

developers aimed to implement the same system in other hospitals of the city, this study was specifically conducted to inform them about the physicians' and nurses' perspectives. The CPOE system in this organization was not supported by a decision support system.

Methods

Tweet 0

2

This case study was completed in 2012. The potential participants included nurses (n = 145) and physicians (16 specialists and 12 general practitioners) who worked in a teaching hospital. The hospital was a general hospital with 199 beds. To increase the response rate, no sampling method was used, and all of the potential participants were invited to take part in the study.

Research Instrument

A five-point Likert-scale questionnaire ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5) was used to collect data. It was based on the literature review²⁷⁻³⁴ and was divided into six main sections: participants' demographic information, the impact of CPOE on four main areas (patient safety [8 questions], interorganizational workflow [11 questions], working relationship between physicians and nurses [6 questions], and quality of patient care [5 questions]; see Appendix), and open-ended questions about the strengths and weaknesses of the current CPOE system. The validity of the questionnaire was checked using face validity and content validity methods. The content of the questionnaire was reviewed by experts in the field of health informatics and health information management. The reliability was confirmed by calculating the internal correlation coefficient (α = 0.78).

Data Analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 18.0. To analyze data, mean values and standard deviations were calculated, and physicians' and nurses' opinions were compared using *t*-tests.

System Characteristics

The CPOE system was a subsystem of a hospital information system. At the time of the study, the system had been in use in the hospital for about two years. All physicians and nurses had access to the system using their unique username and password. The system was available in the pharmacy, radiology department, laboratory department, and hospital wards.

Results

A total of 173 questionnaires were distributed among the study participants, and the response rate was 65.9 percent (n = 114). The results showed that 101 of 145 nurses (69.7 percent), 3 of 16 specialists (18.8 percent), and 10 of 12 general practitioners (83.3 percent) completed the questionnaire. Most of the physicians were men (n = 12, 92 percent) and the average age of the physicians was 36.46 ± 5.66 years. Most of the nurses were women (n = 60, 59.4 percent), and the average age of the nurses was 31.67 ± 5.85 years. The average work experience for physicians and nurses was 8 ± 4.22 years and 7.61 ± 5.52 years, respectively.

The findings showed that the opinion of physicians and nurses about the impact of CPOE on patient safety was positive. In this area, the highest mean value (4.31 ± 0.48) was related to the nurses who believed that using the system helped to document drugs' names accurately. The lowest mean value (4.13 ± 0.49) was related to the nurses who thought that using the system helped to document drugs' dosage accurately. For physicians, the highest mean value (4.11 ± 0.29) was related to those who assumed that the use of CPOE prevented displacement of medical orders. In their group, the lowest mean value (3.96 ± 0.32) was associated with documenting orders in a timely manner, ensuring timely drug administration, and reducing errors when choosing the method of drug administration (see Table 1).

The results also showed that the views of physicians and nurses about the impact of CPOE on interorganizational workflow were positive. Among the items in this area, the highest mean value (4.31 \pm 0.52) belonged to the nurses who believed that the use of CPOE resulted in saving time in the organizational processes. The lowest mean value for nurses (3.01 \pm 0.99) was related to the following item: "The use of paper-based records is easier than using CPOE." For physicians, the highest mean value (4.0 \pm 0.40) belonged to timely access to clinical information and improving the working relationship between different departments. In this group, the lowest mean value (3.35 \pm 0.80) was related to saving time in the organizational processes as a result of using CPOE. Moreover, the highest differences between the mean values (0.96) and between the standard deviations (0.28) of physicians' and nurses' responses was related to this item. While most of the nurses (n = 100, 99 percent) agreed with this item.

