



**This document is a postprint version of an article published in Aquaculture © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.10.015>**

1        **Adult spawning and early larval development of the**  
2                                    **endangered bivalve *Pinna nobilis*.**

3  
4        (1) Sergio Trigos\* strigos@riia.es (+34 669332783)

5        (2) Nardo Vicente

6        (3) Patricia Prado

7        (4) Francisco J. Espinós

8  
9        (1) Innovation Network in Aquaculture Industries of the Valencian Community (RIIA-CV),  
10        Avda. Naranjos s/n. Edificio Colegio Mayor Galileo Galilei, Local 15, 46022, Valencia (Spain).

11        (2) Institut Océanographique Paul Ricard, Ile des Embiez 83140 Six Fours les Plages (France)  
12        and Institut Méditerranéen de la Biodiversité et de l'Ecologie marine et continentale (IMBE).  
13        Aix-Marseille Université (France).

14        (3) IRTA-Aquatic Ecosystems. Ctra. Poble Nou Km 5.5. 43540 Sant Carles de la Ràpita  
15        (Tarragona), Spain.

16        (4) ACUMA Research Center (Aquaculture and Environmental R.C), Polytechnic University of  
17        Valencia, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022, Valencia (Spain).

20 **ABSTRACT**

21 The development of aquaculture activities has posed an alternative solution for the preservation  
22 of some overexploited shellfish fisheries worldwide. In the same way, endemic Mediterranean  
23 bivalves such as *Pinna nobilis*, highly threatened by habitat loss and coastal pollution, could  
24 found in aquaculture a solution for preserving the continuity of the species. Given the  
25 endangered status of the species, the biological and ecological processes regulating natural  
26 populations have been well studied, but there are still important knowledge gaps preventing the  
27 development of viable artificial cultures. This study describes for the first time the larval  
28 development of *P. nobilis* (from fertilization until pediveliger larval stages) in captivity  
29 conditions. Moreover, different rearing tanks of 5, 16 and 80L, larvae density from 1 to 600  
30 larvae mL<sup>-1</sup>, light conditions, food doses, were tested in order to establish the bases for the  
31 optimal rearing of the species and provide a source of individuals for restoring field populations.  
32 Results showed that 16L tanks with a concentration of 2 larvae mL<sup>-1</sup>, constant temperature of  
33 21°C, 12/12h photoperiod and fed with an “optimal” mixture of 25 cells per µL of *Chaetoceros*  
34 *calcitrans* + 33.3 cells per µL of *Pavlova lutheri* + 100 cells per µL of *Isochrysis galbana*  
35 appear to be the best conditions to rear larvae of *P. nobilis*. Different captivity conditions such  
36 as lower or higher tank volume, larvae density, or food doses; light privation did not report  
37 better results for larval development.

38

39

40 **Keywords:** *Pinna nobilis*, conservation, larvae development, rearing, captivity.

41

## 42 **Introduction**

43 In the last decades the rearing of endangered bivalve species for both commercial and ecological  
44 purposes has received considerable attention (Ellis, 2000; Knop, 2009). In spite of the economic  
45 and scientific interest, the rearing of bivalve species has been proved to be rather difficult due to  
46 the great larval mortalities of the group (Rumrill, 1990). Field studies show that larval mortality  
47 in natural conditions ranges from 25 to 80% during first days of life (Talmage and Glober,  
48 2009; Gazeau *et al.*, 2010). Both natural and/or anthropogenic-driven mortality in bivalve  
49 larvae –i.e., from egg to postlarval recruit- are often difficult to measure and are considered as a  
50 “constant” (Philippart *et al.*, 2003). Possible causes may include failure of fertilization, which  
51 is often related to sea acidification and may produce shape abnormalities in embryos or avoid  
52 development in over 50% of the larvae (Kurihara *et al.*, 2007); coastal development (Gómez *et*  
53 *al.*, 2000; Guest *et al.*, 2008); shortage of food resources (Rico-Villa *et al.*, 2006), absence of a  
54 suitable substrate for benthic settlement (Su *et al.*, 2007); combined effects of short planktonic  
55 durations and local patterns of marine circulation (Shanks and Brink, 2005); and/or lethal  
56 environmental temperatures (Philippart *et al.*, 2003). Any of these scenarios usually leads to a  
57 reduction of recruitment rates and consequently, to the disappearance of populations.

58

59 Historically, mortality problems have been observed in the marine bivalve industry for decades  
60 (Samain and McCombie, 2008; Barton *et al.*, 2015). The main goal in hatchery production is to  
61 improve larval and post-larval survival by achieving larval growth and metamorphosis success.  
62 In this term, the proper formulation of larval diets has been considered as the most critical  
63 aspect in hatchery operations focusing on the type of microalgae used as feed (Brown *et al.*,  
64 1998; Knuckey *et al.*, 2002; Ponis *et al.*, 2006; Rico-Villa *et al.*, 2006; Pettersen *et al.*, 2010;  
65 Ragg *et al.*, 2010; Gui *et al.*, 2016) or even in artificial substitutes to phytoplankton diet  
66 (Couteau and Sorgeloos, 1992; Knauer and Southgate 1999; Nevejan *et al.*, 2007; Gui *et al.*,  
67 2016).

68 In other cases, recurrent mortality episodes are described as a result of virus or vibrio-like  
69 bacteria infecting bivalve hatcheries and nurseries (Renault and Arzul, 2001; Dubert *et al.*,  
70 2015; Rojas *et al.*, 2015) and reducing commercial production by approximately 40% as  
71 reported for French farming facilities of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) since 2008 (Pernet  
72 *et al.*, 2014).

73 From an ecological perspective, the rearing of endangered bivalves in captivity conditions may  
74 pose a potential solution for the rehabilitation of seriously damaged populations (Ronquillo and  
75 Mckinley, 2006; Vinvie, 2008; Thomas and De Leaniz, 2010; Theodorou *et al.*, 2015) and face  
76 the same early stages' bottlenecks than those reported for bivalve commercial farming (Arnold,  
77 2008). One of these endangered species is the Mediterranean fan mussel, *Pinna nobilis*. The  
78 populations of this bivalve have significantly declined during the last decades (De Gaulejac and  
79 Vicente, 1990; Vicente and Moreteau, 1991; Garcia-March, 2005; Basso *et al.*, 2015; Rouanet  
80 *et al.*, 2015) as a result of different anthropogenic stressors such as coastal development, fishing  
81 pressure, and/or accidental harvesting by trawling and shell breakage by anchoring  
82 (Katsanevakis, 2005; Acarli *et al.*, 2011; Hendriks *et al.*, 2011). For these reasons, the European  
83 Union included it as an endangered species in the ANNEX IV of the Council Directive  
84 92/43/EEC (EC Habitats Directive), and strictly forbids any kind of culling. Although these  
85 measures have helped certain recovery of populations in some Mediterranean regions (Pérez-  
86 Vallazza *et al.*, 2008; Theodorou *et al.*, 2017), the species is still at risk by unknown factors  
87 such as those causing mass mortality event along the Spanish Mediterranean coast and the  
88 Balearic Islands during the summer of 2016 (Darriba, 2017; Vázquez-Luis *et al.*, 2017).  
89 Temperature effects due to climate change, coastal pollution, and pathogenic mechanisms are  
90 amongst potential explanatory factors, all of them mediated by human influence (Rodrigues *et*  
91 *al.*, 2015). In this scenario, the rearing of *P. nobilis* in captivity appears as an alternative  
92 solution to obtain healthy stocks of recruits that could be reintroduced in suitable areas with  
93 declining population densities (Trigos, 2017). Yet, the duration of the different larval stages  
94 from fertilized eggs, as well as the main factors influencing survival rates are still largely

