Original Article

Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI) Iran University of Medical Sciences

Investigation of relationship between social capital and quality of life in female headed families

Shahnaz Rimaz¹, Maryam Dastoorpoor^{*2}, Samira Vesali³, Narges Saiepour⁴ Saharnaz Nedjat⁵, Masoumeh Sadeghi⁶, Effat Merghati Khoei⁷

Received: 22 February 2014

Accepted: 15 February 2015

Published: 3 October 2015

Abstract

Background: Although most studies on female-headed families focus on women's access to social support, the associations between social capital and quality of life in these families are unclear in many societies (such as Iran). This study aimed to determine the associations between social capital and quality of life in Iranian female headed families.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed on 152 female-headed households supported by Tehran Municipality, district 9 from April 2011 to July 2012. Convenience sampling was employed. Data were collected using demographic questionnaire, the Iranian version of World Health Organization Quality of Life, and the Word Bank Social Capital. Descriptive and multiple regression methods were used to analyze the data.

Results: The mean±SD age of participants was 50.8±13.8 years. Findings revealed that in quality of life, the domains of environment health and social relation received the lowest (9.87 \pm 2.41) and the highest (12.61 ±3.43) scores respectively; and with respect to social capital, membership in groups and social trust had the least (19.61 ± 17.11) and the most (51.04 ± 17.37) scores, respectively. The multiple regression model revealed a significant positive association between total score of the quality of life and the total score for the social capital (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: Findings suggest that quality of life of female-headed families and social capital domains are strongly related. This means that by improving the social capital, women's life can also be improved.

Keywords: Social Capital, Quality of life, Female-Headed Families (FHF).

Cite this article as: Rimaz Sh, Dastoorpoor M, Vesali S, Saiepour N, Nedjat S, Sadeghi M, Merghati Khoei E. Investigation of relationship between social capital and quality of life in female headed families. Med J Islam Repub Iran 2015 (3 October). Vol. 29:270.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines quality of life as "individuals' perceptions of their position in life in the context of culture and value systems in which they live, and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns" (1). In other words, quality of life is a "broadranging concept affected in a complex way by the person' physical health, psychological state, level of independence, social relationships and their relationship to salient features of the environment" (2). Thus, the true meaning of quality of life does not

¹. Associate Professor of Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. srimaz2000@yahoo.com

⁽Corresponding author) PhD Candidate in Epidemiology, Dezful University of Medical Sciences, Dezful, Iran. mdastoorpour@yahoo.com ³. MSc of Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. samiravesali@yahoo.com

⁴. MSc of Biostatistics, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. n_saiepour@yahoo.com

Associate Professor of Epidemiology, Epidemiology and Biostatistics Department, School of Public Health, Knowledge Utilization Research center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. nejatsan@tums.ac.ir

⁶. PhD Student in Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran. sadeghi.masoume@gmail.com.

⁷. Assistant Professor of Sexual Health Promotion, Iranian Centre of Addiction Studies (INCAS), Institution of Risk Behaviour Reduction, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. effat mer@yahoo.com

contain only the living/biological standard level and accessible to the least level of welfare and facilities, but also it includes all interpersonal and social relations (3). No question that identifies the factors affecting people's quality of life is seminal. As one of the social determinants of health, social capital affects one's quality of life (4,5). While social capital may be defined in a number of different ways, social capital definitions generally rely on a similar set of basic elements including networks, relationships, participation, norms, social trust, cohesion, collective efficacy and reciprocity among members of a social group (6). It seems that people living in a rich network of support, trust, information and norms have resources that may have a positive effect on their overall health quality. Social capital can influence the behaviors related to health through increasing dissemination of information related to health and institutionalizing the correct health behaviors (7). Therefore, special attention should be paid to the social capital in the realm of health. Studies in psychology and medicine have reported direct associations between social capital and quality of life (5, 8-11). However, in order to measure social capital in a society in a large scale, various indexes should be considered. One of these indexes is a social support of minority groups such as female headed families (FHF). Households headed by women have become an important phenomenon worldwide in the last half of the twentieth century (12). FHF are dramatically increasing because of the changes in the family structure due to war, immigration, divorce, addiction and so on (13-17). Findings indicated a growing trend in the number and rate of FHF in the recent decades (15). Women solely manage almost 37.5% of families around the world (18). Today, Iran is experiencing a steady increase in FHF particularly in large urban areas. According to statistics of the Iranian Rehabilitation Organization during the last 10 years (1996-2006), there was an annual FHF increase of about 60,300 (19). Tehran province accounts for the most FHF mainly

