

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Giménez Papiol, G., Roque, A., & Estévez, A. (2017). Variations in Bacterial Community of Rearing Water and Gut of Common Dentex, Dentex dentex (Linnaeus 1758), Larvae Using Three Microalgae Management Approaches. Journal Of The World Aquaculture Society, 49(5), 953-963. doi:10.1111/jwas.12437, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12437. This article may be used for noncommercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions

1	Variations In Bacterial Community Of Rearing Water And Gut Of Common			
2	Dentex, Dentex dentex Linnaeus 1758, Larvae Using Three Microalgae			
3	Management Approaches			
4				
5	Gemma Giménez Papiol ^{1, 2*} , Ana Roque ² , Alicia Estévez ²			
6	¹ Laboratory of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Environmental Analysis			
7	and Management Group, Chemical Engineering Department, Universitat Rovira			
8	i Virgili, Països Catalans 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain.			
9	² Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA), Ctra. Poble Nou Km.			
10	5.5, 43540 Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Tarragona, Spain			
11				
12	Phone: +34 977 55 85 46			
13	*corresponding author: gemma.gimenez@fundacio.urv.cat			

15 Running title: Bacterial community in common dentex larvae rearing

- 16
- 17

Abstract

18 Microorganisms present in the rearing water colonize the gut of first 19 feeding larvae and represent the first barrier against opportunistic 20 pathogens. The aim of the experiments presented herein was to standardize 21 a protocol for the management of rearing water and microalgae suitable for 22 the larval rearing of common dentex. In Experiment 1, bacteria-algae interactions were tested using a monospecific microalgal community, 23 24 "Tetraselmis chuii", suitable for nutritional experiments and with known 25 antibacterial activity. In Experiment 2, the evolution of the bacterial 26 community and larval performance (growth and survival) were monitored daily, in three conditions: 1) "Mature water"; T. chuii was added 5 days 27 28 before the rearing of common dentex larvae, 2) "Green water"; T. chuii was 29 added 1 day before, and 3) "Clear water"; no T. chuii addition. The results 30 show the influence of the presence of T. chuii on the evolution of the 31 bacterial communities, both in terms of bacterial density and morphology, and indicate "Green water" is the most suitable water treatment for 32 33 management of larval rearing for common dentex.

34

35 Keywords

36 common dentex larvae, intensive rearing, Microalgae-bacteria interactions,37 rearing water

- 39 Abbreviations
- 40 CFU: Colony Forming Units
- 41 DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
- 42 dph: days post-hatching
- 43 DW: dry weight
- 44 ind: individuals
- 45 MA: Marine Agar
- 46 TCBS: Thiosulfate Citrate Bile Salts Sucrose
- 47

48 Common dentex larvae, with other marine fish larvae as 49 (Chantanachookhin et al. 1991), develop immune organs during metamorphosis, 50 or onwards (Santamaría 2001). Nevertheless, the specific immune system in fish 51 larvae is usually not active until some time after the formation of the thalamus, 52 the thymus and the spleen (Manning and Tattner 1985; Magnadottir et al. 2005). 53 Microorganisms present in the rearing water colonize the gut of larvae at first 54 feeding and represent the first barrier against opportunistic pathogens. Therefore, the composition of the microbial community of the rearing water affects the 55 56 composition of the gut microbiota, although some differences are detected 57 depending on fish species (Cahill 1990). An adequate microbial control during the 58 egg stage and early development can improve larval survival, growth, and fish 59 quality (Douillet and Pickering 1999).

Interactions between bacteria and microalgae are complex, multiple, and have a significant impact on aquaculture production. Microalgae are able to produce growth promoters and inhibitors, besides several chemical clues, that affect the population density and composition of their microscopic counterpart. It is hypothesized that an adequate selection of a microalgae-bacteria consortium would improve aquaculture productivity, efficiency and sustainability (Natrah et al 2014).

Interactions between larvae and bacteria are complex, species-specific,
and not fully understood (Olafsen 2001). Negative interactions, i.e. pathologies,
have been the subject of most studies. In the last decades, studies on positive
interactions, i. e. probiotics, have become more common (Gómez-Gil et al. 2000;
Gatesoupe 2002). Vadstein et al. (1993) and Skjermo and Vadstein (1999)

Page 4 of 35

suggest a bulk of strategies to control the microbial community and its interactions with larvae based mainly on three principles: 1) non-selective reduction of bacteria, 2) selective enhancement of bacteria, and 3) improvement of larval resistance. Enhancement of bacteria can also be made non-selectively by means of the "mature water" technique, which relies on phytoplankton-bacteria interactions (Skjermo et al. 1997).

