



This document is a postprint version of an article published in *Science of the Total Environment* © Elsevier after peer review. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.317>

Document downloaded from:



1 **Agricultural soil organic carbon stocks in the north-eastern Iberian Peninsula: drivers**
2 **and spatial variability.**

3 Inmaculada Funes^{1*}; Robert Savé¹; Pere Rovira³; Roberto Molowny-Horas²; Josep M.
4 Alcañiz²; Emilio Ascaso⁴; Ignasi Herms⁴; Carmen Herrero⁵; Jaume Boixadera⁵ and Jordi
5 Vayreda

6 ¹IRTA. Torre Marimon, Ctra. C-59 km 12.1 E-08140 Caldes de Montbui (Barcelona), Spain

7 ²CREAF, Centre de Recerca Ecològica i Aplicacions Forestals, E-08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del
8 Vallès), Catalonia, Spain

9 ³CTFC. Forest Science and Technology Centre of Catalonia. Solsona, 25250 (Spain).

10 ⁴Àrea de Recursos Geològics, Institut Cartogràfic i Geològic de Catalunya (ICGC), E-08038
11 Barcelona, Spain

12 ⁵DARP. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Food. Government of Catalonia. Lleida, E-
13 25198 (Spain).

14 ***Corresponding author.** Permanent address: IRTA Torre Marimon, C-59 km 12.1, Caldes de
15 Montbui, 08140, Barcelona (Spain). Tel.: +34 902 789 449 x1322; fax: +34 93 8650954; E-mail
16 address: inmaculada.funes@irta.cat

17

18 ***Highlights***

- 19
- SOC stocks were modelled using legacy data, environmental factors and geostatistics.
 - The importance of SOC stock drivers differed in the top and subsoil.
 - Effects of drivers on agricultural SOC stocks vary spatially at the regional scale.
 - SOC stocks in Catalan agricultural soils contain 4.88 ± 0.89 kg/m².
 - A baseline framework was established to design climate change mitigation strategies.
- 20
21
22
23
24

25 **Abstract**

26 Estimating soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks under agriculture, assessing the importance of their
27 drivers and understanding the spatial distribution of SOC stocks are crucial to predicting possible
28 future SOC stocks scenarios under climate change conditions and to designing appropriate
29 mitigation and adaptation strategies. This study characterized and modelled SOC stocks at two soil
30 depth intervals, topsoil (0-30 cm) and subsoil (30-100 cm), based on both legacy and recent data
31 from 7,245 agricultural soil profiles and using environmental drivers (climate, agricultural practices
32 and soil properties) for agricultural soils in Catalonia (NE Spain). Generalized Least Square (GLS)
33 and Geographical Weighted Regression (GWR) were used as modelling approaches to: (i) assess
34 the main SOC stock drivers and their effects on SOC stocks; (ii) analyse spatial variability of SOC
35 stocks and their relationships with the main drivers; and (iii) predict and map SOC stocks at the
36 regional scale. While topsoil variation of SOC stocks depended mainly on climate, soil texture and
37 agricultural variables, subsoil SOC stocks changes depended mainly on soil attributes such as soil
38 texture, clay content, soil type or depth to bedrock. The GWR model revealed that the relationship
39 between SOC stocks and drivers varied spatially. Finally, the study was only able to predict and map
40 topsoil SOC stocks at the regional scale, because controlling factors of SOC stocks at the subsoil
41 level were largely unavailable for digital mapping. According to the resulting map, the mean SOC
42 stock value for Catalan agriculture at the topsoil level was $4.88 \pm 0.89 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and the total magnitude
43 of the carbon pool in agricultural soils of Catalonia up to 30 cm reached 47.9 Tg. The present study
44 findings are useful for defining carbon sequestration strategies at the regional scale related with
45 agricultural land use changes and agricultural management practices in a context of climate change.

46

47 **Keywords:** Agricultural SOC stocks, mitigation strategies, Generalized Least Square, Geographical
48 Weighted Regression, Mediterranean agriculture.

49

50 **1. Introduction**

51 Soils are the largest carbon (C) terrestrial sink at global level, containing approximately 1500 Pg
52 C at 1 m depth (Batjes, 2014), and the C stored exceeds that stored in plant biomass and the
53 atmosphere (Vicente-Vicente et al., 2016). However, soils can become a source of atmospheric
54 carbon dioxide (CO₂) depending on, for example, land use or management practices (Smith,
55 2012). Land use change is the leading cause of soil organic carbon (SOC) depletion at the global
56 scale, while the main current land use change is deforestation for cultivation, particularly in
57 subtropical and tropical countries (Canadell et al., 2007). Overall, in temperate zones, cropland
58 areas lose more than 50% of their original SOC at the topsoil (0-30 cm) in about 25 to 50 years
59 after conversion from natural ecosystems, due to changes in soil temperature, moisture regimes,
60 soil disturbance and erosion (Lal et al., 2011). Compared to their initial status before cultivation,
61 cropland soils covering 40-50% of global land surface (Smith, 2012) have lost about 55 Pg C
62 worldwide (Canadell et al., 2007), but at present they still store an overall pool of 157 Pg C down
63 to 1 m depth (Jobbagy & Jackson, 2000), which is about 10% of the global SOC pool. Fortunately,
64 agricultural soils can be managed through the implementation of Recommended Management
65 Practices (RMPs) to improve and restore SOC content and soil properties (Lal et al., 2011). In
66 this respect, mitigation strategies such as the '*4 per mille Soils for Food Security and Climate*',
67 launched at COP21, seek to increase global soil organic matter stock as a compensation for the
68 global emissions of GHGs, in this case, by increasing SOC 0.4 per cent per year in the first two
69 meters of soil (Minasny et al., 2017).

70 Understanding the current spatial distribution of SOC stocks and its main drivers will help to
71 predict SOC stocks changes in future climate change (CC) scenarios and define CC mitigation
72 strategies (Yigini & Panagos, 2016). Many studies have tried to illustrate the influence of
73 environmental drivers on soil properties as a means to understand SOC distribution based on
74 variables such as land use, soil type, parent material, topography and climate (see review in
75 Zhang et al., 2011). It is widely known that climate variables are important drivers of SOC stock:
76 increasing SOC is associated with higher annual precipitation and lower temperature (Fantappie

77 et al., 2011; Hoyle et al., 2016). Soil properties can also affect SOC stocks inasmuch as organic
78 C is stabilized by means of physical protection or chemical mechanisms (Lawrence et al., 2015).

79 Mediterranean agriculture is characterized by net primary productivity regulated by limiting
80 factors and scarce resources, such as poor water availability, soil disturbance and nutrient
81 deficiencies (Rashid & Ryan, 2004; Torrent, 2005). Limiting net primary productivity in agriculture
82 under Mediterranean conditions consequently reduces SOC stocks in Mediterranean agricultural
83 soils, since C inputs, such as litter, roots or crop residues, are limited. Soil C sequestration occurs
84 if the balance between C inputs and outputs (through emissions from respiration and
85 mineralization) is positive and finally leads to increased SOC stocks. Several meta-analyses
86 have been performed about SOC sequestration (C inputs > C outputs) in Mediterranean
87 agricultural systems (Aguilera et al., 2013; Vicente-Vicente et al., 2016) with reference to land
88 uses and RMPs. A more than likely future climate scenario in the Mediterranean region entails
89 an increase in temperatures linked with a decrease in available soil water content that would
90 negatively affect yields and, consequently, associated soil C inputs. However, although it is
91 widely known that warming increases microbial activity, soil moisture could act as the main driver
92 of soil biomes in Mediterranean environments, limiting SOC losses by microbial mineralization
93 (Alcañiz et al., 2016). At all events, water management (irrigation or soil water harvesting and
94 storage) is critical to the feasibility of the agricultural sector in Mediterranean regions (Montanaro
95 et al., 2017) and the avoidance of SOC losses, since available water for crops increases biomass
96 productivity, turnover of organic matter timing and humus formation (Lal, 2001).