due to the accessibility of job opportunities, and prevalence of nuclear families (20). Regardless of wide social networks, complex social interactions and norms related to strong friendships in Iranian contexts, it seems FHFs are deprived from the social capital indicators in Iranian culture. This disadvantage occurs because FHFs are highly stigmatized if their marriages are ruined due to divorce. These women sometimes cannot join social networks because of the load and variety of their responsibilities (21). This makes them vulnerable; furthermore, they experience different problems including committing crimes to run their lives, deviation and disorders in their children, increasing problematic families, mental and emotional disorders in family members, and financial and cultural poverty (22). A number of studies have investigated quality of life among Iranian women (23,24). In this study, we proposed two hypotheses: 1) Various dimensions of social capital increase the level of communication as well as level of access to resources, which leads to statistically significant changes in quality of life. These changes include: physical, mental, social health, and environmental health. 2) Demographic variables (such as age, years of education, monthly income) affect the quality of life of female-headed households. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the associations between social capital and quality of life in female headed families in Tehran.

Methods

Study Population and Sampling

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 152 women heads of households covered by the Tehran Municipality, Region 9 (198 women) within 14 months from April 2011 to July 2012. The response rate was 76.7%. During the study, 46 women withdrew due to their cancellation of the municipality aids and coverage by other governmental organizations such as Tehran's Imam Khomeini Relief Committee (IKRC) and the Welfare Organization. Those women with old age (Not referring to the municipality) and those unwilling to participate in the study were excluded.

IKRC provides the female-headed families and single mothers with different forms of support, such as job seeking skills training and financial support in particular. These women are characterized as: divorced, widowed or separated. According to the definition by Tehran Municipality, women whose husbands had died, divorced women or those who had left their husbands for any reason were considered to be a female head of the household. All women heads of households supported by the organization, received the basket of goods and services, including loans with low interest. In this study, after receiving permission from the municipality 9, verbal and written informed consent was obtained from all women who were in the region. Trained interviewers collected the data during working hours (8 am to 2 pm).

Instruments

Data collection tools were as follows:

1) A demographic questionnaire inquiring about the following information was used: Age, marital status (single, married, widow, and divorced), level of education (illiterate, primary, high school, and university), successful educational years, occupational status (employed, unemployed), ethnicity, living place area, constructed area per capita (approximate index of economic status), the number of family members, family income average, home facilities, the number of rooms, duration of residence, having any kind of insurance (yes, no), having a chronic or current disease (yes, no).

2) The Iranian version of World Health Organization Questionnaire about the quality of life (WHOQOL) consisted of the 4 domains of physical health, mental health, social relation, and environment health. Each of these domains contained 7,6,3,8 questions on the Likert scale, respectively. Person's mean score from the questions within each domain was used to calculate the domain score. Then, mean scores obtained from each domain were multiplied by 4, and each domain was received scores from 4 to 20. The higher the score, the better the quality of life (25). The questionnaire validation was approved by Nedjat and colleagues in 2005 and was confirmed by professional social workers, sociologists and medical doctors; and the reliability was confirmed for the dimensions of physical, psychological, and environmental relationships with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85, 0.81, 0.75 and 0.74, respectively (25).

3) The World Bank Social Capital Questionnaire (SC-IQ) was designed to examine social capital among families in developing countries. This questionnaire included 27 main questions and 6 domains as follows: 1) Membership in associations and groups; 2) The social trust rate; 3) Contribution in team works and public activities: 4) Information and communication; 5) Social cohesion; and 6) The political empowerment and activity. Each domain had 3, 11, 3, 2, 10, 5 questions, respectively in the form of Likert, multiple choice or yes/no. To assess social capital components of participants in this study, we calculated each person's score in each domain. The attainable score was 0-100 in all domains. The questionnaire reliability was confirmed by Nedjat and colleagues in 2012, and the 114 respondents were interviewed twice at a 10-14 day interval. The Intra Class Correlation Coefficient (ICC) was higher than 0.7 in all the dimensions (ICC range: 0.75-0.89). Values of Cronbach's alpha were 0.86, 0.82, and 0.69 for the 'trust', 'empowerment and political actions', and 'social cohesion' dimensions, respectively and 0.67 for the 'cooperation' dimension, which might be a result of the paucity of questions in this dimension (three questions) (26).