78 Strict pathogens have not been identified and/or associated to high larval 79 mortality episodes in common dentex (Company et al. 1999; Crespo et al. 2001), 80 but well known opportunistic pathogens, mainly from the "Vibrio" genera, are 81 present naturally in marine water, and their growth can be favoured by larval 82 culturing conditions (Bergh 1996). Growth of these opportunistic bacteria 83 coincides with the development of the fish immune system (Santamaría 2001), 84 making this a period of growth for common dentex larvae where they are more 85 vulnerable. In addition, they are very sensitive to the inherent stress of artificial 86 rearing conditions (Rigos et al. 1998).

87 The aim of the following experiments was to standardize a protocol for the 88 management of rearing water and microalgae suitable for common dentex larvae. 89 The mature water technique used in these studies is not as described by Skjermo 90 et al. (1997); instead, UV-filtered seawater with microalgae was maintained in 91 tanks some days before larval stocking in order to obtain microbially mature 92 rearing water with bacteria associated to microalgae culture stocks. "Tetraselmis 93 chuii" was chosen mainly due to its suitability for nutritional experimental 94 purposes and its known antibacterial activity against some species of Vibrio and 95 "Listonella" (Riquelme and Avendaño-Herrera 2003).

Materials and Methods

98

Experiment 1

99 The data gathered in Experiment 1 (Fig. 1) was used for the design of 100 Experiment 2. Six 500 L cylindroconical, black-bottomed tanks connected to the 101 same IRTAmar recirculation unit (Carbó et al. 2001) were used to check the 102 dynamics of bacterial and microalgae communities in the water during 7 days.

103 Four 35 L cylindrical PVC containers ("baskets") were immersed in each 104 holding tank, in order to mimic the experimental conditions of common dentex, 105 "Dentex dentex", larvae rearing. All the baskets had the bottom and three 10x10 106 cm lateral windows covered with a 150 μm diameter mesh, and were provided 107 with an air-lift system and aeration supply. All the tanks were filled with UV-filtered 108 seawater, and no water exchange was performed during the entire test. 109 Tetraselmis chuii (57 x 10³ cells/mL) was added to three of the tanks, the 110 remaining three were used as control tanks. Temperature, salinity, oxygen, and 111 pH were checked daily; nitrites and ammonia were checked on day 4. Irradiance 112 was evaluated at the beginning of the experiment, measured at the water surface 113 in the middle of the tank with a luxometer (Lutron LX-101 lux meter, Lutron 114 Electronics Enterprise Co. Ltd., Taiwan).

Fifty mL of water were taken periodically from the middle of the rearing tanks, at 18 cm under the water surface. Water samples for <u>T. chuii</u> density were taken once per day. Water samples for microbial analysis were taken twice per day.

119 <u>Tetraselmis</u> <u>chuii</u> density was determined with a coulter/particle counter 120 (Multisizer 3, Beckman, COULTER, Miami, Florida, US). Samples for microbial

analysis were fixed with 25% glutaraldehyde (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) 121 122 achieving 10% of the sample volume, and stored at 4°C until analysis. Five 123 hundred µL of 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, 124 Spain) were added to a subsample of 5 mL. After 10 min in darkness, the sample 125 was filtered through a 0.2 µm black polycarbonate filter (Nucleopore Track-Etch, 126 Whatman, Maidstone, England); the filter was put on a slide glass and kept at -127 20°C in darkness until analysis. Bacteria were counted using an epifluorescent 128 microscope (Leica Microsystems, GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) where filters were 129 exposed to 365 nm light in order to induce DAPI's fluorescence (Kepner and 130 Pratt, 1994).

131

Experiment 2

132 Experiment 2 lasted for 16 days (Fig. 1). The effect of three types of rearing 133 water on common dentex larval survival and growth were tested using six 500 L 134 cylindroconical, black-bottomed tanks connected to the same recirculation unit 135 (Carbó et al. 2001); two tanks per type of rearing water. Each tank contained 4 136 baskets as described in Experiment 1. The types of water were: 1) "Mature 137 water"; tanks were filled with UV-filtered seawater and T. chuii was added 5 days 138 before the rearing of 0 days post-hatching (dph) common dentex larvae, 2) 139 "Green water"; tanks were filled with UV-filtered seawater and T. chuii was added 140 1 day before the rearing of 0 dph common dentex larvae, and 3) "Clear water"; 141 two tanks were filled with UV-filtered seawater the same day of the rearing of 0 142 dph common dentex larvae, and no microalga was added. Once common dentex 143 larvae were stocked, routine management was followed, including rotifer addition 144 to the rearing tanks when larvae were 3 dph (experimental day 8), partial recirculation of water through the recirculation units when larvae were 3 dph
(experimental day 8, 30% tank volume renewed daily), and full recirculation of
water when larvae were 7 dph (experimental day 14, 100% tank volume renewed
daily).

