

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Environmental Science and Pollution Research. The final authenticated version is available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3614-6</u>

Click here to view linked References

1	1	Multibiomarker biomonitoring approach using three bivalve species in the Ebre Delta
1 2 3 4 5	2	(Catalonia, Spain)
	3	Sara Dallarés ^a , Noelia Carrasco ^b , Diana Álvarez-Muñoz ^c , Maria Rambla-Alegre ^b ,
6 7 8	4	Montserrat Solé ^{a,*}
9 10	5	
11 12 13	6	^a Institut of Marine Sciences (ICM-CSIC), Pg. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37–49, 08003
14 15	7	Barcelona, Spain
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	8	^b Institute of Research and Technology Food and Agriculture (IRTA), Ctra. Poble Nou,
	9	km 5.5, 43540 Sant Carles de la Ràpita, Tarragona, Spain
	10	^c Water and Soil Quality Research Group (IDAEA-CSIC), Department of Environmental
	11	Chemistry, C/Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
26 27	12	
28 29 30	13	*Corresponding author: E-mail address: msole@icm.csic.es Tel: +34 932309500
31 32	14	
33 34 35	15	
36 37		
38 39		
40 41 42		
42 43		
44		
46 47		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53 54		
54		
56 57		
58		
59 60		
61		
62 63		1
64 65		
0.0		

16 Abstract

Bivalves have proved to be useful bioindicators for environmental pollution. In the present study, mussels (Mytilus galloprovincials), cockles (Cerastoderma edule) and razor shells (Solen marginatus) were collected in the Ebre Delta, an extensive area devoted to rice farming and affected by pesticide pollution, from April to July, the heaviest rice-field treatment period. Possible effects of pollution were assessed through biochemical markers (carboxylesterase (CE), antioxidant and neurotoxicity-related enzymes and lipid peroxidation levels). Data on environmental variables, bivalve reproductive condition and presence of organic pollutants, marine phycotoxins, pathogens or histopathological conditions in bivalve's tissues were also evaluated. Although the bioaccumulated pesticides did not explain the patterns observed for biochemical responses, the obtained results point to an effect of environmental pesticide pollution on enzymatic markers, with a prominent contribution of CE to such changes. Mussels and razor shells provided a more sensitive biochemical response to pollution than cockles. Environmental variables, bivalves reproductive condition and marine phycotoxins did not seem to have a relevant effect on the biomarkers assessed. **Keywords:** mussel, cockle, razor shell, biomarkers, contaminants, pesticides, histology, phycotoxins

1. Introduction

Estuaries are semi-enclosed coastal areas characterized by high biomass, biodiversity and primary production, which favour the proliferation of aquatic organisms but are also highly exposed to anthropogenic impacts. Since these regions are often devoted to agriculture, pesticides derived from this activity are an important source of pollution that can threat water quality (Mañosa et al. 2001; Ochoa et al. 2013; Rodrigues et al. 2018).

Since concentrations of toxic agents in environmental samples do not fully inform on their biological effects in organisms, environmental chemical analyses must be completed with the use of biomarkers defined as sub-individual responses such as molecular, biochemical and physiological responses that occur in exposed organisms and that allow identifying the effects of toxic agents in natural populations. In this sense, bivalves play a prominent role as bioindicators due to their filter-feeding behavior, widespread distribution and easy sampling and have been widely used for ecotoxicological purposes (Farcy et al. 2013; Rodrigues et al. 2018). Alterations in the activity levels of enzymes involved xenobiotic metabolism, neurotoxicity and oxidative stress are biochemical markers known to respond to environmental stress related to chemical exposure, and their combined use is strongly recommended (Capó et al. 2015; Mejdoub et al. 2017; Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez 2018). Carboxylesterases (CEs; EC 3.1.1.1) are hydrolases of wide specificity that hydrolyze esterified xenobiotics to the corresponding alcohol and carboxylic acid (Wheelock and Nakagawa 2010) and are inhibited by several different compounds, such as the oxon-forms of organophosphate (OP) insecticides, carbamates or sulfonamides (Wheelock et al. 2005). The enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE; EC 3.1.1.7) catalyzes the hydrolysis of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine at cholinergic nerve terminals and is

63	also inhibited by OP and carbamate pesticides (Kristoff et al. 2010). Exposure to
64	organic toxicants enhances the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can
65	react with important macromolecules, such as DNA, proteins and lipids. Antioxidant
66	enzymes, such as glutathione peroxidase (GPX; EC 1.11.1.9), glutathione reductase
67	(GR; EC 1.8.1.10) and glutathione-S-transferases (GST; EC 4.4.1.20) carry out specific
68	reactions preventing the adverse effects of ROS (Regoli and Giuliani 2014). These
69	enzymes interact in a complex network, and, alongside with lipid peroxidation (LPO),
70	are frequently used as indicators of oxidative stress induced by chemical pollution in
71	bivalves (Matozzo et al. 2018a). A wide range of other effect markers, such as
72	histological alterations, microbiological measurements or pathogenic conditions have
73	been commonly used to reveal signs of altered health status in bivalves in response to
74	pollutants (Farcy et al. 2013; Izagirre et al. 2014; Matozzo et al. 2018b and references
75	therein). Multidisciplinary studies that combine different sets of biomarkers that
76	respond to both natural and anthropogenic stressors (e.g. contaminants) provide a
77	broader perspective and a better understanding of the observed dynamics than more
78	restricted approaches, and are highly recommended in ecotoxicological studies
79	(Cajaraville et al. 2000; Galloway et al. 2004; Matozzo et al. 2018b).
80	Many biomarkers are also known to vary according to environmental (e.g. temperature)
81	and/or biological factors (e.g. nutritive status or reproductive conditions) in bivalves
82	(Moore et al. 2007; Farcy et al. 2013; González-Fernández et al. 2015a, b). The
83	physiologic alterations derived from these factors can cause misinterpretation of
84	biomarker responses, and, consequently, potentially confounding factors should be
85	included in bivalve biomonitoring studies.
86	Mussels have been extensively used in ecotoxicological field studies as bioindicators
87	(Farcy et al. 2013; Mundhe et al. 2016; Mejdoub et al. 2017; Matozzo et al. 2018b; Solé

and Sanchez-Hernandez 2018). In contrast, knowledge on the potential use as
bioindicator species of cockles and razor shells in the field is more limited, although a
few studies have addressed the use of their enzymatic responses as biomarkers (Jebali et
al. 2011; Nilin et al. 2012; Velez et al. 2016; Ferrante et al. 2014; Nunes and Resende
2017; Pearce and Mann 2006).

From this perspective, the present study aims to provide a multi-biomarker approach with the use of the Mediterranean mussel, the common cockle and the grooved razor shell in an estuarine area devoted to mariculture, under the impact of pesticides and other anthropogenic chemicals, in order to find the most suitable bioindicator. Changes in the levels of different biochemical markers (activity of CE, antioxidant and neurotoxicity-related enzymes, and LPO levels) were assessed under the hypothesis of a response mainly to pesticides derived from agricultural activity, but also to other organic contaminants potentially present in the study area. Additional factors that may influence biomarker responses, such as environmental variables, bivalve reproductive condition and phycotoxins occurrence, or act as markers themselves, such as the presence of pathogens and/or histopathological conditions were also taken into account.

2. Materials and methods

106 2.1. Sampling area and specimen collection

107 The Ebre Delta is an extensive estuarine area (320 km²) located at the mouth of the Ebre
108 River (Catalonia, NE Spain). It constitutes one of the most important aquatic
109 environments in the western Mediterranean and is the most important bivalve and rice
110 producer in the region (Guallar et al. 2016; Mañosa et al., 2001).
111 Mussels (Mutilus gallenneuinaiglis) pocklas (Canastadarma adula) and ranger shells.

111 Mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*), cockles (*Cerastoderma edule*) and razor shells

112 (*Solen marginatus*) (approximate shell size range (cm): 4.5–7.0, 1.9–3.3 and 8.3–11.5,

respectively) were collected in April, May, June and July of 2017 from the Alfacs Bay of the Ebre Delta. Mussels were collected at 0.5 m depth from suspended cords located at the central part of the northern margin of the bay (40° 37.24'N, 0° 39.22'E), and cockles and razor shells were sampled by traditional techniques from the northern (40° 37.58'N, 0° 39.66'E) and southern (40°36.17'N, 0°40.18'E) margins of the bay at subtidal areas located at 0.5 and 1 m depth, respectively (Fig. 1). The number of specimens collected of each species and for each analysis is specified in the corresponding following sections.