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed by SPSS 17, and descriptive statistics such as frequency tables, mean, and standard deviation were reported. In order to evaluate the correlation between the independent variables with the overall quality of life and its each domain (dependent variable), as well as overall quality of life and its each domain (dependent variable) with the total social capital (independent variable), Pearson correlation coefficient, t-test, and one way ANOVA were used. Finally, to determine the factors affecting the domains of quality of life for women heads of households participating in the study, (after removing the confounding effect of other variables), a multiple linear regression, backward, was used. The significant level was set at 0.05.

Results

Demographic- Socioeconomic and Clinical Factors of the Participants

In this study, the mean±SD age of participants was 50.8 ± 13.80 years. About 51%(n= 78) of the participants were divorced, 40.1% (n= 61) had high school diploma and 71% (n= 108) were unemployed. The mean±SD of monthly family income was 78.4 ± 70 \$, and constructed area per capita was 26.7 ± 0.1 . Also, 61.2% (n= 93) of the participants stated a kind of chronic disease such as cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and mental problems and hypertension (Table 1).

Quality of Life and Social Capital Domains

Table 2 demonstrates that in quality of life, environment health and social relationship domains have received the least 9.87 ± 2.41 and the most 12.61 ± 3.43 scores, respectively. With respect to social capital, membership in groups and associations and social trust had the least 19.61 ± 17.11 and the most 51.04 ± 17.37 scores, respectively. Participants' mean scores on social capital were approximately 50 in domains of the social trust, contribution in team works and public activities, social cohesion and the political empowerment and activity. However, women's mean score was the least in the domain of membership in groups and associations.

Table 1. Demographic characteristic of study participants (n=152)

			* ()	
Variable		Frequency Distribution		
	-	N	%	
Marital Status				
	Married	1	0.7	
	Single	5	3.6	
	Divorced	54	39.4	
	Widow	77	56.2	
Education level				
	Illiterate	42	27.6	
	Primary	44	28.9	
	High school	61	40.1	
	University	5	3.3	
Employment Status				
	Employed	39	26.5	
	Non-employed	108	73.5	
Current Disease				
	Yes	90	59.2	
	No	62	40.8	

|--|

Quality of life domains	Mean (SD)	Range			
Physical health	11.7 (2.33)	6-17.7			
Mental health	11.0(3.11)	4-20			
Social Relationships	12.6 (3.43)	4-20			
Environmental Health	9.9(2.41)	4-18			
The attainable score is $0-20$ in all Dimensions					
Social capital domains	Mean (Standard deviation)	Highest – Lowest			
Network	19.6 (17.11)	0-69.4			
Trust	51.0 (17.37)	14.3-85.7			
Cooperation	49.5 (23.59)	0-91.7			
Social cohesion	49.2(11.2)	22.7-73.4			
Political action	50.2 (17.37)	5-81.7			
The attainable score is $0-100$ in all Dimensions					

Quality of Life in Association with Demographic- Socioeconomic- Clinical and Social Capital Variables

Table 3 demonstrates the association between demographic, socioeconomic, and clinical factors of participants, and social capital domains with domains of quality of life through bivariate analytics. Table 4 demonstrates the adjusted association between the variables in Table 3 and the domains of quality of life (as the dependent variable). In this study, Pearson correlation coefficient, two-sample t-test, and ANOVA tests indicated a significant association between the physical health domain of quality of life and demographic- clinical factors such as successful educational years, a chronic disease, a current disease and social capital factors including membership in groups and associations and contribution in team works and public activities (p < 0.05). There was a significant association between mental health domain of quality of life and demographic - clinical factors including duration of residence, a chronic disease, a current disease and social capital factors including membership in groups and associations and contribution in team works and public activities (p < 0.05). Also, there was a statistically significant association between environmental health domain of quality of life with economic factors such as constructed area per capita and social capital factors including in groups and associations and contribution in team works and public activities (p<0.05) (Table 3).