Temperature, salinity, oxygen, and pH were checked daily. Nitrites and ammonia were checked on experimental days 8 and 14. Irradiance was evaluated at the beginning of the experiment, measured at the water surface in the middle of the tank, with a luxometer (Lutron LX-101 lux meter, Lutron Electronics Enterprise Co. Ltd., Taiwan). Every day, 50 mL of water were taken from the middle of the rearing tanks (n = 6) at 18 cm under the water surface for microbial analysis.

156 A single batch of floating eggs was incubated in a basket immersed in a 157 500 L black-bottomed tank, at 19 ± 1 °C, until larvae hatched 48 h later. A sub-158 sample of eggs was incubated in 96 well EIA plates at 19 ± 1 °C in darkness to 159 determine the batch quality, based on hatching rate and daily mortality (Giménez 160 et al. 2006a). Some freshly spawned eggs were directly plated onto Marine Agar 161 (MA) or saline Thiosulfate Citrate Bile Salts Sucrose (TCBS; Scharlau Chemie, 162 Barcelona, Spain) medium with a sterilised Pasteur pipette (Naess and Bergh 163 1994). The results were qualitative, of presence or absence of total heterotrophic 164 bacteria (growth in MA) or presumptive Vibrio spp. (growth in TCBS) on the 165 surface of the eggs.

Larvae at 0 dph were stocked at 40 larvae/L in each basket; larvae were
 fed twice per day 10 individuals (ind)/mL of enriched rotifer, "Brachionus

168 <u>rotundiformis</u>" (2h, 20°C seawater, 250 ind/mL, 0.1 gr/L of Easy Selco, INVE,
169 Belgium) from 3 dph until the end of the experiment (larvae of 11 dph).

170 At the end of the experiment, larvae were counted in order to determine 171 larval survival. At 0, 7 and 11 dph, 20 larvae per basket were sampled to obtain 172 dry weight (DW) data, and 20 additional larvae per tank (five per basket) were 173 sampled for quantification of gut bacteria. The protocol was a modification from 174 Muroga et al. (1987) and Bergh (1996): larvae's skin surface was sterilized with 175 0.05% iodine (Sigma Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) for 3 minutes and rinsed three times 176 with autoclaved seawater before pooling and homogenization in 10 mL of 177 autoclaved seawater, and follow with the plate count protocol.

178 Colony forming units (CFU) of total heterotrophs and presumptive Vibrio 179 spp. were determined by the plate count method: samples of water or 180 homogenates of larvae were serially diluted in autoclaved seawater and 100 µL 181 of each serial dilution were plated onto MA (for total heterotrophic bacteria), or 182 TCBS medium (for presumptive Vibrio spp.). Three plates per sample and dilution 183 were incubated for 24h at 25°C, and the number of bacterial colonies growing in 184 the plates (CFU) were counted. Counts between 30 and 300 CFU per plate were 185 used for final calculation of bacterial load in seawater (CFU/mL) or per larva 186 (CFU/ind) using the following formulae:

187 CFU/mL = Average of plate counts x DF

188 CFU/ind = ((Average of plate counts x DF) x V) / N

189 Where DF is the dilution factor, V is the volume where <u>D. dentex</u> larvae
190 were homogenized (10 mL) and N is the number of <u>D. dentex</u> larvae (20).

191 Results of larvae DW, bacterial load in seawater and bacterial load in 192 larvae gut were analysed by One-way analysis of variance (P < 0.05) and a post 193 hoc pair-wise multiple comparison of the mean using Tukey's test (P < 0.05, 194 StatgraphicsPlus 4.1, Microsoft Inc).

Results and Discussion

197 The bacteria-algae interactions are as complex, species-specific, and 198 partially understood, as the larvae-bacteria interactions (Olafsen 2001, Natrah et 199 al. 2014). In addition, all variations of these algae-bacteria-larvae interactions 200 occur at the same time and are interconnected.

Bacteria can be introduced to the rearing tanks through four main routes: 1)
water, 2) microalgae, 3) eggs / larvae surfaces and 4) live prey.

203 The bacterial community in the water has been monitored during the 204 present experiments. The flux of population composition of the microbiological 205 community was observed to be dynamic. In both experiments, physico-chemical 206 conditions did not differ between experimental groups (Table 1). In Experiment 1, 207 bacteria-algae interactions were tested using a monospecific microalgal 208 community; the only difference between experimental groups was the addition of 209 microalgae at the beginning of the experiment, but this difference dramatically 210 affected the evolution of the bacterial community (Figs. 2 and 3). Tetraselmis chuii densities were between 57 x 10^3 and 59 x 10^3 cells/mL during the experiment, 211 212 while bacterial densities in tanks with T. chuii were significantly higher than those 213 in tanks without microalgae, mainly from day 5 onwards (Fig. 2). Tetraselmis chuii 214 was added at densities used for routine rearing of common dentex larvae in IRTA 215 facilities; no fertilizer or nutrients were added to the rearing tanks, consequently, 216 T. chuii growth was not promoted. Bacteria in tanks with T. chuii can grow on the 217 organic matter produced by the microalgae, such as extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) known to be excreted by microalgae (Joyce and Utting 2015; 218 219 Natrah et al. 2014), but bacteria in tanks without T. chuii do not have this

additional source of nutrients. Results from DAPI staining showed two different morphologies: bacteria associated to microalgae were larger in size and usually found linked in chains, while bacteria in seawater without microalgae addition were smaller and appeared isolated (Fig. 3). These results suggest 5 days as the minimum time required for detecting changes in the bacterial community in the tanks with <u>T. chuii</u>, consequently, this time lapse was selected for the design of "mature" water in Experiment 2.