122 2.2. Environmental variables

Records for temperature (in °C), salinity (in S_p), dissolved O_2 concentration (in mg/L) and chlorophyll-a concentration (in μ g/L) were taken at the northern margin of the Alfacs bay for mussels and cockles (40° 37.33'N, 0° 39.49'E) and at the southern margin for razor shells (40° 36.45'N, 0° 39.37'E) using an YSI multiparameter sounder (Fig. 1). Each parameter was measured in triplicate at a weekly frequency: on the sampling day and the two previous weeks. Data provided in Table 1 are the result of the mean of these three measurements.

131 2.3. Analysis of organic contaminants

132 A multi-residue analytical method (Álvarez-Muñoz et al. en prep) was used for the

133 extraction and quantification of a mixture of contaminants including pesticides,

134 endocrine disruptors (EDCs) and pharmaceuticals (PhACs) in bivalves' soft tissues. A

pool containing between 5 and 15 specimens was prepared for each species (i.e. *M*.

136 galloprovincialis, C. edule and S. marginatus) and sampling period (i.e. April, May,

137 June and July). Each pool was homogenized using a grinder and freeze-dried prior to

138	the analysis. Approximately 1 g of sample was extracted per duplicate for each pool
139	using QuEChERS (Bekolut® Citrat-Kit-01) with acetonitrile in aqueous condition
140	followed by the addition of 4 g MgSO ₄ , 1 g NaCl, 1 g NaCitrate and 0.5 g disodium
141	citrate sesquihydrate. Purification was carried out by means of dispersive Solid Phase
142	Extraction (dSPE) using a sorbent mixture of 400 mg PSA and 400 mg C18 (Bekolut®
143	PSA-Kit-04A). Samples were passed through a phospholipid removal plate (OstroTM
144	Pass-through sample preparation, from Waters) prior injection in Ultra-High
145	Performance Liquid Chromatography-High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (UHPLC-
146	HRMS) Orbitrap Q Exactive TM (Thermo Fischer Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) for the
147	identification and quantification of the target compounds.
148	
149	
150	2.4. Biochemical determinations
151	A total of 40 specimens of <i>M. galloprovincialis</i> , 80 of <i>C. edule</i> and 40 of <i>S. marginatus</i>
152	(mean shell length 5.54 \pm 0.54, 2.72 \pm 0.36 and 10.27 \pm 0.9 cm, respectively) were used
153	for biochemical determinations. Ten individual samples were considered for each
154	sampled month in the case of mussels and razor shells. In the case of cockles, due to
155	their small size, two specimens were included in each sample.
156	In the case of mussels, haemolymph was extracted from the adduct muscle immediately
157	upon sampling, using a 1 mL syringe with a 0.21 gauge needle. Haemolymph was
158	frozen at -80 °C and centrifuged (5,000 g × 5 min at 4 °C) just before analyses to obtain
159	a cell-free supernatant. Mussel gills and digestive glands of the three species were
160	carefully dissected avoiding contamination by other tissues and immediately frozen in
161	liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further analyses.

As demonstrated by Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez (2018), the digestive gland is the most suitable tissue for measuring changes in CE activity in mussels. Therefore, and considering the special focus on CEs in the present study, this tissue was chosen as target for comparing biochemical results among the three species.

167 2.4.1. Tissue preparation

Tissues were homogenised (1:5, w/v) in ice-cold homogenisation buffer using a
Polytron® blender. In the case of gills, homogenization was carried out in a phosphate
buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) containing 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA),
while for digestive glands a phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4) containing 150 mM
KCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1mM dithiothreitol (DTT) was used. The resulting homogenates
were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, and the post-mitochondrial supernatants
were used for the enzymatic determinations (S10).

176 2.4.2. Enzymatic assays

Enzymatic activities quantified in digestive glands of the three species and in mussel gills were: carboxylesterase (CE) (using the commercial colorimetric substrates p-nitrophenyl acetate (pNPA), p-nitrophenyl butyrate (pNPB), 1-naphthyl acetate (1-NA) and 1-napthyl butyrate (1-NB)), glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and glutathione S-transferase (GST). The use of an array of substrates for assessing CE activity has been recommended, since a variety of CE isozymes, with dissimilar ability to hydrolyse different substrates can be found in the same tissue (Wheelock et al. 2005). CE activity was also assayed in mussel haemolymph using the substrate 1-NA, the only one for which CE shows high activity in this tissue (Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez 2018). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity was also determined

in mussel haemolymph and gills, where it shows higher activity than in the digestive
gland. Lipid peroxidation (LPO) levels, as indicator of oxidative stress damage, were
also quantified in mussel digestive gland and gills.

190 CE and AChE activity determinations were carried out as described by Solé et al.

191 (2018a). Sample volumes were: 25 μ l for CE (further S10 dilutions for substrates pNPA

and pNPB were 1:2 for mussel gills, 1:10 for mussel and cockle digestive gland and

193 1:20 for razor shell digestive gland; for substrates 1-NA and 1-NB, 1:5 for mussel gills,

194 1:20 for mussel and cockle digestive gland, 1:40 for razor shell digestive gland and

undiluted haemolymph for mussel and 25 μ l of undiluted sample for AChE

196 determinations. Regarding oxidative-stress-related determinations, GR, GPX and GST

197 activities were determined as described in Koenig and Solé (2012). Sample volumes

198 were: 20 μ l for GR (except for 10 μ l in razor shell digestive gland), 10 μ l for GPX

(undiluted) and 25 μ l for GST (1:10 in all cases). LPO assay in mussel gills and

200 digestive gland was performed as described in Dallarés et al. (2016).

Assay conditions were kept similar and only the sample volume adjusted in order to

202 maintain linearity in the enzymatic measurements. All assays were carried out in

triplicate at 25°C in 96-wellplates using a TECAN Infinite M200 microplate reader and

blanks (sample free) accompanied the sample batches to correct for non-enzymatic

205 reactivity of the substrates. Enzymatic activities are expressed as nmol/min/mg protein.

206 LPO levels are expressed in nmol MDA (malondialdehyde)/g wet weight. Total protein

207 content was determined by the Bradford method (Bradford 1976) adapted to microplate

and using the Bradford Bio-Rad Protein Assay reagent and bovine serum albumin

209 (BSA) as standard (0.05–1 mg/mL). Absorbance was read at 595 nm.

211 2.5. Histological assessment

A total of 40 specimens of *M. galloprovincialis*, 40 *C. edule* and 40 S. marginatus (ten specimens for each sampled month, mean shell length 5.18 ± 0.62 , 2.23 ± 0.21 and 9.51 \pm 2.27 cm, respectively) were used for histological purposes. After dissection, a 5 mm section of each individual containing all main organs was fixed in Davidson's fixative (composition: 10% glycerine, 20% formalin, 30% ethanol (95%), 30% seawater and 10% glacial acetic acid) during 24–48h for further histological processing. The rest of the body was conserved in 96% ethanol for further potential molecular assays. After fixation in Davidson's solution, tissues were embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 3 µm, mounted on slides, stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin and examined under an Optech Biostar B5ICS light microscope. The presence of pathogens, the condition of the different tissues and gonadal development were also evaluated.

225 2.6. Microbiological and marine phycotoxins analysis

226 A minimum of 10 specimens of *M. galloprovincialis*, *C. edule* and *S. marginatus* were

used for these analyses in order to obtain 100 g of homogenate tissue per species.

The presence of Escherichia coli was assessed in bivalves' tissues following the EU reference method BMS is ISO 16449-3. The procedure was based on the most probable number (MPN) method divided in two stages. The first stage consists of a five-tube three dilution with mineral modified glutamate broth (MMGB) inoculated with dilutions of shellfish homogenates (incubation $37^{\circ}C$ +/- $1^{\circ}C$ for 24h +/- 2h). The presence of E. coli was confirmed by subculturing acid producing and color change tubes in tryptone bile x-glucuronide medium (TBX) agar. The presence of blue-green colonies is positive for *E. coli* positive β -glucuronidase (incubation 44°C +/- 1°C for 21h +/- 3h).