97 Measure and prediction of SOC stocks has become a key issue in the last few decades, due
98 to the potential impacts of climate change on them. Making accurate predictions in complex
99 systems such as soils is a challenge, because, among other issues, data on soils is very often
100 outdated, limited and fragmented (Chiti et al., 2012; Aksoy et al., 2016). However, there is a wide
101 range of techniques used in predicting and mapping SOC from landscape to national or
102 continental levels (see review in Minasny et al., 2013). Modelling based on experimental data

103 provides opportunities to quantify the impacts of different management practices and future
104 climate change conditions on SOC stocks (Zhang et al., 2016). The definition of a SOC stock
105 baseline (e.g. Lugato et al., 2014a or FAO, 2018) is essential for future evaluations and,
106 particularly in agricultural ecosystems, could contribute to assessment of the starting or ending
107 point of the stock change that may occur after land use changes (Chiti et al., 2012) or after the
108 establishment of certain RMPs. Moreover, mapping SOC stocks based on dynamic drivers, such
109 as crop type, management or climate, and static drivers such as soil properties or topography
110 would contribute to a better understanding of the spatial pattern of SOC stocks in agricultural
111 Mediterranean soils.

112 To date, other SOC stock assessments have been performed for soils under agriculture in
113 the study area (national level: Rodriguez-Martin et al., 2016 and sub-national level: Alvaro-
114 Fuentes et al., 2011), but the present study focuses particularly on SOC stocks in agricultural
115 soils based on a database containing data from a large number of agricultural soil profiles, with
116 a high density of sampling points, distributed throughout the study area. Moreover, the present
117 study provides the first assessment of agricultural SOC stocks in topsoil (first 30 cm) and subsoil
118 (30 cm to 100 cm) while considering the main SOC drivers for Catalonia (32,108 km² NE Spain),
119 a region that is representative of the diverse Mediterranean agricultural systems. In the present
120 study, geostatistical techniques were applied to model SOC stocks for agricultural soils in the
121 study area based on legacy data from 7,245 agricultural soil profiles.

122 The main objectives of this study were: i) to assess SOC stocks at two depth intervals (top
123 and subsoil) in soil profile; ii) to identify the main explanatory variables driving SOC stocks at the
124 regional scale; iii) to analyse the spatial variability of relationships between SOC stocks and
125 drivers; and iv) to map SOC stocks at the regional scale using a subset of explanatory variables
126 (climatic, topographic and agricultural management).

127

128 **2. Material and Methods**

129 The technical flowchart of this study is shown in Figure 1 indicating the main steps followed in
130 this section.

131 *2.1 Study area*

132 The study area is limited to agricultural soils in the north-eastern Iberian Peninsula (Catalonia,
133 Fig. 2). According to SIGPAC (2016), the Agricultural Plots Geographical Information System for
134 the year 2016, the cropland area in Catalonia is about 8,837 km², not including pastures (340
135 km²) and abandoned cropland (639 km²). Almost 67% of the cropland area remains under rainfed
136 conditions (Fig. 2). Arable land is the most widespread cropland, representing 61% of cropland
137 area, followed by woody crops: Orchard category (17%), Olives (15%) and Vineyard (6%).
138 Agricultural land uses extension in km² is shown in the stacked bar graph of Fig. 2, with its spatial
139 distribution in Fig. 3. Catalonia presents Mediterranean climatic conditions characterized by mild
140 winters and hot and dry summers (Terradas & Savé, 1992), but diverse meso- and micro-
141 climates can be found. A strong climatic gradient (Martin-Vide et al., 2016) is defined by mean
142 annual temperature (ranging from 0 to 17.3 °C) and annual precipitation (from 1,464 mm in the
143 Pyrenees to 335 mm in the Ebro Valley). Moreover, a marked continentality gradient is presented
144 between inland (W) and coast (E). See more details in Figure A.1.

145 Agriculture in the study area is mainly developed over Inceptisols and Entisols (mainly
146 Fluvents and Orthents) and, to a much lesser extent, over Alfisols, Aridisols and Mollisols (SSS,
147 2014). Inceptisols (medium-poorly developed soils) over calcareous substrates and Entisols
148 (very poorly developed soils) cover most of the study area. Aridisols are typical of areas where
149 evapotranspiration is higher than precipitation, limiting crop production except when irrigation is
150 applied, in which case high yields are obtained. Aridisols are mainly located in the Ebro valley, a
151 historically irrigated cropland area. Agricultural soils are mostly medium (loamy) textured, with a
152 basic reaction. Calcium carbonate-rich soils are dominant, often with a petrocalcic horizon as a
153 root-limiting layer. Salinity problems occur over significant areas in the Ebro Valley and river
154 deltas.

155 *2.2 Data harmonization and SOC stock estimations*

156 *Data collection*

157 Soil data has been obtained from: i) the Soil database of Catalonia (BDSisCat; ICGC, 2018) of
158 the Cartographic and Geological Institute of Catalonia (ICGC, acronym in Catalan) and ii) soil
159 data of the Department of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries, Food and Environment of the Catalan
160 Government (DARP, acronym in Catalan). Most of this data is derived from the soil survey for
161 the Soil Map of Catalonia 1:25000 (MSC25M; ICGC, 2017). The initial dataset included data of
162 7,245 soil profiles, acquired from 1980 to 2015.

163 *SOC stock estimations*

164 SOC stocks were estimated for two depth intervals through the vertical soil profile: standard
165 depth intervals for topsoil (0-30 cm) and subsoil (30-100 cm). For a given horizon, the SOC stock,
166 in kg/m², was calculated as follows:

167
$$\text{SOC} = \text{Bd} \cdot (\text{OCc}/100) \cdot 10000 \cdot \text{Th} \cdot (1 - \text{S}) \cdot (1/1000) \quad [1]$$

168 where Bd is bulk density (g cm⁻³), OCc the concentration of OC in the fine earth (as % w/w), Th
169 stands for the thickness of the horizon in cm, and S the stoniness (dimensionless), understood
170 as the fraction of horizon volume (0 to 1) occupied by gravel and stones. Whereas stoniness
171 was estimated visually in the field during soil profile description and sampling, bulk density is
172 rarely measured in the field, and it was approached by a pedotransfer function (Honeysett and
173 Ratkowski, 1989). The total SOC stock of a given profile is the cumulative sum of the SOC stocks
174 in the individual horizons, down to the desired depth.

175 Often this depth (either 30 or 100 cm) does not match the lowermost limit of any horizon. In such
176 a case, it is necessary to apply a correction factor for the stock of the last horizon. Let us assume
177 that the soil has n horizons, and that the last one is divided by two by this desired depth, d_D . The
178 total cumulative SOC stock, SOC_C , will be:

179

$$180 \quad SOC_C = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} SOC_i \right) + \left(SOC_n \cdot \frac{d_D - d_{Un}}{d_{Ln} - d_{Un}} \right) \quad [2]$$

181 where SOC_C is the cumulative sum of the SOC stocks of all horizons down to the desired
182 depth (either 30 or 100 cm), n stands for the horizon number which is divided by two by the
183 desired depth, d_D indicates the desired limit, d_{Un} is the depth of the upper limit of this horizon, and
184 d_{Ln} is the depth of the lower limit of this horizon.