227 Curves plotted using data from total heterotrophic CFU/mL were similar 228 between water types used in Experiment 2, although more similar between the 229 water types with T. chuii ("mature" and "green" water, Figs. 4 and 5) than between 230 those and clear water (Fig. 6): bacterial load increased shortly after larvae and 231 rotifers were added to the rearing tanks and it decreased to similar levels after 232 100% water renewal started 9 days after the larval addition (on experimental day 233 14). Presumptive Vibrio spp. CFU/mL were not detectable until one day after the 234 addition of common dentex larvae to the tanks in any of the water types, 235 regardless of the confinement time of this water; afterwards, they followed a trend 236 similar to that obtained from total heterotrophic bacteria in each water type (Figs. 237 4, 5 and 6).

Total heterotrophic bacteria reached the maximum in "clear water" (2.5 x 10^5 CFU/mL) when common dentex larvae were 3 dph and rotifers were added to the tanks; in "mature" and "green" water, the maximum appeared when common dentex larvae were 5 dph, and reached 10 times lower densities (5.5 x 10^4 and 6.9 x 10^5 CFU/mL, respectively). Presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp. also reached their maximum when common dentex larvae were 5 dph in "mature" and "green" waters (experimental day 10; 2.5×10^4 and 1.7×10^4 CFU/mL, respectively), while in "clear" water there were two peaks, one when common dentex larvae were 4 dph (experimental day 9; 1.2×10^4 CFU/mL) and the second when they were 7 dph (experimental day 14; 6×10^3 CFU/mL), with lower presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp. CFU/mL than in "green" and "mature" water.

249 The increase of CFU/mL of total heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive 250 Vibrio spp. shortly after rotifer addition to the tanks were expected based on 251 previous results of bacterial load in live prey (Giménez et al. 2006b). The present 252 data suggest a buffer effect of T. chuii, and/or the bacterial community present in 253 their culture, on the proliferation of total heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive 254 Vibrio spp. Common aquatic opportunistic pathogens can be detected, such as 255 presumptive Vibrio spp., and are the target of disinfection protocols for finfish 256 larvae (Giménez et al. 2009) and live prey (Giménez et al. 2006b). A 257 management based on the use of microalgae, combined with water exchange, 258 can be more effective than disinfecting the tanks when larvae are already 259 stocked, mainly in the case of common dentex larvae, which are very sensitive to 260 disinfectants (Giménez et al. 2009) and stress (Rigos et al. 1998).

There was no bacterial growth on any of the eggs directly plated onto MA and TCBS; therefore, the surface of the eggs was considered virtually free of bacteria and their contribution to the increase of bacterial load in the rearing water is considered very low. Can et al. (2012) report the presence of total heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp. in common dentex eggs kept in tanks for 72h. It is possible that, despite the lack of detection of bacterial growth in the present study, once the larvae have hatched, the high concentration of nutrients 268 from the eggs promote the increase of fast growing bacteria such as presumptive 269 Vibrio spp. It could explain the increase in bacterial load in the rearing water when 270 larvae were added, especially presumptive Vibrio spp. Common dentex eggs do 271 not survive washing several times on a mesh, nor the standard iodine disinfection 272 (unpublished data) described for "Sparus aurata", "Dicentrarchus labrax" (Moretti 273 et al. 1999) or "Hippoglossus hippoglossus" eggs (Bergh and Jelmert 1996), and 274 are more sensitive to ozone treatment than Sparus aurata, Dicentrarchus labrax 275 and "Pagrus pagrus" (Can et al. 2012), probably due to their thinner chorion 276 (Iconomidou et al. 2000). Consequently, disinfection of common dentex eggs 277 does not seem necessary, based on the results of bacterial load and their 278 sensitivity to disinfection procedures.