Concerning the impact of CPOE on the working relationship between physicians and nurses, the highest mean value for nurses (4.24 ± 0.45) was related to their positive feelings about the system usage, as it reassured physicians and nurses about the completeness of data. All of the physicians agreed that the legibility of data had been improved using the CPOE system (mean = 4). The lowest mean value for nurses (3.5 ± 1.0) and for physicians (3.23 ± 0.83) was associated with the impact of CPOE on the working relationship to make it more complex. This finding means that from the participants' perspectives, although the use of CPOE affected their working relationship, the relationship had not



2

been changed to be inefficient or more complex. The highest differences between the mean values (0.51) and between the standard deviations (0.02) of physicians' and nurses' responses was related to the following item: "The use of CPOE facilitates the process of documentation." While most of the nurses (n = 91, 90 percent) agreed with this impact of CPOE, physicians either agreed (n = 7, 53.8 percent) or had no idea about this item (n = 6, 46.2 percent).

Regarding the impact of the CPOE system on the quality of patient care, the findings showed that both groups of participants had positive views about the effects of the system on patient care. The highest mean value for nurses (4.6 ± 0.56) was related to the documentation time, which was reduced using the system, allowing more time to be spent on patient care. In this group, the lowest mean value (4.07 ± 0.62) was related to the positive impact of timely prescription on the quality of care. For physicians, the highest mean value (3.92 ± 0.27) was related to reducing adverse drug events, improving patients' satisfaction, and improving quality of care by accelerating the process of ordering and prescribing. In their group, in contrast with nurses, the lowest mean value (3.46 ± 0.66) was associated with spending more time on patient care as a result of reducing documentation time (see Table 2).

The comparison of physicians' and nurses' opinions about the impact of CPOE on patient safety (p = .156) and quality of patient care (p = .209) showed no significant difference between their opinions. However, there were significant differences between the opinions of physicians and nurses regarding the impact of the system on interorganizational workflow (p = .001) and the working relationship between physicians and nurses (p = .017) (see Table 3). This finding means that in comparison with physicians, nurses were more positive about the impact of the system on interorganizational workflow and the working relationship between physicians and nurses, (p = .017) (see Table 3). This finding means that in comparison with physicians, nurses were more positive about the impact of the system on interorganizational workflow and the working relationship between physicians and nurses, and agreed that the system had improved the organizational workflow and physician-nurse relationships.

The physicians' and nurses' opinions about the impact of CPOE were also analyzed with respect to their age groups. The results showed that among physicians, the highest mean value (4.09 ± 0.22) was found at the age of 29–34 years and was related to the impact of CPOE on patient safety. The lowest mean value for physicians was found at the age of 41–47 years. It was associated with the impact of the CPOE on interorganizational workflow (3.36 ± 0.47) and the working relationship between physicians and nurses (3.36 ± 0.16). This finding suggested that elderly physicians did not agree with the positive impact of the system on the two aforementioned areas. Among nurses, the highest mean value (4.66 ± 2.57) was found at the age of 23–28 years and was related to the impact of CPOE on patient safety. In their group, the lowest mean value (3.93 ± 0.43) was found at the age of 29–34 years and was associated with the impact of CPOE on the working relationship between physicians and nurses (see Table 4).

A limited number of participants replied to the open-ended questions of the questionnaire. According to them, strengths of the current CPOE system used in their hospital included reducing healthcare cost, improving quality of care, and reducing duplication. Some weaknesses of the system were related to system errors, which were time consuming, and the interface of the system, which needed to be improved.

Discussion

Currently, information technology is considered to be a useful tool to improve healthcare quality and patient safety. By using CPOE systems, for example, some processes, such as ordering medical tests, can be documented and medical errors can be prevented by providing accurate information.³⁵

The findings of the current study showed that a majority of physicians and nurses agreed with the positive impact of CPOE on patient safety. This impact could occur through improvement in the quality of documentation and reduction in medical errors. However, no significant difference was found between the opinions of physicians and nurses about the impact of this system on patient safety. Similarly, the results of other studies showed that using CPOE systems may lead to significant reduction in medical errors.^{36–38} This system can help to resolve problems related to the illegibility of medical documentation and can help ensure that all records completed by physicians, nurses, and pharmacists are identifiable.^{39, 40}