Table 3. Respondents' characteristics and social capital in association with the mean scores of the quality of life domains through Pearson Correlation, Independent Sample test and ANOVA

Variable	Quality of life domains (P-value)				
	Physical Health	Mental Health	Social Relationships	Environmental Health	
Age*	0.663	0.153	*0.041	0. 149	
Marital status***	0.982	0.694	0.562	0.148	
Occupational status**	0.061	0.156	0.163	0.97	
Successful educational years*	0.021*	0.985	0.182	0.852	
Monthly family income*	0.462	0.925	0.621	0.991	
Living place area***	0.111	0.185	0.723	0.053	
Constructed area per capita	0.436	0.052	0.524	0.013*	
The number of family members*	0.991	0.144	0.137	0.072	
Duration of residence*	0.072	0.004*	0.003*	0.195	
The number of rooms*	0.094	0.092	0.444	0.814	
Language	0.347	0.125	0.378	0.387	
A chronic disease**	0.001*	0.014*	0.954	0.743	
A current disease**	0.002*	0.012*	0.638	0.232	
Network*	*<0.001	0.002*	*<0.001	0.001*	
Trust*	0.364	0.062	0.098	0.054	
Cooperation**	*<0.001	*<0.001	*<0.001	*<0.001	
Social cohesion*	0.091	0.312	0.014*	0.155	
Political action*	0.664	0.237	0.537	0.564	

* Pearson Correlation, ** Independent Sample test, *** ANOVA

Table 4. The association between Demographic, Clinical and the Domains of Social Capital variables with Quality of life Dimension Scores through Multiple linear Regression

Dimension	The remaining variables in the final model	Standardized Coefficients	р	R Square
Physical health	Constant		*<0.001	34%
J	Successful educational years	0.58	0.006*	
Mental health	Constant		0.004	64%
	Cooperation	0.61	0.041*	
	A chronic disease	-0.98	0.022*	
	Duration of residence	0.68	0.071	
Social Relationships	Constant		*<0.001	32%
	Occupational status	-0.57	0.051*	
Environmental Health	Constant		0.001	54%
	The number of family members	0.88	0.007	
	Marital status	-0.68	0.032	

Table 4 demonstrates those variables that had a significant association with outcome, or those which were not removed according to likelihood ratio test (LRT) result. Multiple regression results revealed that the factor of successful educational years had an impact on physical health. Factors such as a contribution in team works and public activities, and no current disease affected mental health. Factors affecting social relationships and environment health in quality of life were occupational status, marital status, and the number of family members, respectively (p < 0.05) (Table 4). A part of the variance of response change (the score related to quality of life domains), justified in the final regression model by studying variables (R), was changing between 0.32 in the social relationships and 0.64 in the mental health.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine the associations between social capital and FHF's quality of life in a group of FHF. Undoubtedly, accepting and playing the role of head of the family by women will affect their quality of life and workability of the social capital throughout their living contexts. The results of this study along with similar studies (5, 9-11, 27) showed a direct and positive relationship between quality of life and social capital.

The findings of this study revealed that quality of life and its domains are influenced by demographic variables such as education and age. Most of the FHF in this study were middle-aged, jobless with intermediate and senior high school (6-12) education level, and this finding is consistent with the studies done on FHF (13. 19, 28,29). Low level of education is one of the main reasons of being disqualified for applying for different jobs. Hence, it is regarded as the main barrier to being absorbed by the job market, leading to an economic and financial failure (30). The research performed on employment condition of FHF revealed a limited number of job opportunities for them due to low level

of education compared to the male-headed families (31). On the other hand, FHF often lacks support from both social networks and the state (32) and they even enjoy less governmental supports which are more in the form of providing services than providing empowerment (33); therefore, most of these women are hired for temporary jobs such as housekeeping.

In our study, the mean scores of quality of life for the participants were less than the study population in another study conducted in 22 municipal districts of Tehran on four domains of physical, mental, social and environmental health (34). Thus, it seems that more factors may influence their quality of life rather than ordinary ones that are linked to FHF. These women encounter with a vast variety of problems due to their multi-functional roles simultaneously, and lack of family and social support (33).

In this research, we found a positive and significant relation between age and overall quality of life and its different aspects and domains such as mental health, environment, and social relation. As the age increases, so does the quality of life in all domains, but its effectiveness index is very low (0.08), based on an observed regression index. In fact, it is expected that due to physiologic changes (36) and various problems arising in FHFs (29, 36) their quality of life decreases as they get older. Other studies found that the higher the age, the lower the quality of life (33, 37).