95 unknown, although they are proposed to follow similar patterns to other bivalves. Following this  
96 hypothesis, Vicente (1986) described the larval stages of trochophore, veliger, and pediveliger  
97 followed by metamorphosis, and juvenile development of *P. nobilis*. De Gaulejac (1989),  
98 observed larvae shells with electronic microscope and pointed the possibility of a time gap of 5  
99 to 10 days between gametes expulsion and substrate settlement. Peharda and Vilibic (2008)  
100 suggested that veliger fan mussel larvae could have a negative phototactism that allow vertical  
101 migration to deeper waters during the day to return to upper bathymetric ranges at night, as also  
102 indicated for other bivalves (Gosling, 2003), presumably to avoid UV light or predator activity  
103 (Manuel *et al.*, 1996). However, there is still no available information on the behavioural  
104 responses of *P. nobilis* larvae to different environmental factors under captivity conditions that  
105 could provide a solid basis for designing and implementing a viable larval hatchery. In addition,  
106 factors determining patterns of benthic recruitment are virtually unknown, although in other  
107 species is often linked to the availability of preferential substrates for settlement (Prado *et al.*,  
108 2012), which highlights the importance of simulating optimal settlement conditions to rear *P.*  
109 *nobilis* in captivity. Other biological and physical aspects such as the possible correlation  
110 between adult size and numbers of oocytes expelled also require further investigation.

111 In this context, the main objective of this work was to describe, for the first time, the larval  
112 development of the ecologically important Mediterranean fan mussel (*P. nobilis*) with the aim  
113 of developing cultivation techniques and providing a practical guideline for problem  
114 identification during larval rearing in this species. More specifically we conducted experiments  
115 aimed (1) at different larval densities (2) with different tank volumes, (3) fed with three doses of  
116 microalgae mixture and (4) reared in light/dark conditions.

117

118

## 119 **Materials and methods**

### 120 **Field sampling**

121 A total of 40 individuals of *P. nobilis* were collected around the Embiez archipelago, South  
122 East of France from April 2012 to September 2014. The collected specimens were carefully  
123 transported to laboratory facilities within portable tanks and their total height (Ht) registered and  
124 labelled. Shells were brushed to remove epibiotic organisms, including other bivalves  
125 (Rabaoui *et al.*, 2015) that could affect the fertilization process. All individuals were  
126 reintroduced to the field at the end of the experiments.

127

### 128 **Gamete release and fertilization**

129 Small groups of 4-6 individuals were placed in two 120 L tanks within controlled  
130 temperature facilities (20-21°C) with filtered (1µm) and treated (UV) seawater for a maximum  
131 of 24h. A  $\approx 10^\circ\text{C}$  gradient is necessary to induce a thermal shock causing gametes release in  
132 bivalves (Helm *et al.*, 2006) which takes place at various temperature thresholds, depending on  
133 the species (Drent, 2004). Herein, in order to keep this temperature gradient two induction tanks  
134 were prepared; one tank was maintained at 15 °C while a second tank was heated to 25°C thus  
135 respecting the conformability range of temperatures described for *P. nobilis* (Trigos *et al.*,  
136 2015).

137 Individuals were transferred to the induction tanks and individuals were translocated  
138 between both of them every 50 minutes for a maximum of 6 times. If no response was achieved,  
139 individuals were introduced in 2,600L tanks with constant aeration and water renovation, and  
140 the process repeated on the following days. When only males expelled gametes, small volumes  
141 of sperm were poured in the tanks on the following thermal shocks, with the aim to stimulate  
142 the females (if any) while the thermal shock was occurring. When male and female spawning  
143 occurred, individuals were introduced individually in 60L tanks in order to avoid possible  
144 polyspermia. Both oocytes and spermatozooids were recovered from individual tanks using a  
145 sterilized 60 mL micropipette and then filtered through a 30µm sieve in order to remove any

146 possible fecal waste that could be attached (mainly to oocytes). Volumes of 4 mL of a  
147 homogenized sample of oocytes were counted (N= 3) in order to determine the possible  
148 correlation between adult size and numbers of oocytes expelled. After that, gametes were  
149 introduced together in a 15L tank favouring the mixture, thus enhancing fertilization.

150

### 151 **Larval cultures**

152 Preliminary essays were conducted to determine the optimal rearing conditions according to  
153 survival time observed. To this aim and after fertilization, larvae were maintained in the same  
154 tank with constant aeration and temperature (21°C) until they reached the trochophore stage.  
155 Then, they were transferred to different small rearing tanks of 5, 16 and 80L (N=3) in order to  
156 better control the larvae development and cultures were also adjusted at different larval  
157 concentrations of 1, 2 and 600 larvae mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively, according to Helm *et al.* (2006) with  
158 water renewal fluxes (600 mL·h<sup>-1</sup>) through a 35µm strainer and constant aeration. In addition to  
159 exhaustive filtration of seawater circuits periodic, bacterial cultures were carried out to monitor  
160 the presence of pathogens within tanks. For each concentration and rearing tank, survival time  
161 was estimated daily by sampling (N=1) of 4 mL and counting of living larvae.

162 Larvae diet was established using a mixture of three microalgae (*Isochrysis galbana*,  
163 *Pavlova lutheri* and *Chaetoceros calcitrans*), as suggested in the literature (Pernet *et al.*, 2005;  
164 Milke *et al.*, 2004, 2006). The final concentration mix of the three phytoplankton species was  
165 adjusted according to three different doses named as “low”, “optimal” and “high” using the  
166 formula proposed by Helm *et al.* (2006) for bivalves feeding in breeding facilities:

167

$$168 \quad V_{dose} (L) = \frac{\text{cell density needed } [\mu L] \cdot V_{tank}}{\text{cell density available } [\mu L]}$$

169

Where:

$V_{dose}$  = supplied dose in liters.



*Cell density needed* [ $\mu\text{L}$ ] = cell concentration according to low, optimal or high dose.

$V_{\text{tank}}(L)$  = tank volume.

*Cell density available* [ $\mu\text{L}$ ] = cell concentration in laboratory cultures.

170

171 For each concentration mix, the equation included the cell density suggested by the  
172 author: "15 *Chaetoceros* cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  + 25 *Pavlova* cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  + 50 cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  of *Isochrysis*"  
173 for the "low" dose, "25 *Chaetoceros* cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  + 33.3 *Pavlova* cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  + 100 cells per  $\mu\text{L}$   
174 of *Isochrysis*" for the "optimal" dose, and "30 *Chaetoceros* cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  + 50 *Pavlova* cells per  
175  $\mu\text{L}$  + 150 cells per  $\mu\text{L}$  of *Isochrysis*" for the "high" dose.