The study findings also showed a significant difference between the physicians' and nurses' opinions about the impact of CPOE on interorganizational workflow. According to the research findings, nurses were more positive about the impact of CPOE on interorganizational workflow than physicians were. This finding might be related to the nurses' role and the range of tasks for which they are responsible. In fact, nurses are more involved in the process of documentation than physicians are, and they have to communicate with other departments on a daily basis. Therefore, any positive or negative impact of CPOE directly influences their daily tasks, whereas physicians' roles are different and they usually communicate with other departments indirectly, such as through nurses. Overall, the findings are in line with the results of other studies in which physicians and nurses believed that the use of CPOE systems helped to improve productivity and efficiency in a healthcare organization.^{41, 42} Similarly, the research conducted by Barakah et al. showed that using CPOE systems may lead to improve information sharing between healthcare providers, which in turn accelerates the provision of healthcare services.⁴³



The research findings included a significant difference between physicians' and nurses' opinions about the impact of CPOE on the working relationship between physicians and nurses. The results suggested that compared to physicians, nurses were more satisfied with the positive impacts of the system on their working relationship with physicians. Note that different opinions about the impact of CPOE on the physician-nurse relationship have been reported in several studies.^{44–47} For example, a study by Rahimi et al. showed that while most nurses agreed that the legibility of data improved with the use of CPOE systems, few physicians agreed with this positive impact.⁴⁸ A study by Pirnejad et al. revealed that collaboration between physicians and nurses was disrupted by the use of CPOE systems and resulted in problems in their communication.⁴⁹ In another study, Niazkhani noted that CPOE systems have positive impacts (e.g., improving legibility and completeness of data) and negative impacts (e.g., problems in collaboration between care providers).⁵⁰ Considering the positive and negative impacts of CPOE systems on the working relationship between physicians and nurses, and with respect to the contextual characteristics that might differ from one organization to another,⁵¹ it is necessary to identify workflow patterns before designing and implementing CPOE systems to avoid any disruption in patient care. In fact, the systems have to be designed and implemented as communication tools, not simply as databases or data repositories.52

Interestingly, the results showed that while nurses were positive about the impact of CPOE on physician -nurse relationships, most of them agreed that the use of CPOE increases the workload for physicians and nurses. Kazemi et al. believed that an ideal system should reduce the data entry workload for physicians, although it may increase transcription activities for nurses.⁵³ On the other hand, some researchers reported that an increasing workload can be a cause of medical errors in healthcare settings such as emergency departments.⁵⁴ Increasing the workload might be a function of technical factors. As Avansino and Leu suggested, a systematic design can help create an easy-to-use system that can be used with a lower cognitive workload.⁵⁵ In the present study, the positive attitude of nurses indicated that from their point of view, the benefits of the CPOE system outweighed its challenges, such as the increased workload.

In the present study, physicians and nurses agreed with the positive impact of CPOE on the quality of patient care; however, there was no significant difference between their opinions. Similarly, Holden's study showed that physicians believed that the use of CPOE can improve the quality of care by increasing the accessibility of information, providing reminders, and speeding up the delivery of care.⁵⁶ However, in the study conducted by Al-Dorzi et al., the researchers reported no improvement in patient outcomes even after 12 months of system implementation.⁵⁷ The potential positive impact of CPOE on the quality of care might be affected by other factors, such as the implementation duration, commitment to quality improvement, and the severity of illnesses.⁵⁸

As mentioned earlier, the developers of the CPOE system discussed in this study aimed to implement the same system in other hospitals of the city. However, the results of the current study suggested that interorganizational workflow and physician-nurse relationships were areas that needed further investigation in order to design a system that would fit into the organizational workflow. Organizational and clinical workflows should be examined more in depth in the process of requirements analysis. Moreover, healthcare settings might have different contextual environments; therefore, usability testing is required to explore users' views about the system. This testing can help to reduce weaknesses of the system in future versions. In the current study, although nurses were relatively satisfied with the system, the system was not fully successful in convincing physicians about the positive impact of CPOE in the areas mentioned above. Therefore, the new versions of the system should be improved to address physicians' requirements as well as nurses' expectations.