Lipophilic marine toxins were analysed by LC-MS/MS analysis according to the EU-Harmonised Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) procedure (ver. 5, 2015). Samples were analyzed under alkaline elution conditions (Garcia-Altares et al. 2013). Briefly, an Agilent 1200 LC (Agilent Inc. Palo Alto, CA) coupled to a 3200 QTRAP mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Concord, ON, Canada) was used. Analytical separation was performed on a X-Bridge C8 column $(2.1 \times 50 \text{ mm}, 3.5 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ protected with a pre-column $(2.1 \times 10 \text{ mm}, 3.5 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ from Waters (Milford, MA, USA). A binary gradient was programmed with water (mobile phase A) and acetonitrile/water (mobile phase B) both containing 6.7 mM of ammonium hydroxide. Amnesic shellfish poisoning toxins (ASP) were analysed by LC-UV analysis according to the EU-Harmonised SOP procedure for determination of domoic acid in shellfish by RP-HPLC using UV detection (ver. 1, 2008). For LC-UV analyses, an Alliance LC (Waters) was used. Analytical separation was performed on a X-Bridge C18 column $(4.6 \times 250 \text{ mm}, 4.6 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ protected with a pre-column $(2.1 \times 10 \text{ mm}, 3.5 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ from Waters (Milford, MA, USA). A mobile phase of acetonitrile/water (15:85) containing 0.1% formic acid was used. All runs were carried out at 40 °C using a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The injection volume was 20 µL and the autosampler was set at 4 °C. Detection was performed at 242 nm. Paralytic shellfish poisoning toxins (PSP) were determined by the Mouse Bioassay (MBA) method according to the EURLMB SOP ver.1 (2004). Briefly, acetone extraction of the whole flesh or the hepatopancreas of molluscs was followed by evaporation and resuspension of the residue in a 1% solution of Tween 60 surfactant. One milliliter aliquots of the extract were ip injected into three male mice and observed for 24h. The death of two of the three mice within 24h was interpreted as a positive

result. On the contrary, if none or only one of the mice died within this time, the testwas considered to be negative.

2.7. Data analyses

General Liner Models (GLM) were applied to test the null hypothesis of no differences among the four sampling months for each enzymatic activity quantified, setting the variable month as factor, and post-hoc S-N-K analyses. Prior to these analyses, data of some enzymes were logarithmically or square-root-transformed to meet both normality and homoscedasticity.

Permutation multivariate analyses (PERMANOVA) were also performed considering individual samples as replicates to test the null hypothesis of no differences in the enzymatic pool composition among the four sampling months for the three bivalve species addressed. The analyses were carried out using PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER v6 (Anderson et al. 2008) on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix derived from untransformed data. Permutation p-values were obtained under unrestricted permutation of raw data (9,999 permutations). A similarity percentages analysis (SIMPER) was carried out using individual samples as replicates to identify the enzymatic activities that contributed most to the similarity/dissimilarity of individual samples within/among the samples of the four months sampled. Moreover, with the aim of visualizing patterns of dissimilarity in the enzymatic pool of the three bivalve species across the four months sampled, factorial correspondence analyses (FCA) were performed using STATISTICA v7 (StatSoft, Inc. 2004) on data matrices containing enzymatic data of each species. Hierarchical cluster analyses (Bray-Curtis similarity, average grouping method) were simultaneously performed based on the coordinates of the first two axes obtained in the corresponding FCA to identify month-related groups clearly. The

previous multivariate analyses were not applied to enzymatic data of mussel
haemolymph, due to the low number of biochemical markers assessed. In order to make
the enzymatic pool activity patterns of the three bivalve species comparable, data of
LPO levels in mussels were omitted. Finally, Spearman rank correlations were used to
test the null hypothesis of no association among CE substrates and antioxidant enzymes
within the tissues of the three bivalve species.

3. Results

3.1 Environmental variables

Most environmental variables measured presented temporal variation throughout the sampling period (Table 1). Water temperature markedly increased from April to July in the northern and southern margins, this trend being more marked in the latter locality. While salinity increased by nearly two points in the northern margin, it decreased over one point on the southern locality. Oxygen concentration did not show a clear trend in either locality. Finally, while chlorophyll-a concentration showed a marked decrease in the northern margin, it remained fairly stable in the southern one.

3.2. Contaminants concentration

Mean concentration, expressed in ng/g dry weight (dw) \pm relative standard deviation (n=2 replicates), of contaminants quantified in bivalves' soft tissues across the four sampled months are shown in Table 2. The following target chemicals were below the method detection limit in all cases and are thus not shown in the table: the organitrogen pesticides metolachlor, simazine and deethylatrazine, the organophosphorus pesticide malathion, the herbicides bentazone, MCPA and propanil, the insecticides acetamiprid and imidacloprid, the endocrine disruptors bisphenol A, triclosan and triclocarban and

the pharmaceuticals sulfamethozaxole, venlafaxine and cabamazepine. Three of the quantified chemicals were pesticides, namely atrazine, thiabendazole and diazinon, with levels ranging from below method quantification limit (MQL) to 14 ng/g dw of atrazine in razor shells from June (supplementary material, Table 1). The other five contaminants detected were compounds considered endocrine disruptors such as caffeine, methylparaben, ethylparaben, propylparaben and 1-H-benzotriazole. The levels found ranged from below MQL up to 51 ng/g dw of caffeine measured in razor shells in July (supplementary material, Table 1). Actually, caffeine was the contaminant presenting the highest concentrations in the three bivalves species analyzed. The mean levels of the majority of the contaminants measured were quite stable across months, only atrazine measured in razor shell showed an increasing trend from April to July (Table 2).

3.3. Biochemical determinations

Activity levels of the different enzymes assayed in the three bivalve species, as well as
LPO levels, are illustrated in Figs. 2–5.

In the case of mussels digestive gland, activity of CE progressively decreased with time, showing significantly lower activity values in July samples than in the other three months for the four substrates analysed (GLM, $F_{(3, 36)}$ =14.858, p<0.001 for 1-NA; $F_{(3, 36)}$ =14.858, p<0.001 for 1-NA; ₃₆₎=6.587, p=0.001 for 1-NB; F_(3, 36)=12.424, p<0.001 for pNPA and F_(3, 36)=3.939, p=0.016 for pNPB) (Figs. 2A–D). The same trend was observed in cockles (GLM, $F_{(3)}$ ₃₆₎=7.710, p<0.001 for 1-NA; F_(3, 36)=5.763, p=0.003) for pNPA and F_(3, 36)=12.923, p<0.001 for pNPB), with the exception of 1-NB, which displayed the opposite pattern, although without showing significant differences among months (Figs. 2A–D). A similar decreasing trend was found in razor shells, although significantly lower

1	335	activities were observed in April for the substrates 1-NA and pNPA (GLM, $F_{(3)}$
L 2 3	336	₃₆₎ =4.190, p=0.012 and F _(3, 36) =4.709, p=0.007, respectively) (Figs 2A–D).
1 5	337	A higher variability was observed among the responses of antioxidant enzymes: GR
5 7 3	338	activity values significantly decreased from April (mussels) and May (razor shells) to
))	339	July samplings (GLM, F _(3, 36) =11.944, p<0.001 and F _(3, 35) =6.577, p=0.001,
L 2 3	340	respectively), while a progressive increase in the activity of GPX and GST from April
4 5	341	to July was observed in razor shells (GLM, $F_{(3, 36)}=10.305$, p<0.001 and $F_{(3, 35)}=6.139$,
5 7 2	342	p=0.002, respectively) (Figs. 3A–C). No clear trends were detected for these enzymes in
)	343	the case of cockles (GLM, p>0.05).
L 2	344	Regarding enzymatic determinations in mussel gills, significant decreasing trends
5 1 5	345	through time were found for CE activity with the substrates 1-NA, pNPA and pNPB
5 7	346	(GLM, $F_{(3, 36)}$ =10.122, p<0.001; $F_{(3, 36)}$ =11.128, p<0.001 and $F_{(3, 36)}$ =4.359, p=0.01,
3 9)	347	respectively) (Figs. 4A–C), as also for GPX and GST activities (GLM, $F_{(3, 36)}=3.005$,
L 2	348	p=0.043 and $F_{(3, 36)}$ =11.663, p<0.001, respectively) (Figs. 5B, C). In the case of the
3 1 5	349	enzymes tested in mussel haemolymph (i.e. CE with substrate 1-NA and AChE), no
5 7	350	significant differences among months were detected in any case (GLM, p>0.05).
3 9 1	351	The permutational multivariate analyses (PERMANOVA) applied to individual samples
2	352	showed a significant effect of the factor month in the enzymatic pool of the digestive
3 1 -	353	gland of the three bivalve species (Pseudo- $F_{(3, 36)} = 6.3068$, $p_{(perm)} = 0.0003$ for mussels,
5 7	354	Pseudo- $F_{(3, 36)} = 5.0565$, $p_{(perm)} = 0.0003$ for cockles and Pseudo- $F_{(3, 35)} = 4.2551$, $p_{(perm)} = 0.0003$
3	355	= 0.0004 for razor shells), and of the gills of mussels (Pseudo- $F_{(3, 36)}$ = 9.2979, $p_{(perm)}$ =
) L 2	356	0.0001). Figure 6 shows the resulting dendrograms of the cluster analyses
3 1	357	simultaneously performed to the FCAs, which show the patterns of similarity among the
5 5 7	358	enzymatic pools of the four sampled months in the three bivalve species. In digestive
, 3 9	359	gland of mussels and razor shells (Fig. 6A, D), April, May and June clustered together
) L 2 3 4		15