185 *Data selection*

186 Profiles were excluded if data of one or more of the explanatory variables was missing (mainly
187 soil properties; listed in Fig. 1) or if data needed to estimate SOC stocks was missing, as well.
188 The deeper the lower limit of the depth interval, the fewer the number of profiles contained in the
189 dataset, for usually only top horizons were analysed in soil site legacy data on organic matter
190 and other soil properties. Spatial distribution of profiles' final dataset for each horizon (top and
191 subsoil) is shown, respectively, in Figure A.2.

192

193 *2.3 Explanatory variables*

194 A set of climatic (MAT, MAP, MAP/MAT, ET_0 , AI; see definition of abbreviations in Fig. 1 caption),
195 topographic (altitude), agricultural (land use and water management) and soil variables (soil
196 texture, soil type, soil drainage, clay content and depth to bedrock) was used as potential
197 explanatory variables for modelling SOC stocks (listed in the *Explanatory Variables* section of
198 the methodology flowchart in Fig. 1). Detailed information about explanatory variables and their
199 sources are explained in Appendix B of methodology.

200

201 *2.4 Statistical analyses and modelling*

202 Statistical and modelling analysis of the SOC stock data was conducted using the R software (R
203 Development Core Team, 2014) and ArcGIS 10.3. (ESRI, 2011). In the analysis, the steps
204 described below were followed:

- 205 1. Firstly, a descriptive statistics analysis (i.e. mean and standard deviation) of SOC stock
206 data was carried out to characterize our datasets (Table 1). The results were aggregated
207 by levels of the categorical explanatory variables.
- 208 2. Next, a preliminary visual inspection of the relationships between response and
209 explanatory variables was performed. A careful assessment of these relationships led us
210 to apply a square-root transformation of the SOC stock data to reduce or eliminate the
211 impact of any heteroscedastic errors present in the data .
- 212 3. We then applied analysis-of-variance (ANOVA) to check for significant differences among
213 the mean values of the square-root-transformed SOC stock data. To further test whether
214 there existed significant pair-wise differences we used a post-hoc Tukey HSD test.
- 215 4. A linear regression (LR) was subsequently performed to assess the predictive power of
216 the selected set of explanatory variables, to measure the presence of collinearity effects
217 between them and, finally, to investigate the existence of spatial correlation in the
218 residuals of the fit. The LR model was separately applied to the square-root-transformed
219 top and subsoil SOC stock datasets. The starting set of explanatory variables included
220 environmental, pedological and agricultural drivers. Once the LR model had been
221 computed, variance inflation factors (VIFs) of the continuous explanatory variables
222 included in the model (*vif* function in the “car” R package) were calculated to evaluate the
223 absence of collinearity, before proceeding to eliminate those variables whose VIF was
224 greater than 2 (i.e. moderately to highly correlated). Next, a backward stepwise model
225 selection strategy with all the remaining variables for both top and subsoil datasets was
226 performed, choosing the model with the lowest Akaike information criterion (*stepAIC*
227 function of the “MASS” R package).

228 5. The residuals at every spatial location from the resulting best LR models were then
229 determined and the corresponding Moran index was calculated (Rangel et al., 2006)
230 using ArcGIS 10.3 for both top and subsoil datasets.

231 6. Once the Moran index analysis confirmed the presence of spatial correlation of residuals
232 (For topsoil database, Moran's Index= 0.138; z-score=12.173; $p < 0.01$ and, for subsoil
233 database, Moran's Index=0.095; z-score=4.438; $p < 0.01$), their spatial correlation
234 structure was explicitly modelled with the aid of a General Least Squares (GLS) analysis
235 (gls function of the "nlme" R package). GLS is a regression technique by which the spatial
236 component of the residual term is explicitly modelled in the variance-covariance matrix
237 using parametric functions (Gaussian, exponential, lineal, etc.). In our case, GLS included
238 X-Y site coordinates in the random-effect part of the model. Prior to the GLS calculations,
239 data sets were partitioned into training and test subsets, containing 70% and 30% of data
240 points, respectively. Next, backward stepwise model selection was performed, starting
241 once again from a full model and employing the training subset for the calculations of
242 parameter estimates. We instructed the backward stepwise procedure to remove only
243 one or two variables at each step, due to limitations in available computing power. The
244 criterion for model selection was mean square error (MSE), so the lower the MSE
245 between the test data points and their corresponding predicted values (the latter
246 determined with the parameter estimates from the model selection step), the better the
247 model. For the spatial covariance part of the GLS model, an exponential correlation
248 structure was chosen, which satisfactorily accounted for distance-decay effects. With the
249 help of GLS outputs, the proportional contribution that each remaining explanatory
250 variable made to the R^2 coefficient was calculated. In addition, the significance of each
251 predictor based on the p -value of the ANOVA of best-fitting model and the relative
252 importance of variables (RIV) in explaining variation in SOC stocks were assessed. In
253 order to rank controlling factors of SOC stocks, the RIV of each variable was assessed

254 as the % of the difference between the R^2 from the best-fitting model and the R^2 from the
255 model removing each variable.

256 7. To further understand how the relationship between SOC stock and the explanatory
257 variables changes spatially, a geographically weighted regression (GWR; Fotheringham
258 & Oshan; 2016) was also performed. The GWR technique can be used to show the spatial
259 variation of parameter estimates, which are determined locally rather than globally with a
260 weighted least squares scheme. These weights are specified so that closer points have
261 more influence on the determination of a local parameter than points located further away.
262 Considering that soil samples in the present study were not regularly distributed in space,
263 as a weighting function an adaptive spatial Gaussian kernel with a dynamically
264 determined bandwidth was employed. GWR was performed using the same explanatory
265 variables selected previously with the GLS model selection procedure, but this time all
266 data points were included (i.e. without splitting the dataset into training and test subsets).
267 Maps of continuous spatial distribution of a) GWR local estimates, b) local R^2 and c)
268 residuals were generated by kriging interpolation to explore varying spatial relationships
269 between SOC stock values and the main drivers, as well as to evaluate model
270 performance at local and global scales.

271 To evaluate model performance in predicting SOC stock content, the global coefficient
272 of determination (R^2), Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Mean Error (ME) were calculated.
273 These indices were evaluated with the test data set for GLS models and with a complete data
274 set for GWR.

275

276 *2.5 Mapping SOC stocks*

277 Digital mapping of SOC stocks was performed by applying a regression (GLS) of SOC stocks on
278 spatial data of the environmental variables considered as predictors. Due to problems of
279 unavailability of good spatial resolution for several covariates, such as soil properties, the

280 covariates used in the GLS models for mapping (hereafter, GLSmap) differed from those
281 employed in the GLS models used to assess the predictive power of each driver, as explained
282 in step 6 of the previous section. Therefore, GLS models had to be re-fitted based on a new set
283 of covariates. To apply the GLSmap regression equation, a set of map layers in raster format
284 was used. Spatial data on agricultural covariates (categorical) was converted to dummy rasters
285 (values of either 1 or 0 showing presence or absence of each category, respectively). Spatial
286 data on climatic variables was obtained from the Digital Climatic Atlas of Catalonia and altitude
287 data was drawn from the DEM of Catalonia (same rasters described in Appendix B of extended
288 Material and Methods). Prediction was performed by applying map algebra (through the GLSmap
289 regression equation and the set of covariates maps) using the GIS tool *Raster calculator* of
290 ArcGIS 10.3.1 (ESRI, 2011). Prediction at the pixel level needed to be corrected by adding kriged
291 residuals (differences between measured and predicted SOC stock at observed locations) from
292 the GLS fit, in order to correct spatial correlation of residuals following Ninyerola et al. (2000).
293 This procedure is also known as Regression Kriging in geostatistics (Chen et al., 2018).

294 The final corrected SOC stock maps were back-transformed from square-root in order to
295 yield SOC stock values in kg/m² units. Spatial resolution was set to 180x180 m, as used in the
296 climatic maps.