Limitations

This study aimed to compare physicians' and nurses' opinions about the impact of a CPOE system on their workflow. However, in the city where the research was conducted, the CPOE system was used in only one hospital, and the system developers aimed to implement the same system in other hospitals. Therefore, a single teaching hospital with a CPOE system was included in the study. The study would benefit if the results could be generalized to a larger sample size.

Moreover, only physicians and nurses were invited to take part in our study, as they were the main users of the system and the main aim of the study was to compare their perspectives. Other system users, such as pharmacists, radiologists, and laboratory technicians, might have different views, and their opinions could be compared in future studies. The number of physicians who took part in the study was quite small compared to the number of nurses, and this discrepancy might affect the interpretation of the results for this group of participants. Furthermore, because this was a single case study, the results might be related to the physician and nursing roles in that particular setting rather than physician and nursing roles in general.

Conclusion

According to the research findings, physicians and nurses had relatively similar opinions about the impact of CPOE in improving patient safety and quality of care. However, a significant difference was



found between physicians' and nurses' views about the impact of CPOE on interorganizational workflow and the working relationship between physicians and nurses. Generally, nurses were more positive about the impact of CPOE in the areas mentioned above. The results suggested that interorganizational workflow and the working relationship between care providers are important issues that require further investigation. Moreover, the negative impact of the system on the organizational and clinical workflow may consequently compromise the quality of care and patient safety. Therefore, workflow patterns and hidden structures need to be identified before an actual CPOE system is designed and implemented.

2

Haleh Ayatollahi, MSc, PhD, is an assistant professor of medical informatics at the Iran University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran.

Masoud Roozbehi, MSc, is a medical records specialist at the Yasuj University of Medical Sciences in Yasuj, Iran.

Hamid Haghani, MSc, is a lecturer in the Mathematics and Statistics Department at the Iran University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded and supported by Iran University of Medical Sciences, grant no. 480.