while July remained as a separate clade. For mussel gills (Fig. 6B), the two earliest sampling were separated from the two latter ones. In the case of cockles (Fig. 6C), enzymatic pools of April and June were most related while May and July formed independent clades. The similarity percentages analysis (SIMPER) allowed the identification, for each bivalve species, of the enzymatic activities that contributed most to the similarity/dissimilarity of the enzymatic pools within/between sampled months. These were: CE with all substrates assayed (i.e. pNPA, pNPB, 1-NA and 1-NB) and GST in the case of mussels, cockles and razor shells digestive gland and CE with substrates 1-NB and pNPB, GST and GR for mussel gills (Supplementary material, Table 2). Significant positive correlations were found among all CE substrates in mussel digestive gland ($r_s=0.700-0.915$, p<0.01) and gills ($r_s=0.663-0.865$, p<0.01) and in razor shells digestive gland ($r_s=0.460-0.832$, p<0.01). In the case of cockles digestive gland, significant positive associations were detected among the CE substrates pNPA, pNPB and 1-NA ($r_s=0.755-0.818$, p<0.01). In the case of antioxidant enzymes, significant positive correlations among GR, GPX and GST were detected in mussels digestive gland (r_s=0.377-0.503, p<0.05), between GR and GPX and between GST and GPX in mussel gills ($r_s=0.339-0.439$, p<0.05), between GST and GR in cockles digestive gland $(r_s=0.534, p<0.05)$ and between GST and GPX in razor shells digestive gland $(r_s=0.733, p<0.05)$ p<0.05).

3.4. Histology

382 The histological study revealed that the three bivalve species were in maturation and383 spawning reproductive phases during the sampling period. In the case of mussels, late

spawning and gonadal reabsorption phases could be also observed in May and June,while non observable gonads were found in July.

The most relevant histopathological observations in mussels were unspecific lesions of hypertrophic nuclei with peripheral chromatin in the digestive gland in May, June and July. Moreover, one sample was infected with moderate levels of the protozoan *Marteilia* sp. In the case of cockles, *Rickettsia*-like organisms (RLOs) were observed in gills in all samplings (Fig. 7), as well as ciliates of the genus *Trichodina* in the stomach and intestinal lumens in June. In regard to razor shells, RLOs were also detected in all samplings, in gills and the digestive system.

3.5. Microbiological and phycotoxins analysis

Low levels of *E. coli* were found in tissues of mussels and razor shells (20–45 and 18–
78 MPN/100 g, respectively) through the different samplings. In contrast, much higher
numbers of these bacteria were detected in cockles, increasing in number from 790
MPN/100 g in April, to 9200 MPN/100 g in July.

399 Levels of hydrophilic toxins (comprising PSP, paralytic shellfish poisoning and ASP,

400 amnesic shellfish poisoning toxins) were low and did not reach the maximum permitted

401 levels (MPLs) in edible shellfish tissues for human consumption according to the

402 European Union (Regulation EC 853/2004: 20 mg domoic acid/kg (ASP) and 800 μg eq

403 STX/kg (PSP)). Regarding lipophilic toxins, only two phycotoxins were detected:

404 traces of yessotoxin in mussel samples in May, and pinnatoxin-G at low concentrations

in mussel samples of the four months (range $3.2-6 \mu g/kg$) and in razor shell samples in

406 late June (2.5 µg/kg). Pinnatoxin-G levels in mussel samples were higher in late June

407 than in the other three sampling dates. Levels detected for lipophilic toxins did not reach

408 the MPLs according to the EU (Regulation EC 853/2004 and Regulation EC 786/2013:

160 µg/kg okadaic acid (OA), equivalents for OA, dinophysistoxins (DTXs) and pectenotoxins (PTXs) together; 3.75 mg/kg for yessotoxins (YTXs) and 160 µg/kg for azaspiracids (AZAs)). Other lipophilic marine toxins are not yet regulated in the European Union, like cyclic imines mainly comprising spirolides (SPXs), gymnodimines (GYMs) and pinnatoxins (PnTXs). **4.** Discussion 4.1. Biochemical responses and relationship to local contaminants A general and coordinated change of enzymatic markers throughout the sampling period was revealed after multivariate analysis performed on enzymatic data by the PERMANOVA tests and cluster analyses, and after GLMs for independent biochemical markers. The results of the SIMPER analyses highlighted the particular contribution of CE and, to a lesser extent, also GST to this response in the digestive gland of the three studied bivalves and also in mussel gills. However, the bioaccumulated pesticides apparently did not explain the patterns observed for the biochemical responses. Indeed, most of the herbicides and pesticides tested were not detected in any biological sample, and those that did, seemed to be below the threshold limit for altering the activity of the enzymes addressed in the present study, as deduced

from the lack of correspondence between their concentrations and the biochemical responses observed (Table 2). Previous studies on the same bay indicated that after the period of pesticide application in the rice fields of the Ebre Delta, which takes place from April to June (Terrado et al. 2007; Köck et al. 2010; Suárez-Serrano et al. 2010), the maximum levels of pesticides in its drainage channels and bays are attained during the spring and summer period (when this study takes place), soon after the field waters are discharged into the bays (Escartín and Porte 1997; Santos et al. 2000). It has already

been reported that the measurement of contaminants concentration in bivalves' tissues could lead to underestimation of the real pollution load in the surrounding waters (Lehotay et al. 1998; Köck et al. 2010). Indeed, these authors detected inexistent or very low levels of contaminants in ovster and mussel tissues despite that the concentrations of these same chemicals were high in water, which agrees with the low levels of atrazine, thiabendazole and diazinon in the present research. The possible effect of environmental contaminants in the studied organisms, even though the former are non-detectable in their tissues, makes biomarkers highly recommended tools usually incorporated in ecotoxicological studies (Farcy et al. 2013; Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez 2018).

The presence of other anthropogenic chemicals accumulated in biota was low (few ng/g dw) except for caffeine, with levels much higher than previously reported in the study area and other locations (Dodder et al. 2014; Álvarez-Muñoz et al. 2015). No clear temporal trends were observed for the organic contaminants measured except for caffeine in mussel and razor shells, which increased in the summer months, and for atrazine in razor shells, which increased from April to June. Caffeine has been reported to produce alterations on metabolic activity and oxidative stress biomarkes in bivalves (Cruz et al. 2016). Therefore, this compound might explain part of the patterns observed for biochemical markers (see below), although as far as we are concerned, no reference concentrations have been recorded in bivalves' tissues; all studies addressing effects of caffeine on invertebrates are based on water concentrations. In line with this influx of urban residues during the summer period, it stands out a higher presence of the fecal bacteria E. coli detected in cockle samples collected in July, which are in all likelihood explained by the raw sewage discharges of a nearby town during the touristic summer season.

Regarding CE activities, a former study conducted with mussels in the same area and over a longer period of time reported an inhibition of CE activity (1-NA as substrate) in gills and digestive gland after the arrival of pollutants from rice fields to 8 the Alfacs Bay in early summer (Escartín and Porte 1997), similarly to the pattern 10 observed in the present study. Moreover, Solé et al. (2018a) characterized baseline enzymatic activities for CEs in the digestive glands of the same three bivalve species addressed herein and collected from the same sites, and after *in vitro* exposure to the OP metabolite chlorpyrifos oxon, also concluded that CEs are potentially good indicators of pesticide pollution in bivalves. With regard to the tissues addressed, Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez (2018) and Escartín and Porte (1997) reported higher inhibition of CE in mussel gills than in digestive gland when exposed to pollutants in *in vitro* conditions, which waited to be confirmed under real field situations. However, a similar inhibitory trend on CE activity was observed in the two selected tissues in mussels from April-May to July for the substrates 1-NA and pNPA, and higher inhibition in digestive gland than in gills was detected for the longer-chain carbon esters pNPB and 1-NB (Fig. 4A-D), non-confirming these previous expectations, and rather suggesting higher detoxification activity in the former tissue under field conditions. It could be hypothesized that the complexity of field conditions, where mixtures of chemicals occur, could yield a different pattern of CE activity in the selected tissues, with the digestive gland showing a greater participation in detoxification processes than when faced with in vitro conditions. Solé and Sanchez-Hernandez (2018) suggested that the substrates pNPB and 1-NB were potentially more suitable for detecting inhibition of CE activity in the field in mussels than the substrates pNPA and 1-NA based on their lower IC50 when exposed *in vitro* to the OP pesticide dichlorvos, but also in response to other pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs). This is not corroborated by