279 The study of larvae-bacteria interactions was affected by the low quality of 280 the batch of eggs used in the experiment, which possibly affected negatively the 281 results in larval survival (Table 2). Mortality of 5 dph starved larvae kept in EIA 282 plates was high (89.1%), compared to the published average mortality rate of 283 29.2% and minimum mortality rate of 4.2% obtained during a spawning season 284 from the same broodstock (Giménez et al. 2006a). There were no significant 285 differences in larval survival, i.e. larvae were at similar stocking densities. They 286 also shared the same genetic background, and were fed the same live prey. 287 Larvae reared in "green" water were significantly bigger (38.8 \pm 11 μ g, *P* < 0.05) 288 than larvae reared in "mature" and "clear" water (Table 2). These data suggest 289 "green" water as the most suitable technique for experimental larval rearing of 290 common dentex, although the experiment ended when larvae were 11 dph, 291 before the formation of the immunological organs was completed (Santamaría 2001). The beneficial effects of controlling the microbial community in the rearing
water during early larval rearing will probably be more evident later in
development, during or after metamorphosis.

295 There can be a relationship between the trends of total heterotrophic CFU 296 per larvae and in the rearing water because the larvae gut is colonized by the 297 bacteria present in the rearing water during their early development (Bergh 1996). 298 There was no bacterial colonization in the gut of 0 dph common dentex larvae 299 (Table 2)utilizing the protocol for determining gut bacteria, adapted from Muroga 300 et al. (1987) and Bergh (1996). These results are in agreement with common 301 dentex larval development, because at 0 dph their gut is closed, neither the mouth 302 nor the anus are opened until 3 dph (Santamaría 2001). Between 7 and 11 dph, 303 a reduction of total heterotrophic CFU/ind was observed in larvae reared in 304 "green" water. Opposite results were observed in gut microbiota of larvae reared 305 in "clear" and "mature" water. When larvae were 7 dph (experimental day 12) 306 there was a higher density of total heterotrophic CFU/mL in "green" water than in 307 "mature" and "clear" water (Figs. 4, 5 and 6), when larvae were 11 dph 308 (experimental day 16) the concentrations of total heterotrophic CFU/mL were 309 similar in the three types of rearing water, lower than at experimental day 12 for 310 "green" water and higher for "mature" and "clear" water. Presumptive Vibrio spp. 311 CFU/ind were similar at 11 dph among all rearing water types, although at 7 dph 312 larvae reared in "mature" water had more presumptive Vibrio spp. CFU/ind than 313 larvae reared in "green" or "clear" water. The hypothesis of gut colonization, 314 suitable for results on total heterotrophic bacteria, is not suitable for presumptive

315 <u>Vibrio</u> spp. because there is no relationship with the results of presumptive <u>Vibrio</u>
316 spp. in the rearing tanks (Figs. 4, 5 and 6)

317 Total heterotrophic CFU/ind and presumptive Vibrio spp. CFU/ind, 318 obtained in the present experiment, are within the range found by Muroga et al. 319 (1987) for Pagrus major and "Acanthopagrus schlegeli" at similar degree days 320 but much lower than those found in "Scophthalmus maximus" (Nicolas et al. 1989; Salvesen et al. 1999; Makridis et al. 2000) and in "Paralichthys dentatus" (Eddy 321 322 and Jones 2002). Comparisons with published results (Table 3) must take into 323 account that studies on the effects of microbiological environment on larval 324 performance have been mainly carried out with cold water species. These 325 species are cultured at a lower water temperature and undergo a longer larval 326 development than common dentex larvae.

Conclusions

Addition of microalgae to the rearing tanks, and the duration of their confinement before the onset of larvae rearing, affect the composition and dynamics of the microbial communities in the rearing water. The addition of larvae and rotifers resulted in an increase in the microbial load of the rearing water, both total heterotrophs and presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp., but the presence of <u>T. chuii</u> seems to buffer the bacteria proliferation.

Disinfection of eggs and live prey before their addition to the rearing tanks might be good practices for the reduction of bacterial load in the rearing water, but egg disinfection is not suitable for common dentex given their sensitivity to disinfectants, and not relevant taking into account the low bacterial load on their egg surface.

340 Results on larval growth suggest "green" water as the most suitable341 technique for the experimental design and for rearing of common dentex.

342

Acknowledgments

Funding provided by the Spanish Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agraria y
Alimentaria (INIA) for GGP fellowship, and by a project from Junta Nacional
Asesora de Cultivos Marinos (JACUMAR). We wish to thank M. Monllaó and J.
Canoura (Centre d'Aqüicultura IRTA) for their help and advise in larval rearing,
and K. B. Andree for final review of the manuscript.