176

### 177 **Effect of rearing conditions on larval development and settlement**

178 Once previous essays allowed determination of the maximum survival time of larvae under the  
179 different parameters established, cultures were also used for testing the influence of light on  
180 larvae development due to negative phototaxis, as observed for certain bivalve larvae (Raby  
181 *et al.*, 1994). To this end, six 16L tanks were set with open circulation at densities of 2  
182 larvae·mL<sup>-1</sup>. Three of those tanks were kept at 12/12h photoperiod whereas the other three tanks  
183 were covered with opaque plastic (darkness conditions). Microalgae doses were identically  
184 established as "low", "optimal" and "high" to elucidate if the use of any of them could affect  
185 directly the larvae growth. The latter was determined by registering larval length with a "Leica  
186 DM2500" microscope. A total of 60 fan mussel larvae were daily placed on a dig dish, and their  
187 length measured for average estimations ( $\pm\text{SD}$ ). The process was carried out by triplicate using  
188 larvae coming from different spawns.

189 For all tanks, abiotic parameters were kept as stable as possible. Temperature: 19-21°C;  
190 salinity: 32-37; pH: 7.7-8.4; and dissolved oxygen: 5.9-7.0 mg O<sub>2</sub>. Larvae concentrations were  
191 daily monitored (N= 1 per tank) to determine the conditions with lower mortality rates.  
192 Moreover, an artificial substrate made of 250 $\mu\text{m}$  PVC mesh was deployed in all tanks in order

193 to enhance larvae settlement. The substrate was autoclaved (120°C. 30 minutes) to prevent the  
194 introduction of pathogens within rearing tanks.

195

196

197

### 198 **Statistical analyses**

199 All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS® Statistics 21 program. The possible  
200 association between the size of broodstocks and the number of oocytes expelled was studied  
201 using the Pearson correlation factor.

202 The best survival rate was determined studying the effect of the tank volume (fixed factor,  
203 three levels) and the larvae density (fixed factor, three levels) and calculated by a two-way  
204 factorial ANOVA.

205 The effect of photoperiod (fixed factor, two levels), food dose (fixed factor, three levels) and  
206 rearing day on larvae survival, was investigated using a three-way factorial ANOVA followed  
207 by a *post-hoc* analysis (DHS-Tukey) to establish significant groupings. All data were tested for  
208 ANOVA assumptions of normality (Levene's test) and homogeneity of variances (Cochran's  
209 test).

210

211

## 212 **Results**

### 213 **Gamete release and fertilization**

214 A total of 31 individuals ( $47.10 \pm 10.61$  cm Ht), of the 40 subjected to thermal shock,  
215 released gametes for periods of 40 minutes and, in some instances for up to 3h. Among  
216 individuals that responded positively, 5 of them (16.1%) were strictly males and 14 (45.2%)  
217 expelled only oocytes. The remaining 12 specimens (38.7%) released almost simultaneously  
218 male and female gametes. The release of female gametes in *P. nobilis* was estimated in  $1.9 \cdot 10^6$   
219 oocytes  $\cdot L^{-1}$  (averaged for the 26 individuals releasing female gametes) with a mean Ht of  $51.8$   
220  $\pm 9.98$  cm (**Fig 1**).

221 There was a significant a positive association between the size of individuals and the number  
222 of expelled oocytes ( $F = 0.765$ .  $p < 0.01$ ) as reported for other bivalve species (Helm *et al.*  
223 2006). The smallest spawning female was 37.7 cm Ht, and 34.6 cm Ht in males. In those  
224 instances in which there was only male spawning, sperm was stored in a temperature controlled  
225 room at 4°C and visual observations revealed that sperm remained alive for a maximum of 3  
226 days.

227

### 228 **Larval cultures**

229 Viable oocytes were spherical with an average diameter of  $\emptyset = 55 \pm 1\mu\text{m}$  whereas  
230 spermatocytes hardly exceed  $1\mu\text{m}$  length. Embryonic development of *P. nobilis* started with the  
231 rapid fertilization of oocytes by surrounding spermatocytes at a temperature of 21°C (**Table 2**).  
232 After 15-30 minutes the appearance of the first polar body and the formation of a perivitelline  
233 membrane confirmed successful fertilization (**Fig. 2**). The first zygote inclusions were observed  
234 after 40 minutes and gradually increase the number of blastomeres in a successive formation of  
235 inclusions until they attained a ciliated blastula stage 5 h later. Herein, the phase in which the  
236 motility of larvae begins (**Fig. 3**) and last for 24 h until the trocophore stage at an average size  
237 of  $65 \pm 5\mu\text{m}$ . This was followed by a period of frenetic activity where larvae can reach speeds  
238 of  $0.5$  to  $1 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{second}^{-1}$  and displayed a helical swimming pattern as observed in other bivalves

239 (Troost *et al.* 2008). This speed was considerably reduced after the first 48 h when the larvae  
240 started to generate their own shell (Prodissoconch I) and become a D-larva or early veliger stage  
241 ( $85 \pm 3\mu\text{m}$ ). Here, the appearance of a ciliary structure or “*vellum*” produced an incessant  
242 movement that generates a current of attraction that allows the capture of phytoplankton cells.  
243 Later, the carbonate shell that protects the visceral cavity of the larvae and the food become  
244 more important, reducing cilia movement to an intermittent rotation. Progressively, the larvae  
245 secrete more calcium carbonate causing the thickening of shell layers and the development of  
246 the first growth rings (Prodissoconch II). Herein, the characteristic straight hinge that gives  
247 name to the "D" larva tends to bend thus reaching the umbonate phase. From this moment the  
248 larvae stopped swimming completely but few developed the foot that allows benthic settlement.

249

250 From the spawning to pediveliger stage the average growth of larvae was estimated at  $8.57$   
251  $\mu\text{m}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ . However, at some point of the experiments (day 6 in 80L tanks and day 7 in 16L  
252 tanks) all growth was stopped and no more larval development was observed regardless of the  
253 rearing conditions and coinciding with the period when larvae stop swimming (red line) (**Fig.**  
254 **4**). Thus, larvae remained alive for a maximum of 22 days and statistical analysis showed that  
255 there is a significant difference between survival of larvae and the parameters selected for the  
256 rearing activity occurring best survival results in 16L tanks with an initial larvae density of 2  
257 larvae·mL<sup>-1</sup> ( $F = 13.542$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

258

#### 259 **Effect of rearing conditions on larval development and settlement**

260 Results from ANOVA showed higher survival of larvae due to the presence of light in the tanks  
261 ( $F = 4.597$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) and evidenced important differences according to the dose of food  
262 provided ( $F = 3.434$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) while interaction between "Tank + Dose" was not significant ( $F =$   
263  $2.910$ ,  $p = 0.58$ ). Post-hoc analysis showed that the "*optimal*" dose significantly improved ( $p$   
264  $< 0.05$ ) larval survival compared to "*low*" and "*high*" doses where more than 80% of larvae had  
265 died after 48 h and 96 h, respectively.

266 The relative daily mortality registered in tanks with photoperiod 12/12 showed mean values of  
267 54.2% when the dose was "*low*", 18.3% when the dose was "*optimal*" and 34.4% when the dose  
268 was "*high*". In addition, the highest values of mortality were also recorded during mainly the  
269 first three days, during the trochophore and veliger phases (**Fig. 5**).

270

#### 271 **Pathologies observed**

272 In most cases typical symptoms of bacterial infection (**Fig. 6**) are suspected to have caused the  
273 observed mortalities over 80% during the first 2-9 days. Herein, the loss of the larval "*vellum*"  
274 was observed during the veliger phase. Despite larvae continue alive, the absence of the ciliary  
275 structure prevents them to feed properly and after some days die. Another disease observed in  
276 dead larvae is easily identifiable by the continuous movement of the bacteria around and inside  
277 larvae shells referred as "*swarming*". In some cases, bacterial infection seems to affect larval  
278 motility, generating large clusters of larvae referred as "*spotting*" at the bottom of the tanks due  
279 to the secretion of mucous filaments.