Notes

- Guappone, K. P., J. S. Ash, and D. F. Sitting. "Field Evaluation of Commercial Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems in Community Hospitals." AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings (2008): 263–67.
- Sword, L. A. A Readiness Assessment: Preparation for Implementation of Computerized Physician Order Entry (MSc thesis). Stout, WI: University of Wisconsin, 2005.
- Stratton, K. S., M. A. Blegen, and G. Pepper. "Reporting of Medication Errors by Pediatric Nurses." Journal of Pediatric Nursing 19, no. 6 (2004): 385–92.
- Ball, M., and J. Douglas. "IT, Patient Safety, and Quality Care." Journal of Healthcare Information Management 16, no. 1 (2002): 28–33.
- Radley, D. C., M. R. Wasserman, L. E. W. Olsho, S. J. Shoemaker, M. D. Spranca, and B. Bradshaw. "Reduction in Medication Errors in Hospitals Due to Adoption of Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 20, no. 3 (2013): 470–76.
- Charles, K., M. Cannon, R. Hall, and A. Coustasse. "Can Utilizing a Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) System Prevent Hospital Medical Errors and Adverse Drug Events?" *Perspectives in Health Information Management* 11 (Fall 2014).
- Cutler, D. M., N. E. Feldman, and J. R. Horvitz. "U.S. Adoption of Computerized Physician Order Entry Systems." *Health Affairs* 24, no. 6 (2005): 1654–63.
- Eslami, S., N. F. Dekeizer, and A. Abu-Hanna. "The Impact of Computerized Physician Medication Order Entry in Hospitalized Patients: A Systematic Review." International Journal of Medical Informatics 77, no. 6 (2008): 365–76.
- Rahimi, B., and V. Vimarlund. "Methods to Evaluate Health Information Systems in Healthcare Settings: A Literature Review." *Journal of Medical Systems* 31, no. 5 (2007): 397–432.
- Institute of Medicine. To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1999. Available at http://iom.edu/Reports/1999/To-Err-is-Human-Building-A-Safer-Health-System.aspx (accessed May 4, 2014).
- Delgado, S. O., T. A. Escriva, and B. M. Vilanova. "Comparative Study of Errors in Electronic versus Manual Prescription." *Farmacia Hospitalaria* 29, no. 4 (2005): 228–35.
- Hughes, R. G., and E. Oritz. "Medication Errors: Why They Happen, and How They Can Be Prevented." *American Journal of Nursing* 28, no. 2 (suppl.) (2005): 14–24.
- Mekhjian, H. S., R. R. Kumar, and L. Kuehn. "Immediate Benefits Realized Following Implementation of Physician Order Entry at an Academic Medical Center." International Journal of Medical Informatics 9, no. 5 (2002): 529–39.
- Simpson, R. L. "Nurses—Yes, Nurses Improve Physician Order Entry." Nursing Management 31, no. 9 (2000): 22–23.
- Chandra, A., and D. Paul. "Hospitals' Movements toward the Electronic Medical Record: Implications for Nurses." Hospital Topics 82, no. 1 (2004): 33–36.
- Al-Dorzi, H. M., H. M. Tamim, A. Cherfan, M. A. Hassan, S. Taher, and Y. M. Arabi. "Impact of Computerized Physician Order Entry (CPOE) System on the Outcome of Critically III Adult Patients: A Before-After Study." *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 11 (2011): 71.
- Hoonakker, P. L. T., P. Carayon, R. L. Brown, R. S. Cartmill, T. B. Wetterneck, and J. M. Walker. "Changes in End-User Satisfaction with Computerized Provider Order Entry over Time among Nurses and Providers in Intensive Care Units." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 20, no. 2 (2013): 252–59.
- Khanna, R., and T. Yen. "Computerized Physician Order Entry: Promise, Perils, and Experience." Neurohospitalist 4, no. 1 (2014): 26–33.
- Beuscart, M. C., S. Zephir, F. Pelayo, J. J. Anceaux, M. Meaux, and P. Degroisse. "Impact of CPOE on Physician–Nurse Cooperation for the Medication Ordering and Administration Process." International Journal of Medical Informatics 74, nos. 7/8 (2005): 629–41.
- Bardram, J., and C. Bonssen. "Mobility Work: The Spatial Dimension of Collaboration at a Hospital." Computer Supported Cooperative Work 14, no. 2 (2005): 131–60.
- Hanauer, D. A., K. Zheng, E. L. Commiskey, M. G. Duck, S. W. Choi, and D. W. Blayney. "Computerized Prescriber Order Entry Implementation in a Physician Assistant–Managed Hematology and Oncology Inpatient Service: Effects on Workflow and Task Switching." *Journal of Oncology Practice* 9, no. 4 (2013): e103–e114.
- Campbell, E. M., K. P. Guappone, D. F. Sittig, R. H. Dykstra, and J. S. Ash. "Computerized Provider Order Entry Adoption: Implications for Clinical Workflow." *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 24, no. 1 (2009): 21–26.
- Unertl, K. M., K. B. Johnson, and N. M. Lorenzi. "Health Information Exchange Technology on the Front Lines of Healthcare: Workflow Factors and Patterns of Use." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics* Association 19, no. 3 (2012): 392–400.
- Holden, R. J. "Physicians' Beliefs about Using EMR and CPOE: In Pursuit of a Contextualized Understanding of Health IT Use Behavior." *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 79, no. 2 (2010): 71.

- Hoonakker, P. L. T., P. Carayon, R. L. Brown, R. S. Cartmill, T. B. Wetterneck, and J. M. Walker. "Changes in End-User Satisfaction with Computerized Provider Order Entry over Time among Nurses and Providers in Intensive Care Units."
- Campbell, E. M., K. P. Guappone, D. F. Sittig, R. H. Dykstra, and J. S. Ash. "Computerized Provider Order Entry Adoption: Implications for Clinical Workflow."