350	Literature Cited
351	Bergh, O. and A. Jelmert. 1996. Iodophor disinfection of eggs of Atlantic Halibut.
352	Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 8: 135 – 145.
353	Bergh, O. 1996. Ecological relations between bacteria and early life stages of
354	fish, with special emphasis on the Atlantic halibut, <u>Hippoglossus</u>
355	hippoglossus in aquaculture. PhD Thesis. Department of Microbiology,
356	University of Norway, Bergen (Norway). 100 pages.
357	Cahill, M. M. 1990. Bacterial flora of fishes: a review. Microbial Ecology 19: 21 -
358	41.
359	Can, E., Karacalar, U., Saka, S., Firat, K. 2012. Ozone disinfection of eggs from
360	gilthead seabream <u>Sparus</u> <u>aurata</u> , Sea bass <u>Dicentrarchus</u> <u>labrax</u> , red
361	porgy, and common dentex Dentex dentex. Journal of Aquatic Animal
362	Health 24 (2): 129 – 133. DOI: 10.1080/08997659.2012.675925
363	Carbó, R., Estévez, A., Furones, M. D. 2001. Intelligent and multifunctional
364	recirculation system. Its application in research at CA – IRTA. EAS Special
365	Publication, 32: 171 – 172.
366	Chantanachookhin, C., Seikai, T., Tanaka, M. 1991. Comparative study of the
367	ontogeny of the lymphoid organs in three species of marine fish.
368	Aquaculture 99: 143 – 155.
369	Company, R., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Pujalte, M. J., Garay, E., Alvarez-Pellitero,
370	P., Pérez-Sánchez, J. 1999. Bacterial and parasitic pathogens in cultured
371	common dentex, <u>Dentex dentex</u> L. Journal of Fish Diseases 22: 299 – 309.

- 372 Crespo, S., Martín de Mateo, M., Santamaría, C.A., Sala, R., Grau, A., Pastor,
- E. 2001. Histopathological observations during larval rearing of common
 dentex <u>Dentex dentex</u> L. (Sparidae). Aquaculture, 192: 121 132.
- 375 Douillet, P. A. and P. L. Pickering. 1999. Seawater treatment for larval culture
 376 of the fish <u>Sciaenops ocellatus</u> Linnaeus (red drum). Aquaculture 170: 113
 377 126.
- Eddy, S. D. and S. H. Jones. 2002. Microbiology of summer flounder
 Paralichthys dentatus fingerling production at a marine fish hatchery.
 Aquaculture 211: 9 28.
- 381 Gatesoupe, F. J. 2002. Probiotic and formaldehyde treatments of <u>Artemia</u> nauplii
 382 as food for larval pollack, <u>Pollachius</u> pollachius. Aquaculture 212: 347 –
 383 360.
- Giménez, G., Estévez, A., Lahnsteiner, F., Zecevic, B., Bell, J. G.,
 Henderson, R. j., Piñera, J. A., Sánchez-Prado, J. A. 2006a. Egg quality
 criteria in common dentex (Dentex dentex). Aquaculture 260: 232 243.
- Giménez, G., Padrós, F., Roque, A., Estévez, A., Furones, D. 2006b. Bacterial
 load reduction of live prey for fish larval feeding using Ox-Aquaculture.
- 389 Aquaculture Research 37: 1130 1139.
- Giménez-Papiol, G., Padrós, F., Roque, A., Estévez, A., Furones, D. 2009.
 Effects of a peroxide-based comercial product on bacterial load of larval
 rearing water and on larval survival of two species of Sparidae under
 intensive culture: preliminary study. Aquaculture Research 40: 504 508.

394	Gómez-Gil, B., Roque, A., Turnbull, J. F. 2000. The use and selection of
395	probiotic bacteria for use in the culture of larval aquatic organisms.
396	Aquaculture 191: 259 – 270.

Iconomidou, V. A., Chryssikos, D. G., Gionis, V. Pavlidis, M. A., Paipetis, A,
 Hamodrakas, S. J. 2000. Secondary structure of chorion proteins of the
 teleostean fish <u>Dentex dentex</u> by ATR FT-IR and FT-Raman spectroscopy.
 Journal of Structural Biology, 132: 112 – 122.

- Joyce, A. and S. Utting. 2015. The role of exopolymers in hatcheries: an
 overlooked factor in hatchery hygiene and feed quality. Aquaculture 446:
 122 131.
- 404 Kepner, R. L and J. R. Pratt. 1994. Use of fluorochromes for direct enumeration
 405 of total bacteria in environmental samples: past and present.
 406 Microbiological Reviews 58 (4): 603 615.
- 407 Magnadottir, B., Lange, S., Gudmundsdottir, S., Bøgwald, J, Dalmo, R. A.
 408 2005. Ontogeny of humoral immune parameters in fish. Fish and Shellfish
 409 Immunology 19: 429 439.
- Makridis, P., Fjellheim, A. J., Skjermo, J., Vadstein, O. 2000. Colonization of
 the gut in first feeding turbot by bacterial strains added to the water or
 bioencapsulated in rotifers. Aquaculture International 8: 367 380.
- 413 Manning, M. J. and M. F. Tattner. 1985. Fish Immunology. Academic Press Inc.,
 414 London, 374 pages.