280

281

282

283

284

## 285 **Discussion**

286 This study reports for the first time the successful spawning and larval rearing to pediveliger  
287 stage in captivity conditions of the endangered bivalve *P. nobilis*. This is also the first daily  
288 graphic documentation of the early development on this species.

289

290 Recent episodes of mass mortality occurred in southwestern Mediterranean coasts for *P. nobilis*  
291 accentuates the population regression registered in the last decades and consequently points  
292 captivity cultures as a potential solution to restore damaged populations. As described in Trigos  
293 (2017) the maintenance of large number of adults in captivity conditions for prolonged periods  
294 of time is necessary to obtain enough gametes of both sexes in a species in which the absence of  
295 external sexual dimorphism difficult the development of a hatchery protocol.

296

297 This study shows how 12 specimens (38.7%) from the total studied, released almost  
298 simultaneously male and female gametes, an event so far unknown in this species, which is  
299 described as a successive hermaphroditism, as a mechanism to prevent self-fertilization (De  
300 Gaulejac *et al.* 1995a. b). Interestingly, some expelled oocytes were still in a division process,  
301 suggesting that internal fertilization may occur as described for other bivalves such as *Ostrea*  
302 *edulis* (Peteiro *et al.* 2007). This observation coupled with the fact that oocytes present higher  
303 density than seawater and tended to sink after being released to the media could be indicate of  
304 internal fertilization as a common mechanism enhancing the survival of larvae, but further  
305 research is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

306 Spawned oocytes stayed at the tank bottom until the ciliated blastula stage (5h post-  
307 spawning) when they became motile and swimming activity was observed. Life stages from late  
308 embryos to the veliger phase are considered as planktonic and potentially disperse by currents.  
309 Once the pediveliger stage was reached, larvae ceased to be planktonic and searched for a  
310 suitable substrate to start benthic development. Our study observed up to 22 days to achieve the  
311 pediveliger stage then to acquire the capability to get attached. In this context, the hypothesis

312 proposed by De Gaulejac (1989) with a period between 5 to 10 days to settlement phase,  
313 contrast with our longer period which might be affected by captivity conditions. This could  
314 indicate that artificial conditions can be improved in order to obtain better results.

315

316 The massive mortality rates observed (up to 100% at day 4 and day 22, respectively in dark and  
317 light cultures), prevented observing more development phases for *P. nobilis* but as indicated by  
318 Hernández-Hernández (2000) and Robles-Mungaray (2004) for other species of Pinnidae,  
319 simultaneously to foot development, the progresses of the pediveliger phase ( $110 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$  size)  
320 is characterized by a gradual loss of the “*vellum*” that gives way to the formation of gills.  
321 Subsequently the process of metamorphosis begins with the secretion of new shell from the  
322 edge of the Prodissoconch II. Based on the type of growth of other specimens of the same  
323 family, the Prodissoconch II should continue its growth in a transverse direction to that  
324 previously recorded (Robles-Mungaray, 2004). The appearance of this new structure called  
325 dissoconch represents the turning point at which individuals reach the juvenile phase and  
326 acquire all adult characteristics such as the typical "pen" shape of the Pinnidae.

327

328 In our case, the mortality rates observed at this pediveliger phase were possibly associated to  
329 bacterial pathologies which are widespread in hatcheries of commercial species, as well as in  
330 regular experimental activities in the laboratory (Andersen *et al.*, 2000; Prado *et al.* 2016). The  
331 infection of larval cultures may be caused by external or horizontal factors such as bacteria  
332 escaping the mechanisms of water filtration or other broodstock individuals (Fontanez and  
333 Cavanaugh, 2014). The other type of transmission can be vertical when bacterial contamination  
334 occurs in gonads and intestinal tracts of broodstock and passes to offspring (Beninger *et al.*  
335 2003; Prado *et al.* 2013). These pathologies are thought to mainly affect larvae because they are  
336 much more susceptible to bacterial infections than adults (Lambert and Nicolas 1998). In  
337 particular, *Vibrio* species are regarded as central pathogens in larval bivalve cultures (Gómez-  
338 León *et al.* 2005; Elston *et al.* 2008; Kesarcodi-Watson. 2009) with new species described in the

339 last years (Prado *et al.* 2005; Dubert *et al.* 2015). The most common problem arising from  
340 *Vibrio* action is the necrosis of soft tissues and ciliary structures (Sugumar *et al.* 1998; Neo *et*  
341 *al.* 2011), thus preventing filtration and feeding mechanisms that cause the death of the larvae  
342 (Dubert *et al.* 2016). This disease is easily identifiable by the continuous movement of the  
343 bacteria around and inside larvae shells referred as "swarming" (Beaz-Hidalgo *et al.*, 2010). The  
344 loss of the larval "vellum" during the veliger phase was a typical symptom of bacterial infection.  
345 Hence, *Vibrio* is suspected to have caused the observed mortalities over 80% during the first 2-9  
346 days, depending on light conditions and food dose. A low dose of phytoplankton could weaken  
347 the larvae being consequently more susceptible to infection. By the contrary, the addition of  
348 high doses of the microalgae mixture could be responsible of an excess of non-profitated food in  
349 the tanks, thus enhancing the proliferation of bacteria. The tanks exposed to the darkness  
350 registered mortalities higher than 80% on the second day and survival tended to improve with  
351 the "high" dose. Therefore, the photoperiod is presented as a limiting factor for the development  
352 of *P. nobilis* larvae and the high mortality observed could be explained by the absence of light  
353 which move the larvae away from natural conditions. This fact is supposed to stress  
354 considerably the larvae being consequently more susceptible to infection. According to our  
355 results, *P. nobilis* needs light to complete its larvae cycle in contrast with described by Peharda  
356 and Vilibic (2008) who suggested that *P. nobilis* veliger larvae have a negative phototactism  
357 and migrates vertically to deeper waters during daylight and returns to superficial areas at night,  
358 as also indicated for other bivalves (Gosling. 2003).

359

360 The loss of vellum tissue also affected larval motility, generating large clusters of larvae  
361 referred as "spotting" at the bottom of the tanks due to the secretion of mucous filaments by the  
362 larval foot that may measure up to one meter in length (Gérard *et al.*, 1989; Bachelet *et al.*,  
363 1992; Rojas *et al.*, 2009). Further studies need be conducted to determine whether these larvae  
364 clusters following the secretion of mucous filaments are a side effect of the veil loss or a



365 mechanism to improve larval buoyancy and facilitate dispersal as proposed by Beninger *et al.*  
366 (2003) thus, discerning if it is a natural process or a negative consequence of bacterial activity.

367

368 In other Pinnidae such as *Atrina maura*, success in larvae rearing has not been achieved despite  
369 its commercial interest has prompted the study of aquaculture conditions for more than a  
370 decade. Coupled with pathologic problems, the larvae of *A. maura* appear to show a high  
371 hydrophobicity which causes the larvae adhesion to the water surface and consequently the  
372 death from desiccation and/ or starvation (Maeda-Martínez, 2008). According to González-  
373 Corona (2003) and Robles-Mungaray (2004) there are still some biological and technical  
374 aspects such as the adjustment of larval density or cleaning protocol, that need be optimized in  
375 order to reduce mortality and allow the sustainable commercial production of this bivalve.  
376 Therefore, there is a lack of empirical knowledge regarding the mechanisms that trigger disease  
377 transmission (Arechavala-Lopez *et al.*, 2013), thus, the optimisation in the hatchery process is  
378 accordingly necessary and involves a better understanding of bivalve physiological  
379 requirements.