297

298 **3. Results**

299 **3.1 Descriptive statistical and depth profile SOC stock distribution**

300 Mean SOC stock values were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) for each categorical variable at the
301 topsoil and subsoil (Table 1). Rice showed the highest mean SOC stock at the top and subsoil.
302 Grazed pastures showed the highest mean SOC stock at the topsoil, but the lowest at the subsoil.
303 Vineyard soils showed the lowest value in the topsoil. Irrigated cropland presented higher SOC
304 stocks than rainfed at both top and subsoil. Poor profile drainage were associated to higher SOC

305 stocks in both top and subsoil. With respect to textural classes, higher SOC values were linked
306 to finer textures. Mollisols had the highest SOC stocks at the topsoil, while Entisols had the
307 highest SOC stocks at the subsoil. Averaged values of SOC stock for agricultural land use in soil
308 up to a depth of 1 m were $\sim 10 \text{ kg/m}^2$, ranging from 9.2 to 14.7 kg/m^2 , corresponding to vineyard
309 and rice agricultural land use categories, respectively. For all categories, more than 50% of the
310 total stock relative to 1 m depth was located in the subsoil (30-100 cm), except for pastures
311 (especially grazed pasture), excessive drainages, Mollisols and Aridisols (Table 1).

312

313 *3.2 GLS and GWR modelling, model evaluation and relative importance of explanatory* 314 *variables*

315 *3.2.1 GLS model performance and relative importance of variables*

316 GLS models accounted for 27% and 20% of variations of SOC stocks at the top and subsoil,
317 respectively (Table 2). The negative values of Mean Error (ME) obtained imply that all the
318 prediction models were negatively unbiased, suggesting under prediction. Like R^2 , RMSE was
319 lower in the topsoil than in the subsoil. On the one hand, in the GLS model for topsoil significant
320 coefficients of SOC stock (square-root transformed) were found for agricultural land use, water
321 management and textural class. Climate variable MAP/MAT showed a positive relationship with
322 SOC stock. However, clay content presented a significant negative coefficient of SOC stock
323 (square-root transformed). In Figure A.9 (a) we can see how clay content and SOC stock
324 (square-root transformed) at the topsoil were positively correlated up to $\sim 30 \text{ kg clay /m}^2$, and
325 from this point on the relationship presented a slightly negative trend strongly conditioned by
326 higher clay content, making the general trend negative. A drainage factor was not significant in
327 the model, and soil type and soil depth were variables previously dismissed in the backward
328 stepwise performance. On the other hand, the GLS model for subsoil showed significant
329 coefficients for agricultural land use, excessive drainage and soil type (Table 2). Clay content
330 and depth profiles at the subsoil showed a significant positive coefficient of SOC stock (square-

331 root transformed). Water management and MAP/MAT were previously excluded in the backward
332 stepwise performance.

333 The RIV for SOC stocks differed between top and subsoil (Fig. 4). In order to explain topsoil
334 SOC stocks in the GLS model, textural class was the most important variable, followed by
335 agricultural land use, MAP/MAT ratio, clay content, and finally water management. Soil
336 properties explained 39% of topsoil SOC stock variability, land use and management 18%, and
337 climate 15%. In the subsoil, the depth of the profile had the strongest influence on SOC stocks,
338 followed by soil type, textural class, clay content, agricultural land use and finally drainage. Thus,
339 soil properties explain more than 44% of SOC stock variability at the subsoil, whereas land use
340 accounts for just under 6%.

341

342 *3.2.2 GWR model performance*

343 GWR global coefficients of SOC stock (square-root transformed) and model evaluation (Table
344 S2) were in line with GLS performance (Table 2). GWR coefficients of variables ranged from
345 negative to positive, indicating the existence of spatially varying relationships between SOC
346 stock and their explanatory variables (coefficients from the dynamic variables at the topsoil in
347 Fig. 5 and coefficients from the rest of the explanatory variables can be found in SM). GLS and
348 GWR global estimates for the MAP/MAT variable presented a positive sign, since the
349 combination of high precipitation and low temperatures is related with high SOC stocks. Positive
350 MAP/MAT coefficients at the topsoil (Fig. 5a) were distributed right across the study area,
351 excluding the Catalan Central Depression, where negative coefficients were obtained. The
352 obvious reason is that, in these areas, irrigation countervails drought, and high levels of plant
353 production are attained. Although local estimates for rainfed crops (Fig.5b) were negative at the
354 topsoil throughout the study area, the intensity of the relationships was not constant. Rainfed
355 crops presented lower SOC stocks than irrigated right across the study area, with a more marked
356 difference in the Catalan Central Depression. GWR coefficients for all the agricultural land use

357 categories showed a similar spatial pattern at the topsoil (Fig. 5c and Fig. A.3 from b to g): they
358 were negative all over Catalonia, showing the greatest magnitude in the East central areas.

359 The remaining variable coefficients for top and subsoil varied spatially in magnitude and even
360 sign as well (some examples in Fig. A.3 and Fig. A.5). Higher local R^2 values were observed in
361 northern areas for the topsoil GWR model (Fig. A.4a). In contrast to topsoil, higher local R^2 values
362 were observed in southern zones for subsoil GWR local models (Fig. A.6a). GWR bandwidth
363 sizes (km) were smaller for topsoil (Fig. A.4d) than subsoil (Fig. A.6d) at certain locations due to
364 sample density (small bandwidth size was correlated to high sample density). GWR residuals
365 were randomly spatially distributed from positive (blue colour) to negative (red colour) values at
366 the top (Fig. A.4b) and subsoil (Fig. A.6b).

367

368 *3.3 Mapping SOC stocks: a baseline map*

369 Coefficients of the GLSmap model were used at the pixel level (180 x 180 m) to predict SOC
370 stocks (Table A.3). Explanatory variables used in the GLSmap model, limited by mapping
371 availability and showing the best-fitting model, were: agricultural land use, water management,
372 aridity index and altitude. Correlation coefficients of variables in the GLSmap model matched
373 with those obtained from the GLS model used to assess the predictive power of covariates. The
374 correlation coefficient (R^2) for the topsoil GLSmap model is 0.18. The agricultural soils of northern
375 areas (Pyrenees and Pre-Pyrenees) have relatively higher SOC stocks ($> 6.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) than the
376 rest of the region (Figure 6). Paddy fields, found in two areas (Ebro Delta and Empordà plain),
377 stood out with high SOC stocks. Moderate SOC stocks ($4.0\text{-}5.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were located in the Ebro
378 valley, southern and north-eastern regions, representing almost 84% of the study area. Soils with
379 lower SOC stocks ($< 4.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were concentrated along the Pre-Coastal Depression (from
380 central to south), coinciding with some important vineyard and olive growing regions. Residuals
381 of the GLSmap model for SOC stocks at the topsoil showed spatial heterogeneity: negative (red

382 colour) and positive (green colour) residuals, under and over predicting SOC stocks,
383 respectively, were observed (Fig. A.7).

384 Averaged SOC stock values in the topsoil derived from mapping of Catalonia agriculture
385 ranged from 0.99 to 13.98 kg/m² and the mean value was 4.88 ± 0.89 kg/m². Estimation of
386 absolute values of SOC stocks for the topsoil total 47.89 Tg for all the agricultural land in the
387 study area (Table 3). Most agricultural land uses (arable land, orchard, olive and abandoned
388 land) presented a gaussian-like distribution of SOC stock classes (i.e. symmetric histograms with
389 most of the surface bunched in the middle SOC stock classes: from 4 to 5.5 kg/m²), unlike other
390 agricultural land uses that showed left- (rice, pastures and grazed pastures) and right- (vineyard)
391 skewed histograms (Fig. A.8). The GLSmap model for subsoil (data not shown) indicated a
392 negligible explained variability ($R^2= 0.066$), and consequently mapping was dismissed.