present field results, since CE seemed more sensitive to inhibition from May to July when using the substrates 1-NA and pNPA both in gills and digestive gland. Although these and other authors (e.g. Otero and Kristoff 2016) have noted that the selection of appropriate substrates is species and tissue-specific, present results indicate that there might be additional variables influencing CE activity even within the same tissue and species. The inhibitory CE pattern observed in mussels was also apparent in C. edule and S. marginatus, although cockles showed an unexpected increase in activity with time when using the substrate 1-NB, which can explain the lack of correlation between this and the other three substrates. This result contrasts with the outcomes of the study by Solé et al. (2018a), in which all four substrates were significantly correlated in the three bivalves selected, including cockle. This result could point to a different contribution of CE isozymes in cockles compared to mussels and razor shells under conditions of pollution exposure. Razor shells demonstrated less sensitivity regarding the longer-chain carbon esters (i.e. pNPB and 1-NB), apparently due to a higher variability in the data (Fig. 2A–D). The observed substrate-specific variability in CE for the three bivalves addressed highlights the importance of using a battery of substrates for assessing the inhibition of this enzyme by pollutants.

The lack of effect on AChE activity in mussel gills and hemolymph supports former
observations in bivalves that suggested that CE is a more adequate indicator of OP
exposure (Galloway et al. 2002; Wheelock and Nakagawa 2010; Otero and Kristoff
2016; Sole et al 2018b).

The responses of the antioxidant enzymes assessed were not consistent across the three species addressed. For GPX and GST, clear activation patterns were observed in razor shells (Fig. 3B, C), which point to chemical stress by pollutants and the involvement of these enzymes in the associated detoxification processes. Conversely,

inhibitory patterns were observed for GR in mussel and razor shells digestive glands (Fig. 3A), as already reported by Mundhe et al. (2016) in the presence of the organophosphate pesticide monocrotophos. As regards tissue responsiveness in mussels, GR was inhibited in the summer months in gills and digestive gland (Fig. 5A), although in the former organ this inhibition was not significant (p>0.05) due to high variability. By contrast, GPX and GST were more responsive in gills than in digestive gland, although an inhibition in the activity was revealed in July for GPX and in June and July for GST (Figs. 5B, C). The lack of a clear antioxidant response in mussels was concordant with no clear increase of oxidative stress damage measured as enhanced LPO levels, and both suggest that the chemical threat, rather than acting over ROS production, could be more selective towards CE inhibition in this case. Antioxidant responses in bivalves are complex and controversial because their activation can take place at low oxidative-stress conditions, but depletion of antioxidant activities can occur in situations of severe oxidative stress, since antioxidant enzymes can be a target of ROS themselves (Regoli and Giuliani 2014).

525 4.2. Influence of temperature on biochemical markers

Temperature is considered one of the most important confounding factors in bivalve monitoring studies (Farcy et al. 2013), provided that it is known to increase metabolic rate and the production of ROS, to modify catalytic efficiency and influence phytoplankton abundance (Somero 1995; Pörtner 2002), among others. Very few studies have addressed CEs response to temperature variations in animals, and have vielded different conclusions: at higher temperatures Owusu et al. (1994) reported an increase in CE activity in aphids while Escartín and Porte (1997) found no significant change in mussels due to this factor. However, higher susceptibility of CE to pollutants

under increasing temperatures has been validated in aquatic species (Laetz et al. 2014; Freitas et al. 2017) and would suggest that the generalized inhibition on CE activity with time due to pesticide pollution might have been enhanced in the warmer months. Activity levels of AChE are known to increase with higher temperatures (Pfeifer et al. 2005), and an increase of its activity across the four samplings would thus be expected in the absence of a pollution effect. The opposite trends observed in the present study, similar to those by Escartín and Porte (1997) in the same area, support the hypothesis of an effect of pesticide pollution on bivalves. Higher temperatures have also been reported to significantly increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes, yielding an oxidative stress-like response in mussels (Hu et al. 2015), which could be explained either by an increased production of ROS or by alterations of enzymatic catalytic efficiency (Somero 1995; Almeida and Mascio 2011). In this respect, a generalized increase in the activity of antioxidant enzymes was not observed, for we believe that the influence of temperature in this case might have either been weak or masked by other processes of greater influence.

4.3. Influence of reproductive condition on biochemical markers

Another important confounding factor in the assessment of pollution effects in bivalves is the reproductive condition, which interferes with biomarker responses and is closely related to temperature and nutritive status (Farcy et al. 2013; González-Fernández et al. 2015a, b). Although no specific measurements of nutritive condition were performed in the present study, the low variability in chlorophyll a concentration across samplings suggests a fairly constant supply of food to the bivalves throughout the study. Reproduction represents a critical period with a major influence in gene expression, metabolism and immune function, among others (Farcy et al. 2013;

 González-Fernández et al. 2017). All these energetically demanding processes can result in poorer physiological condition, and make coping with stressful events difficult (Berthelin et al. 2000). This is why it is considered a major confounding factor for the interpretation of biomonitoring data, and assessing the effect of xenobiotics during the reproductive phase has been recommended (Farcy et al. 2013). Accordingly, the histological analysis revealed that bivalve populations were at the active reproductive stage during the sampling period. In the case of cockles and razor shells, the uniform reproductive condition across samplings suggests that observed changes in biomarkers should not be driven by reproduction events. In the case of mussels, in which final spawning and resting stages could be observed in June and July, alterations in biomarkers due to the reproductive condition could have occurred during these two months. However, decreasing trends in mussel CE and GR are concordant to those observed for cockles and/or razor shells, and no clear trends were observed for GPX and GST. We believe that reproduction effects on enzymatic activities in this case might have been weak and not clearly identified.

4.4. Histopathological analysis

Histological analysis of tissue damage was screened in all sampling groups (species and times) and no significant histological alterations could be associated to pesticide pollution. The frequent detection of RLOs in gills of cockles and razor shells could potentially be associated to some type of contamination. For example, *Rickettsia* infections of gut/digestive tubules of oysters have been found to have a significant correlation with nickel contamination on the American coast (Kim et al. 1998; Morley 2010), and have also been found to be significantly higher in deep-sea mussels exposed to petroleum seeps (Powell et al., 1999), although further studies are required to

demonstrate a direct effect to such exposure. The high prevalence and intensity reported for the ciliate *Trichodina* sp. in cockle gills in June is indicative of a great abundance of this ciliate in the environment, in some cases linked to environmental eutrophication (Palm and Dobberstein 1999). Bivalve gill ciliates have been found to be most common at heavily polluted sites on the northeast coast of America (Morley 2010). A unique sample of mussel was infected with *Marteilia* sp. (probably *M. refringens*), a pathogen of obligatory declaration according to the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE). This parasite has been historically detected in mussels and flat oysters in the Ebro Delta production areas. However, in this case, prevalence seems to be low in an optimal period for the parasite (Carrasco et al. 2008).

4.5. Phycotoxins analysis

Recently, marine phycotoxins have been proposed as an additional confounding factor in studies assessing effects of pollution (Farcy et al. 2013). However, they were found at very low concentrations in the present study, for it is unlikely that they have interfered with biomarkers response. Harmful hydrophilic toxins ASP and PSP did not seem to pose a threat to bivalve consumption during the period of study as they were all below the legislation EU threshold.