380

381 To conclude, this work presents the first detailed information on the biological cycle of *Pinna*  
382 *nobilis* and provides information concerning important variables determining larval mortality  
383 and settlement success, such as light conditions or food dose, establishing the bases for the  
384 rearing of the endangered fan mussel *P. nobilis* in captivity. Yet, the closure of its biological  
385 cycle in captivity appears to be rather difficult since large mortality rates are observed during  
386 first days of life (4 to 22 depending on light treatment). Given that our results are conclusive on  
387 the suitability of light conditions and “optimal” food doses, the experimental activity should be  
388 intended from a pathological approach, considering bacterial infection as one of the main  
389 bottlenecks in the rearing of *P. nobilis* larvae and preventing the development of this species at  
390 pediveliger stages.

391

392

393 **Acknowledgements**

394 The present study was financed by the Caisse d'Epargne Côte d'Azur. We are also grateful to the  
395 research crew of the Institut Océanographique Paul Ricard and the Catholic University of  
396 Valencia for their technical support and help collecting and maintaining fan mussels. Special  
397 thanks to the reviewers for their constructive and necessary suggestions.

398

399 **References**

- 400 Acarli, S., Loek, A. Acarli., D., 2011. Preliminary spat settlement of fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*  
401 Linnaeus. 1758 on a mesh bag collector in Karantina island (Eastern Aegean Sea. Turkey).  
402 Fres. Env. Bull, 10: 6.
- 403 Andersen, S., Burnell., G. Bergh. Ø., 2000. Flow-through systems for culturing great scallop  
404 larvae. Aqu. Intl. 8(2-3):249-257.
- 405 Andree, K.B., Trigos, S., Vicente, N., Carrasco, N., Carella, F., Prado, P., In press.  
406 Development of a species-specific quantitative PCR as an approach to monitoring potential  
407 spawning and recruitment of the giant fan mussel *Pinna nobilis*.
- 408 Arechavala-Lopez, P., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J.T., Uglem, I., Mladineo, I., 2013.  
409 Reared fish, farmed escapees and wild fish stocks — a triangle of pathogen transmission of  
410 concern to Mediterranean aquaculture management. Aqu. Environ. Int, 3:153–161.
- 411 Arnold, W.S., 2008. Application of Larval Release for Restocking and Stock Enhancement of  
412 Coastal Marine Bivalve Populations Reviews in Fisheries Science, I 6(1—3):65—71.
- 413 Bachelet, G., Guillou, J., Labourg, P.J., 1992. Adult larval and juvenile interactions in the  
414 suspension-feeding bivalve. *Cerastoderma edule* (L.): field observations and experiments.  
415 Ann Inst Oce. Paris. 68:75–87.
- 416 Barton, A., Waldbusser, G.G., Feely, R.A., Weisberg, S.B., Newton, J.A., Hales, B., Cudd, S.,  
417 Eudeline, B., Langdon, C.J., Jefferds, I., King, T., Suhrbier, A., McLaughlin. K. 2015.  
418 Impacts of coastal acidification on the Pacific Northwest shellfish industry and adaptation  
419 strategies implemented in response. Oceanography 28(2):146–159,  
420 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2015.38>.
- 421 Basso, L., Vázquez-Luis, M., García-march, J.R., Deudero, S., Alvarez, E., Vicente, N., Duarte,  
422 C.M., Hendriks, I.E., 2015. The Pen Shell: A Review of Population Status and

423 Recommended Research Priorities in the Mediterranean Sea. *Advances in Marine Biology*  
424 (71):109–160.

425 Beaz-Hidalgo, R., Balboa, S., Romalde, J.L, Figueras, M.J., 2010. Diversity and pathogenicity  
426 of *Vibrio* species in cultured bivalve molluscs. *Env. Micro. Rep*, 2(1):34-43.

427 Beninger, P.G., Le Pennec, G., Le Pennec, M., 2003. Demonstration of nutrient pathway from  
428 the digestive system to oocytes in the gonad intestinal loop of the scallop *Pecten maximus* L.  
429 *Bio. Bull.* 205:83-92.

430 Butler, A., Vicente, N., De Gaulejac, B., 1993. Ecology of the pterioid bivalves *Pinna bicolor*  
431 *Gmelin* and *Pinna nobilis* L. *Marine Life*, 3:37-45.

432 Coutteau, P., Sorgeloos, P., 1992. The use of algal substitutes and the requirement for live algae  
433 in the hatchery and nursery rearing of bivalve molluscs: an international survey. *J. Shell.*  
434 *Res*, 11:467-467.

435 Darriba, S., 2017. First haplosporidan parasite reported infecting a member of the Superfamily  
436 Pinnoidea (*Pinna nobilis*) during a mortality event in Alicante (Spain, Western  
437 Mediterranean). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 148, 14–19.doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2017.05.006.

438 De Gaulejac, B., 1989 *Ecologie de Pinna nobilis* (L). Mollusque eulamellibranche en baie de  
439 Calvi. Étude de la coquille larvaire. Étude des possibilités de réimplantation de l'espèce.  
440 DEA "Environnement Marin". Université d'Aix-Marseille III. 220 pp.

441 De Gaulejac, B., Henry, M., Vicente, N., 1995a. An ultrastructural study of the gametogenesis  
442 of the marine bivalve *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) I. oogenesis. *J. Moll. Studies*, 61:375-  
443 392.

444 De Gaulejac, B., Henry, M., Vicente, N., 1995b. An ultrastructural study of the gametogenesis  
445 of the marine bivalve *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus 1758) II. spermatogenesis. *J. Moll. Studies*,  
446 61:393-403.

447 De Gaulejac, B., Vicente, N., 1990. Ecologie de *Pinna nobilis* (L.) mollusque bivalve sur les  
448 côtes de Corse. Essais de transplantation et expériences en milieu contrôlé. Haliotis 10:17.

449 Drent, J., 2004. Life history variation of a marine bivalve (*Macoma balthica*) in a changing  
450 world. PhD thesis. Rijksuniversiteit. Groningen. pp. 95–106.

451 Dubert, J., Nelson, D.R., Spinard, E.J., Kessner, L., Gomez-chiarri, M., Da Costa, F.D., Prado,  
452 S., Barja, J.L., 2016. Following the infection process of vibriosis in Manila clam (*Ruditapes*  
453 *philippinarum*) larvae through GFP-tagged pathogenic *Vibrio* species. J. Inv. Pathology, 133,  
454 pp.27-33.

455 Dubert, J., Romalde, J.L., Prado, S., Barja, J.L., 2015. *Vibrio bivalvicida* sp. nov. a novel larval  
456 pathogen for bivalve molluscs reared in a hatchery. Syst. App. Microbiology.  
457 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2015.10.006>.

458 Ellis, S., 2000. Nursery and grow-out techniques for giant clams (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae). Center  
459 for Tropical and Subtropical Aquaculture. Publication CTSA # 143. 99 p.

