be fixed, so $s$ is a constant). Moreover, all problems we are reducing from can be shown to be NP-complete by a linear reduction from 3-Sat. Thus we get the following result, conditioned on the Exponential Time Hypothesis (ETH), which, along with the sparsification lemma, implies that 3 -Sat with $n$ variables and $n$ clauses cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n+m)}[27,28]$.

Corollary 4.8. Unless the ETH fails, the following holds. If $F$ is a connected graph that is not a subgraph of a subdivided claw, then for every $s \geq 2$, the $C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension problem cannot be solved in time $2^{o(n)}$ in $F$-free graphs with $n$ vertices.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we initiate a study of $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring for $F$-free graphs for a fixed graph $F$. We prove that $C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension is NP-complete for $F$-free graphs if some component of $F$ is not a subdivided claw. Moreover, we show that $C_{2 s+1}$-Precoloring Extension is polynomial-time solvable for $P_{9}$-free graphs. Note that all our hardness results work for $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring, except for Theorem 4.4. Thus it is natural to ask whether analogous hardness results holds for $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring too. Moreover, the following questions seem natural to explore.

- Are there values of $s$ and $t$ such that $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring is NP-complete for $P_{t}$-free graphs?
- Is $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring polynomial for $F$-free graphs when $F$ is a subdivided claw?
- Is $C_{2 s+1}$-Coloring FPT for $P_{t}$-free graphs, when parameterized by $s$ ?
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