603 Conclusions

The consistent responses of CE across species and tissues (in mussels) with respect to AChE and antioxidant enzymes suggest that CE activity can be a more sensitive and robust biomarker when evaluating pesticide pollution in bivalves. Among the three bivalve species, mussels provided the most sensitive response regarding CE activity. With respect to oxidative stress, it was better reflected by razor shells

enzymatic responses. In turn, cockles seemed to provide the less sensitive response, both considering CE and antioxidant enzymes. This inter-species dependence of the responses of different enzymatic functional groups points to the use of more than one bioindicator as the best approach to ecotoxicological studies using bivalves. Furthermore, biochemical markers seemed to provide a much more robust and sensitive response than histological ones. None of the confounding factors potentially influencing biomarker responses seemed to be relevant enough to modulate the assessed enzymatic activities in the study area. **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Acknowledgements This work was financed by the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness project AIMCOST (ref CGL2016-76332-R MINECO/FEDER/UE). It was also partially supported by the projects XENOMETABOLOMIC (ref CTM2015-73179-JIN AEI/FEDER/UE) and EMERGER (ref E-RTA2015-00004-00-00 INIA). Authors acknowledge the Departament d'Agricultura, Ramaderia, Pesca i Alimentació (DARP) through the Monitoring Unit Program. We also appreciate the support of the CERCA program of the Generalitat de Catalunya. References Almeida EA, Mascio P (2011) Hypometabolism and antioxidative defense systems in marine invertebrates. Hypometab Strateg Surviv Vertebr Invertebr 661:39–

	634	
- 2 5	635	Álvarez-Muñoz D, Rodríguez-Mozaz S, Maulvault AL, Tediosi A, Fernández-Tejedor
5	636	M, Van den Heuvel F, Kotterman M, Marques A, Barceló D. (2015) Occurence of
) 7 }	637	pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in microalgaes, bivalves, and fish
)	638	from coastal areas in Europe. Environ Res 143:56-64
-	639	
, - -	640	Álvarez-Muñoz D, Rambla-Alegre M, Carrasco N, de Alda M L, Barceló D. Fast
, ,	641	analysis of relevant contaminants mixture in commercial shellfish, in prep.
))	642	
-	643	Anderson MJ, Gorley RN, Clarke KR (2008) PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to
5 	644	Software and Statistical Methods. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK
7	645	
;))	646	Berthelin C, Kellner K, Mathieu M (2000) Storage metabolism in the Pacific oyster
-	647	(Crassostrea gigas) in relation to summer mortalities and reproductive cycle (West
} 	648	Coast of France). Comp Biochem Physiol B: Biochem Mol Biol 125:359-369
, , ,	649	
}))	650	Cajaraville MP, Bebianno MJ, Blasco J, Porte C, Sarasquete C, Viarengo A (2000) The
-	651	use of biomarkers to assess the impact of pollution in coastal environments of the
; -	652	Iberian Peninsula: a practical approach. Sci Total Environ 247:295–311
) 	653	
}	654	Capó X, Tejada S, Box A, Deudero S, Sureda A (2015) Oxidative status assessment of
) - 2	655	the endemic bivalve Pinna nobilis affected by the oil spill from the sinking of the Don
; ;	656	Pedro. Mar Environ Res 110:19–24
	657	
3		
) -		
: ; :		27

Carrasco N, Arzul I, Berthe FCJ, Férnandez-Tejedor M, Durfort M, Furones MD (2008) Delta de l'Ebre is a natural bay model for Marteilia spp. (Paramyxean) dynamics and life-cycle studies. Dis Aquat Org 79:65–73 Cruz D, Almeida Â, Calisto V, Esteves VI, Schneider RJ, Wrona FJ, Soares AMVM, Figueira E, Freitas R (2016) Caffeine impacts in the clam *Ruditapes philippinarum*: Alterations on energy reserves, metabolic activity and oxidative stress biomarkes. Chemosphere 160:95–103 Dallarés S, Moyà-Alcover CM, Padrós F, Cartes JE, Solé M, Castañeda C, Carrassón M (2016) The parasite community of *Phycis blennoides* (Brünnich, 1768) from the Balearic Sea in relation to diet, biochemical markers, histopathology and environmental variables. Deep-Sea Res I 118:84-100 Dodder NG, Maruya KA, Lee Ferguson P, Grace R, Klosterhaus S, La Guardia MJ, Lauenstein GG, Ramirez J (2014) Occurrence of contaminants of emerging concern in mussels (Mytilus spp.) along the California coast and the influence of land use, storm water discharge, and treated waste water effluent. Mar Pollut Bull 81(2):340–346 Escartín E, Porte C (1997) The use of cholinesterase and carboxylesterase activities from *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in pollution monitoring. Environ Toxicol Chem 16(10):2090-2095 EURLMB, Interlaboratory Validation Study of the EU-Harmonised SOP-ASP-LC-UV, 2018 (version 1).

EURLMB, Interlaboratory Validation Study of the EU-Harmonised SOP-LIPO-LCMS/MS, 2011 (version 5).

Farcy E, Burgeot T, Haberkorn H, Auffret M, Lagadic L, Allenou J-P, Budzinski H,
Mazzella N, Pete R, Heydorff M, Menard D, Mondeguer F, Caquet T (2013) An
integrated environmental approach to investigate biomarker fluctuations in the blue
mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. in the Vilaine estuary, France. Environ Sci Pollut Res 20, 630–
650

693 Ferrante MC, Clausi MT, Naccari C, Fusco G, Raso GM, Santoro A, Meli R (2014)

694 Does the clam *Ensis siliqua* provide useful information about contamination y

695 polychlorinated biphenyls and organichlorine pesticides beyond that of mussel *Mytilus*

galloprovincialis? Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 92:636–641

Freitas JS, Felicio AA, Teresa FB, de Almeida EA (2017) Combined effects of

699 temperature and clomazone (Gamit (R)) on oxidative stress responses and B-esterase

700 activity of *Physalaemus nattereri* (Leiuperidae) and *Rhinella schneideri* (Bufonidae)

tadpoles. Chemosphere 185:548–562

Galloway TS, Millward N, Browne MA, Depledge MH (2002) Rapid assessment of
organophosphorous/carbamate exposure in the bivalve mollusc *Mytilus edulis* using
combined esterase activities as biomarkers. Aquat Toxicol 61:169-180.

707	García-Altares M, Diogène J, de la Iglesia, P (2013) The implementation of liquid
708	chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the official control of lipophilic toxins
709	in seafood: Single-laboratory validation under four chromatographic conditions. J
710	Chromatogr 1275:48–60
711	
712	González-Fernández C, Albentosa M, Campillo JA, Viñas L, Fumega J, Franco A,
713	Besada V, González-Quijano A, Bellas J (2015a) Influence of mussel biological
714	variability on pollution biomarkers. Environ Res 137:14-31
715	
716	González-Fernández C, Albentosa M, Campillo JA, Viñas L, Romero D, Franco A,
717	Bellas J (2015b) Effect of nutritive status on Mytilus galloprovincialis pollution
718	biomarkers: Implications for large-scale monitoring programs. Aquat Toxicol 167:90-
719	105
720	
721	González-Fernández C, Albentosa M, Sokolova I (2017) Interactive effects of nutrition,
722	reproductive state and pollution on molecular stress response of mussels, Mytilus
723	galloprovincialis Lamarck, 1819. Mar Env Res 131:103–115
724	
725	Guallar C, Delgado M, Diogène J, Fernández-Tejedor M (2016) Artificial neural
726	network approach to population dynamics of harmful algal blooms in Alfacs Bay (NW
727	Mediterranean): Case studies of Karlodinium and Pseudo-nitzschia. Ecol Model
728	338:37–50
729	

730	Hu M, Li L, Sui Y, Li J, Wang Y, Lu W, Dupont S (2015) Effect of pH and temperature
731	on antioxidant responses of the thick shell mussel Mytilus coruscus. Fish Shellfish
732	Immunol 46:573–583
733	
734	Izagirre U, Garmendia L, Soto M, Etxebarria N, Marigómez I (2014) Health status
735	assessment through an integrative biomarker approach in mussels of different ages with
736	a different history of exposure to the Prestige oil spill. Sci Tot Environ 493:65-78
737	
738	Jebali J, Ben-Khedher S, Kamel N, Ghedira J, Bouraoui Z, Boussetta H (2011)
739	Characterization and evaluation of cholinesterase activity in the cockle Cerastoderma
740	glaucum. Aquat Bioly 13:243-250
741	
742	Kim Y, Powell EN, Wade TL, Presley BJ, Sericano J (1998) Parasites of sentinel
743	bivalves in the NOAA status and trends program: distribution and relationship to
744	contaminant body burden. Mar Pollut Bull 37(1-2):45-55
745	
746	Köck M, Farré M, Martínez E, Gajda-Schrantz K, Ginebreda A, Navarro A, López de
747	Alda M, Barceló D (2010) Integrated ecotoxicological and chemical approach for the
748	assessment of pesticide pollution in the Ebro River delta (Spain). J Hydrol 383:73-82
749	
750	Koenig S, Solé M (2012) Natural variability of hepatic biomarkers in Mediterranean
751	deep-sea organisms. Mar Env Res 79:122–131
752	
	31
	• -