393

394 **4. Discussion**

395 *4.1 Characterizing agricultural SOC stocks and its vertical distribution up to 1m*

396 The mean SOC stock values obtained from both data sets (Table 1) were in line with the previous
397 SOC characterizations or estimations for agricultural soils down to 30 cm in other Mediterranean
398 (Chiti et al., 2012; Rodriguez-Martin et al., 2016; Farina et al., 2017) and non-Mediterranean
399 (Martin et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015) regions.

400 Mean SOC stock values differed substantially from those drawn from studies in non-
401 Mediterranean agricultural systems and other land uses. Higher values were found in agricultural
402 soils at northern or tropical latitudes (Neufeldt, 2005; Adhikari et al., 2014; Bonfatti et al., 2016).
403 Lower SOC stock values have been published for agricultural soils in southern, semi-arid or arid
404 regions (Albaladejo et al., 2013; Hoyle et al., 2016; Chakan et al., 2017; Muñoz-Rojas et al.,
405 2017; Schillaci et al., 2017a). Likewise, lower SOC stock values were estimated in Spanish soils
406 in forest, shrubland and grassland systems estimated at 1 m depth by Doblás-Miranda et al.
407 (2013), perhaps because these land uses are mainly encountered on shallower soils or steep
408 slopes, whereas deeper soils and gentle slopes are preferable used for cultivated fields

409 (Albaladejo et al., 2013; Lacoste et al., 2014) that have a greater capacity to store SOC.
410 Notwithstanding this, when only the first 30 cm were considered, higher mean values were found
411 under forests, shrublands and grasslands in Spain (Rodriguez-Martin et al., 2016).

412 The present study estimated that more than 50% of the total stock to 1 m depth is located in
413 the subsoil (Table 1). These results are similar to those for soils in other climatically different
414 regions like Iran (Chakan et al., 2017) and NW France (Lacoste et al., 2014), but are in contrast
415 to findings for northern latitudes, where SOC stocks are greater in topsoil (Neufeldt, 2005; Kumar
416 et al., 2013; Adhikari et al., 2014). It is commonly found that soil C generally decreases
417 exponentially with soil depth (Albaladejo et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2013; Hobley and Wilson,
418 2016).

419

420 *4.2 Modelling SOC stocks*

421 The percentage of explained variance obtained by GLS models in this study ranged from 20%
422 to 27%, corresponding to sub and topsoil models, respectively. Higher data density from topsoil
423 might have a positive effect on modelling performance (Adhikari et al., 2014). R^2 for GLS models
424 used to map SOC stocks in the topsoil was lower ($R^2=0.18$), because several drivers of SOC
425 stock, such as soil properties, could not finally be included due to unavailability of good spatial
426 resolution (Table A.3). In addition, for the very same reason, R^2 of GLS used to map SOC in the
427 subsoil (data not shown) was negligible ($R^2= 0.016$) and mapping SOC stocks at the subsoil was
428 finally dismissed. Although R^2 values obtained may seem low, values of R^2 higher than 0.7 are
429 in fact unusual, and values <0.5 are common in soil attribute prediction. Moreover, R^2 values
430 usually decrease with depth (see Table 4 and Table A.4; Adhikari et al., 2014; Chakan et al.,
431 2017).

432 The R^2 values obtained could be associated with heterogeneity of spatial data density or
433 other factors not tested due to data unavailability. Higher R^2 values have been found when
434 different land uses (forest or scrubland) were modelled (Albaladejo et al., 2013).

435 In order to deal with spatial correlation of residuals, two models were performed: GLS and
436 GWR. Both models are considered robust and have been widely used in statistical literature for
437 decades (Wang & Tenhunen, 2005; Rangel et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2017). See
438 more references compiled in Table 4 and Table A.4). Here similar results using both
439 methodological approaches were obtained (Table 2 and Table A.2).

440

441 *4.3 Conclusive factors affecting agricultural SOC stocks*

442 The main drivers of SOC stocks depend on the position in the soil profile (topsoil versus subsoil).
443 At the topsoil, the main drivers were textural class, agricultural land use and MAP/MAT. Soil
444 properties become more relevant with increasing depth. At the subsoil, the agricultural land use
445 category was still important, but MAP/MAT ratio and water management were no longer
446 considered important SOC drivers at depth. In line with the present study findings, some authors
447 (Albaladejo et al., 2013; Bonfatti et al., 2016; Armas et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018) state that
448 variable importance varies with depth. Climate, land use and management are likely to have a
449 strong influence on SOC stocks at the topsoil, where these drivers directly impact. However, in
450 the subsoil physico-chemical soil attributes are expected to be more crucial as drivers of SOC
451 stocks than environmental factors. The importance of variables in explaining SOC stocks found
452 here concurs with many studies (see Table 4 and Table A.4) where soil properties, climate and
453 land use and management are seen to be the key factors. Several studies have highlighted the
454 importance of climate in predicting SOC stocks (see Table 4 and Table A.4). High temperatures
455 are related to metabolic activity stimulation of both soil microbiota and fauna, thus inducing
456 decomposition of organic matter, while high annual precipitation relates to high net primary
457 productivity (NPP) of plants, and hence to high inputs of organic debris to soil. C inputs are mainly
458 limited by NPP, which depends on climate, and particularly, on the limitations in soil water and
459 nutrients availability (Rabbi et al., 2015). Some studies in semi-arid Australia show that climate
460 and soil properties better explain SOC variability compared with land use and management
461 (Rabbi et al., 2015; Hoyle et al., 2016). Conversely, Fantappie et al. (2011) and recently Schillaci

462 et al. (2017b) show that changes in land use and management seem to have played a major role
463 in the variations of SOC content in Italy and Sicily, respectively.

464 Some authors (Jobbagy & Jackson, 2000) have pointed out that the importance of soil
465 properties such as clay on SOC stocks increases with soil depth, playing a larger role than
466 climate in deep layers. Given the protective role of clay, a positive impact on SOC stocks at
467 modelling was expected. The results (Fig. A.9) show that such a positive relationship occurs only
468 up to a given limit: about 30 kg/m² of clay in the topsoil, and about 125 kg/m² in the subsoil. From
469 this limit on, increasing clay abundance does not result in increased SOC stocks. Indeed, a
470 negative trend was detected in the topsoil: with very high clay stocks, SOC stocks tend to
471 decrease. In fact, clay has a dual effect on SOC stocks (Rovira et al., 2010): positive (the
472 protective effect on soil organic matter and the positive effect on soil water holding capacity) and
473 negative (high amounts of clay make penetration by roots difficult, and available water for plants
474 may be low).

475

476 *4.4 Spatial variability of the effect of explanatory variables on SOC Stocks*

477 The results show how at the topsoil the GWR coefficients for the climate variable MAP/MAT
478 presented a negative counterintuitive sign in an agricultural area irrigated since the mid-19th
479 century, the Ebro Valley (Fig. 5a). This negative relationship could be attributed to higher SOC
480 stocks than expected in an area characterized by low precipitation and high temperatures.
481 Possibly the impact of irrigation on the area could mask climatic effects. Rainfed coefficients
482 were negatively stronger (Fig. 5b) at the topsoil, but only in those areas where aridity (Fig. A.1,
483 d) is more pronounced, indicating a stronger positive relationship between irrigation and SOC
484 stocks in these semi-arid areas. Agricultural land use coefficients were negatively stronger in the
485 middle region of Catalonia for all cropland types (Fig. 5c and Fig. A.6 from b to g), demonstrating
486 that in this area alone SOC stocks present lower values regardless of the cropland category.