460 Elston, R., Hasegawa, H., Humphrey, K.L., Polyak, I.K., Häse, C.C., 2008. Re-emergence of  
461 *Vibrio tubiashii* in bivalve shellfish aquaculture: severity. environmental drivers. geographic  
462 extent and management. Disc. Aq. Organisms, 82:119–134.

463 Fontanez, K.M., Cavanaugh, C.M., 2014. Evidence for horizontal transmission from multilocus  
464 phylogeny of deep-sea mussel (Mytilidae) symbionts. Env. microbiology, 16(12):3608-3621.

465 García-lavandeira, M. Silva, A., Abad, M., Pazos, A.J., Sánchez, J.L., Pérez-Parallé, M.L.,  
466 2005. Effects of GABA and epinephrine on the settlement and metamorphosis of the larvae  
467 of four species of bivalve molluscs. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol, 316:149-156.

468 Garcia-March, J.R., 2005. Aportaciones al conocimiento de la biología de *Pinna nobilis*  
469 Linneo. 1758 (Mollusca Bivalvia) en el litoral mediterráneo ibérico. Universitat de Valencia.  
470 Servei de Publicacions. Valencia.

471 [http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX\\_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-](http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-)  
472 [132411/garcia.pdf](http://www.tesisenxarxa.net/TDX/TDX_UV/TESIS/AVAILABLE/TDX-0628106-132411/garcia.pdf).

473 Gazeau, F., Gattuso, J.P., Dawber, C., Pronker, A.E., Peene, F., Peene, J., Heip, C.H.R.,  
474 Middelburg, J.J. 2010. Effect of ocean acidification on the early life stages of the blue  
475 mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Biogeosciences* 7:2051–2060.

476 Gérard, A., Salaün, M., Tritar, S., 1989. Criteres de compétence des larves à la métamorphose  
477 chez *Pecten maximus*. *Haliotis*. 19:373–380.

478 Gómez, E.D., Mingoa-Licuanan, S.S., Roa-Quiaoit. H.A., 2000. The culture of true giant clam  
479 *Tridacna gigas* for conservation in the Philippines. *Moll. Res. Asia*, 159–163.

480 Gómez-León, J., Villamil, L., Salger, S., Sallum, R., Remacha-Triviño, A., Leavitt, D., Gómez-  
481 Chiarri, M., 2008. Survival of eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* from three lines following  
482 experimental challenge with bacterial pathogens. *Disc. Aq. Org.* 79:95–105.

483 González-Corona, J., 2003. Estudio de la fisiología reproductiva y gametogénesis del callo de  
484 hacha *Atrina maura* (Sowerby. 1835). Tesis de Maestría. Guaymas. Sonora. México, 74 pp.

485 Gosling, E., 2003. Bivalve Molluscs. Biology. Ecology and Culture. Fishing News Books.  
486 Oxford. 443 pp.

487 Guest, J.R., Todd, P.A., Goh, E., Siva, B.S., Reddy, K.P., 2008. Can giant clam (*Tridacna*  
488 *squamosa*) populations be restored on Singapore's heavily impacted coral reefs? *Aquatic*  
489 *Conservation. Mar. Fresh. Eco.* 18: 570–579.

490 Gui, Y., Zamora, L., Dunphy, B.J., Jeffs, A.G., 2016. Evaluation of the formulated diet MySpat  
491 for feeding hatchery-reared spat of the green-lipped mussel, *Perna canaliculus* (Gmelin,  
492 1791). *Aquaculture Res.* 2016, 47, 3907–3912 doi:10.1111/are.12841

- 493 Hadfield, M.G., Paul, V.J., 2001. Natural chemical cues for settlement and metamorphosis of  
494 marine-invertebrate larvae. In: McClintock. J.B. Baker. B.J. (Eds.). Marine Chemical  
495 Ecology. CRC Press LLC. pp. 431–461.
- 496 Helm, M.M., Bourne, N., Lovatelli. A., 2006. Cultivo de bivalvos en criadero. Un manual  
497 práctico. vol 471. Roma. c. e. F. N. R. FAO. 184 pp.
- 498 Hendriks, I.E., Cabanellas-Reboredo, M., Bouma, T.J., Deudero, S., Duarte, C.M., 2011.  
499 Seagrass Meadows Modify Drag Forces on the Shell of the Fan Mussel *Pinna nobilis*.  
500 Estuaries and Coasts, 34:60-67
- 501 Hernández-Hernández, O., 2000. Distribución y abundancia de larvas de callo de hacha  
502 (Bivalvia: Pinnidae) en el sistema lagunar Corralero-Alotengo. Oaxaca. Tesis de  
503 Licenciatura en Biología Marina. Universidad del Mar. Puerto Angel. Oaxaca. México. 41 p.
- 504 Katsanevakis, S., 2005. Population ecology of the endangered fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* in a  
505 marine lake. End. Spes. Res, 1:1-9.
- 506 Kesarcodi-Watson, A., Kaspar, H., Lategan, M.J., Gibson. L.F., 2009. Challenge of New  
507 Zealand Greenshell (TM) mussel *Perna canaliculus* larvae using two *Vibrio* pathogens: a  
508 hatchery study. Disc. Aq. Org. 86:15–20.
- 509 Knauer, J., Southgate, P.C., 1999. A review of the nutritional requirements of bivalves and the  
510 development of alternative and artificial diets for bivalve aquaculture. Rev Fish Sci 7: 241–  
511 280
- 512 Knop, D., 2009. Riesenmuscheln: Arten und Pflege im Aquarium. Ettlingen: Dähne Verlag..  
513 220 pp.
- 514 Kurihara, H., Kato, S., Ishimatsu, A., 2007. Effects of increased seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> on early  
515 development of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Aq. Bio. 1:91-98.

516

- 517 Lambert. C. Nicolas. J.L., 1998. Specific Inhibition of Chemiluminescent Activity by  
518 Pathogenic Vibrios in Hemocytes of Two Marine Bivalves: *Pecten maximus* and *Crassostrea*  
519 *gigas*. Journal Inv. Pat. 71(1):53-63.
- 520 Maeda-Martínez, A.N., 2008. Estado actual del cultivo de bivalvos en México. En A. Lovatelli.  
521 A. Farías e I. Uriarte (eds). Estado actual del cultivo y manejo de moluscos bivalvos y su  
522 proyección futura: factores que afectan su sustentabilidad en América Latina. Taller Técnico  
523 Regional de la FAO. 20–24 de agosto de 2007. Puerto Montt. Chile. FAO Actas de Pesca y  
524 Acuicultura. No. 12. Roma. FAO. pp. 91–100.
- 525 Manuel, J.L., Gallager, S. M., Pearce, C.M., Manning, D. A., O'dor, R.K., 1996. Veligers from  
526 different populations of sea scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* have different vertical  
527 migration patterns. Mar. Eco. Prog. Ser, 147-163.
- 528 Milke, L.M., Bricelj, V.M., Parrish. C.C., 2004. Growth of postlarval sea scallops. *Placopecten*  
529 *magellanicus*. on microalgal diets. with emphasis on the nutritional role of lipids and fatty  
530 acids. Aq. Res, 234: 20.
- 531 Milke, L.M., Bricelj, V.M., Parrish. C.C., 2006. Comparison of early life history stages of the  
532 bay scallop. *Argopecten irradians*: Effects of microalgal diets on growth and biochemical  
533 composition. Aq. Res. 260: 17.
- 534 Neo, M.L., Todd, P.A., Chou, L.M., Teo, S.L.M., 2011. Spawning induction and larval  
535 development in the fluted giant clam. *Tridacna squamosa* (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae). Nat. Sing.  
536 4:157-161.
- 537 Nevejan, N., Davis, J., Little, K., Kilonia, A., 2007. Use of a formulated diet for mussel spat  
538 *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lamarck 1819) in a commercial hatchery. Journal Shell Res.  
539 26:357–363.