753	Kristoff G, Guerrero NRV, Cochon AC (2010) Inhibition of cholinesterases and
754	carboxylesterases of two invertebrate species, Biomphalaria glabrata and Lumbriculus
755	variegatus, by the carbamate pesticide carbaryl. Aquat Toxicol 96(2):115-123
756	
757	Laetz CA, Baldwin DH, Hebert VR, Stark JD, Scholz NL (2014) Elevated temperatures
758	increase the toxicity of pesticide mixtures to juvenile coho salmon. Aquat Toxicol
759	146:38–44
760	
761	Lehotay SJ, Harman-Fetcho JA, McConnell LL (1998) Agricultural pesticide
762	residues in oysters and water from two Chesapeake Bay tributaries. Mar Pollut
763	Bull 37:32–44
764	
765	Mañosa S, Mateo R, Guitart R (2001) A review of the effects of agricultural and
766	industrial contamination on the Ebro Delta biota and wildlife. Environ Monit Assess
767	71:187–205
768	
769	Matozzo V, Febrello J, Masiero L, Ferraccioli F, Finos L, Pastore P, Di Gangi IM,
770	Bogialli S (2018a) Ecotoxicological risk assessment for the herbicide glyphosate to non-
771	target aquatic species: A case study with the mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis. Environ
772	Pollut 233:623–632
773	
774	Matozzo V, Ercolini C, Serracca L, Battistini R, Rossini I, Granato G, Quaglieri E,
775	Perolo A, Finos L, Arcangeli G, Bertotto D, Radaelli G, Chollet B, Arzul I, Quaglio F
776	(2018b) Assessing the health status of farmed mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis)
777	through histological, microbiological and biomarker analyses. J Inv Pathol 153:165–179
	32

778	
779	Mejdoub Z, Fahde A, Loutfi M, Kabine M (2017) Oxidative stress responses of the
780	mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis exposed to emissary's pollution in coastal areas of
781	Casablanca. Ocean Coast Manag 136:95–103
782	
783	Moore MN, Viarengo A, Donkin P, Hawkins AJ (2007) Autophagic and lysosomal
784	reactions to stress in the hepatopancreas of blue mussels. Aquat Toxicol 84(1):80-91
785	
786	Morley NJ (2010) Interactive effects of infectious diseases and pollution in aquatic
787	molluscs. Toxicol 96:27–36
788	
789	Mundhe AY, Bhilwade H, Pandit SV (2016) Genotoxicity and oxidative stress as
790	biomarkers in fresh water mussel, Lamellidens marginalis (Lam.) exposed to
791	monocrotophos. Indian J Exp Biol 54:822-828
792	
793	Nilin J, Monteiro M, Domingues I, Loureiro S, Costa-Lotufo LV, Soares A (2012)
794	Bivalve Esterases as Biomarker: Identification and Characterization in European
795	Cockles (Cerastoderma edule). Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 88:707-711
796	
797	Nunes B, Resende ST (2017) Cholinesterase characterization of two autochthonous
798	species of Ria de Aveiro (Diopatra neapolitana and Solen marginatus) and comparison
799	of sensitivities towards a series of common contaminants. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24:
800	12155-12167

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	б	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	.7	
2	8	
2	9	
3	0	
3	Ţ	
3	2	
3	3	
3	4 Γ	
с 2	с С	
3	ט ד	
с 2	/ 0	
2	o a	
2 2	9 0	
1	1	
- - -	1 2	
т Д	2	
1	4	
4	5	
4	6	
4	7	
4	8	
4	9	
5	0	
5	1	
5	2	
5	3	
5	4	
5	5	
5	6	
5	7	
5	8	
5	9	
6	0	
6	1	
6	2	
6	3	
6	4	
6	5	

801	Ochoa V, Riva C, Faria M, Barata C (2013) Responses of B-esterase enzymes in oysters
802	(Crassostrea gigas) transplanted to pesticide contaminated bays form the Ebro Delta
803	(NE, Spain). Mar Pollut Bull 66:135–142
804	
805	Off J Eur Union L 226 (2004) 22. (Regulation (EC) No 853/2004)
806	
807	Off J Eur Union L 220 (2013) 14. (Regulation (EC) No 786/2013)
808	
809	Otero S, Kristoff G (2016) In vitro and in vivo studies of cholinesterases and
810	carboxylesterases in <i>Planorbarius corneus</i> exposed to a phosphorodithioate insecticide:
811	Finding the most sensitive combination of enzymes, substrates, tissues and recovery
812	capacity. Aquat Toxicol 180:186–195
813	
814	Owusu EO, Komi K, Horiike M, Hirano C (1994) Some properties of carboxylesterase
815	form Aphis gossypii Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae). Appl Entomol Zool 29(1):7-53
816	
817	Palm HW, Dobberstein RC (1999) Occurrence of trichodinid ciliates (Peritrichida:
818	Urceolariidae) in the Kiel Fjord, Baltic Sea, and its possible use of as a biological
819	indicator. Parasitol Res 85:726–732
820	
821	Pearce NJG, Mann VL (2006) Trace metal variations in the shells of Ensis siliqua
822	record pollution and environmental conditions in the sea to the west of mainland
823	Britain. Mar Pollut Bull 52:739-755

824	Pfeifer S, Schiedek D, Dippner JW (2005) Effect of temperature and salinity on
825	acetylcholinesterase activity, a common pollution biomarker, in Mytilus sp. from the
826	south-western Baltic Sea. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 320:93–103
827	
828	Pörtner, HO (2002) Climate variations and the physiological basis of temperature
829	dependent biogeography: systemic to molecular hierarchy of thermal tolerance in
830	animals. Comp Biochem Physiol A 132:739–761
831	
832	Powell EN, Barber RD, Kennicut II MC, Ford SE (1999) Influence of parasitism in
833	controlling the health reproduction and PAH body burden of petroleum seep mussels.
834	Deep-Sea Res Part I 46:2053–2078
835	
836	Regoli F, Giuliani ME (2014) Oxidative pathways of chemical toxicity and oxidative
837	stress biomarkers in marine organisms. Mar Environ Res 93:106–117
838	
839	Rodrigues ET, Alpendurada MF, Ramos F, Pardal MÂ (2018) Environmental and
840	human health risk indicators for agricultural pesticides in estuaries. Ecotoxicol Environ
841	Saf 150:224–231
842	
843	Santos TCR, Rocha JC, Barcelo D (2000) Determination of rice herbicides, their
844	transformation products and clofibric acid using on-line solid-phase extraction followed
845	by liquid chromatography with diode array and atmospheric pressure chemical
846	ionization mass spectrometric detection. J Chromatogr A 879(1):3-12
847	

848	Solé M, Sanchez-Hernandez JC (2018) Elucidating the importance of mussel
849	carboxylesterase activity as exposure biomarker of environmental contaminants of
850	current concern: An in vitro study. Ecol Indic 85:432-439
851	
852	Solé M, Rivera-Ingraham G, Freitas R (2018a) The use of carboxylesterases as
853	biomarkers of pesticide exposure in bivalves: A methodological approach. Comp
854	Biochem Physiol Part C: Toxicol Pharmacol 212:18–24
855	
856	Solé M, Bonsignore M, Rivera-Ingraham G, Freitas R (2018b) Exploring alternative
857	biomarkers of pesticide pollution in clams. Mar Pollut Bull 136:61-67.
858	
859	Somero GN (1995) Proteins and temperature. Annu Rev Physiol 57:43-68
860	
861	StatSoft, Inc (2004) STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 7
862	www.statsoft.com
863	
864	Suárez-Serrano A, Ibáñez C, Lacorte S, Barata C (2010) Ecotoxicological effects of rice
865	field waters on selected planktonic species: comparison between conventional and
866	organic farming. Ecotoxicol 19:1523–1535
867	
868	Terrado M, Kuster M, Raldúa D, Lopez de Alda M, Barceló D, Tauler R (2007) Use of
869	chemometric and geostatistical methods to evaluate pesticide pollution in the irrigation
870	and drainage channels of the Ebro river delta during the rice-growing season. Anal
871	Bioanal Chem 387:1479–1488
872	
	36

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	б
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	, 8
1	g
2	0
2	1
2	エ つ
2	⊿ ว
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
3	4
3	5
3	б
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	б
4	7
4	8
4	9
5	0
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	5
5	6
5	7
5	, 8
5	9
ر م	ר ח
6	1
6	+ 2
6	∠ 2
6	د ۵
υ	т

873	Velez C, Pires A, Sampaio L, Cardoso P, Moreira A, Leandro S, Figueira E, Soares
874	AMVM, Freitas R (2016) The use of Cerastoderma glaucum as a sentinel and
875	bioindicator species: Take-home message. Ecol Indic 62:228-241
876	
877	Wheelock CE, Shan G, Ottea J (2005) Overview of carboxylesterases and their role in
878	the metabolism of insecticides. J Pestic Sci 30(2):75-83
879	
880	Wheelock CE, Nakagawa Y (2010) Carboxylesterases – from function to the field: an
881	overview of carboxylesterase biochemistry, structure-activity relationship, and use in
882	environmental field monitoring. J Pestic Sci 35(3):215-217
883	

Table 1. Physico-chemical water parameters quantified in the two areas sampledthroughout the length of the study. T: temperature; S: salinity; O2: oxygenconcentration; Chla: Chlorophyll-a.