In this research, with respect to the physical health, there was only a significant relation between education variable and quality of life. It seems that in the physical health domain, women with high school education enjoy more suitable conditions compared to the illiterate and uneducated ones, and this was aslo consistent with other studies (19). The impact of education level on quality of life has been confirmed and some studies consider its effectiveness even more than the age factor (38). It seems as women's levels of education increases, so does their awareness and knowledge about their conditions, and they get more eager and interested in receiving medication.

The findings of this study also indicated a significant relation between environment health domain and marital status (divorced) which is consistent with another study (39). The researchers believe that marital status is a predicting factor in all aspects of quality of life and husband presence, as a supporter, is a preventive factor in reducing anxiety, consistent with the environment, decreasing death rate and disability (40).

We found a significant relation between length of stay in place, physical health, and social relation domains of quality of life in this research. According to the results of this study, the domain score of physical health and social relation of those who lived in the area for many years was more than the ones who have moved to this area just recently, about one year. It seems that social relation network among older residents is the variable with a positive impact on their quality of life.

In this study, there was a meaningful and reversed relation between the existences of present illness with physical, and mental health domain. Also, total score of quality of life and the impact of this variable in the mental health domain was higher than other domains (regression coefficient= -2.85). In another study, it was declared that 77% of the FHFs who received support from Tehran Rehabilitation center did not enjoy complete mental health and lost their mental peace while becoming the head of the family due to their multi-functional responsibilities (20). Psychologists also believe that FHFs face financial, mental, and emotional problems, and they experience more stress and anxiety; therefore, they are more likely to suffer from mental diseases than married women. No wonder, chronic and current diseases can worsen the case and have an undesirable impact on quality of life of this vulnerable group, particularly in the mental health domain.

The social capital mean score of FHF was 37.5 in this study while the highest mean score was recorded as 71.7. Thus, it can be concluded that women's social capital is

considerably low. Chant believes that the low social capital among FHF is mainly rooted in their limited social relation network and human communications because culturally, when they are divorced, they prefer to be alone. Furthermore, these people have no extra time to create any social relationship with others due to their multifunctional responsibilities (21). In our study, the lowest and highest mean scores of social capital go to membership in groups and social trust dimensions, respectively which is consistent with the findings of another study in Tehran (26).

The respondents achieved scores of almost 50 in the trust, cooperation, political action and social cohesion dimensions, but gained less than 20 in the attainable score in the groups and networks dimension. The lower score of network dimension compared to other social capital dimensions is in accordance with other studies conducted in Iran (41-43). A study among 1,759 individuals in Tehran in 2004 also revealed a very low participation in voluntary associations (25 % of the maximum attainable score) as 53.4 % of the study population had no kind of participation whatsoever (43). Another study conducted on 320 individuals in Iran demonstrated a significantly lower activity rate of networks and groups in women than in men (43). The most mean scores in the social trust dimension was relatively high which could be justified because of the average age of FHF (50.8)years).In another study conducted on 2500 people in Tehran (Iran capital), it was demonstrated that age groups older than 45 years had significantly higher trust scores than the 18–26 year age group (26). On the other hand, in Iran, multiple studies have shown higher trust in middle aged people who have experienced the revolution and the Iran-Iraq war (43-45).

Finally, regarding the relation between social relation and human communications of FHF, it is observed that quality of life has a positive and significant influence on social relations. The findings of various studies have emphasized the relation between social capital and quality of life (5, 9, 11, and 27).

Conclusion

Strong associations between the social capital and quality of life of FHF in our study supports the fact that strengthening each domain of social capital will lead to another domain improvement, although we do not explore cause and effect relationships. In fact, through getting a thorough understanding of social capital and promoting its layers and following the required skills to set it up in different social environments, we can observe the deep and enormous impact of social capital on the Iranian women's quality of life and vice versa.

Strengths of the Study

The results of this study helps the general knowledge in the field of social epidemiology, and specific knowledge about the area of quality of life of FHFs, and it seems that this was the first study to measure social factors. After nearly two decades of research, no universal definition or measurement of social capital have been designed. Unlike other studies that have used only one or two items to measure cognitive structural model of social capital, a set of six items with 39 questions was used for better measuring this multifaceted concept.