- 415 Moretti, A., Pedini, M., Cittolin, G. Guidastri, R. 1999. Manual on Hatchery
 416 Production of Seabass and Gilthead Seabream. Food and Agriculture
 417 Organization of the United Nations, Vol. 1.
- 418 Muroga, K., Higashi, M., Keitoku, H. 1987. The isolation of intestinal microflora
 419 of farmed red seabream (<u>Pagrus major</u>) and black seabream
 420 (<u>Acanthopagrus schlegeli</u>) at larval and juvenile stages. Aquaculture 65:
 421 79 88.
- Naess, T. and O. Bergh. 1994. Calanoid copepod resting eggs can be surface
 disinfected. Aquacultural Engineering 13: 1 9.
- Natrah, F. M., Bossier, P.; Sorgeloos, P., Yusoff, F. Md., Defoirdt, T. 2014.
 Significance of microalgal-bacterial interactions for aquaculture. Reviews
 in Aquaculture 6: 48 61.
- Nicolas, J. L., Robic, E., Ansquer, D. 1989. Bacterial flora associated with a
 trophic chain consisting of microalgae, rotifers and turbot larvae: influence
 of bacteria on larval survival. Aquaculture 83: 237 248.
- 430 Olafsen, J. A. 2001. Interactions between fish larvae and bacteria in marine
 431 aquaculture. Aquaculture 200: 223 247.
- Rigos, G., Grigorakis, K., Nengas, I. Christophilogiannis, M., Yiadnisi, M.,
 Kout-Somidou, A., Andriopoulou, A, Alexis, M. 1998. Stress-related
 pathology seems a significant obstacle for the intensive farming of
 common dentex, <u>Dentex dentex</u> (Linnaeus, 1758). Bulletin of the
 European Association of Fish Pathology 18: 15 18.

437 Riquelme, C. E., Avendaño-Herrera, R. E. 2003. Interacción bacteria-microalga
438 en el ambiente marino y uso potencial en acuicultura. Revista Chilena de
439 Historia Natural 76: 725 – 736.

Salvesen, I., Skjermo, J., Vadstein, O. 1999. Growth of turbot (<u>Scophthalmus</u>
<u>maximus</u> L.) during first feeding in relation to the proportion of r/*K*strategists in the bacterial community of the rearing water. Aquaculture
175: 337 – 350.

444 Santamaría, C. A. 2001. Desarrollo de la larva de dentón, <u>Dentex dentex</u>
445 (Linnaeus, 1758): Estudio cuantitativo del crecimiento, aspectos
446 histológicos y organogénesis. PhD thesis. Departament de Biologia
447 Animal, de Biologia Vegetal i d'Ecologia. Universitat Autònoma de
448 Barcelona. Bellaterra, Barcelona, 261 pages.

Skjermo, J., Salvesen, I., Oie, G. Olsen, Y, Vadstein, O. 1997. Microbially
matured water: a technique for selection of a non-oportunistic bacterial
flora in water that may improve performance of marine larvae. Aquaculture
International 5: 13 – 28.

453 Skjermo, J., Vadstein, O. 1999. Techniques for microbial control in the intensive
454 rearing of marine larvae. Aquaculture 177: 333 – 343.

Vadstein, O., Oie, G., Olsen, Y. Salvesen, I., Skjermo, J. Skjak-Braek, G.
1993. A strategy to obtain microbial control during larval development of
marine fish. In: Reinertsen, Dahle, Jørgensen, Tvinnereim (Eds.). Fish
Farming Technology. Balkema, Rotterdam: 69 – 75.

Verner-Jeffreys, D. W., Shields, R. J., Bricknell, I. R., Birkbeck, T. H. 2003. Changes in the gut-associated microflora during the development of Atlantic halibut (<u>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</u> L.) larvae in three British hatcheries. Aquaculture 219: 21 – 42.

464	
-----	--

- 465 Table 1. Rearing conditions maintained in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2.
- 466 Table 2. Egg quality data, survival, DW, and bacterial load results of larvae reared
- 467 in the different rearing water types of Experiment 2. a, b and ab superscripts
- 468 denote significant differences (P < 0.05). *counts lower than 30 CFU per plate.
- 469 Table 3. Summary of bibliographical data of gut-associated bacterial flora hosted
- 470 by fish larvae of different species. U = unspecified bactreria; H = total
- 471 heterotrophic bacteria; V = presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Set up of Experiments 1 and 2. Black and white bars are the time scale of experiment, in days. Colour of the cells indicate the status of tanks: white = empty tank; dark grey = tank with water, no water exchange; grey = tank with water, 30% water exchange per day; light grey = tank with water, 100% water exchange per day. Samplings: * = microbiological sample; T = <u>T. chuii</u> sample; X = checking of temperature, salinity, oxygen, and pH; L = <u>D. dentex</u> larvae sample; N = checking of nitrites and ammonia.