540 Peharda, M., Vilibić, I., 2008. Modelling the recruitment effect in a small marine protected area:  
541 the example of saltwater lakes on the Island of Mljet (Adriatic Sea). *Acta Adriatica*, 49:25–  
542 35.

543 Pérez-Vallazza, C., Álvarez-Vázquez, R., Cardona, L., Pintado, C., Hernández-Brito, J., 2008.  
544 Cetacean diversity at the west coast of La Palma Island (Canary Islands). *J. Mar. Bio. Ass.*  
545 UK, 88(06):1289-1296.

546 Pernet, F., Bricelj, V.M., Parrish, C.C., 2005. Effect of varying dietary levels of  $\omega 6$   
547 polyunsaturated fatty acids during the early ontogeny of the sea scallop. *Placopecten*  
548 *magellanicus*. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Eco.* 327:18.

549 Pernet, F., Lagarde, F., Le Gall, P., Roque D'orbcastel, E., 2014. Associations between farming  
550 practices and disease mortality of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in a Mediterranean lagoon.  
551 *Aq. Env. Int.*, 5(2):99-106.

552 Peteiro, L.G., Filgueira, R., Ayala, A.M., Fernández-Reiriz, M.J., 2007. Ciclo reproductivo de  
553 moluscos bivalvos. (IIM.CSIC). NIPO: 251-07-134-3.

554 Pettersen, A.K., Turchini, G.M., Jahangard, S., Ingram, B.A., Sherman, C.D., 2010. Effects of  
555 different dietary microalgae on survival, growth, settlement and fatty acid composition of  
556 blue mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) larvae. *Aquaculture* 309, 115–124.

557 Philippart, C.J., Van Aken, H.M., Beukema, J.J., Bos, O.G., Cadee, G.C., Dekker, R., 2003.  
558 Climate-related changes in recruitment of the bivalve *Macoma balthica*. *Lim. Oce.*,  
559 48(6):2171-2185.

560 Prado, P., Roque, A., Pérez, J., Ibáñez, C., Alcaraz, C., Casals, F., Caiola, N., 2016. Warming  
561 and acidification-mediated resilience to bacterial infection determine mortality of early  
562 *Ostrea edulis* life stages. *Mar. Eco. Prog. Ser.*, 545:189-202.

563 Prado, P., Tomas, F., Pinna, S., Farina, S., Roca, G., Ceccherelli, G., Romero, J., Alcoverro, T.,  
564 2012. Habitat and scale shape the demographic fate of the keystone sea urchin *Paracentrotus*  
565 *lividus* in Mediterranean macrophyte communities. PloS one 7: e35170.

566 Prado, S., Dubert, J., Da Costa, F., Martínez-Patiño, D., Barja, J.L., 2013. Vibrios in hatchery  
567 cultures of the razor clam. *Solen marginatus* (Pulteney). J. Fish. Dis, 37:209-217.

568 Prado, S., Romalde, J. L., Montes, J., Barja, J. L., 2005. Pathogenic bacteria isolated from  
569 disease outbreaks in shellfish hatcheries. First description of *Vibrio neptunius* as an oyster  
570 pathogen. Dis. Aq. Org. 67(3):209-215.

571 Rabaoui, L., Belgacem, W., Ismail, D. B., Mansour, L., Tlig-Zouari, S., 2015. Engineering  
572 effect of *Pinna nobilis* shells on benthic communities. Oceanologia, 57(3):271-279.

573 Raby, D., Lagadeuc, Y., Dodson, J. J., Mingelbier, M., 1994. Relationship between feeding and  
574 vertical distribution of bivalve larvae in stratified and mixed waters. Mar. Eco. Prog. Ser.  
575 103:275-275.

576 Ragg, N.L.C., King, N., Watts, E., Morrish, J. 2010. Optimising the delivery of the key dietary  
577 diatom *Chaetoceros calcitrans* to intensively cultured Greenshell™ mussel larvae, *Perna*  
578 *canaliculus*. Aquaculture, 306:270–280.

579 Renault, T., Arzul, I., 2001. Herpes-like virus infections in hatchery-reared bivalve larvae in  
580 Europe: specific viral DNA detection by PCR. J. Fish. Dis, 24(3):161-168.

581 Rico-Villa, B., Le Coz, J. R., Mingant, C., Robert, R., 2006. Influence of phytoplankton diet  
582 mixtures on microalgae consumption, larval development and settlement of the Pacific  
583 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). Aquaculture, 256(1):377-388.

584 Rodrigues, L.C., van den Bergh, J.C.J.M., Massa, F., Theodorou, J.A., Ziveri, P., Gazeau, F.,  
585 2015. Sensitivity of Mediterranean Bivalve Mollusc Aquaculture to Climate Change and  
586 Ocean Acidification: Results from a producers' survey. J. Shell. Res, 34(3):1161–1176.

587 Robles-Mungaray, M., 2004. Desarrollo de la biotecnología para la producción de semilla en  
588 laboratorio. diploide y triploide. de callo de hacha *Atrina maura* (Sowerby. 1835).  
589 Universidad Autónoma de Baja California Sur. Baja California Sur. México. pp 66.

590 Rojas, R., Miranda, C.D., Amaro, A.M., 2009. Pathogenicity of a highly exopolysaccharide-  
591 producing *Halomonas* strain causing epizootics in larval cultures of the Chilean scallop  
592 *Argopecten purpuratus* (Lamarck. 1819). *Micro. Ecol.* 57(1):129-139.

593 Rojas, R., Miranda, C. D., Romero, J., Asenjo, F., Valderrama, K., Segovia, C., ... Santander,  
594 J., 2015. Genome sequence of *Vibrio* VPAP30, isolated from an episode of massive  
595 mortality of reared larvae of the scallop *Argopecten purpuratus*. *Gen ann*, 3(4), e00745-15.

596 Ronquillo, J. D., Mckinley, R. S., 2006. Developmental stages and potential mariculture for  
597 coastal rehabilitation of endangered Pacific angelwing clam, *Pholas orientalis*. *Aquaculture*,  
598 256(1):180-191.

599 Rouanet, E., Trigos, S., Vicente, N., 2015. From youth to death of old age: the 50-year story of  
600 a *Pinna nobilis* fan mussel population at Port-Cros Island (Port-Cros National Park,  
601 Provence, Mediterranean Sea. *Sci. Rep. Port-Cros natl. Park*, 29:209-222.

602 Rumrill, S.S., 1990. Natural mortality of marine invertebrate larvae. *Ophelia* 21:163–198.

603 Sainz-Hernández, J.C., Maeda-Martínez, A.N., 2005. Sources of *Vibrio* bacteria in mollusc  
604 hatcheries and control methods: a case study. *Aq. Res.* 36:1611-1618.

605 Samain, J.F., McCombie, H. (EDS). 2008. Summer mortality of Pacific oyster *Crassostrea*  
606 *gigas*, the Morest project. Ifremer/Quæ Éditions, Versailles.