Tweet

0

2

- Bardram, J., and C. Bonssen. "Mobility Work: The Spatial Dimension of Collaboration at a Hospital."
 Hanauer, D. A., K. Zheng, E. L. Commiskey, M. G. Duck, S. W. Choi, and D. W. Blayney. "Computerized Prescriber Order Entry Implementation in a Physician Assistant–Managed Hematology and Oncology Inpatient Service: Effects on Workflow and Task Switching."
- Inpatient Service: Effects on Workflow and Task Switching."
 Campbell, E. M., K. P. Guappone, D. F. Sittig, R. H. Dykstra, and J. S. Ash. "Computerized Provider Order Entry Adoption: Implications for Clinical Workflow."
- Unertl, K. M., K. B. Johnson, and N. M. Lorenzi. "Health Information Exchange Technology on the Front Lines of Healthcare: Workflow Factors and Patterns of Use."
- Holden, R. J. "Physicians' Beliefs about Using EMR and CPOE: In Pursuit of a Contextualized Understanding of Health IT Use Behavior."
- Khajouei, R., P. C. Wierenga, A. Hasman, and M. W. M. Jaspers. "Clinicians' Satisfaction with CPOE Ease of Use and Effect on Clinicians' Workflow, Efficiency and Medication Safety." *International Journal* of Medical Informatics 80, no. 5 (2011): 297–309.
- Teasdale, C. M. Nursing Perceptions of a Computerized Physician Order Entry System (MS thesis). Northern Kentucky University, 2009.
- Noll, R. T. Physician Acceptance of Computerized Physician Order Entry in Outpatient Settings: A Quantitative Analysis of Family Medicine (PhD thesis). Capella University, 2009.
- Van der Sijs, H., J. Aarts, A. Vulto, and M. Berg. "Overriding of Drug Safety Alerts in Computerized Physician Order Entry." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 13, no. 2 (2006): 138– 47
- Khajouei, R., P. C. Wierenga, A. Hasman, and M. W. M. Jaspers. "Clinicians' Satisfaction with CPOE Ease of Use and Effect on Clinicians' Workflow, Efficiency and Medication Safety."
- Van Rosse, F., B. Maat, C. M. Rademaker, A. J. van Vught, A. C. Egberts, and C. W. Bollen. "The Effect of Computerized Physician Order Entry on Medication Prescription Errors and Clinical Outcome in Pediatric and Intensive Care: A Systematic Review." *Pediatrics* 123, no. 4 (2009): 1184–90.
- Lindenauer, P. K., D. Ling, P. S. Pekow, A. Crawford, D. Naglieri-Prescod, N. Hoople, et al. "Physician Characteristics, Attitudes, and Use of Computerized Order Entry." *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 1, no. 4 (2006): 221–30.
- Seger, A. C., C. M. Hanson, and J. R. Fanikos. "Benefits of CPOE." American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy 60, no. 12 (2003): 1219–28.
- Asaro, P. V., and S. B. Boxerman. "Effects of Computerized Provider Order Entry and Nursing Documentation on Workflow." Academic Emergency Medicine 15, no. 10 (2008): 908–15.
- Khajouei, R., P. C. Wierenga, A. Hasman, and M. W. M. Jaspers. "Clinicians' Satisfaction with CPOE Ease of Use and Effect on Clinicians' Workflow, Efficiency and Medication Safety."
- Rahimi, B., T. Toomas, and V. Vivian. "Organization-wide Adoption of Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems: A Study Based on Diffusion of Innovations Theory." *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 9 (2009): 52.
- Barakah, D. M., and S. S. Alwakeel. "Impact of CPOE on Physicians and Dentists' Work Performance at King Saud Medical Complex Hospital: A Case Study." Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science (2009): 296–98.
- Khajouei, R., P. C. Wierenga, A. Hasman, and M. W. M. Jaspers. "Clinicians' Satisfaction with CPOE Ease of Use and Effect on Clinicians' Workflow, Efficiency and Medication Safety."