Limitations of the Study

Few limitations were acknowledged in this study. Like other cross sectional studies, we could not claim causality. Given that single mothers and female headed families are highly stigmatized in the Iranian culture, our findings could not warrant direct and positive impact of implementing social capital promotion resulting in a better quality of life of women. Although this study investigated the relationship between quality of life and social capital, the exact nature of the biological mechanisms or causal relationship is not clear. Moreover, while we have assumed that greater quality of life promotes social capital, higher social capital may increase a person's participation in the society, and it can lead to promoting quality of life. Thus, longitudinal or comprehensive cross-sectional studies with in-depth qualitative study may help clarify the direction of causality. However, since this study is based on self-report measures, it may have the potential for reporting bias due to the subjective nature of the measure. In addition, the respondents may have different perceptions of the concept of social capital. particularly cognitive domain (norms, values, attitudes) and may consequently come up with different answers.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical issues (plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

Acknowledgements

Our special thanks go to all women who sincerely participated in this study. This study was supported by grants from Center for Community Based Participatory Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, P/15529 (Tehran, Iran).

References

1. Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. Qual Life Res 2004; 13 (2): 310-299.

2. WHOQOL Group. Study protocol for the World Health Organization project to develop a Quality of Life assessment instrument (WHOQOL). Qual Life Res 1993; 2:153-159.

3. Bonomi AE, Patrick DL, Bushnell DM, Martin M. Validation of the United States version of the World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL) instrument. J Clin Epidemiol 2000; 53 (1): 1-12.

4. Alvani M, Shirvani A. Social capital (concepts, theories and applications). 1rd ed. Mani publications, Tehran, 2008;:8-55.

5. Sharifian A, Ftoot H. Survey of social capital and quality of life of Azad university students in Shiraz (Pardis campus). Welfare Planning and Social Development 2011; 8:155-89.

6. Putnam RD. The prosperous community: social capital and public life. Frontier Issues in Economic Thought 1997; 3: 211-2.

7. Coleman JS. Foundations of social theory. Belknap press. 1998:48.

8. Nilsson J, Rana AKMM, Kabir ZN. Social capital and quality of life in old age results from a cross-sectional study in rural Bangladesh. J Aging Health 2006; 18 (3): 419-34.

9. Kim D, Kawachi I. US state-level social capital and health-related quality of life: Multilevel evidence of main, mediating, and modifying effects. Ann Epidemiol 2007; 17 (4): 258-269.

10. Drukker M, Kaplan C, Feron F, Van Os J. Children's health-related quality of life, neighborhood socioeconomic deprivation and social capital. A contextual analysis. Soc Sci Med 2003; 57 (5): 825-41.

11. Lim JW, Zebrack B. Different pathways in social support and quality of life between Korean American and Korean breast and gynecological cancer survivors. Qual Life Res 2008; 17 (5): 689-679.

12. Barros R, Fox L, Mendonca R. Female-headed households, poverty, and the welfare of children in urban Brazil. Econ Dev Cult Change 1997; 45 (2): 231-57.

13. Akinsola HA, Popovich JM. The quality of life of families of female-headed households in Botswana: A secondary analysis of case studies. Health Care Women Int 2002; 23 (6-7): 761-72.

14. Duffy ME. When a woman heads the household. In: Readings in community health nursing. Eds, Spradley BW, Allender JA. 5 rd ed, Lippincott. Philadelphia, 1997: 351–70.

15. Fruzan S, Biglarian A. Female headed households: Opportunities and challenges. Women in Development and Politics 2003; 5 (5): 35-58.

16. Ozawa MN, Baek SH, Joo M. The impact of social transfers on children in female-headed households: A comparison between Korea and the United States. Child Youth Serv Rev 2009;31(3): 355-63.

17. Silver H. Understanding social inclusion and its meaning for Australia. ASPA, 45(2): 183-201.

18. Motee N. Opportunities for children in families headed by women. Women's Magazine, 2010; 48 (2): 26-8.

19. Boldaji LT, Fruzan A, Rafiee H. Quality of life of head-of-household women: A comparison between those supported by Welfare Organization and those with service jobs. Journal of Social Welfare Research 2008; 11 (40): 26-9.