607 Shanks, A.L., Brink, L., 2005. Upwelling. downwelling. and cross-shelf transport of bivalve  
608 larvae: test of a hypothesis. *Mar. Eco. Prog. Ser.* 302:1-12.

609 Su, Z., Huang, L., Yan, Y., Li, H., 2007. The effect of different substrates on pearl oyster  
610 *Pinctada martensii* (Dunker) larvae settlement. *Aquaculture*, 271(1):377-383.

611 Sugumar, G., Nakai, T., Hirata, Y., Matsubara, D., Muroga, K., 1998. *Vibrio splendidus* biovar  
612 II as the causative agent of bacillary necrosis of Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas* larvae.  
613 Dis. Aq. Org. 33(2):111-118.

614 Talmage, S.C., Gobler, C.J. 2009. The effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentrations on the  
615 metamorphosis, size, and survival of larval hard clams (*Mercenaria mercenaria*), bay  
616 scallops (*Argopecten irradians*), and Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). Limnol.  
617 Oceanogr, 54:2072–2080.

618 Theodorou, J.A., James, R., Tagalis, D., Tzovenis, I., Hellio, C., Katselis, G., 2017. Density and  
619 size structure of the endangered bivalve *Pinna nobilis*, (fan mussel) in the shallow water  
620 zone of Maliakos Gulf, Greece. Acta Adriatica, 58(581):63-76.

621 Theodorou J.A., James R., Tzovenis I., Hellio C., 2015. The recruitment of the endangered fan  
622 mussel (*Pinna nobilis*, Linnaeus 1758) on the ropes of a Mediterranean mussel long line  
623 farm. J. Shell. Res, 34(2):409–414.

624 Thomas, G. R., Taylor, J., De Leaniz, C. G., 2010. Captive breeding of the endangered  
625 freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera*. End. Spe. Res, 12(1):1-9.

626 Troost, K., Veldhuizen, R., Stamhuis, E.J., Wolff, W.J., 2008. Can bivalve veligers escape  
627 feeding currents of adult bivalves? J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Eco, 358:185-196.

628 Trigos, S., Garcia-March, J.R., Vicente, N., Tena, J., Torres, J. 2015. Respiration rates of the fan  
629 mussel *Pinna nobilis* at different temperatures. J. Molluscan. Stud. 81:217–222.  
630 doi:10.1093/mollus/eyu075.

631 Vázquez-Luis, M., Álvarez, E., Barrajon, A., García-March, J.R., Grau, A., Hendriks, I.E.,  
632 Jiménez, S., Kersting, D., Moreno, D., Pérez, M., Ruiz, J.M., Sánchez, J., Villalba, A.,  
633 Deudero, S., 2017. S.O.S. *Pinna nobilis*: A Mass Mortality Event in Western Mediterranean  
634 Sea. Front. Mar. Sci. 4:220. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00220

- 635 Vicente, N., 1986. La grande Nacre de Méditerranée. Parc national de Port-Cros. [plaquette de  
636 présentation]. 4 volets.
- 637 Vicente, N., Moreteau, V., 1991. Statut de *Pinna nobilis* L. en Méditerranée (Mollusque  
638 Eulamellibranche). In: Boudouresque CF, Avon M, Gravez V (eds) Les Espèces Marines à  
639 Protéger en Méditerranée. GIS Posidonie, Marseille, p 159–168.
- 640 Vincie, M.E., 2008. Development of a suitable diet for endangered juvenile oyster mussels,  
641 *Epioblasma capsaeformis* (Bivalvia: Unionidae), reared in a captive environment (Doctoral  
642 dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- 643

644

645

646 **Table 1.** Different established doses depending on volume and cell density.

647

	<u>LOW DOSE (L)</u>	<u>OPTIMAL DOSE (L)</u>	<u>HIGH DOSE (L)</u>
<b><u>TANK 5L</u></b>			
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i>	=	<u>0.04</u>	=
<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	=	<u>0.14</u>	=
<i>Pavlova lutheri</i>	=	<u>0.05</u>	=
<b><u>TOTAL (L)</u></b>	=	<b><u>0.23</u></b>	=
<b><u>TANK 16L</u></b>			
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.14</u>
<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	<u>0.23</u>	<u>0.46</u>	<u>0.69</u>
<i>Pavlova lutheri</i>	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.23</u>
<b><u>TOTAL (L)</u></b>	<b><u>0.41</u></b>	<b><u>0.72</u></b>	<b><u>1.05</u></b>
<b><u>TANK 80L</u></b>			
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i>	=	<u>0.57</u>	=
<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	=	<u>2.29</u>	=
<i>Pavlova lutheri</i>	=	<u>0.76</u>	=
<b><u>TOTAL (L)</u></b>	=	<b><u>3.62</u></b>	=

648

649

650

651

652

653

654 **Table 2.** Time of larvae development in *Pinna nobilis* at 21°C. pH 8.50. O<sub>2</sub> 6.5 mgO<sub>2</sub>·L<sup>-1</sup> and  
655 salinity 38.0psu.

656

<b>Stage</b>	<b>Cumulated time (h:min)</b>	<b>Size (µm)</b>
<i>Spawning</i>	<i>0:00</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Sperm attachment</i>	<i>0:00</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>1<sup>st</sup> polar body</i>	<i>0:15</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Double membrane</i>	<i>0:30</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>1<sup>st</sup> inclusion</i>	<i>0:40</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Blastule</i>	<i>5:00</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Gastrule</i>	<i>8:00</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Early trocophore</i>	<i>22:00</i>	<i>65</i>
<i>Late trocophore</i>	<i>30:00</i>	<i>70</i>
<i>Early veliger</i>	<i>48:00</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Late veliger</i>	<i>72:00</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Early umbonade</i>	<i>144:00</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Pediveliger</i>	<i>168:00</i>	<i>110</i>

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664



665 **Fig. 1** Correlation between broodstock size (Ht) and number of oocytes expelled per L. N = 26  
666 adults.

667 **Fig 2.** Early developmental stages of *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** first polar body (00:15); **(B)** fertilized  
668 oocytes with double membrane (00:30); **(C)** first inclusion (00:40); **(D)** first complete division  
669 (01:00); **(E)** 3<sup>th</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> division (03:00); **(F)** end of cell division phase (04:30). cp. polar body;  
670 ep. periviteline membrane; ma. macromere; mi. micromere.

671 **Fig 3.** Early larval stages in *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** early trocophore (22:00); **(B)** late trocophore (30:  
672 00); **(C and D)** isometric view of late veliger (72:00); **(E and F)** early umbonate (144:00); **(G)**  
673 pediveliger (168:00) **(H)** lateral view of attached larva. ci. cili; fa. Apical flagella; v. vellum;  
674 ma. posterior adductor muscle; gd. digestive glandule; u. umbo; p. foot; pI. Prodissoconch I; pII.  
675 Prodissoconch II.

676 **Fig 4.** Larval growth at the different experimental volumes (5, 16, and 80L). The red line  
677 indicates the moment when larvae stop swimming, concurring with the end of growth within 16  
678 and 80 L tanks (arrows).

679 **Fig 5.** Daily evolution of larval survival according to photoperiod and phytoplankton dose.

680 **Fig 6.** Common diseases observed in *Pinna nobilis*: **(A)** loss of vellum structure; **(B)** bacterial  
681 movement inside and around the larvae “swarming”; **(C)** larvae clusters attached by mucus  
682 “spotting”.