Area	Date	T (°C)	S (psu)	O ₂ (mg/L)	Chla (µg/L)
Northern margin (mussels	April	15.77	36.92	7.36	4.51
and cockles)	May	17.80	37.57	6.41	4.70
	June	21.60	38.22	5.88	2.98
	July	23.63	38.62	6.41	2.34
Southern margin (razor	April	16.57	36.57	7.21	2.48
shells)	May	18.77	36.60	5.73	2.27
	June	22.90	35.47	6.00	2.29
	July	27.47	35.53	5.84	2.75

Table 2. Mean concentration (ng/g dry weight) \pm relative standard deviation (RSD) (n=2 replicates) of the contaminants quantified in soft tissuesof mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*), cockles (*Cerastoderma edule*) and grooved razor shells (*Solen marginatus*) in the four sampling

performed in the Alfacs Bay, Ebre Delta. MDL: method detection limit; MQL: method quantification limit.

		Mytilus galloprovincialis			Cerastoderma edule				Solen marginatus				
Family	Compounds	April	May	June	July	April	May	June	July	April	May	June	July
Organitrogen pesticides	Atrazine	1.56 ± 0.32	1.29 ± 0.10	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>0.82 ± 0.08</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.04 ± 0.84</td><td>5.15 ± 1.02</td><td>13.63 ± 2.75</td><td>8.44 ± 0.23</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""><td>0.82 ± 0.08</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.04 ± 0.84</td><td>5.15 ± 1.02</td><td>13.63 ± 2.75</td><td>8.44 ± 0.23</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	0.82 ± 0.08	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.04 ± 0.84</td><td>5.15 ± 1.02</td><td>13.63 ± 2.75</td><td>8.44 ± 0.23</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>3.04 ± 0.84</td><td>5.15 ± 1.02</td><td>13.63 ± 2.75</td><td>8.44 ± 0.23</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>3.04 ± 0.84</td><td>5.15 ± 1.02</td><td>13.63 ± 2.75</td><td>8.44 ± 0.23</td></mdl<>	3.04 ± 0.84	5.15 ± 1.02	13.63 ± 2.75	8.44 ± 0.23
Organophosphorus pesticides	Thiabendazole	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.93 ± 0.18</td><td>$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$</td><td>0.60 ± 0.00</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.93 ± 0.18</td><td>$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$</td><td>0.60 ± 0.00</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.93 ± 0.18</td><td>$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$</td><td>0.60 ± 0.00</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.93 ± 0.18</td><td>$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$</td><td>0.60 ± 0.00</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.93 ± 0.18</td><td>$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$</td><td>0.60 ± 0.00</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.93 ± 0.18	$0.43 \pm \ 0.003$	0.60 ± 0.00	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
	Diazinon	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.46 ± 0.00</td><td>0.51 ± 0.00</td><td>0.57 ± 0.00</td><td>1.53 ± 0.02</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.46 ± 0.00</td><td>0.51 ± 0.00</td><td>0.57 ± 0.00</td><td>1.53 ± 0.02</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.46 ± 0.00	0.51 ± 0.00	0.57 ± 0.00	1.53 ± 0.02	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.56 ± 0.01</td><td>0.55 ± 0.01</td></mdl<>	0.56 ± 0.01	0.55 ± 0.01
Endocrine Disruptors (EDCs)	Caffeine	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>11.82 ± 1.93</td><td>22.60 ± 5.48</td><td>33.62 ± 5.51</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>11.82 ± 1.93</td><td>22.60 ± 5.48</td><td>33.62 ± 5.51</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl<>	<mql< td=""><td>11.82 ± 1.93</td><td>22.60 ± 5.48</td><td>33.62 ± 5.51</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	11.82 ± 1.93	22.60 ± 5.48	33.62 ± 5.51	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<>	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""><td>46.95 ± 6.29</td><td>50.96 ± 15.12</td></mql<>	46.95 ± 6.29	50.96 ± 15.12
	Methylparaben	2.69 ± 0.02	1.01 ± 0.24	0.54 ± 0.08	0.83 ± 0.02	2.00 ± 0.06	3.54 ± 0.05	1.01 ± 0.11	1.13 ± 0.19	1.91 ± 0.59	1.71 ± 0.05	1.19 ± 0.08	1.03 ± 0.12
	Ethylparaben	1.85 ± 0.12	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.54 ± 0.06</td><td>0.55 ± 0.02</td><td>0.36 ± 0.03</td><td>0.73 ± 0.04</td><td>0.35 ± 0.02</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>0.54 ± 0.06</td><td>0.55 ± 0.02</td><td>0.36 ± 0.03</td><td>0.73 ± 0.04</td><td>0.35 ± 0.02</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.54 ± 0.06	0.55 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.03	0.73 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.02	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<>	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""></mql<>
	Propylparaben	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>1.38 ± 0.04</td><td>0.93 ± 0.06</td><td>0.94 ± 0.02</td><td>1.18 ± 0.06</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>1.38 ± 0.04</td><td>0.93 ± 0.06</td><td>0.94 ± 0.02</td><td>1.18 ± 0.06</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>1.38 ± 0.04</td><td>0.93 ± 0.06</td><td>0.94 ± 0.02</td><td>1.18 ± 0.06</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl<>	<mql< td=""><td>1.38 ± 0.04</td><td>0.93 ± 0.06</td><td>0.94 ± 0.02</td><td>1.18 ± 0.06</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	1.38 ± 0.04	0.93 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.02	1.18 ± 0.06	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<></td></mdl<>	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""></mql<>
	1H-benzotriazole	0.88 ± 0.76	1.38 ± 0.18	0.83 ± 0.51	0.66 ± 0.01	1.14 ± 0.53	2.24 ± 1.28	<mql< td=""><td>1.44 ± 0.29</td><td>1.32 ± 0.16</td><td>1.26 ± 0.11</td><td>2.01 ± 0.24</td><td>1.73 ± 0.23</td></mql<>	1.44 ± 0.29	1.32 ± 0.16	1.26 ± 0.11	2.01 ± 0.24	1.73 ± 0.23

Figure captions

Figure 1. Study area showing bivalves sampling sites (\bullet) and localities where environmental variables were measured (\Diamond) in the Alfacs Bay (Ebre Delta).

Figure 2. Levels of carboxylesterase activity using four different colorimetric substrates (p-nitrophenyl acetate, pNPA; p-nitrophenyl butyrate, pNPB; 1-naphthyl acetate, 1-NA and 1-naphthyl butyrate, 1-NB) in the digestive gland of mussels, cockles and grooved razor shells collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in April, May, June and July of 2017. Different letters indicate statistical differences among months during the sampling period (p < 0.05).

Figure 3. Levels of antioxidant enzymatic activities (glutathione reductase, GR; glutathione peroxidase, GPX and glutathione S-transferases, GST) determined in the digestive gland of mussels, cockles and grooved razor shells collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in April, May, June and July of 2017. Different letters indicate statistical differences among months during the sampling period (p < 0.05).

Figure 4. Levels of carboxylesterase activity using four different colorimetric substrates (p-nitrophenyl acetate, pNPA; p-nitrophenyl butyrate, pNPB; 1-naphthyl acetate, 1-NA and 1-naphthyl butyrate, 1-NB) and acetylcholinesterase activity (AChE) in the digestive gland, gills and haemolymph of mussels collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in April, May, June and July of 2017. Different letters indicate statistical differences among months during the sampling period (p < 0.05).

Figure 5. Levels of antioxidant enzymatic activities (glutathione reductase, GR; glutathione peroxidase, GPX and glutathione S-transferases, GST) and lipid peroxidation (LPO) levels determined in the digestive gland and gills of mussels collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in April, May, June and July of 2017. Different letters indicate statistical differences among months during the sampling period (p < 0.05).

Figure 6. Dendrograms resulting from the hierarchical cluster analyses based on enzymatic data in mussel digestive gland (A), mussel gills (B), cockles digestive gland (C) and razor shells digestive gland (D) collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in April, May, June and July of 2017.

Figure 7. *Rickettsia*-like organisms (RLOs) (arrowheads) in gills of cockles collected in the Alfac's Bay of the Ebre Delta in June of 2017.