487 The effect of each factor on SOC stocks at top and subsoil between regions was different
488 (Fig. 5 and Fig. A.3 and A.5). Spatial variability of GWR coefficients showed how main drivers in
489 certain locations have lesser impact, leading to a loss of importance with respect to others. This
490 implies that when regional mitigation strategies are formulated, account should be taken of the
491 different impact of drivers at the local scale.

492

493 *4.6 Mapping: a new baseline*

494 SOC stocks were modelled and predicted assuming a steady state during the sampling period,
495 in order that this map may be used as a baseline in the assessment of possible future spatio-
496 temporal scenarios. Previous studies have succeeded in mapping SOC stocks at the topsoil over
497 the study area. Using a process-based model, Alvaro-Fuentes et al. (2011) mapped SOC stocks
498 in a wider area of NE Spain, showing values relatively far from ours in some land uses such as
499 vineyard, olives or orchards. Notwithstanding all this, similar results have also been shown for
500 annual and woody crops by other studies in Spain using geostatistical analysis (Rodriguez-Martin
501 et al., 2016). The resulting SOC stocks baseline map in the present study offers improvements
502 regarding previous baselines covering the study area. SOC stocks (topsoil) were mapped
503 specifically for agricultural soils in Catalonia based on a high-density sampling data from more
504 than 2000 spatially well-distributed agricultural soil profiles, using a statistical modelling approach
505 and considering the main SOC drivers. Moreover, a higher map resolution for the study area was
506 achieved, compared to existing baselines.

507 According to Minasny et al. (2017), SOC stocks fluctuate with latitude, insofar as they are greater
508 at higher latitudes and humid tropics and lower in the mid-latitudes. The mean SOC stock value
509 for agriculture in the study area (4.88 kg/m²) was similar to the values published for countries at
510 similar latitudes.

511

512 *4.7 Limitations and mapping uncertainties*

513 In addition to the natural variability of SOC stocks, a number of different reasons could explain
514 the low variance shown by models.

515 Accuracy of data for this purpose is limited. First, stoniness was estimated visually in field
516 sampling and bulk density had to be estimated using expert-derived pedotransfer function from
517 literature. Second, agricultural variables presented information gaps or generalizations that had
518 to be estimated. Third, soil legacy data was sampled from 1980 to 2015, which could challenge
519 the assumption that SOC stocks remained stable over this 35-year period, avoiding any
520 consideration of possible climate change effects during this time. Unfortunately, due to
521 geographical pattern of sampling, it was not possible to test the effect of sampling date on SOC
522 stocks.

523 Another limitation was the lack of information related to known factors controlling SOC stocks in
524 terms of physical or chemical C protection (Fe and Al oxides, salinity, hydromorphy, pH or clay
525 minerals), or in terms of soil disturbance, soil protection and C inputs, such as current and
526 historical agricultural management practices. Finally, although soil samples are well distributed
527 right across Catalan agriculture, some agricultural areas are poorly represented. Mapping
528 uncertainties are associated with SOC stock and driver estimations used when modelling.
529 Modelling prediction error and unquantified uncertainties associated with some covariate layers
530 (in some cases, rasterized versions of polygonal mapping) used to map SOC stocks should also
531 be considered. Residuals' spatial pattern of the GLS model used for mapping SOC stocks at the
532 topsoil (Fig. A.7) evidenced regions presenting under- and over-predictions quite consistently.
533 These regions with higher or lower residuals (under- or over-predictions) need further attention.

534

535 *4.8 Recommendations and future research*

536 The results of the present study indicate that data quality must be improved to enhance
537 modelling performance and predictions, and to reduce uncertainty in the output map. Future soil
538 sampling efforts should focus on the acquisition of better SOC data, as well as on the collection

539 of as many potential explanatory variables as possible (bulk density, proportion of coarse
540 particles, detailed soil analytics, exact geographical position, detailed land use, past and current
541 agricultural management practices, etc.). Consequently, further work must be done to
542 understand the role of abiotic, biotic and human factors affecting spatial distribution of SOC
543 stocks not considered here, and to build layers representing SOC stock predictors at a
544 reasonably good spatial resolution, especially soil properties.

545 The resultant outputs of this study would assist in the analysis of different scenarios that help
546 to formulate targeted climate change mitigation and adaptation policies under Mediterranean
547 conditions. In fact, this study sets the baseline for studies exploring future climate change and
548 land use or agriculture management scenarios, such as those published by Yigini & Panagos
549 (2016), Lugato et al. (2014b) or Zhang et al. (2016).

550

551 **5. Conclusions**

552 The present study found the most important drivers of SOC stocks to be texture, climate and
553 agricultural land use in the topsoil, and soil properties in the subsoil layer, findings that are
554 consistent with previous studies. Topsoil offers management opportunities for C sequestration,
555 since SOC stocks in this soil layer are mainly affected by dynamic variables. The fact that the
556 effect of controlling factors on SOC stocks vary spatially implies that mitigation strategies should
557 be adjusted at the local scale. Based on the available data, a modelled baseline map of SOC
558 stocks in the topsoil (0-30 cm) for Catalan agriculture based on legacy data was produced and
559 provided, improving spatial estimates of regional terrestrial carbon balances. Absolute and mean
560 values of SOC stocks in soils under agriculture in Catalonia down to 30 cm are 47.89 Tg and
561 4.88 kg/m², respectively. This study represents a baseline framework with which to design
562 climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies based on identifying high and low
563 vulnerability areas and on exploring C sequestration potentials of Mediterranean agricultural
564 soils.

565

566 **Acknowledgements**

567 The authors would like to thank the Cartographic and Geological Institute of Catalonia and the
568 Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Food of the Government of Catalonia for
569 providing sampled data on soils to generate the Soil Map of Catalonia (1:25000). The hard field
570 work in soil sampling and subsequent analytical work undertaken by the technical personnel for
571 the Soil Map of Catalonia during the period 1980-2015 has been critical to this study. We are
572 particularly grateful for their important contribution to this work. This research did not receive any
573 grant coming from the public, private, or non-profit sectors and it is the result of a collaboration
574 agreement between our institutions (IRTA, CREAF, CTFC, ICGC and DARP). We greatly thank
575 all people that made possible this collaboration agreement. We are grateful to both anonymous
576 reviewers for their helpful suggestions to improve our manuscript.

577

578 **Appendix A. Supplementary material.**

579 **Appendix B. Details about Material and Methods.**

580 Supplementary material and additional details about *Material and Methods* relating to this article
581 can be found online at ...

582

583 **References**

- 584 1. Adhikari, K., A. E. Hartemink, B. Minasny, R. B. Kheir, M. B. Greve, and M. H. Greve. 2014.
585 Digital Mapping of Soil Organic Carbon Contents and Stocks in Denmark. Plos One 9:13.
- 586 2. Aguilera, E., L. Lassaletta, A. Gattinger, and B. S. Gimeno. 2013. Managing soil carbon for
587 climate change mitigation and adaptation in Mediterranean cropping systems: A meta-
588 analysis. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 168:25-36.
- 589 3. Aksoy, E., Y. Yigini, and L. Montanarella. 2016. Combining Soil Databases for Topsoil
590 Organic Carbon Mapping in Europe. Plos One 11:17.