481 Figure 2. Bacteria density (cells/mL) obtained in Experiment 1, counted at the 482 microscope using DAPI staining. a and b superscripts denote significant 483 differences (P < 0.05) between types of rearing water at the same sampling time. 484 Figure 3. Images showing bacterial communities in Experiment 1 at the beginning 485 and the end of the experiment in each type of rearing water. Bacteria are stained 486 using DAPI (cocci and rods). Images A and B: bacteria communities in the tanks 487 with (A) and without (B) T. chuii at the beginning of the experiment, time 0h. 488 Images C and D: bacteria communities in the tanks with (C) and without (D) T. 489 chuii at the end of the experiment, time 146h.

490 Figure 4. Evolution of Heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp.
491 densities (CFU/mL) in "mature water" from Experiment 2.

492 Figure 5. Evolution of Heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp.
493 densities (CFU/mL) in "green water" from Experiment 2.

494 Figure 6. Evolution of Heterotrophic bacteria and presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp.
495 densities (CFU/mL) in "clear water" from Experiment 2.

496 TABLE 1.

497

	Experiment 1		Experiment 2		
	Control	With <u>T. chuii</u>	Clear water	Green water	Mature water
Initial <u>T. chuii</u> density (cells/mL)	0	57,425 ± 454	0	55,508 ± 378	56,251 ± 851
Temperature (ºC, mean ± SD)	17.7 ± 0.5	17.8 ± 0.6	18.8 ± 0.5	18.5 ± 0.0	18.3 ± 0.1
Salinity (g/L, mean \pm SD)	34.3 ± 0.1	34.2 ± 0.1	34.8 ± 0.2	34.9 ± 0.1	35.0 ± 0.1
Oxygen (mg/L, mean \pm SD)	8.7 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.2	9.1 ± 0.4	9.0 ± 0.3	9.5 ± 0.4
pH (mean ± SD)	8.3 ± 0.1	8.3 ± 0.1	7.9 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 0.0	8.2 ± 0.0
Nitrites (mg/L, maximum value)	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.04
Ammonia (mg/L, maximum value)	0	0.06	0	0.02	0.06
Photoperiod (hours light:hours darkness)				16:8	
Irradiance (µmol/m ² s)				3.7	

499 TABLE 2.

	Clear water	Green water	Mature water
Hatching rate (EIA plates results, %)		94.8	
Mortality of starved 5 dph larvae (EIA plate results, %)		89.1	
Survival at 11 dph (%, mean \pm SD)	2.8 ± 1.7	2.9 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 0.6
Initial DW (μ g, mean ± SD)		29.2 ± 1.5	
7 dph DW (µg, mean \pm SD)	19.3 ± 1.6^{b}	22.2 ± 5^{a}	21.8 ± 4.1^{a}
11 dph DW (μg, mean ± SD)	31.1 ± 10.5 ^b	38.8 ± 11 ^a	33.1 ± 7.3^{ab}
Total heterotrophic CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 0 dph	0	0	0
Total heterotrophic CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 7 dph	77 ± 8	301 ± 134	250 ± 143
Total heterotrophic CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 11 dph	563 ± 105	132 ± 10	634 ± 133
Presumptive Vibrio spp.CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 0 dph	0	0	0
Presumptive Vibrio spp. CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 6 dph	*	*	167 ± 60
Presumptive <u>Vibrio</u> spp. CFU/ind (mean \pm SD) at 11 dph	42 ± 22	30 ± 15	42 ± 21

502 TABLE 3.

Species	Larval age (dph)	Water temperature (°C)	Day degrees	Bacterial density (CFU/ind)	Reference
Pagrus major	4	18.4	92	55 (U)	Muroga et al. 1987
	18	20.6	380.4	16000 (U)	
Acanthopagrus schlegeli	4	19.2	96	1.3 (U)	Muroga et al. 1987
	18	20.0	456	4600 (U)	
Scophthalmus maximus	4	not available	not available	12000 (H)	Nicolas et al. 1989
				820 (V)	
				18000 (H)	
	6			1400 (V)	
Scophthalmus maximus	1	15	29	2500 – 21000 (U)	Salvesen et al. 1999
	5	18	98	1900 – 31000 (U)	
	12		224	53200 - 868000 (U)	
Scophthalmus maximus	1	16	30	74000 – 34000 (U)	Makridis et al. 2000
	2	18	48	*Bioencapsulation	
	3		66		
	6		120		
	9		174		
	12		228		
Paralichthys dentatus	1 – 90	not available	-	10 ³ - 10 ⁴ (U)	Eddy and Jones 2002
<u>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</u>	5	not available	-	<5 (U)	Verner-Jeffreys et al. 2003
Dentex dentex	0	19	19	0 (H)	Present experiments
				0 (V)	

6	133	77 – 301 (H)
		167 (V)
11	228	132 – 634 (H)
		30 – 42 (V)







508 FIGURE 2.



510 FIGURE 3.

511



512



- O- Presumptive Vibrio spp.



517 FIGURE 5.

- O- Presumptive Vibrio spp.



520 FIGURE 6.