20. Hosseini SA, Fruzan S, Amirfriar M. A mental health survey of female heads of households covered by the Welfare Organization in Tehran. Journal of Social Research 2009; (3): 117-37.

21. Chant S, Campling J. Women-headed households: Diversity and dynamics in the developing world: Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills,

Basingstoke, UK, 1997.

22. Stewart-Withers R, Editor. Contesting a Third World development category: The experience of female-headed households in Samoa [PhD thesis]. Massay University, Newzland, 2011.

23. Kermansaravi F, Montazeri A, Bayat M. Comparison of health-related quality of life for working women and housewives. Payesh, 2010; 11 (1): 11.

24. Bayat M, Bayat M. Quality of life for women in Mashhad. The strategic Thoughts, Women and Families 2012;575-87.

25. Nedjat S, Montazeri A, Holakouee K, Mohammad K, Majdzadeh SR. Standardization of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF): Translation and psychometric assessment of Iranian species. Journal of School Health and Health Research Institute 2006; 4 (4): 12-1.

26. Nedjat S, Majdzadeh R, Kheiltash A, Jamshidi E, Yazdani S. Social capital in association with socioeconomic variables in Iran. Soc Indic Res 2013; 113: 1170-1153.

27. Nilsson J, Rana AKMM, Kabir ZN. Social capital and quality of life in old age. J Aging and Health 2006; 18(3): 419-34.

28. Aden AS, Omar MM, Omar HM, Högberg U, Persson LA, Wall S. Excess female mortality in rural Somalia- Is inequality in the household a risk factor?. Soc Sci Med 1997; 44(5): 709-15.

29. Buvinić M, Gupta GR. Female-headed households and female-maintained families: Are they worth targeting to reduce poverty in developing countries?. Econ Dev Cult Change 1997; 45(2): 259-80.

30. Card D, Blank RM. The changing incidence and severity of poverty spells among female-headed families. Am Econ Rev 2008; 98(2): 391-387.

31. Rodgers JR. Female-headed families: Why are they so poor? Rev Soc Econ 1994; 52(2): 22-47.

32. Senada S, and Sergio E. Poverty amongst female-headed households in Bosnia and Herzegovina: An empirical analysis. SEE Journal 2007; 2 (1): 88-69.

33. Tahmasebi A. Quality of life of female heads of households covered by the Welfare South of Tehran and its relationship with personal and family characteristics [MS thesis]. Tehran, University of Welfare Sciences, Iran, 2006.

34. Nedjat S, Heidari M. Social capital and quality of life in Tehran University of Medical Sciences [MS thesis].Tehran, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran, 2009: 26-8.

35. Brach JS, Simonsick EM, Kritchevsky S, Yaffe K, Newman AB. The association between physical function and lifestyle activity and exercise in the health, aging and body composition study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52 (4): 502-9.

36. Chant S. Women-headed households: Poorest of the poor? Perspectives from Mexico, Costa Rica

and the Philippines1. IDS Bulletin 1997; 28 (3): 26-48.

37. Montazeri A, Goshtasebi A, Vahdaninia M, Gandek B. The short form health survey (SF-36): Translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Qual Life Res 2005; 14 (3): 875-82.

38. Hemingway H, Nicholson A, Stafford M, Roberts R, Marmot M. The impact of socioeconomic status on health functioning as assessed by the SF-36 questionnaire: The Whitehall II Study. Am J Public Health 1997; 87 (9): 1484-90.

39. Al-Arabi S. Social support, coping methods and quality of life in hemodialysis patients [PhD thesis]. University of Texas, Galveston; 2003.

40. Weety L. Measurement of quality of life for

end stage renal patients [PhD thesis]. Polytechnic University, Hong Kong; 2012.

41. Tajbakhsh K, Saghafi M, Nejad MK. Social capital and social policy. Social Welfare Quarterly 2003; (10): 155–200.

42. Abdollahi M, Mousavi M. Social capital in Iran. Social Welfare Quarterly 2007; (25): 195-233.

43. Nateghpoor M, Firuzabadi A. Social capital and its determinant in Tehran. Iranian Sociology Journal 2003; 4: 59-91.

44. Saadat R. Estimation of social capital in Iran. Social Welfare Quarterly 2006; 23: 173-95.

45. Torkamani AD. The reason of social capital decline. Social Welfare Quarterly 2005; 23: 147-70.