- 591 4. Albaladejo, J., R. Ortiz, N. Garcia-Franco, A. R. Navarro, M. Almagro, J. G. Pintado, and M.
592 Martínez-Mena. 2013. Land use and climate change impacts on soil organic carbon stocks
593 in semi-arid Spain. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* 13:265-277.
- 594 5. Alcañiz, J.M., Boixadera, J., Felipó M.T., Ortiz J.O. and Poch R.M. 2016. Chapter 12, Sòls.
595 p. 291-310. In Martin Vide, J. Tercer Informe sobre el Canvi Climàtic a Catalunya. Institut
596 d'estudis Catalans i Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, 614 p.
- 597 6. Alvaro-Fuentes, J., M. Easter, C. Cantero-Martinez, and K. Paustian. 2011. Modelling soil
598 organic carbon stocks and their changes in the northeast of Spain. *European Journal of Soil
599 Science* 62:685-695.
- 600 7. Armas, D., M. Guevara, D. Alcaraz-Segura, R. Vargas, M. A. Soriano-Luna, P. Durante, and
601 C. Oyonarte. 2017. Digital map of the organic carbon profile in the soils of Andalusia, Spain.
602 *Ecosistemas* 26:80-88.
- 603 8. Batjes, N. H. 2014. Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. *European Journal of
604 Soil Science* 65:10-21.
- 605 9. Bonfatti, B. R., A. E. Hartemink, E. Giasson, C. G. Tornquist, and K. Adhikari. 2016. Digital
606 mapping of soil carbon in a viticultural region of Southern Brazil. *Geoderma* 261:204-221.
- 607 10. Canadell J.G., Pataki, D.E., Gifford, R., Houghton, R.A., Luo, Y., Raupach, M.R., Smith, P.,
608 Steffen, W. 2007. Saturation of the terrestrial carbon sink. in Canadell J.G., Pataki D.E.,
609 Pitelka L.F., eds. *Terrestrial Ecosystems in a Changing World*. Springer. 59–78
- 610 11. Chakan, A., R. Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R. Kerry, S. Kumar, S. Khordehbin, and S. Yusefi
611 Khanghah. 2017. Spatial 3D distribution of soil organic carbon under different land use types.
612 *Environmental monitoring and assessment* 189:131.
- 613 12. Chen, S., M. P. Martin, N. P. A. Saby, C. Walter, D. A. Angers, and D. Arrouays. 2018. Fine
614 resolution map of top- and subsoil carbon sequestration potential in France. *Science of the
615 Total Environment* 630:389-400.

- 616 13. Chiti, T., L. Gardin, L. Perugini, R. Quarantino, F. P. Vaccari, F. Miglietta, and R. Valentini.
617 2012. Soil organic carbon stock assessment for the different cropland land uses in Italy.
618 *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 48:9-17.
- 619 14. Doblás-Miranda, E., P. Rovira, L. Brotons, J. Martínez-Vilalta, J. Retana, M. Pla, and J.
620 Vayreda. 2013. Soil carbon stocks and their variability across the forests, shrublands and
621 grasslands of peninsular Spain. *Biogeosciences* 10:8353-8361.
- 622 15. DUN. 2016. Agricultural Plots Geographical Information System. Shapefile. Annual.
623 <http://dadesobertes.gencat.cat>
- 624 16. ESRI. 2011. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research
625 Institute.
- 626 17. Fantappiè, M., G. L'Abate, and E. A. C. Costantini. 2011. The influence of climate change on
627 the soil organic carbon content in Italy from 1961 to 2008. *Geomorphology* 135:343-352.
- 628 18. FAO. 2018. Soil Carbon Mapping Cookbook. Volume 2nd edition, Rome. ISBN 978-92-5-
629 130440-2. [http://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/pillars-action/4-information-and-data-
630 new/global-soil-organic-carbon-gsoc-map/en/](http://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/pillars-action/4-information-and-data-new/global-soil-organic-carbon-gsoc-map/en/)
- 631 19. Farina, R., A. Marchetti, R. Francaviglia, R. Napoli, and C. D. Bene. 2017. Modeling regional
632 soil C stocks and CO₂ emissions under Mediterranean cropping systems and soil types.
633 *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 238:128-141.
- 634 20. Fotheringham, A. S., and T. M. Oshan. 2016. Geographically weighted regression and
635 multicollinearity: dispelling the myth. *Journal of Geographical Systems* 18:303-329.
- 636 21. Hobley, E. U., and B. Wilson. 2016. The depth distribution of organic carbon in the soils of
637 eastern Australia. *Ecosphere* 7:e01214-n/a.
- 638 22. Honeysett, J.L., and Ratkowsky, D.A. 1989. The use of ignition to estimate bulk density of
639 forest soils. *Journal of Soil Science* 40: 299-308.
- 640 23. Hoyle, F. C., R. A. O'Leary, and D. V. Murphy. 2016. Spatially governed climate factors
641 dominate management in determining the quantity and distribution of soil organic carbon in
642 dryland agricultural systems. *Scientific Reports* 6:12.

- 643 24. ICGC. 2017. Mapa Geològic de Catalunya: Geotrell IV. Mapa de sòls. Institut Cartogràfic
644 i Geològic de Catalunya (ICGC). [http://www.icgc.cat/Ciutada/Informa-t/Recursos-](http://www.icgc.cat/Ciutada/Informa-t/Recursos-geologics/Sols)
645 [geologics/Sols](http://www.icgc.cat/Ciutada/Informa-t/Recursos-geologics/Sols)
- 646 25. ICGC. 2018. Base de dades i Sistema d'Informació de sòls de Catalunya (BDSisCat). V1.0.
647 Institut Cartogràfic i Geològic de Catalunya (ICGC) (www.icgc.cat). CC BY 4.0
- 648 26. Jobbagy, E. G., and R. B. Jackson. 2000. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and
649 its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecological Applications* 10:423-436.
- 650 27. Kumar, S., R. Lal, D. Liu, and R. Rafiq. 2013. Estimating the spatial distribution of organic
651 carbon density for the soils of Ohio, USA. *Journal of Geographical Sciences* 23:280-296.
- 652 28. Lacoste, M., B. Minasny, A. McBratney, D. Michot, V. Viaud, and C. Walter. 2014. High
653 resolution 3D mapping of soil organic carbon in a heterogeneous agricultural landscape.
654 *Geoderma* 213:296-311.
- 655 29. Lal, R. 2001. World cropland soils as a source or sink for atmospheric carbon. Pages 145-
656 191 *Advances in Agronomy*. Academic Press.
- 657 30. Lal, R., J. A. Delgado, P. M. Groffman, N. Millar, C. Dell, and A. Rotz. 2011. Management to
658 mitigate and adapt to climate change. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 66:276-285.
- 659 31. Lawrence, C. R., J. W. Harden, X. Xu, M. S. Schulz, and S. E. Trumbore. 2015. Long-term
660 controls on soil organic carbon with depth and time: A case study from the Cowlitz River
661 Chronosequence, WA USA. *Geoderma* 247:73-87.
- 662 32. Liu, Y., L. Guo, Q. Jiang, H. Zhang, and Y. Chen. 2015. Comparing geospatial techniques to
663 predict SOC stocks. *Soil and Tillage Research* 148:46-58.
- 664 33. Lugato, E., Panagos, P., Bampa, F., Jones, A., and Montanarella, L. 2014a. A new baseline
665 of organic carbon stock in European agricultural soils using a modelling approach. *Global*
666 *Change Biology* 20, 313-326.
- 667 34. Lugato, E., F. Bampa, P. Panagos, L. Montanarella, and A. Jones. 2014b. Potential carbon
668 sequestration of European arable soils estimated by modelling a comprehensive set of
669 management practices. *Global Change Biology* 20:3557-3567.