- Rahimi, B., T. Toomas, and V. Vivian. "Organization-wide Adoption of Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems: A Study Based on Diffusion of Innovations Theory."
- Pirnejad, H., Z. Niazkhani, H. Sijs, M. Berg, and R. Ball. "Impact of a Computerized Physician Order Entry System on Nurse-Physician Collaboration in the Medication Process." *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 77, no. 11 (2008): 735–44.
- Niazkhani, Z. "Evaluating the Impact of CPOE Systems on Medical Workflow: A Mixed Method Study." Studies in Health Technology and Informatics 136 (2008): 881–82.
- Rahimi, B., T. Toomas, and V. Vivian. "Organization-wide Adoption of Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems: A Study Based on Diffusion of Innovations Theory."
- Pirnejad, H., Z. Niazkhani, H. Sijs, M. Berg, and R. Ball. "Impact of a Computerized Physician Order Entry System on Nurse–Physician Collaboration in the Medication Process."
- Niazkhani, Z. "Evaluating the Impact of CPOE Systems on Medical Workflow: A Mixed Method Study."
 Unertl, K. M., K. B. Johnson, and N. M. Lorenzi. "Health Information Exchange Technology on the Front Lines of Healthcare: Workflow Factors and Patterns of Use."
- Lines of Healthcare: Workflow Factors and Patterns of Use." 52. Holden, R. J. "Physicians' Beliefs about Using EMR and CPOE: In Pursuit of a Contextualized
- Understanding of Health IT Use Behavior."
 Kazemi, A. R., U. G. Fors, S. Tofighi, M. Tessma, and J. Ellenius. "Physician Order Entry or Nurse Order Entry? Comparison of Two Implementation Strategies for a Computerized Order Entry System Aimed at Reducing Dosing Medication Errors." *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 12, no. 1 (2010): e5.
- Pham, J. C., J. L. Story, R. W. Hicks, A. D. Shore, L. L. Morlock, D. S. Cheung, G. D. Kelen, and P. J. Pronovost. "National Study on the Frequency, Types, Causes, and Consequences of Voluntarily Reported Emergency Department Medication Errors." *Journal of Emergency Medicine* 40, no. 5 (2011): 485–92.
- Avansino, J., and M. G. Leu. "Effects of CPOE on Provider Cognitive Workload: A Randomized Crossover Trial." *Pediatrics* 130 (2012): e547.
- Holden, R. J. "Physicians' Beliefs about Using EMR and CPOE: In Pursuit of a Contextualized Understanding of Health IT Use Behavior."
- Al-Dorzi, H. M., H. M. Tamim, A. Cherfan, M. A. Hassan, S. Taher, and Y. M. Arabi. "Impact of Computerized Physician Order Entry (CPOE) System on the Outcome of Critically III Adult Patients: A Before-After Study."
- Radley, D. C., M. R. Wasserman, L. E. W. Olsho, S. J. Shoemaker, M. D. Sprance, and B. Bradshaw. "Reduction in Medication Errors in Hospitals Due to Adoption of Computerized Provider Order Entry Systems." *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 20, no. 3 (2013): 470–76.

Printer friendly version of this article.

Haleh Ayatollahi, MSc, PhD; Masoud Roozbehi, MSc; and Hamid Haghani, MSc. "Physicians' and Nurses' Opinions about the Impact of a Computerized Provider Order Entry System on Their Workflow." *Perspectives in Health Information Management* (Fall 2015): 1-16.

TAGS » clinical staff, computerized provider order entry system, workflow POSTED IN » HIM Operations

Tweet 0	Dıfklyhv			
2	Fall 2015	Spring 2014	Winter 2014	Fall 2013
	Summer 2013	Spring 2013	Winter 2013	Winter 2011
	Fall 2011	Summer 2011	Spring 2011	Spring 2012
	Winter 2012	Fall 2012	Summer 2015	International 2015
	Spring 2015	Winter 2015	Fall 2014	Summer 2014
	2010 Archives	2009 Archives	Conference Proceedings	White Papers
	Volume 1–2004	Volume 2–2005	Volume 3–2006	Volume 4–2007
	Volume 5–2008	Volume 6–2009	Summer 2012	

© 2015 AHIMA Foundation. All rights reserved.

You must be logged in to post a comment.