- 670 35. Luo, J. Q., P. J. Du, A. Samat, J. S. Xia, M. Q. Che, and Z. H. Xue. 2017. Spatiotemporal
671 Pattern of PM2.5 Concentrations in Mainland China and Analysis of Its Influencing Factors
672 using Geographically Weighted Regression. *Scientific Reports* 7:14.
- 673 36. Luo, Z., E. Wang, B. A. Bryan, D. King, G. Zhao, X. Pan, and U. Bende-Michl. 2013. Meta-
674 modeling soil organic carbon sequestration potential and its application at regional scale.
675 *Ecological Applications* 23:408-420.
- 676 37. Martin, M. P., M. Wattenbach, P. Smith, J. Meersmans, C. Jolivet, L. Boulonne, and D.
677 Arrouays. 2011. Spatial distribution of soil organic carbon stocks in France. *Biogeosciences*
678 8:1053-1065.
- 679 38. Martin-Vide, J., Prohom Duran, M., Busto M. 2016. Chapter 4, Evolució recent de la
680 temperatura, la precipitació i altres variables climàtiques a Catalunya. p. 93-112. In Martin
681 Vide, J. Tercer Informe sobre el Canvi Climàtic a Catalunya. Institut d'estudis Catalans i
682 Generalitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, 614 p.
- 683 39. Minasny, B., B. P. Malone, A. B. McBratney, D. A. Angers, D. Arrouays, A. Chambers, V.
684 Chaplot, Z. S. Chen, K. Cheng, B. S. Das, D. J. Field, A. Gimona, C. B. Hedley, S. Y. Hong,
685 B. Mandal, B. P. Marchant, M. Martin, B. G. McConkey, V. L. Mulder, S. O'Rourke, A. C.
686 Richer-de-Forges, I. Odeh, J. Padarian, K. Paustian, G. X. Pan, L. Poggio, I. Savin, V.
687 Stolbovoy, U. Stockmann, Y. Sulaeman, C. C. Tsui, T. G. Vagen, B. van Wesemael, and L.
688 Winowiecki. 2017. Soil carbon 4 per mille. *Geoderma* 292:59-86.
- 689 40. Minasny, B., A. B. McBratney, B. P. Malone, and I. Wheeler. 2013. Digital Mapping of Soil
690 Carbon. Pages 1-47 in D. L. Sparks, editor. *Advances in Agronomy*, Vol 118. Elsevier
691 Academic Press Inc, San Diego.
- 692 41. Montanaro, G., C. Xiloyannis, V. Nuzzo, and B. Dichio. 2017. Orchard management, soil
693 organic carbon and ecosystem services in Mediterranean fruit tree crops. *Scientia*
694 *Horticulturae* 217:92-101.

- 695 42. Muñoz-Rojas, M., S. K. Abd-Elmabod, L. M. Zavala, D. De la Rosa, and A. Jordán. 2017.
696 Climate change impacts on soil organic carbon stocks of Mediterranean agricultural areas: A
697 case study in Northern Egypt. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 238:142-152.
- 698 43. Neufeldt, H. 2005. Carbon stocks and sequestration potentials of agricultural soils in the
699 federal state of Baden-Wurttemberg, SW Germany. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil
700 Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde* 168:202-211.
- 701 44. Ninyerola, M., X. Pons, and J. M. Roure. 2000. A methodological approach of climatological
702 modelling of air temperature and precipitation through GIS techniques. *International Journal
703 of Climatology* 20:1823-1841.
- 704 45. Peng, J., Y. X. Liu, Z. C. Liu, and Y. Yang. 2017. Mapping spatial non-stationarity of human-
705 natural factors associated with agricultural landscape multifunctionality in Beijing-Tianjin-
706 Hebei region, China. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 246:221-233.
- 707 46. R Development Core Team, 2014. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
708 Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 709 47. Rabbi, S. M. F., M. Tighe, M. Delgado-Baquerizo, A. Cowie, F. Robertson, R. Dalal, K. Page,
710 D. Crawford, B. R. Wilson, G. Schwenke, M. McLeod, W. Badgery, Y. P. Dang, M. Bell, G.
711 O'Leary, D. L. Liu, and J. Baldock. 2015. Climate and soil properties limit the positive effects
712 of land use reversion on carbon storage in Eastern Australia. *Scientific Reports* 5:17866.
- 713 48. Rangel, T., J. A. F. Diniz-Filho, and L. M. Bini. 2006. Towards an integrated computational
714 tool for spatial analysis in macroecology and biogeography. *Global Ecology and
715 Biogeography* 15:321-327.
- 716 49. Rashid, A., and J. Ryan. 2004. Micronutrient constraints to crop production in soils with
717 Mediterranean-type characteristics: A review. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 27:959-975.
- 718 50. Rodriguez-Martin, J. A., J. Alvaro-Fuentes, J. Gonzalo, C. Gil, J. J. Ramos-Miras, J. M. G.
719 Corbi, and R. Boluda. 2016. Assessment of the soil organic carbon stock in Spain. *Geoderma*
720 264:117-125.

- 721 51. Rovira, P., Jorba, M. and Romanyà, J. 2010. Active and passive organic matter fractions in
722 Mediterranean forest soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 46: 355-369
- 723 52. Schillaci, C., L. Lombardo, S. Saia, M. Fantappiè, M. Märker, and M. Acutis. 2017a. Modelling
724 the topsoil carbon stock of agricultural lands with the Stochastic Gradient Treeboost in a
725 semi-arid Mediterranean region. *Geoderma* 286:35-45.
- 726 53. Schillaci, C., M. Acutis, L. Lombardo, A. Lipani, M. Fantappie, M. Marker, and S. Saia. 2017b.
727 Spatio-temporal topsoil organic carbon mapping of a semi-arid Mediterranean region: The
728 role of land use, soil texture, topographic indices and the influence of remote sensing data to
729 modelling. *Science of the Total Environment* 601:821-832.
- 730 54. SIGPAC. 2016. Crop Map of Catalonia based on DUN. Shapefile. Annual.
731 <http://dadesobertes.gencat.cat>
- 732 55. Smith, P. 2012. Agricultural greenhouse gas mitigation potential globally, in Europe and in
733 the UK: what have we learnt in the last 20 years? *Global Change Biology* 18:35-43.
- 734 56. SSS. Soil Survey Staff. 2014. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. United States Department of
735 Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. Twelfth Edition.
- 736 57. Terradas, J. and Savé, R. 1992. Summer-winter double stress and water relationships as
737 clue factors in the distribution of *Quercus ilex* L. *Vegetatio* 99-100:137-145.
- 738 58. Torrent, J. 2005. Mediterranean Soils A2 - Hillel, Daniel. Pages 418-427. *Encyclopedia of*
739 *Soils in the Environment*. Elsevier, Oxford.
- 740 59. Vicente-Vicente, J. L., R. Garcia-Ruiz, R. Francaviglia, E. Aguilera, and P. Smith. 2016. Soil
741 carbon sequestration rates under Mediterranean woody crops using recommended
742 management practices: A meta-analysis. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 235:204-
743 214.
- 744 60. Wang, Q., J. Ni, and J. Tenhunen. 2005. Application of a geographically-weighted regression
745 analysis to estimate net primary production of Chinese forest ecosystems. *Global Ecology*
746 *and Biogeography* 14:379-393.

- 747 61. Yigini, Y., and P. Panagos. 2016. Assessment of soil organic carbon stocks under future
748 climate and land cover changes in Europe. *Science of the Total Environment* 557:838-850.
- 749 62. Zhang, C. S., Y. Tang, X. L. Xu, and G. Kiely. 2011. Towards spatial geochemical modelling:
750 Use of geographically weighted regression for mapping soil organic carbon contents in
751 Ireland. *Applied Geochemistry* 26:1239-1248.
- 752 63. Zhang, L. M., Q. L. Zhuang, Y. J. He, Y. L. Liu, D. S. Yu, Q. Y. Zhao, X. Z. Shi, S. H. Xing,
753 and G. X. Wang. 2016. Toward optimal soil organic carbon sequestration with effects of
754 agricultural management practices and climate change in Tai-Lake paddy soils of China.
755 *Geoderma* 275:28-39.